TERRI AGNEW:	Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening. This is the At-Large subcommittee on the selection of members for the IANA accountability and governance coordination group…
GLENN MCKNIGHT:	Glenn McKnight.
TERRI AGNEW:	Thursday the 4th of December, 2014.

On the call today, we have Holly Raiche, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Imran Anwar, Fatimata Seye Sylla, Glenn McKnight, Vanda Scartezini, and Barrack Otieno.

We have apologies from Satish Babu and Juan Manuel Rojas.

From staff we have Ariel Liang and myself, Terri Agnew.

I would like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much and back over to you Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:	Thank you very much Terri. It's Olivier speaking. And as you have just heard, this call is recorded, but the recording will remain confidential because we are going to be discussing the different candidates. And

---

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

there will be some private stuff being discussed. So as a result, we'll keep all of this…

I think the whole recording of the call will remain confidential. Is that correct Terri? I can't remember how we did it with the previous ones, but I would say…

TERRI AGNEW: Certainly, we can keep it confidential.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic. Well, welcome everybody. We have a task today to choose the five representatives of the At-Large community, or of the ALAC, on the accountability cross-community working group that is currently in creation. It's a very tough job to assume. The accountability working group is going to have an enormous amount of work in a very short amount of time.

And I think that's, yeah, probably understood by a lot of people out there. You will have noticed, we don't have such a long, big line up of volunteers. The ALAC was afforded five seats on this committee, which is pretty much the same number of seats that was afforded on the IANA stewardship transition naming issues cross-community working group. And the reason for this being that we had asked for at least every region to be representable.

So since we had five regions, five seats. There was a discussion on the ALAC call that took place a few weeks ago, which asked the question, that if we couldn't find someone from each region, then were we able

to select more than one person from one region to be able to fill in for the others, for the region that is missing, basically? Or if we could find somebody suitable in the region, would we be able to select somebody who had the qualifications and who was from another region?

The response from the ALAC was that there was no objection to that, and so we are able to pretty much make our choices based on skills, I guess, whilst at the same time, I would probably, personally say we would still, it would be good to keep the regional balance, for the simple reason that the accountability group is one of these things where, I think, every region has something to say and so there are real concerns that if one region does not have somebody there, they might get offended, the region itself might feel disfranchised not having somebody on that committee.

But anyway, done the opening discussion and opening statements and so on. Let's start with your feedback first, and I see Glenn McKnight has put his hand up. So Glenn, you have the floor.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Thank you. Glenn for the transcript. Just because we don't have representation from the other RALOs, should we be waiting to have reps from each location Olivier? I'm just looking at procedure.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Glenn. It's Olivier speaking. We have representation. So we've got NARALO represented by you and Le-Marie Thompson is on there, and then Imran is on there. We've got LACRALO represented by

Vanda Scartezini on the call. We've got AFRALO represented by Fatimata Seye Sylla. We have EURALO represented by me, I guess. And APRALO represented by Holly Raiche on the call. So all of the RALOs are represented here.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Okay, so it's not a question of quorum then, even though we have more on the list, so this is sufficient, correct?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: That's correct Glenn, yeah. Ultimately what we're going to go for is basing our discussions on the call that were taking, and the polls that you will note, I think, out of the 15 members of our committee, was taken by, 13 or 14? I'll probably have to ask Ariel to let us know about this. I think it is 14 people, no 13 people sorry, that answered. So yeah, 13. So we had quorum on these and we would be able to… What we would do basically would be to make our choice here, and then put a consensus call on our internal mailing list, so our selection committee mailing list to say, "Does anybody who has not been able to attend the call object to the decisions we've made here?"

Now if we do receive an objection, then we've got a problem, but hopefully, we won't. Because hopefully we will follow pretty much the basic views that have been sent during the call. Glenn McKnight.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Thank you. That's great, I'm satisfied with that. My second point is, we have two people from NARALO that are brand new to the process, so I

would like to introduce Le-Marie Thompson and Imran to the process. So please be gentle with them, and if we're using acronyms or any history, so they feel very welcome to this process.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this Glenn. It's Olivier speaking. And thank you for reminding me of the acronym part. When I usually listen in on a call, I get infuriated by the chair or the participants on the call, using the acronyms and then I'm guilty of the same darned thing. Terrible. Slap on the wrist. Let's move on to the first part of our agenda, and so this is going to be a pretty open, informal call.

