

Board/GNSO EWG Process Working Group
29 January 2015
20:00 – 21:30 UTC

Chat Transcript – Call 05

Charla Shambley:Welcome to Call 05!

Charla Shambley:Please remember to press *6 to mute or unmute your line.

Ram Mohan:Dialing in a few minutes

Avri Doria:can we have full audio?

Charla Shambley:thanks Avri - let me know if that works now

Avri Doria:thanks

Bruce Tonkin:Hello James - I only have 30 mins also.

Bruce Tonkin:You can probably see my number coming up as +61

Bruce Tonkin:I assume I am the 2530 number

Bruce Tonkin:The fundamental risk is actually the capacity of the volunteer community to meaningfully participate at this stage. I think we need to schedule a focussed effort with some face-to-face meetings as we are doing for the CWG and CCWG working groups.

Bruce Tonkin:This most likely means that it will be a 2016 calendar year effort - although it could start to get kicked off at the Dublin ICANN meeting

Bruce Tonkin:From a co-existence point of view - it will very much depend on the economic model. e.g. is there any incentive for registries and registrars to implement and promote, and is their value provided to the users that they are prepared to share in some way in the costs.

Bruce Tonkin:Otherwise it will be a bit like DNSSEC - there is still minimal demand and hence registrars put minimal effort to promote its existence. Chick and egg stuff.

James Bladel-GNSO:@Bruce: Or registrars go to great lengths to deploy DNSSEC capabilities, but there is no demand (even if we give it away).

James Bladel-GNSO:Fortunately, we won't need to generate demand for RDS. The question is whether it's warranted given the universal burdens/pains it creates.

Ram Mohan:Bruce + 1 re. focused meetings

Denise:can't hear you Chris

Steve Crocker:Thanks, Avri!!

Steve Crocker:Thanks, everyone. I'm obliged to be on another call. I will look for the minutes of this call.

Marika Konings:The GNSO Council can also completely ignore the staff recommendation ;-). For example, in the case of fast flux staff recommended to do more research first, but the Council decided to go ahead anyway with the PDP ;-)

James Bladel-GNSO:)

James Bladel-GNSO:I remember it all too well.

Marika Konings:and of course, input on what information should be gathered first can also be provided as well as part of the public comment forum on the Preliminary Issue Report or as part of the GNSO Council deliberations

Avri Doria:this is the session call all things whois?

Marika Konings:<http://singapore52.icann.org/en/schedule/mon-whois>

Charla Shambley:Thank you for attending today's call!