

GNSO Review Working Group Supplementary 360 Assessment

1. Westlake will maintain your confidentiality. We will not identify you in material we quote or share with the GNSO and staff unless you grant us specific permission by ticking the box below.

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
I consent to being identified by name as the author of my feedback	100.0%	40
answered question		40
skipped question		10

2. Your name and contact address:

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Name:	100.0%	30
Email Address:	100.0%	30
answered question		30
skipped question		20

3. Please give your affiliation (i.e. a company or non-profit group that you are member of that is relevant to your interest in the Working Group), if any:

Answer Options	Response Count
	30
answered question	30
skipped question	20

4. Which GNSO Working Group are you commenting on in this survey? NOTE: If you wish to provide feedback on more than one Working Group, please complete this brief survey once for each Working Group you are providing feedback on.

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Completed (2012-2014) Non-PDP Joint DNS Security and Stability	6.7%	2
Completed (2011-2013) Non-PDP Fake Renewal Notices Drafting	0.0%	0
Completed (2011-2013) Non-PDP Study Group on Use of Names	0.0%	0
Completed (2011-2013) Non-PDP WHOIS Survey Requirements	0.0%	0
Completed (2008-2013) PDP Post Expiration Domain Name	0.0%	0
Completed (2012-2012) Non-PDP: Uniformity of Contracts to	0.0%	0
Completed (2011-2012) Non-PDP: Protection of Red Cross/IOC	6.7%	2
Completed (2011-2012) Non PDP: Consumer Trust	3.3%	1
Completed (2008-2012) Non-PDP: GNSO Improvements	3.3%	1
Completed (2009-2012) Non-PDP: SSAC GNSO IRD	0.0%	0
Completed (2010-2011) Non-PDP: Joint SO/AC on New gTLD	3.3%	1
Completed (2010-2011) Non-PDP: Recommendation 6	0.0%	0
Completed (2009-2011) Non-PDP: Registration Abuse Policies	3.3%	1
Completed (2008-2011) PDP: Fast Flux	3.3%	1
Completed (2009-2010) Non-PDP: Affirmation of Commitments	0.0%	0
Completed (2009-2010) Non-PDP: Special Trademark Issues	0.0%	0
Completed (2009-2010) PDP: Vertical Integration	6.7%	2
Completed (2008-2009) PDP: Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy	6.7%	2
Completed (2009-2009) Non-PDP: Trademark Protections –	3.3%	1
Active PDP: IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection	0.0%	0
Active PDP: Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy-B	3.3%	1
Active PDP: Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy-C	3.3%	1
Active PDP: Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy-D	3.3%	1
Active PDP: gTLD Registration Data Services	0.0%	0
Active PDP: Locking of a Domain Name	3.3%	1
Active PDP: Protection of IGO and INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs	6.7%	2
Active PDP: Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation Issues	10.0%	3
Active PDP: 'Thick' Whois	0.0%	0
Active PDP: Translation and Transliteration of Contact Information	6.7%	2
Other (please specify)	16.7%	5
	answered question	30
	skipped question	20

5. Working Group participation:

Answer Options	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	No opinion	Not applicable	Response Count
This Working Group welcomes and includes all interested	10	11	1	3	0	1	26
This Working Group has an appropriate balance of views and	7	11	4	3	0	1	26
This Working Group has informed and constructive discussions	9	11	1	3	1	1	26
This Working Group achieves consensus	8	11	2	3	1	1	26
The volunteer workload for this Working Group is reasonable and	6	10	4	3	2	1	26
<i>answered question</i>							26
<i>skipped question</i>							24

6. Working Group support:

Answer Options	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	No opinion	Not applicable	Response Count
This Working Group has sufficient secretariat staff support	11	9	2	1	2	1	26
This Working Group has sufficient technical staff support	9	6	2	2	6	1	26
<i>answered question</i>							26
<i>skipped question</i>							24

7. Working Group meetings:

Answer Options	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	No opinion	Not applicable	Response Count
This Working Group is well chaired	13	7	2	1	1	2	26
This Working Group is effective in planning and prioritising its	7	13	3	1	1	1	26
This Working Group's meetings and conference calls are of	8	11	4	0	2	1	26
This Working Group uses technology effectively (e.g. email lists and	7	12	3	0	3	1	26
This Working Group's documentation, transcripts, and recordings	9	11	3	0	2	1	26
This Working Group's Action Items and follow up work are well-	6	11	4	2	2	1	26
<i>answered question</i>							26
<i>skipped question</i>							24

8. Policy Development Process (PDP): (If the Working Group has no PDP or has not completed its PDP, please mark "Not Applicable" as your response to the relevant statements)

Answer Options	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	No opinion	Not applicable	Response Count
This Working Group's Charter provides adequate guidance for the	5	10	4	1	2	4	26
This Working Group's reports are thorough in addressing the	9	7	2	0	3	5	26
This Working Group incorporates community feedback well	9	5	3	2	1	6	26
This Working Group's outputs are delivered in a timely manner	2	11	4	1	3	5	26
This Working Group's final report addresses and reflects	8	4	1	1	3	9	26
<i>answered question</i>							26
<i>skipped question</i>							24