
GISELLA GRUBER: Welcome to today's AFRALO Rules of Procedure Working Group on Monday, 22nd December at 14:00 UTC. On the French channel we have Tijani Ben Jemaa, Hadja Ouattara and Fatima Seye Sylla. On the English channel we have Barrack Otieno. We have no apologies today. From staff we have Silvia Vivanco and Gisella Gruber. Our interpreters today are Camila and Claire. I want to remind you to please state your name when you take the floor, for transcription and to allow the interpreters to identify you on the other channel. Tijani, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Gisella. Hello everyone. I'm really sorry to see that we have such a few participants to our Working Group. I think we are going to have to address this issue during the next AFRALO Monthly Call. This Meeting has been organized through a Doodle. We made a Doodle because there were a lot of people who weren't able to join. Everybody told us they wanted the meeting to take place today at this time, and despite all that we are only five people. I'm really sorry. Let's go back to our Agenda. Fatimata, can you accept the Agenda? Do you adopt it? Okay, no objections, so this Agenda is adopted.

The next Item as you can see, I have added the last Item on the Agenda. There were no contributions. There was only the adoption of some Members of the Working Group, but I didn't receive any draft amendments or any input from you, so I've added this onto the Agenda. If you agree with that, I'll remind you that the Members' performance, that we've added on the Wiki, are to assist in the face-to-face meetings, teleconferences, etcetera. To participate in the Working Groups,

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

participate in the decision-making, and vote. 5) Make regular and significant contributions, and; 6) have a real presence on the ground – local activity, communicate with the individual end users of the country and the region, etcetera.

Those are the six performances we're going to use as a base to work from today, to define the metrics of our Members and to see how we can correct the problem when we have a lack of performance from our Members. I repeat. I haven't received any input from you on those six performance, so I consider that the Working Group has adopted this Members' performance. Do you have something to add?

GISELLA GRUBER:

Tijani, Fatimata is asking for the floor in AC. In the AC we have Hadja saying that she's agreeing on the performances proposal.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Okay. Fatimata?

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA:

Thank you Tijani. I wanted to tell you that the draft... I'm going to write in the AC. I have no AC so I can't read the chat.

BARRACK OTIENO:

I would like to speak.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Just a minute. Fatimata?

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: I'm agreeing with the draft. It's okay.

BARRACK OTIENO: Thank you. I also agree with the draft. I think the six points form the basic minimum of any Member to participate, and it's a very good proposal. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Barrack. Now we are finished with the performances. We are going to move to the next Item, which is the performance metrics that I've asked all the Members to send something to the mailing list on, to contribute to those performance metrics. I haven't received any input at all, so once again I had to draft those performance metrics. I've sent an email this morning to ask you to check the Wiki page to see what I've drafted. I'd like to speak about that with you. I'm working without AC. Are there any comments on what I have drafted? Gisella, please tell me if somebody is asking for the floor.

GISELLA GRUBER: Fatimata is raising her hand.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: The list that you sent... For example, when you speak about the participation in the meetings...

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Fatimata, we have a lot of problems hearing what you're saying.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: I'm very sorry.

GISELLA GRUBER: We can't hear you Fatimata.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Can you please call her back?

GISELLA GRUBER: Okay. We'll call you back now Fatimata.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Any other hands? No. So, I'm going to read the content of what I sent for the performance metrics. First I have made a list of those performance metrics, but in another way I have called it Criteria of Involvement and Participation. There were first the meetings, attending the RALO conference calls and any other Meetings. Then contribution; contribute to the RALO discussions and to the ICANN policy development through mailing lists or Wiki pages as well as participation

in the Working Groups. The third item is vote; vote in the election of RALO Officers and representatives and any other kind of vote for statements, ratification, etcetera. Those are the three first items.

