Notes- CCWG on IG call [6th January, 2015]

I.   Discuss on proposed topics for the IG public session at ICANN52 in Singapore (30')

Public Sessions are good chance for the community to discuss issues of substance and give feedback to the CCWG and to ICANN staff. They aren't good to use for long updates on IG issues. In a 90 minute time slot there might be time for a bigger discussion of a current issue, and a shorter presentation of an upcoming issue. It is also a good opportunity for community members in the WG and ICANN staff, to work together.

> We suggest two topics:

> 1. Discussion - **the NetMundial Initiative** - get a senior ICANN staffer and an external group with a different point of view (perhaps Kathy Brown from ISOC) to talk about their different perspectives, and then community feedback and debate (~50 mins)
> 2. Presentation - the WSIS+10 Review - get a responsible ICANN staffer and a CCWG member following the issue to highlight the main points of this Review that the ICANN community needs to pay attention to and ask questions about (~30 mins)

Notes (audio and chat)

Nigel: Renate already submitted the request for the CCWG-IG slot.

Sally: see with meeting team how the CCWG-IG needs will be accommodated.

Olivier: Avoid clashing with a GAC session if possible

Bill Drake: U shape table. Could even be a closed U, ie. two rows/tables pressed together in middle and then others around the outside

Patrik Fältström: this CCWG explicitly is NOT talking about IANA transition, but for the *scheduling* I think there should be no overlap - if possible.

Robin Gross: would be better to have substantive discussion topic. I don't understand where the suggestion for discussion of NetMundial Initiaive came from. I do not support the discussion on NMI. – Prefers to talk about strengthening the IGF.

Marilyn Cade: NMI - I am not sure that we should ignore an update, as some know more than others.

Bill Drake: Ok with the update, not an extended discussion.

Marilia: Informative presentation, maybe 50 minutes is too much but we can't deny the importance of discussing the NETmundial initiative.

Olivier: 15 minutes progress report on NMI and not the main topic of discussion.

Greg Shatan: One subject for discussion could be coordinating between "ICANN the corporation" and "ICANN the community" on Internet Governance initiatives.

+1 from Robin Gross

Nigel: The IGF will be very interactive in terms of themes and subthemes and intersectional work. Quite a lot going on. Also the future/mandate of the IGF is another topic.

Marilia: we should inform the community and that's why the NMI topic should stay. I don't know if we can contribute in the "enhancing IGF" topic – there are other spaces for that. Cybersecurity could be another topic.

Bill Drake: 30 minutes discussion rather than 50 minutes on NMI. Provide opportunity to give input in a going forward.

Olivier: interactive discussion rather than progress reports.

Marilyn: an update on the IGF and how this community relates to the IGF might be a good topic.

Bill Drake: strengthening IGF also good but probably not really a lot of progress and engagement to reflect on by Singapore. No IGF Updates Please.

Robin Gross: of all 3 topics suggested so far, I think Greg's suggestion would be the most engaging and unique. I support it.

Bill Drake: I sort of feel like we've been talking about that in multiple ways for years. It would need to be focused in a non-repetitive way

Marilia Maciel: And I think this overlaps with the accountability debate as well.

Robin Gross: the discussion's focus could be on finding solutions to the problem of disconnection (examples NETmundial, NMI, Strategy Panels)

Olivier: should this take place in the public session or the CCWG session?

Greg: It should take place in both sessions.

Bill Drake: I think what Greg's suggesting would be good for the CWG meeting where we could explore it interactively at length, I'm just not clear how it would work as one of several items in the larger public session

Sally Costerton: Agree Bill. Very happy to work with you all on how to make sure that's a meangingful discussion with good agreed next steps.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: @all: we'll follow-up by email. Please continue the discussion on the mailing list

DEADLINE TO DECIDE TOPICS: January 9th, 2015.

II.   CSTD ICANN contribution

ICANN's contribution is not published yet. Once it is published, Nigel to send the link.

The contribution was shared in the CSTD mailing list.