

## CCWG: Models Comparison Tool

This table is designed to provide a framework to help the CCWG compare the Sole Designator and Sole Member models against a range of criteria. Using colour it could end up being a comparison “heat map”, an easy way to see strengths and weaknesses.

Colours could be used intended to highlight various things, with two assumed goals in mind:

- Enforceability of the power (so less enforceability is “hotter”)
- Least change to the status quo (so more change is “hotter”)

<b>Green</b>	<b>Green</b> would be for <b>more preferred</b> outcomes (where the goal could be clearest direct accountability powers, least degree of change)
<b>Orange</b>	<b>Orange</b> is “less good”
<b>Red</b>	<b>Red</b> would be “significant problem”
<b>Grey</b>	<b>Grey</b> would be “no difference”

A Google Docs version of this will be filled out by rapporteurs as the CCWG discusses the issues.

Google Doc: [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Bu6ze45ONESJyO\\_f3RCpEafQsb1VAPgCFHtmWsNIIoM/edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Bu6ze45ONESJyO_f3RCpEafQsb1VAPgCFHtmWsNIIoM/edit?usp=sharing)

Table begins overleaf.

		Sole Designator	Sole Member
<b>Mathieu's Criteria</b>			
<b>Enforcement</b>	Direct or indirect enforceability		
	Worst case enforcement delay		
	Cost of worst case enforcement		
<b>Capture risk</b>	Derivative action against Board members		
	Right to dissolve organisation		
	Balance between the various SOs or ACs		
	Scope of issues where Board business judgement is applied (the "fiduciary duty" challenge)		
<b>Transparency</b>	Access to corporate records		
<b>Complexity</b>	Necessity to create legal persons for SOs / ACs		
	Necessity for individuals to act as legal persons		
	Ease of understanding		
	Level of change compared with existing model		

<b>Powers</b>			
<b>Community Powers</b> - level of enforceability	Budget		
	Standard bylaws		
	Fundamental bylaws		
	Remove Individual Directors		
	Recall ICANN Board		
<b>Other Powers</b> - level of enforceability	IRP outcomes - on Community Powers		
	IRP outcomes - on other matters		
	Separations Review - require Board to agree with outcome of Review [check - what precisely was the CWG requirement?]		
<b>External Criteria</b>			
NTIA Criteria	Are the criteria met? (Break these out?)		
CWG Criteria	Are the criteria met? (Break these out?)		
<b>Other Matters</b>			
Stress Tests	Has the proposal been stress-tested and are they met?		