Then there is the local activity. That is to say have a real presence on the ground through local activities, communication with the individual end users of the country in the region, etcetera. Those are the criteria of involvement and participation that we spoke about during the last meeting. The second step of this document is the definition of the ALS stages. I've defined three stages – active, less active and stand-by. That is to say an ALS is active and we'd call it active. If less active, less active, and not active at all, stand-by. Those are the three situations.

The third part of this document, this is the definition of the threshold parameters. What is an active ALS? It's an ALS participating to a certain amount of meetings and conference calls per month. The number of meetings and the period weren't defined. The idea is that the Working Group is going to decide the best amount of meetings a month, or time, so we're not so hard with the ALS and so that we can have a minimal participation. How are we going to design an active ALS? This will be an ALS that participates to a certain number of meetings, and we'll see whether that's per month, week, year, etcetera.

An active ALS will be an ALS that has a certain amount contribution per a certain time, and then we will say that an ALS is active if it votes in a certain amount of consultations or ratification of statements, etcetera. So the number of votes and the amount of consultation will be determined. We'll have to decide those numbers. I haven't included the local activity in those criteria because it was made and proposed,

because I think the local activity is something different, that we can't include in that. We're going to put something down separately to measure the activity of the ALSes at the local level.

We will have the report from these ALSes saying what they've done at the local level, during a period of time we'll decide. What is a less active ALS? It's the same. What will change are the parameters. This less active ALS will participate in a number of meetings during a period of time. It will have made less contribution during such a period of time. It will have votes, but less than the other active ALSes. For the local activity, the same. We'll say a less active ALS will be an ALS that won't send a lot of reports on its activity, etcetera.

A stand-by ALS will be an ALS participating less than X amount of meetings, during the period we'll have established. As you can see, there is a determination of the amount that will be changing from the active state, to the stand-by state. This is what I've done. I want you to reflect on that. Fatimata?

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA:

Thank you. I hope that now you can hear me. I agree with you in your definition, but about the local activity I think that we have to link those local activities with those criteria, because for me an active ALS is active at the ICANN level, but it also has to be active at the local level. If we have an ALS with only one or two Members who are working in ICANN, but don't represent anything at all at the local level, it's a problem. I propose that we link those two criteria to the level of activity of the ALS

at the local level. Then we'll see which metrics we are going to use for the local activities, at a local level.

The questions I asked were answered during your presentation, so that's okay. I didn't understand what "more each" meant, so now I understand. You're going to establish the number of months, so that's okay. Those were the two comments I had. I'd like you to link the level of activity at the local level of the ALS. I think that's important. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you Fatimata. I'd like to tell you why I didn't link it. If for other things we can have objective metrics; as in the number of participation, the number of votes – there's a number during a period. It's something objective that we can do. But for the local activities it's very subjective. It depends on an observation from the ALS and from its report. We are going to receive some reports from this ALS. We're going to put in those criteria a small D saying that we'll submit an amount of reports during a period of time. For example, an active ALS will send one report every two months, or more, about its local activity.

A less active ALS will send only one report every six months, and a stand-by ALS will send less than one report per year. Those reports will be important for us to say if the ALS is locally active. We have no other way to measure the ALS at the local level.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Tijani, I think the best way for us to be sure that the report is coming from the ALS will be posted on the ALS website, because how are we going to know these events really exist? It must be one we can see. If there is a training report we can check this activity has taken place. For me, this local activity is very important. The metrics you gave are very important, but I'd like them to be associated with a local activity parameter.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay. I agree with you. We're going to add a small D to decide the local activity. I'd like you to tell me what to put in that D that we're going to add. "If it's a high frequency of reports, send it to the website, we'll have an active ALS," etcetera. Do you agree with this system?

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: I agree. It's okay for me.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Hadja, you have the floor.

HADJA OUATTARA: I'd like to say I agree with you and what Fatimata just said, but it depends on the frequency of the metrics we are going to use. That's what I'd like to know. We need to have some metrics that won't be too hard for the ALS.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: This is the idea of this meeting. I didn't want to give amounts or dates. I wanted us to decide those numbers together. Barrack?