The first thing we have to look at is really the results, I guess. There really isn't that very much else to do. Straw ballot elimination process. You've all filled in the straw ballot. You've all found out the details of what we had there. In fact, I have to log in to see the ballot. I'm sorry about this, I should have done that before. That shows my incompetence today.

But the straw ballot, oh it's on the screen now. Perfect. And so, we've got the following, the candidates. As you can see, we didn't have that many people who have volunteered. One, two, three, four, five, six, seven people have volunteered. I think that there is, every region is represented there. APRALO has two candidates and NARALO has two candidates as well. The other regions just have one candidate at the moment.

And looking at the overall details, if one looks at the bottom of the page, I think, Ariel was very kind to explain to us the whole ranking, and

the way things were ranked and the calculation method and so on. Ariel, do you want to take us through this please? That would be really helpful.

ARIEL LIANG: Thank you Olivier, this is Ariel speaking. Perhaps I can just share my screen because I put the part one, part two next to each other and it would be better than this PDF document.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: That would be great.

ARIEL LIANG: Okay. I'm going to share my screen now. Just one moment. Please let me know if you see my screen.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.

ARIEL LIANG: Okay. So, as you're aware, part one…

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Sorry. Ariel, if I can just interrupt you quickly. It's Olivier speaking. For those people who are new to Adobe Connect, you can see the screen is very small, but just above that window, you've got several icons there

and one of them is full screen. So you can go into full screen and see that in a much better way than if it's just very small.

That was painful.

ARIEL LIANG: Sorry, this is Ariel. I think there is a fire alarm in our office. I'm just going to move location very quickly so I can talk to you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Certainly helpful. Probably just a fire alarm.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Olivier, it's Glenn. I have a question.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, go ahead Glenn.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Why do we have two sets of evaluations? Is there a reason for the two rather than just one?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, thank you Glenn. It's Olivier speaking. So the way that we… This is the way we've done it in the previous rounds of evaluations. And the way that it's worked out, in general, is that it's like crossing over one set of evaluations with another, to make sure that there is consistency in

results. When you put people in a certain, in order of preference, sometimes there are times when one put people in order of preference by taking the people we know the best, at a higher position than people they know less.

And also, at the same time, when you do the other evaluation, which is just answering those specific questions, that specific requirements needed, it also sometimes feels like something that's a little restricted. Obviously, there is more to that to one person than just the five different, five or six different needs that they need to have on the, for the task itself. So that's why we do it with two different things.

That's just killing us. Maybe Ariel has to leave the building after all.

So, effectively, the reason for this, this is not helpful today, the reason for this is that we need to first look at how the candidates fit the description and the needs what they need to have, and then also look at which ones overall we also think are better. And I note that there is a slight difference between one and the other.

Ariel, can you speak or are we definitely in a problem…?

ARIEL LIANG: This is Ariel. Hello.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Do you have to run out of the office?

ARIEL LIANG: Yes, everybody is running, including Fadi and [inaudible]. This is Ariel speaking. So we're on the other side of the building, but I can still talk to you, and Terri can kindly project her screen to the AC room that would be nice, but I couldn't see the AC room right now because I lost Internet connection.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Maybe it was the Internet that went up in flames.

ARIEL LIANG: So, I can still talk to you through the [inaudible] it will be helpful.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay.

ARIEL LIANG: Okay. Terri, can you tell me whether you have the PDF or on the screen AC room?

TERRI AGNEW: Yes, I did PDF [inaudible] and it's on the AC room right now.

ARIEL LIANG: Okay. That will be fine, thank you. So for the first part of the straw ballots, we weighted each candidate based on five criteria. So my method of calculation is to add all the five current criteria scores together, as the total criteria score for each candidate. But at the same

time, some of the selection committee panelists didn't give score to a certain candidate and put a NA for certain criteria. So the candidates possible scores is different.

And as you can see, the perfect possible score will be 60, because criteria one was 10, and the second one is 10, then 20, and 10 so 60. But some of the, when the panelists didn't rate certain criteria, their possible score would be lower than that. And you can see some of the scores are in red, those are the ones that certain criteria doesn't get a score.

