IANA Stewardship Transition Cross Community Working Group (CWG) on Naming Related Functions

CWG RFP 4
Transition Implications

Agenda Dec 23, 2014

- * 1. Background
- * 2. Work stream #1 Current Situation
- * 3. Work stream #2 Describing the transition path
- ★ 4. Work stream #3 Testing the proposal
- * 5. Review of timeline & next steps

Background


- * Important to describe existing arrangements and how changes proposed in RFP 3 are implemented. That is, what needs to change.
- * The aim of this group is to insure the security and stability of the proposal

Previous call items

Timeline

- December 22 : Deadline for submission of public comments
- ★ December 22- 30 : Discussion on how to include public comments into CWG proposal
- January 2 : Revisit CWG proposal
- ★ January 10 RFP 4 needs to be completed by this date.
- ★ January 10-12 Final drafting of the CWG proposal
- January 19th, CWG proposal will have to be complete.

Timeline (II)



Proposed Work Streams

Proposed Work Streams

1. Describe the current situation

 Need to have a better understanding of the current situation with the existing IANA contract. Review technical proposal

2. <u>Describe the Transition Path</u>

- Assume that we are going forward with the Contract Co. option
- Describe the transition path that is needed to implement Contract Co.

3. Testing the new Proposal

Need to identify the risks, especially during the transition period

- 1. Current situation
 - 1.1 <u>Review of existing ICANN/IANA Technical</u> proposal
 - 1.2 Discussion of using table of contents from ICANN/IANA
 Technical proposal as a templete to develop text on how this will
 change depending on RFP 3 (Contract Co. <-> all internal, inclusion
 of IAP etc.).
 - 1.3 Identify relevant terms in the ICANN proposal as well

AGENDA ITEM FROM Co-Chair / Robert :

 Comments on 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 please. Lack of comments, will be interpreted as consensus to proceed using the existing ICANN/IANA Technical proposal as a template.

• 2. Transition path

- 2.1 Discussion occurred on how to describe the transition path for the different proposals from RFP 3
- 2.2 Draft text was presented on how to describe changes
- 2.3 Transition path for alternate proposals (ie. ALAC)

AGENDA ITEM FROM Co-Chair / Robert:

- 2.1 & 2.2 Is there any comment on ways to best ways describe the transition changes. A draft text was presented. Is there a consensus on using that as a format? Are there elements missing? Should a summary of changes be presented in some way?
- 2.3. Ask for update from ALAC an others who are suggesting/proposing alternate proposals and how (or not) they are including details on transition path from existing contract to new one.

3. Testing the new proposal

 3.1 Need to document and discuss risks/treats and issues that might arise to maintain security & stability

AGENDA ITEM FROM Co-Chair / Robert :

3.1 Ask that discussion start on the list and continue on the call on Dec 23 on compiling a list of risks/threats. Ask for feedback from everyone on the call tomorrow, especially those with security/stability (ie. ssac) expertise and others.. After call, will ask for staff to summarize the threats mentioned and how proposals might be tested..

- 3. Testing the new proposal (continued)
 - 3.2 Need to develop (detailed) list of key periods where testing of proposals is needed. Mention that "transition period" is a critical period where specific and detailed tests will need to be conducted.

AGENDA ITEM FROM Co-Chair / Robert:

 3.2 adding on what mentioned in 3.1, ask that conversation take place on the list and on the call on Dec 23 to develop a list of key periods where proposal should be tested (& how)

Next steps

- 4. Review of timeline
- 5. Next call

December 29 (2100 UTC)

THANK YOU