BARRACK OTIENO: I just want to highlight what Silvia is mentioning on the chat. Probably in our meetings we could include the spotlight, because it's very hard for a RALO to present what it is doing, so that would be a point to activate, because it's important we're able to verify what's happening on the ground for the different RALOs. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Before I give the floor to Fatimata, Barrack, we're speaking about ALS reports, not RALOs.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Thank you. I wanted to propose that among those three categories we'll have some weight. For example, I'm going to propose that to participate in two meetings per month, as a minimum, for an active ALS.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, but Fatimata, we have only one meeting per month. Yes, but if during that period there are no Working Groups then that means the ALS hasn't been active during that period, because... Fatimata, I'd rather work on longer periods so that we can extend those periods.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Right. So we'll set 100 months as the period?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, I think that's very good.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: But as you say, with the logistics, there is no work or meeting from which one could be excused, so one has to be active at the same time, for [eleven zero 00:27:27]. So the period would be better, because three months is only three meetings, but this way everything would be accounted for. We'll see that if one has not attended a meeting we'll have a valid ground for not having attended it.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Of course. Fatimata, regarding apologies, that's going to be discussed later on. We're going to set criteria now and then we're going to see the specifics. If one misses more than one meeting in a row they'll have to justify it and they'll not be considered the same way than if they're justified.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: So regarding the three or four categories, let's assume that ALS X has made a contribution and attended two meetings, but couldn't vote, for instance. Will they still be active or not? What would allow us to see whether or not someone is active? What is the standard by which we judge whether one is active or not? We have to take into account all sorts of criteria, but what is the weight of each?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: That's right. That's why I deleted what's between A and B, between B and C, etcetera. That is "all", not "and", because we don't wish to scare ALSes and ask them to do it all. The purpose is to have active ALSes that are committed. If we attend two conferences in three months, I think that ALS has participated sufficiently. But if they make two contributions in a term, I'd say they are committed. If they vote regularly I'd also consider them to be committed, although I'd think votes would have less weight, because we have ALSes that only vote right now, and that's not enough. I don't think that would be enough participation for the criteria.

Between A and B I'll say "or" and between B and C we have to have A and B. An ALS that doesn't vote does not exist. It's the same. An ALS must vote during a given period or during consultations. So if there's an "and" between C and A and C and B, but with an "or" between A and B.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: I agree. It's going to be "A or B" and "A and C" and "A and D".

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: This is the formula: "A and C and D" and "A or B". C must be there. I am speaking of D, for local activities. B, D is going to be "and" and an ALS that's not active at a local level does not exist.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: I agree with you. If everyone agrees I think we can move on.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Hang on. We have Hadja who wishes to take the floor.

HADJA OUATTARA: Thank you. As Fatimata spoke I wanted to propose that the period be three months. This is perfect for me and fits my idea very well.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Great. We have discussed the structure. We're going to combine the participation in this category. Fatimata has suggested B for active, A for less active and zero for stand-by. One for active, one for less active, zero for stand-by. Gisella?

GISELLA GRUBER: I'm sorry to interrupt you but we have Mwendwa and Aziz with their hands up.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Mwendwa?

MWENDWA KIVUVA: Thank you. I had a question on after we categorize the ALSes in their reporting period into active, less active and dormant. What do we do with this information? Do we leave it to the registered ALSes?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Are you saying you agree with A and C?

MWENDWA KIVUVA: I will type, because I think my microphone is not very good.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I'm going to have Silvia call you back. Aziz is going to take the floor as we wait.

