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CCWG LOS ANGELES 15
ACCOUNTABILITY

Open Mind
To All Community Input

Where Do We Have Support?
Where Do We Need to Bridge Gaps?

Review/Incorporate the Best Ideas

Focus on Requirements 1st,
Then Implementation

Order of Discussion = Our Proposal
Allocation of Time & Energy

100 Coins

A B C D E F G H I
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>NTIA</th>
<th>CWG-Stewardship</th>
<th>CCWG-Accountability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support and enhance the multistakeholder model</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ICANN Budget</strong> - Community rights regarding the development and consideration</td>
<td><strong>Power to reconsider or reject the Operating Plan and Budget</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintain the security, stability and resiliency of the Internet DNS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ICANN Board</strong> - Community rights regarding the ability to appoint / remove members, and to recall the entire Board</td>
<td><strong>Power to reconsider or reject changes to ICANN “Standard” Bylaws</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meet the needs and expectations of the global customers and partners of the IANA services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ICANN Bylaws</strong> - Incorporation of the following into ICANN’s Bylaws: IANA Function Review, Customer Standing Committee, and the Separation Process</td>
<td><strong>Power to approve changes to “Fundamental” Bylaws</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintain the openness of the Internet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Fundamental Bylaws</strong> - All of the foregoing mechanisms are to be provided for in the ICANN Bylaws as Fundamental Bylaws</td>
<td><strong>Power to appoint and remove individual ICANN Board Directors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NTIA also specified that it will not accept a proposal that replaces the NTIA role with a government-led or intergovernmental organization solution</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Independent Review Panel</strong> - Should be made applicable to IANA Functions and accessible by TLD managers</td>
<td><strong>Power to recall entire ICANN Board</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Areas of Consensus

Consensus
- Existence of Fundamental Bylaws
- Core values: balancing requirement
- Principles of binding IRP, and standing panel and exclusions for ccTLDs
- Principle of 5 community powers
- AoC reviews and their 5 year frequency
- Jurisdiction in WS2 and not focused on Icann HQs
- Standard Bylaw change... in principle
- Existence of a community forum
- Request for reconsideration enhancements (add staff to Ombudsman)

Show stoppers
- The model (CMSM vs MEM)
- Voting
- Budget veto
- Stress test 18 bylaw change

Other issues
- Human rights
- Contract enforcement capabilities in the M/C/V
- Scope / standard of review / timeline / cost for IRP
- Support of AoC reviews by consensus? (seems to me it would fundamentally change the nature of the Reviews)
- Process for Fundamental Bylaw change
- Board removal / recall "for cause" only or based on "strategic differences"?
- WS2 or ATRT3?