

Board/GNSO EWG Process Working Group
17 November 2014
20:00 – 21:30 UTC

Chat Transcript

Charla Shambley:Welcome to today's Board/GNSO EWG Process WG Call 02. This call will be recorded and posted on the wiki. All non-members will be muted.

Amr Elsadr - Observer:Hi all. Quick question. Are observers allowed to comment in the chat? Just in case I feel the urge to? :)

Avri Doria:i do not think i could be heard. but i can hear.

Marika Konings:@Avri - we could hear you, but very faintly

Chris:YAY!

Avri Doria:oh ok.

Susan kawaguchi:thanks Chris!

Denise:@Amr Elsadr -- no, the "observer" lines are muted. you're welcome to email any comments you may have

Denise:or drop them into this window

Amr Elsadr - Observer:@Denise: Thanks. So I shouldn't make comments here in the chat either.

Denise:you are welcome to use this chat window here as well

Amr Elsadr - Observer:Ah. Thanks. :)

Denise:All: document under discussion is available here: <<http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/ewg-process-wg/attachments/20141110/8ecd55b7/RDS-PDP-Process-Draft-10Nov2014-0001.pdf>>

Denise:Group members also have it in your inbox

Chris:this is really excellent work...thanks!

Charla Shambley:@319xxx9268 - could you please identify yourself?

Bladel:That is me.

Charla Shambley:@41xxx2530 - could you please identify yourself

Charla Shambley:Thank you James

Charla Shambley:@unavailable - could you please identify yourself?

Avri Doria:i will type.

Marika Konings:we can hear you now

Steve Crocker 2:I am now connected. Apologies for my delay. My network connection was being repaired

Marika Konings:The PDP graphic may also help in understand some of the milestones / required steps of the PDP: <http://gnso.icann.org/en/basics/consensus-policy/pdp>.

Robin Gross:Q: How will this group deal with the dissenting opinion to the EWG report?

Marika Konings:@Robin - all relevant information would be provided to the PDP Working Group for their consideration.

Avri Doria:can the slides be aynched so we can change pages ourselves.?

Avri Doria:thanks

Charla Shambley:@Avri - you're welcome

Marika Konings:@Robin - and to add, like with any PDP, the PDP WG has the obligation to obtain input from all GNSO SG/C as well as ICANN SO/ACs to obtain input at an early stage of the process to help inform the deliberations.

Amr Elsadr - Observer:2 Questions: 1. The first box in the process bar at the top says "Issues Report" (singular). Will there be a preliminary issues report followed by public comment and a final report following that?

Amr Elsadr - Observer:2. There is no charter drafting specified in the process. Are there plans to provide a suggested draft for a PDP charter with the issues report?

Avri Doria:yes, i beleive this will start with an prelim issues report as it is very different from the previous prelim. We pretty much dealt with that in our first meetings.

Amr Elsadr - Observer:@Avri: Thnx. Just checking. (singular report vs. plural reports) :)

Avri Doria:the decsion of one or several is pending, i think.

Marika Konings:@Amr - if that is indeed the agreement of the group to republish the Preliminary Issue Report, staff would include a proposed charter for consideration per the new approach under the GNSO PDP Improvements (although it is up to the GNSO Council to decide to adopt, modify or start afresh on the charter when it gets to that stage)

Amr Elsadr - Observer:Thanks Marika. Sounds good.

Marika Konings:But I think we are still talking about one Issue Report, but possible multiple WGs or sub-groups that would deal with the different issues

Amr Elsadr - Observer:By singular vs. plural reports, I only meant preliminary + final vs. only a final report.

Amr Elsadr - Observer:Thanks again.

Marika Konings:@Amr - ah, ok got you.

Avri Doria:yes, i think prelim is always different from final.

Ram:Just joined the call. Apologies for being late.

Bruce Tonkin:I am also dialled back in. My line kept getting muted :-)

Bladel:We might also have some points where this plan (and the phases) are subject toPublic Comments.