AZIZ HILALI: I'm sorry for being late. It wasn't my fault. I'm just trying to add something; the parameters we're trying to make, I don't think we should have many conditions. Then I have another question regarding the categories for the different ALSes as is. What does it mean, stand-by? Is it active? Is it non-active? Then I'll repeat the question I've always asked on this Working Group. What do we do with the ALSes that we've not heard from for four, five years? Are they considered to be on stand-by or is there a different category for those ALSes for which we have no information? Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Aziz. I'll start with your last question. For those ALSes we're going to work on the next stage, because we have established the criteria, the means by which we measure their performance. After that we're going to move onto treatment; what we do with them. An ALS on stand-by is unjustified. They're going to be on stand-by for a while. If they catch up, they can come back to the less active status. Otherwise, if they work very well they can come back to the active status and if not they're going to be decertified. ALSes that don't reply at all are going to

be decertified. That's what the Working Group has to discuss; what we do with them. Aziz?

AZIZ HILALI:

We couldn't hear Mwendwa speak, but the question is on the chat, and it's sort of what I'm asking. The question Mwendwa asked, if I understand correctly, is what the rules are that we're going to use to decertify or deregister ALSes? What I wish to know is beforehand how you categorize the worst ALS? Those would be ALSes that AFRALO has had no information on for a number of years. Are we going to create a new category? The underlying idea for my intervention is that those ALSes should be decertified.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you Aziz. In the system what we're going to do first is put their status on stand-by and three or four months later we're going to decide together what we do. After a while, an ALS that's been on stand-by is going to be decertified. We can only suggest to ALAC it be decertified, and then ALAC will have to decide.

AZIZ HILALI:

That's absolutely correct. By following our procedure of notifying them, like a warning, on the mailing list?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

That's RALOs, yes. Going back to the question of the other RALOs, I think all RALOs have these procedures. I think AFRALO is the most

advanced RALO because we've been working on this for two years. Remember there were people that said, "How come you're forcing us to perform? You have no right to do this; to measure our performance," – so at the global level we couldn't make much progress. Now we've been working on metrics; on the parameters we're going to use as drivers to measure their performance. On this same criteria we're going to start working.

ALAC is preparing its own work. The RALOs haven't advanced much yet. I think we are the RALO that's come the furthest so far. If you see, Aziz, I've written these variables with letters. We all have to contribute and give our opinions in order to set these parameters. We're going to try and have a realistic approach here. The structure has been set but now we're going to have to set these metrics and have everyone's consent. Didier?

GISELLA GRUBER:

Didier is not muted, so he should be able to speak. It's the same for Mwendwa.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Didier, we cannot hear you. In the meantime, Mwendwa, please take the floor. Didier, we hear you now. We'll come back to Mwendwa.

DIDIER KASOLE:

I completely agree with the rules you suggest, but I think we should communicate on these rules with ALSes. We should discuss all this

information with ALSes so we all have the same information, but these rules for setting the ALS statuses are very convenient to me.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Right. The Working Group is going to have to prepare a set of rules. Once the Working Group has reached a consensus on the changes to our Rules of Procedure, we're going to propose them to AFRALO for its approval, and AFRALO must communicate these new rules to all ALSes.

If one hasn't attended two consecutive meetings, they'll have to justify why. The development of the changes, once we've finished this stage we're going to adopt the rules by AFRALO, so AFRALO is going to adopt the rules.

DIDIER KASOLE: Thank you Tijani. That's perfect. I understand.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Mwendwa, are you with us?

MWENDWA KIVUVA: I am with you, but I will submit my feedback via email.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Great. Are there any other comments or proposals to make? We should try and define the variables?

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Just to clarify and share what I've just explained with Mwendwa – he asked a number of questions regarding what we're going to do. Afterwards with the rules, what measures we'll take. I told him that it's up to the Working Group to make these proposals to AFRALO on what should be done and how. Now, the second question was how we'll know the ALS was active at the local level. Once again we said that the activities that are going to be organized through AFRALO would also count for the ALS. That was a concern that we discussed.