And on the way, I calculate the final criteria score is to use the panelists criteria score divide by the total possible score, and then we get the percentage there, and the higher the percentage, the more, the better the candidate. So that's part one, and on part two is a preference score, and as you are aware, the most preferred candidates get four, three, two and one. And so the calculation is just to simply add up all of those scores together.

But please note that one of the panelists actually picked out five preferred candidates, and she has identified two candidates there, both number three in her list, and you can also see in the chart, there is panelist number seven has the five preferred candidates and two get the score of two. And on the final preference for the sum all of these scores, the sub-scores of the panelists.

So that's just a very quick breakdown, and you may want to read in detail about the calculation measures, you can log into the wiki and read the bullets under the [inaudible]. And at the end, we have got the

candidate ranking for part one and part two, and they're also in the wiki page, as you can see that. I have highlighted a few candidates in green, because these are the four candidates, they're both top five, based on the two types of evaluations.

So that's the way I calculated it.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this Ariel. It's very helpful. First, are there any questions on the evaluation? The way that the calculations were done and so on? Any questions on the process itself?

Don't see anyone putting their hand up on the process. It's probably easier to follow by looking directly at the wiki page that's in the chat, than actually look at the PDF, because I note that the screen has to be wider than this, and so the results are a bit messed up in all directions.

So, that's the process we have. Now if we look at the wiki page, then we've got the, clearly a number of candidates that came out on top. Alan Greenberg came out as ranking as number one on both. The one which has been, let's see in the first top five, as Ariel was saying, we've got Alan Greenberg, Evan Leibovitch, Sebastien Bachollet, and Cheryl Langdon-Orr. Sorry, these are the first top four.

And then Tijani Ben Jemaa in one ballot was at number two and the other one was at number six. And Leon Sanchez in one ballot was at number six and in one ballot was at number five. As you know, we need five candidates. So anything between one and five is in, and we need to drop two candidates effectively from this.

I believe the first thing we all have consensus on is that Yasuichi Kitamura, although a good candidate, probably without enough experience so far, or track record, has been on number seven for both. So we can probably drop Yasuichi. I don't know, are there any objections to dropping Yasuichi from the list?

IMRAN ANWAR: I second that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So that's seconded, by I believe that was Imran?

IMRAN ANWAR: Yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So, yeah, I see everyone is okay with dropping Yasuichi from this. So now what we have is really two choices, the first one, are we going to keep the geographical balance? If we are going to keep the geographical balance, then we need to make a choice between, for NARALO between Alan Greenberg and Evan Leibovitch, and that's the only choice we have to make for this.

If we don't keep geographical balance and we stick to the five top people, then we need to choose between Tijani Ben Jemaa and Leon Sanchez.

IMRAN ANWAR: Which areas are they from? This is Imran.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So, yeah, thank you Imran. Olivier speaking. So Tijani Ben Jemaa is from AFRALO and Leon Sanchez is from LACRALO.

IMRAN ANWAR: And we don't have any of them in the other four, right? Because we have three North American and one Europe?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: We've got five slots in total. So if we do a geographical balance…

IMRAN ANWAR: In the other candidates, the other, I guess, three candidates sorry…

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: There are two candidates from NARALO, two candidates from APRALO, and every other region just has one candidate.

IMRAN ANWAR: Then for balance, Evan is wonderful, but then maybe, for balance it might have to be between Alan and Evan, and avoiding any kind of controversy about any group being left out, but then the other issue is [inaudible] more important or…

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for this Imran. It's Olivier speaking. And you indeed are asking the right questions. So now the floor is open. That's what, I guess, we have to discuss. Vanda Scartezini, you have the floor.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Yeah [inaudible], are you listening?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: We can hear you. Go ahead Vanda.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Okay. Thank you. Because I'm not in my office. Just one thing, you know, between the two, both really quiet good. It's hard to make any decisions, but I do believe that Alan has a lot in his bag right now. So, if we were to choose among them, maybe Evan could be good. [Inaudible] that is my balance in the situation, I do believe that Alan was more balanced person to be in a large group without him lose little patience or something like that.

