Participation Rate

- Participation Rate in Pop-Quiz
- Participation Rate in Evaluation

Average:
- Participation Rate in Pop-Quiz: 57.65%
- Participation Rate in Evaluation: 43.72%

Events:
- 9/27/2015 - Input of At-Large into the Transition/Accountability Process
- 10/6/2015 - Working Groups for At-Large (ALAC WGs and CCWG)
- 11/3/2015 - Engaging ALSes and volunteers within the Working Groups
- 11/17/2015 - GNSO Policy Development Process
- 12/8/2015 - At-Large Website and Glossary
Q1. How is the timing of the webinar?

- **13:00 UTC**
  - Too early: 60%
  - Just right: 50%
  - Too late: 10%

- **20:00 UTC**
  - Too early: 10%
  - Just right: 83.30%
  - Too late: 88.90%

- **13:00 UTC**
  - Too early: 10%
  - Just right: 83.30%
  - Too late: 10%
Q2: How is the technology used for the webinar?

82% Average – Very Good or Good

- Very good
- Good
- Sufficient
- Bad
- Very bad

9/27/2015 - Input of At-Large into the Transition/Accountability Process
50%

10/6/2015 - Working Groups for At-Large (ALAC WGs and CCWGs)
13.30%

11/3/2015 - Engaging ALSes and volunteers within the Working Groups
6.70%

11/17/2015 - GNSO Policy Development Process
10%

12/8/2015 - At-Large Website and Glossar
10%
Q3: Did the speakers demonstrate mastery of the topic?

- 92% Average – Extremely Strong or Strong

- Alan Greenberg
- Olivier Crepin-Leblond

- Heidi Ullrich
- Marika Konings

- Eduardo Diaz
- Cheryl Langdon-Orr

- Amr Elsadr
- Mary Wong

- Laura Bengford
- Ariel Liang

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/27/2015</td>
<td>Input of At-Large into the Transition/Accountability Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/6/2015</td>
<td>Working Groups for At-Large (ALAC WGs and CCWG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/3/2015</td>
<td>Engaging ALSes and volunteers within the Working Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/17/2015</td>
<td>GNSO Policy Development Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/8/2015</td>
<td>At-Large Website and Glossar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4: Are you satisfied with the webinar?

95% Average – Extremely Satisfied or Satisfied

- Extrememly satisfied
- Satisfied
- Moderately satisfied
- Slightly satisfied
- Not satisfied at all

9/27/2015 - Input of At-Large into the Transition/Accountability Process
10/6/2015 - Working Groups for At-Large (ALAC WGs and CCWGs)
11/3/2015 - Engaging ALSes and volunteers within the Working Groups
11/17/2015 - GNSO Policy Development Process
12/8/2015 - At-Large Website and Glossar
Speakers demonstrate extremely strong mastery of the topic
Technology used is very good
Timing is just right
Extremely satisfied with the webinar

9/27/2015 - Input of At-Large into the Transition/Accountability Process
10/6/2015 - Working Groups for At-Large (ALAC WGs and CCWGs)
11/3/2015 - Engaging ALSes and volunteers within the Working Groups
11/17/2015 - GNSO Policy Development Process
12/8/2015 - At-Large Website and Glossar

Speakers: 0.87
Technology: 0.72
Timing: 0.24
Pop-Quiz Accuracy Rate

10/6/2015
Working Groups for At-Large (ALAC WGs and CCWG)
23.13 % Correct Answer
8.60 % Incorrect Answer

11/17/2015
GNSO Policy Development Process
8.60 % Correct Answer
37.17 % Incorrect Answer

12/8/2015
At-Large Website and Glossary
37.17 % Correct Answer
23.13 % Incorrect Answer

Correct Answer
Incorrect Answer
Analysis

1. **Participation Rate**
   Dramatic improvement in the amount of feedback provided by webinar attendees.

2. **Pop-Quiz vs. Evaluation**
   Audience participated more in pop-quiz than in evaluation survey; factors may include webinar length, repetition of questions, timing, etc.

3. **Timing**
   Both 13:00 UTC and 20:00 UTC starting times work for the great majority of audience, although 13:00 UTC seems to perform better.

4. **Success**
   Choices of speakers and technology used in the webinars have rendered success in general.

5. **Correlations**
   Satisfaction of the webinar is most positively correlated to speakers’ mastery of topics; technology comes second; timing is not as important.

6. **Pop-Quiz Accuracy Rate**
   Pop-quiz accuracy rates may reflect audience’s level of engagement in the webinar, but no clear correlation can be drawn.
Thank You