There was also Silvia's question on the chat, which was who is going to prepare the report? Who's going to check these drivers? Is it going to be someone from AFRALO? I said yes, but with the help of staff. Silvia said of course we can give you attendance lists, and I said with the transcript as well so that we'll know if they made contributions as well. That's the discussion we had on the chat. I'm trying to relate what we discussed, since you're not connected.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Fatimata. That's very kind of you. Coming back to Mwendwa's question regarding what will happen afterwards, how we'll measure performance and deal with the information, I just told Aziz how that following stage, after setting metrics and drivers, will be measured. There we're going to discuss what we should do for ALSes and for stand-by ALSes, which are less active, or which are active. What we don't have here is decertification, which is after being on stand-by for a long time. That's what we're going to discuss during the next teleconference; decertification. That will be the next teleconference subject matter.

I ask you to all go to this page and make contributions. Ask everyone else to make contributions by providing them with the link to this page. Does anyone else wish to make a contribution at this point? If not, I'm going to move on with something Fatimata proposed a while ago, which were the metrics for performance or participation. She specified active, but she didn't speak of less active or stand-by. She said two teleconferences every three months for active. For less active, only one teleconference out of three, and for stand-by we'd have zero meetings during the three-month period. That's what Fatimata suggested.

If you agree with this we're going to see Item C, which is contributions over certain periods. I know that for one we have B. For D it could be difference. We could say D equals B, but we could also set different criteria. What do I mean by contribution? It's contributing to AFRALO's discussion on the different subjects; whether on the working procedure or on ICANN's policies, or RALO policies – for all contributions we might have. How many contributions should they make over the first period? Contributions to AFRALO discussions but also in terms of policy development within ICANN and contributions to Working Groups.

Those are the three kinds of contributions that we might have. My question would be how many contributions do they have to make in order for this to count.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Tijani? I said two contributions.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: For active status?

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Correct.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: That's rather a lot.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: I'm saying it's a relaxed approach. I'd do the same for the others. I said one and zero, for less active and standby.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I think it should be more strict. I think three for active, two for less active and one for stand-by.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: But stand-by they do nothing.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, but if it's zero, zero, zero, they're going to be decertified. For them to be on stand-by they have to do something.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: That was my idea. I wish to hear everyone else. I didn't want to make it hard, because I think people should wish to contribute, but I don't think three is too much either.

HADJA OUATTARA: I consider the same three-month period for all criteria, I think you should be strict.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I'll tell you afterwards why I said six months.

HADJA OUATTARA: Right, but I also said three months, and I thought the same as Fatimata; two for active, one for less active, zero for stand-by, over a three-month period.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you. Why did I say six months? Let's see. We have potential contributions here. It's not about attending, merely. It's about making substantive contributions as to the subject matter. There might be periods over which there are no discussions; no matter for reflections or discussion. Three months might be a period over which there won't be many discussion or policy development in ICANN. Someone who can't contribute to ICANN will be on stand-by. Six months is longer. If we had three or four for active over a six-month period I think it would be better.

Maybe if they don't, they're going to be back on [unclear 00:56:41]. Are there any other hands raised right now? I wish to hear other contributions regarding this participation criteria. No hands raised? Fatimata? Because the period is double, it's a six-month period.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: I agree. Six months works fine for me. After listening to what you said, I think three contributions, or we can combine what has been done for the meeting, because if the person is not making three contributions...

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay, but if the ALS is organizing one meeting or participating in one meeting, and doing one contribution, how do you account for that? it's separated.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: I think it's too much for six months if the ALS is participating in two meetings, for example.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: If it's three contributions in six months, it's okay. For the six-month period for the A item, if the ALS is participating in no meetings in three months, and the next month the ALS participates in two meetings, it's okay. Okay. There is an "or" between two possibilities, so it's okay?

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Okay.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Hadja, what do you say?

HADJA OUATTARA: Two.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay. We can say four for six months or two for three months. That's okay. The proposal we've made for the A, participation in meetings, every three months at the minimum, for active, one meeting in three months, and for the less active zero meetings for a three-month period. Now, for the substantial contributions – three contributions in six months for active ALSes; less active two contributions for six months, and stand-by I'd say one contribution for six months. I remind you that there is an "or" between A and B. If you are active on the A item it's okay.