So that is my point. I don't know if Alan has too much in his bag right now. If it's true, Evan is good. But I would like to have Alan represent us instead. Because I'm not sure they can, you know, based that in time. But anyway he applies. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, thanks for this Vanda. Olivier speaking. Are there any other comments on this? I note the discussion in the chat at the moment.

We first have to decide whether we're going to have one from each region, or the five best. And so there is a… And I see Glenn says, "Holly has a good proposal."

Sorry, I didn't read the proposal. Where is the proposal?

HOLLY RAICHE: It wasn't a proposal.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Olivier, it's Glenn.   What Holly is saying is that yes, we can do geographical balancing, but you know, we need to decide whether we use that approach, or just take people on their talent, regardless their geographical location. So we need to ask this group, which approach do we want to take?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I think that's the question I asked. Yeah.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Yeah. So we need a motion on the floor for this group to vote on it.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. I'll tell you how we can do that then. And that is a really easy one, let's do a poll. We'll do a poll, we've done that in the past. Yeah, multiple choice.

One…

I'm sorry I'm just typing the poll at the moment quickly.

IMRAN ANWAR: I agree with Fatimata, even though I'm against political correctness, but in the current situation where there is a lot of, you know, multistakeholder model are questioned, etc. As long as a candidate is not completely [inaudible], I would go for geographic balance too. But thank you for the poll.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Does everybody see the poll?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So there is a poll now on the screen. You're able to go on it. Glenn you've got your hand up as well, in the meantime, I'll let people vote as they wish to.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Yeah, I voted in the poll, I just want to make sure that my vote has been registered in the poll.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I don't know at the moment. All I have is the numbers. You know, they're anonymous, and I'll share… Six, seven, I've got seven, five, seven people have voted so far. So let me see. One, two, three, four, five, six, seven, and eight. So one person has not voted yet.

IMRAN ANWAR: I did, so I guess…

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Who hasn't voted yet.

HOLLY RAICHE: I did.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: You did or no?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Who has not voted yet? Who is on the phone only?

TERRI AGNEW: Barrack.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Barrack, okay. Barrack, if you don't mind saying it in public, but I guess this is no big deal, it's all done confidentially. Do you believe geographical balance should be kept? So one seat per region, or do you mind not mind if geographical balance is kept?

TERRI AGNEW: And Barrack just dropped. He goes dialing back out.

EN

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Well, in any case, let me just broadcast the results. [CROSSTALK] It's not going to make any difference, the answer is pretty obvious indeed. So we'll go for that then. So we'll have geographical balance, which effectively means that with this, we only have one choice to make then, and that's going to be whether we select Alan Greenberg or…

Because I think we're putting Yasuichi Kitamura aside. We would have Cheryl Langdon-Orr for Asia, Pacific, and Pacific Island. We would have Sebastien Bachollet for EURALO. We would have, somebody is moving back up at the moment. We would have Tijani Ben Jemaa for AFRALO. We would have Leon Sanchez for LACRALO. And therefore the only choice for us to make then is between Alan Greenberg or Evan Leibovitch.

We've heard from Vanda about her point on this. I open the floor for discussions on whether we would have Evan or Allan.

IMRAN ANWAR: Olivier, this is Imran. May I request that we scroll up to the scores that we have? That would be easier to compare them. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, sure. So looking at the scores about their criteria and knowledge.

IMRAN ANWAR: Yes. I was going to ask for the voting to be removed, but I see it now. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I've taken that off.

So I see there is much discussion going on in the chat at the moment.

Some are saying that Alan has too much on his plate. At the moment, Alan is chair of the ALAC, and Evan is secretary of NARALO, I believe, yeah he is. He's taken over from Glenn.

So looking at the different scores between Alan and Evan, actually they're right next to each other. We've got the overall scores for this. Ariel, do we have any detailed scores about how they scored on each one of the different questions?

ARIEL LIANG: Hello. This is Ariel speaking. Terri could you kindly, I think there is some noise in the background.

HEIDI ULLRICH: This is Heidi. Vanda we can hear you on your other call, on your other discussion. So perhaps if we can mute Vanda's line?

IMRAN ANWAR: She just did.

