

Summary of comments made during the EP-WG status update:

<http://singapore52.icann.org/en/schedule/mon-whois>

All Things WHOIS

Monday, 9 February 14:00-15:15

Following an overview presentation from Susan Kawaguchi, the following comments were made by other EP-WG members about the EP-WG status update:

- 1) The EWG report is one of many inputs that will be fed into a transparent PDP WG process.
- 2) Ultimately, the GNSO will have to take the PDP WG charter proposed by the Final Issue Report and determine how to deal with interdependencies and parallelism to make this PDP work.
- 3) This PDP WG is a huge undertaking that could last 4-5 years, so we need to be mindful of the costs and benefits at the outset and not just move forward because of past investment.
- 4) The ICANN board recognizes that a new system will take time; there is no appetite to create a new system just because time and effort has already been invested.

When the floor was opened for Q&A, community comments made on the EP-WG status update:

- 5) Phase 1 should look not just at “why” but also “why not.”
- 6) What are the cost implications of replacing Whois with a new system and who will pay for it?
- 7) Opportunities for parallel policy development in Phases 2-3 must take into consideration capacity to ensure there is adequate resourcing from all stakeholder groups.