If there are no other comments and nobody wants to take the floor, I'm going to move to the C item – the vote. What do you think? How many votes do we need for what consultation and how many consultations? We're asking persons to vote after each consultation. Each time we have a vote we have a consultation. How many votes for how many consultations? That is the question.

AZIZ HILALI: I'm sorry. I have to go.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay. Bye Aziz. We are going to finish this Item. I think the first amount of votes should be half.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: What do you mean, half?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: That is to say two for four, or one for each two. For a less active, it's a problem.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Yes, you're right.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I think we can say six, and then one for each four. Then zero votes for four consultations. This person doesn't vote at all. I know everybody's going to vote, but we'll say that. Two votes for four consultations, one for four consultations, and zero votes for four consultations. What do you think? What do the rest of the participants think about that? Are there any hands raised? We can say three for each six, two for each six, and one for six. Yes, but without the six-month period we can't judge, so it's a problem. I think it's okay like that. Okay. I think everybody needs to leave. I'm typing that on the Wiki page too, so everyone can see it later. Hadja, what do you think?

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Some people left, I think.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Didier, are you still with us? Okay. If we are only both of us, I think it's better to conclude this conference call. I'm seeing Barrack, Beran, Hadja is still with us. Okay. Barrack, what do you think about that? Mwendwa is asking why we have the three categories active, less active and [higher 01:04:18]. Well, the structure of our contribution... They are with us? Okay. If they are still with us I'm going to answer Mwendwa. Mwendwa, if you've checked the contribution there is three parts. The first one is the definition of the performance criteria we made in the last meeting.

Then we have the definition of the state of the ALS. An ALS can be active, can be less active, or can be on stand-by; not active at all. Those are the stages we've predefined. Then there is a list of the performance metrics. Those performance metrics are addressing the stages of the ALS, and then there will be another stage of the ALS, which will be a decertified ALS. I hope that I've answered your question. Mwendwa, if you can't communicate, send all your doubts via email on the mailing list, or on the Wiki, so we can answer you.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Tijani, I was thinking about adding the number four in the Wiki page for local activity and reports.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: You're speaking about the D we're going to add? Yes. For active ALSes I propose two local activity reports for each six months. For less active ALSes it will be only one, and for non-active ALSes it will be zero reports. I'd like to know if everyone agrees with this proposal? Okay. We are finished. This will be posted on the Wiki page. We're going to ask Silvia or Gisella to send an email to everyone, asking them to go to this Wiki page and give their opinions and make some input if they have any. For the next teleconference we'll only have to adopt our decision. I consider that this week was a very good week. We did good work during this meeting. It was very positive.

Now, for the next conference call we'll have to adopt the final draft. If you have ideas, comments, you can send them on the Wiki page or on the mailing list, so we'll have the definitive draft for our next conference call. Pastor Peters is not with us? The penholder is not with us? No.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Now we are finished?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, we're finished. Fatimata, can you read the Agenda?

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: The next Item is decertification.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I think we'll leave it until next week. I'd like to change this Item. We'll say "remediation" because decertification is a stage, it's a step. We'll change the title of this Item and that will be remediation, in English. I'm going to introduce this Item. You will arrive ready for the next conference call.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: I'm sorry. I have to leave and staff are saying they have to leave too.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: We are going to finish now. In the next meeting we'll speak about the ALS state according to their status. Please try to contribute. Send an email to the Wiki and the mailing list of all the Members of our Working Group, so they read all the metrics we've drafted today, and to think about the work we can do for the ALS according to these stages. The remediation we're going to do for each ALS regarding the status, and next steps. Next time I hope there will be more contribution on the Wiki and on the mailing list. Thank you. Thank you everybody.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]