ARIEL LIANG: Okay. Thank you Heidi. Thank you Olivier. So the question is whether we have the detailed breakdown how each panelist rated on the candidates, on the criteria…

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: No. Whether we have the breakdown of what marks the candidates got for each one of the questions.

ARIEL LIANG: Yes, that breakdown.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Do we have that?

ARIEL LIANG: Yes, we have that breakdown.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Ah.

ARIEL LIANG: Would you like me to show that breakdown? I'm not sure how you would like to proceed.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Well I've asked whether we have a breakdown, so between Alan and Evan. Do we have the breakdown on what they scored in each one of the questions? Whether they have the different categories that we asked, the questions that we asked. So why… Sorry. The marking in the poll basically.

ARIEL LIANG: Yes, this is Ariel speaking. We have the breakdown for each question.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Is that accessible or not?

ARIEL LIANG: This is Ariel again. Just give me one moment, I can project that on the screen. And I just got back to the building. [Inaudible], so just one moment.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So I know there is a big discussion going on in the chat at the moment, regarding Evan's availability and regarding Alan's availability. I would, I'd be quite bold as to favor Alan for one reason, which is his being in the ATRT 2, the accountability and transparency review team, and throughout that time, I don't recall Evan even having being involved in the accountability and transparency work, or taking much of an interest inside of it.

Secondly, I would also say the accountability track is going to have two tracks. One is going to be a long-term track to look at all of ICANN's accountability, and the second one is going to deal with IANA stewardship transition. And again, I don't think I have even seen Evan in one of the conference calls or webinars about IANA stewardship transition, and I have concerns about that.

So those are the main concerns that I have. Although Evan has more time on his hands, his involvement in this track has not been particularly good.

IMRAN ANWAR: Olivier, this is Imran. Thank you for that because that compares with the original point I made about making a good decision based upon our opinion rather than what the candidate thinks they can do, but based upon your point, I think I agree with you on that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you Imran. I note that Barrack is back on the call. Barrack, you missed the part we have had a quick poll, which decided that there would be one candidate from each region. So the discussion now, with the only region that still has two candidates in the run is, Alan Greenberg and Evan Leibovitch for the NARALO seat. And so we're having much of a discussion around that and a discussion in the chat as well.

Does anybody else want to make a case for Evan perhaps? Or make a case for Alan? Holly Raiche.

HOLLY RAICHE: I want to make a case for… Well, I would say both are very close. Holly Raiche for the transcript record. They're both very close and both have their own interest in accountability, but I agree with you. Alan has been on the ATRT 2, knows all about accountability and because Alan has also made some really, really good points on, particularly in a very long-winded discussion, about the whole transition and accountability, it's just an issue that he has been really involved in, and is really aware of.

And I really think that's what we want. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this Holly.

Any other questions or comments on this?

ARIEL LIANG: This is Ariel speaking.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Just before you speak Ariel, Barrack has said in there, "I would also like to see some regional balance." Barrack, there will be regional balance. All other four regions now have a single candidate. I know you were cut off from the call at the time, but Tijani Ben Jemaa will be there from AFRALO, Cheryl Langdon-Orr from APRALO, Sebastien Bachollet from EURALO, Leon Sanchez from LACRALO. And so we just have now between Alan Greenberg and Evan Leibovitch for the NARALO seat.

Okay, thank you. Back to you Ariel.

ARIEL LIANG: Thank you Olivier, this is Ariel speaking. I just got the score breakdown for Alan and Evan for each question. Would you like me to share this breakdown on the screen?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: That would be very helpful Ariel. Thank you. And I'm sorry if it takes a little bit more time for us to make the selection. It might be just, you know, if we put a poll up, we might have an answer which is as quick as the answer that we had before. But I just want to make sure that we are respecting that both candidates, and we are respecting the process by which we are not just making a quick decision, but are really considering things in much detail.

So as for us to be able to really know which ones of the two candidates we feel happy with, and which ones of the two our community will feel happy with. Okay, Ariel, please take us through this bunch of numbers that we have here.

ARIEL LIANG: Thank you Olivier. This is Ariel speaking. As you can see, what I'm showing on the screen is part one, that panelists rate each candidate based on the five questions, and these are all the rough scores we get, these five columns that I'm highlighting. And the sum column here is the total. And do you want, which questions do you want [inaudible] at this moment? I can give you….

