

Board/GNSO EWG Process Working Group  
10 December 2014  
21:00 – 22:30 UTC

Chat Transcript – Call 03

Charla Shambley:Welcome to today's Board/GNSO EWG Process WG Call 03. This call will be recorded and posted on the wiki. All non-members will be muted.

Steve Crocker:I will dial in shortly

Bladel:x9268 is me, btw.

Charla Shambley:Thank you James

Susan Kawaguchi:do we need to do a roll call?

Steve Crocker:What's the conference id for this call?

Bladel:Ug. This happened on a call yesterday.

Steve Crocker:I'm now dialed in and muted but I'm hearing both the skype and the adobeconnect audio. I'm going to log out of the adobeconnect and log back in

Avri Doria:sorry i was late, am i DC at ICANN office and we had to find a corner and i had to get attached etc...

Bladel:Maybe just recommend/encourage?

Chris Disspain:Bruce is now able to hear and speak I believe

Bladel:I made the same mistake, Bruce. :)

Bladel:Maybe we could label Public vs. Private in the subject of the invite? Just an idea.

Dan Reed:Good point

Denise:I think Bruce has a good idea and a "whois" conference could be incorporated in a future ICANN meeting

Bladel:I'm not muted.

Dan Reed:I agree with Bruce and James. F2F drives progress much more quickly and efficiently

Don Blumenthal:Agree on F2F from prior experience

Charla Shambley:If your phone number is listed in the "participant" list, could you please identify yourself?

Charla Shambley:202xxx0874 - please identify yourself for the transcript

Charla Shambley:319xxx2132 - please identify yourself for the transcript

Bladel:Well, that's probably Dan. Only other ICANNer in this area code.

Dan Reed:Yes, 2132 is me

Avri Doria:i can't find my copy of this doc. can someone send it to me?

Bladel:Of course. How many lowans could there be? :)

Charla Shambley:39xxx2858 - please identify yourself for the transcript

Charla Shambley:702xxx1493 - please identify yourself for the transcript

Don Blumenthal:Implementation Advisory Group to Review Existing ICANN Procedure for Handling WHOIS Conflicts with Privacy Laws,

<https://community.icann.org/display/WNLICI/WHOIS+and+national+law+conflicts+IAG+Home>

Bladel:I totally agree, Ram. But if we don't take it on we should at least acknowledge it doesn't scale.

Ram:Agree James

Don Blumenthal:There are good compilatins of state privacy/security laws that we can work from. We are unique as far as I know in having privacy laws below the national level.

Don Blumenthal:In addition, states have breach laws that the feds don't have. Some of the other things that we are talking about such as data retention generally aren't part of state laws.

Dan Reed:Excellent point. Most common is not equal to coverage/diversity

Bladel:@Don: correct. We are discovering this also.

Ram:I need to step off this call. Thanks

Bladel:Just to clarify: I'm focused on costs.

Don Blumenthal:Could we use a lighter blue with black type?

Bladel:Folks, I'll need to drop in a few min.

Lisa Phifer:yes will adjust colors

Don Blumenthal:Thanks, especially for looking at late in the day. :)

Chris Disspain:I'm dropping off now...thanks all...a very productive session...

Susan Kawaguchi:thanks Chris!

Denise:Thanks everyone

Dan Reed:Thanks!

Charla Shambley:You can find call notes, handouts and recordings of today's call on the wiki:

<https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=49359624>

Charla Shambley:Thank you for participating on today's call.