

On My Way To L.A.

Actually „our“ way!

Four suggested areas of preparation

1. Let's have a comparison chart
2. Double-check requirements list
3. Identify your priorities
4. Help draft an agenda

Ad 1: Comparison Chart

1. Our lawyers should prepare an extended comparison chart adding MEM and Single Designator Model based on what we have

https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/52890082/Description%20and%20Comparison%20of%20_Empowered%20SO_AC%20Membership%20and%20Designator....pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1436271588000&api=v2

2. Let's agree in L.A. if the comparison chart accurately reflects the pros and cons of the models.

Ad 2: Requirements List

1. Let's review our list of requirements for completeness and areas of improvement.
2. Send your suggestions to the list asap.
3. These following points are just discussion starters.

My impression is the group talks a lot about

1. ...how easily an enhanced accountability structure can be explained to the outside world
2. ...whether we decrease / increase our chances at the political level if we go for one or the other model
3. ...the budget community power (not so much about strategic plan and operating plan - why not separate the three)
4. ...enforceability, but meaning different concepts? How can we refine what is needed?

Ad 3: Identify your priorities

1. Give all requirements between 1-10 points
2. No vote / survey / poll intended, don't even share your personal results
3. This is just to help all of us focus on what is important

Ad 4: Agenda drafting

1. Consensus points
2. Disagreement
3. Other issues

Consensus Points???

- existence of Fundamental Bylaws
-
- Principles of binding IRP, and standing panel and exclusions for ccTLDs
- Principle of 5 community powers
- AoC reviews and their 5 year frequency
- Jurisdiction in WS2 and not focused on Iann HQs
- Standard Bylaw change... in principle
- existence of a community forum
- Request for reconsideration enhancements (add staff to Ombudsman)

Disagreement???

- the model (CMSM vs MEM)
- voting
- budget veto
- stress test 18 bylaw change

Other Points???

- Human rights
- Contract enforcement capabilities in the M/C/V
- scope / standard of review / timeline / cost for IRP
- Support of AoC reviews by consensus ? (seems to me it would fundamentally change the nature of the Reviews)
- process for Fundamental Bylaw change
- Board removal / recall "for cause" only or based on "strategic differences" ?
- WS2 or ATRT3 ?