All,

I captured a few deliverables from the sub group meeting. If there is any disagreement with my recollection, please let me know.

## Identify differences between the UDRP and the URS:

 Send chart highlighting differences between UDRP and URS, sub group to refine as may be necessary (Jim)

Collaborate with UDRP & URS dispute resolution service providers as well as experienced panelists for input:

None

## Obtain representative sample of IGOs and INGOs who have filed UDRP and URS claims:

- Compare IGO/INGO list to NAF database (Kristine)
- Reach out to Brian Beckham to perform similar comparison with WIPO database (Kristine/Jim)

## Additional To Dos:

- Provide GAC list of IGOs and ECOSOC list of INGOs as identified by previous IGO/INGO WG (Steve)
- GAC List of Approved IGOs (2013) -

https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/4834791 8/GAC%20List%20of%20Approved%20IGOs%20March%20201 3.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1410824319000&api=v2

ECOSOC Full Consultative List (2013) -

https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/483479 18/ECOSOC%20Consultative%20List%20Sept%202013.pdf?vers ion=1&modificationDate=1410824340000&api=v2

For the INGO list, unless the ECOSOC list can easily be turned into or retrieved as CSV/XLS, the group may have to consider a more representative search. A way to approach may be to target a percentage, or perhaps all of the organizations with general consultative status (~140 entities) and a lower percentage of the special consultative status (3000+ entities)?

Best,

Steve