Dan Reed 2:To follow up on Steve's question, do we believe we will be able to find a consistent global answer from law enforcement?

Avri Doria:We need to ge the data.

Marika Konings:@Bladel - at a minimum the public comment periods under the PDP model would apply, but nothing prevents the WG and/or GNSO Council to create additional opportunities to request input.

Robin Gross:Agree with James. The plan's phases will need to be subject to public comment.

Stephanie Perrin observer:@ Steve, don't forget the data commissioners of all those countries. They enforce data protection law.

Bruce Tonkin:Agreed Stephanie. I suspect that law enforcement is a little better funded than the privacy office in most countries, and they can afford to send lobbyists to the GAC meetings at ICANN - or at least have enough staff to interact with their GAC rep before they leave the country.

Bruce Tonkin:The mention of the USA, UK, Australia etc - also indicates that these countries are also prepared to pay for travel for their representatives to international meetings.

Chris:agree James

Avri Doria:i appreciate the point that Steve 'belabored' we need the information. i am concerend to know that percentage of false postives on taked downs . we need to know this.

Chris:agree Avri...it is relevant to any subsequent changes to current whois

Bruce Tonkin:James makes a good point about accuracy. If you take drivers licence details or car registration details - I suspect a significant percentage of that data is out of date as people move locations. Probably particularly amongst young people or disadvantaged people that don't have a stable address.

Bruce Tonkin:Even company address information would have a significant amount out-of-date - as updating addresses at various points when you move can take years.

Avri Doria:well if this is subdivided into seveal pdps, each gets it own comment periods etc.

Chris:one of the porblems with the 2013 changes is that they target inaccuracy which is a fine target but actually what helps law enforcement more is intentional inaccuract

Chris:inaccuracy

Bladel:REally we are talking about bulding some Quality Control in to WHOIS. It is X% accurate, we could improve to Y%, but it would cost Z\$ and result in an estimated n innocent suspensions.

Amr Elsad - Observer:@Chris: +1

Bruce Tonkin:Yes - Avri - I recommend that at least the phases shoudl be treated as a PDP with draft reports, final reports etc with public comment periods - with the Council being able to vote on the final report - and potentially send to the Baord for policy. I tend to think that it would be good to have an ICANN policy that at least describes the design principles for a future rpelacement to WHOIS. If the Board votes - then it will have at least gone through the step when ALAC and GAC can have a final bite of the cherry.

Chris:Avri and Bruce + 1

Chris:except you'll never get the GAC to agree that the bite of the cherry is final :-)

Avri Doria:Chirs, the GAc is not alone in that tendancy.

Chris:true, Avri, true

Bruce Tonkin:The more thngs that are done online - I suspect contribute to the lack of accurate contact information. e.g most banking and bank statement do not involve paper being sent to a home address anymore. Even received a cheque for payment - is not repalced by electronic banking. Delivery of online purhcaes can also be sent to various parcel pick-up locations - as people are often not home to pick up goods.

Stephanie Perrin observer:The more people discover they are subject to data mining, the more they will obfuscate their address and PI. We do have data to support that from direct marketing in the 90s, we are reaching that maturity inflection point with respect to online practices. Even the latest PEW survey is informative in this respect.

Bruce Tonkin:Steve even with up-front validation of registrants - that doesn't mean that the information remains accurate for long.

Bladel:What is an acceptable level of abuse? (knowing that we cannot afford "zero") :)

Avri Doria:and what is the real level of abuse? is it like fradulant voting, happens relatively rarely?

Avri Doria:i think law enforcement has to be able to give that data.

Chris:I need to come off the call now...thanks everyone...

Charla Shambley:You can find call notes, handouts and recordings of today's call on the wiki:

<https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=49359624>

Charla Shambley:You can contact the working group at this email: ewg-process-wg@icann.org. Please note that all emails are archived and can be located here: <http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/ewg-process-wg/>

Denise:Thanks everyone

Avri Doria:bye

Charla Shambley:Thank you for participating in today's call.