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Let's start with each one of the questions, tell us for each one, and just summarize them. So question one.

ARIEL LIANG: Okay. Just one moment, let me go to the survey. Just one moment. I'm going to put the survey on the screen too. Okay, so question one is, the candidate has the ability and experience to act as a liaison with the At-Large and the [inaudible] of the CCWG. That's question one.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, let's have a look then.

ARIEL LIANG: And these are the scores.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Why are there thousands or, dozens of Alan Greenberg and dozens of Evan Leibovitch? Is that the answer from each person.

ARIEL LIANG: I just it on the fly. When I copied it…

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Can you just do it on the fly, the quick column, the average of each one of those columns please? So average for Alan and average for question one for Evan. Or a total mark, whichever, it doesn't matter.

ARIEL LIANG: Okay. So the total marks [CROSSTALK]… The total score for question one for Alan is 124, and for Evan it's 109.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Do we have the same number of people that answered for both?

ARIEL LIANG: Yes, we have the same number, and I'm going to give you the average which is 9.5 and the average for Evan is 8.4.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: And I think what you can do then is to just copy this along so each one of the columns has got the same thing. And just take us through the five questions.

IMRAN ANWAR: It is one where somebody did not answer, she would have to account for that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I'm sorry Imran, I didn't hear you.

IMRAN ANWAR: There would be an error in G something, like G4 or something because somebody did not answer one question.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, that's correct. Yeah. Question five, G6, sorry G4, that's correct [CROSSTALK] did not answer.

IMRAN ANWAR: Sorry, just to make life simpler, can we just make any NA replaced with zero? That way it will be even and it will stay, you know, easy to go through it.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, good point.

ARIEL LIANG: Okay, just bear with me for one moment. And also, regarding the one call, the third one, question five, and both depend on [inaudible] Alan and Evan for that, so that in that situation, I think, they are the same for the situation so we can just disregard it as zero, for, so I agree with that suggestion.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Does the spreadsheet take it as zero Ariel? It's Olivier speaking.

ARIEL LIANG: This is Ariel again. When I did the calculation that you see on the wiki, I take it as zero, but the possible score for that candidate, from that panelist is zero too.

EN

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. That's fine. All right, so now we have those numbers. Let's go quickly. I realize the clock is ticking. It's been 45 minutes to decide two people, it's a bit long. And apologies to Holly who asked whether this would be a short call. When I read it, I thought, well I hope so, but who knows?

Okay. Let's get this, yeah, thank you Ariel. I think we've got average, excellent. So, question one was… Ariel, I don't know what you're doing.

ARIEL LIANG: I'm just going to question one.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Question one, has the ability and experience to act as a liaison with the At-Large and the accountability cross-community working group. Let's move to the, jump to this. And so Alan scores better on this than Evan does. Question two, [CROSSTALK] end user issues? Okay. And here Evan scores better than Alan does. Is that correct? Yes.

Can you all see? You all are okay?

And now we go question three. Has good knowledge about the ICANN accountability process, with a maximum of 20 points because obviously it's important to know. And here, Alan scores better than Evan does.

Question four. Has demonstrated written and spoken expression in English and able to write meeting reports to At-Large. I think they both

should be on the same level on this one. Let's have a look. We've got, oh, some people think that Alan writes better than Evan does. Okay. Sorry, no, that was question four. Yes? Yeah, yeah, marginally. Five more points for Alan.

And then question five. Has demonstrated experience as a team player. Okay. And we go back to the answer, and here Alan is scoring better than Evan. So that's the current details, and so I open the floor for questions and comments, and a discussion based on these results.

IMRAN ANWAR: This is Imran.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Barrack Otieno, go ahead. Sorry Imran, sorry. Barrack had his hand up, so first Barrack and then Imran. Go ahead Barrack.

BARRACK OTIENO: [Inaudible]

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Barrack, the quality of the line isn't that great.

BARRACK OTIENO: [Inaudible]

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: If you speak louder, I might be able to understand you.

Barrack, go ahead.

I'm not hearing anything. I think Barrack might have been dropped off then. Let's give Imran next, and we'll get back to Barrack one we have a better line. Imran, please go ahead.

IMRAN ANWAR: I think Barrack had a comment that we give merit a chance, so I agree with that. Can we mute Barrack's line. So what I was saying, based upon the discussion and the points that everybody made, the only concern that had been raised by Alan had been about lack of time. So the best way would be to have a more sort of, a request to Alan to ensure that he understands that we're really concerned about his time commitments, and that he commits to a certain level of availability, etc.

If that concern is overcome, then he definitely is the better candidate. So we go with that. That's my comment. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much for this Imran. And I realize, of course, being a newcomer, you weren't part of the previous selection process. There was a question of the same order regarding Alan, and Alan was finally selected after he has come back and said he would make the time available. It was at a time to be the representative in the coordination group of the accountability process.

Perhaps even more work than what we have at the moment. And we've got someone else has put their hand up and they've disappeared at the moment. So all right. I'm reading the chat at the moment, and I see that the preference appears to be Alan from everyone. If you want, what we can do, just to make sure we've got that, is to, we can do a poll again.

I'm sorry, it's taking me a little time to write this because I'm… There we go. I didn't want to assassinate [inaudible] massacre on the spelling of their names basically.

Imran you still have your hand up in the meantime.

Not everyone has voted yet.

Seven people who have voted so far. And I think I can broadcast the results, it's not really going to make much difference. And as [laughter].

So there we are. Sorry?

So I note… Someone is pressing the buttons on their phone. I note that eight people, eight people on the call have gone for Alan Greenberg and none for Evan Leibovitch on this case, so we've got unanimous decision on this. Since time is of the essence, I think there doesn't need to be any further debate on that.

Thank you Barrack. We can hear you now as well, if you wanted to say something, and I'm really sorry for the bad line that you had earlier. It's terrible sometimes. Did you wish to make any comments on the earlier parts? Or put anything down to the record, or the internal record.

BARRACK OTIENO: Yes, Barrack for the record. I just wanted to propose that we go for merit, and I vote for Alan.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much for this Barrack. So that's recorded. So the lineup, just to recap, the lineup is going to be, Alan Greenberg for NARALO, Tijani Ben Jemaa for AFRALO, Cheryl Langdon-Orr for APRALO, Sebastien Bachollet for EURALO, and Leon Sanchez for LACRALO. Just taking them in no particular order, at the moment.

And with this, I think that's all we need. I just wanted to ask staff on a procedural thing, the previous call that we had, and part of the time has pass, the last call that we had, was there any part of the call that was released to the public record? Such as an announcement that needed to be made? Or, was that, was the call itself completely confidential? Heidi might know.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Olivier, this is Heidi. I would need to look back how that was recorded and how that was announced.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. What I can do, just in case there needs to be a public record of this, I can then say just a mark now, and just close the call by just saying a quick recap.

HOLLY RAICHE: Yeah, good idea.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So, starting from here, I don't know if anybody has got a nice beep that they can start with.

So welcome back everybody. The committee, the selection committee has made an unanimous selection on the candidates that have applied for the five slots that the ALAC has on the accountability cross-community working group. The candidates were all very highly qualified. We were a little bit to the point, not that many people applied for the position, but we are absolutely confident that the final selection is made up of the best candidates and ones that will really, really help the cause of the Internet end user, and make sure that the accountability process in ICANN is undertaken in a professional way, and certainly will address the concern that our community has.

In no particular order, I'm just looking at my screen which is a little bit jumbled at the moment, for the overall five candidates the committee decided to choose, one candidate from each region so as to keep the geographical balance. From the NARALO region, Alan Greenberg. From the AFRALO region, Tijani Ben Jemaa. From the APRALO region, Cheryl Langdon-Orr. From the EURALO region, Sebastien Bachollet. And from the LACRALO region, Leon Sanchez.

And so this committee is pleased that the work is done, and the results will be published shortly by At-Large staff. And with this, I would like to

thank you all for your work. It has been a real pleasure to work with you. We've managed to go through this quite efficiently, maybe in a little bit more time than was originally anticipated, but it's great. I hope that we will be able to make use of similar systems or committees in the future, if there are more selections that need to be made.

And I hope that you've all found this process to be enjoyable and certainly helpful. So good morning, good afternoon, good evening, and good night.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]