

Board/GNSO EWG Process Working Group
28 October 2014
19:00 – 20:30 UTC

Chat Transcript

Charla Shambley:Welcome to the Board/GNSO EWG Process Working Group Call 01!

Avri Doria:no ac voice?

Charla Shambley:Avri, did you click on "join" or "listen only"?

Charla Shambley:I can have the AC room dial out to you if you send me your number

Avri Doria:it did not offer me the option for anything other than dialing in

Avri Doria:still doesn't.

Charla Shambley:Can you send me your phone numbere and I will have the AC room dial-out to you

Avri Doria:that would cost me a fortune. i will try to dial in via skype. any reason we aren't using AC facilities?

Steve Crocker:I could hear but not talk via the Adobe. I will dial in

Denise Michel:OK. We'll wait for you to start the call

Charla Shambley:@Avri - the US toll free number is 1-877-860-3058

Avri Doria:yes i have dialed in via skype. i think, though it is better to use a single communications channel, if possible.

Avri Doria:since SC can support a ful service, and since that is the nrom for gnso calls, i thought that is what we would do.

Avri Doria:just being cranky at 4am

Chris:0600 for me Avri

Avri Doria:glad i dont live in Korea

Bladel:Avri - at least this country has 35 different kinds of coffee. In vending machines.

Chris:it isn't ALWAYS 4.00 am in Kores

Avri Doria:no, yesterdays call was 1-3 am

Ram:I joined the call. Sorry to be late.

Lisa Phifer:Within each second pass grouping, topics could be worked in parallel. All depend on purposes/users, but gated access and accuracy/validators could be parallel after that (for example)

Avri Doria:Am I correct in beleiving that none of the policy issues, high nor scond pass have yet been dealt with.

Avri Doria:are wee being told it is a all or nothing, take it or leave it?

Chris:no avri...

Chris:I think the point is that the model as recommended is a complete one

Avri Doria:ok, thanks. i must have misunderstood.

Chris:it's nuance

Lisa Phifer:+1 marika

Chris:it's recommended as a single piece

Chris:but no telling

Marika Konings:Thanks for the clarification

Bladel: +1 Susan. And here's what it would take/cost.....

Ram:+1 Susan

Lisa Phifer:Amplifying Susan's point -the EWG identified risks for RDS but not WHOIS

Lisa Phifer:Similarly, the EWG identified partial costs of RDS implementation and operation, but did not have basis for comparison to WHOIS costs

Charla Shambley>Please press *6 in order to mute/unmute your line

Avri Doria:that is not what i said

Avri Doria:or meant

Chris:sorry avri

Chris:can you send a note?

Ram:yeah, i think Chris you stated something tangential/opposite to what Avri said :)

Chris:I'm good at that Ram

Ram:heh

Avri Doria:is there no way to tun on AC audio? i a, losing even the audio at this point.

Charla Shambley:@Avri - sending you a private messgae

Bladel:And are the benefits proportional to the costs.

Ram:Steve + 1

Ray Plzak:Steve +1

Denise Michel:including experts in the PDP working group/s would be valuable

Bladel:To Susan's point: I'm happy to hear that her uses of WHOIS have improved. But the stated purpose of these changes were to aid Law Enforcmenet and catch pedophiles, drug dealers, terrorists, etc.

Margie Milam:James-- that wasnt the only reason-- we had GNSO reocmmendations that represented a much broader community

Bladel:Margie: When service providers noted that this would burden our customers, the LEA case was highlighted as paramount.

Susan Kawaguchi:@ James I have no idea who is behind some of the sites that I would categorize as harmful to users but maybe that those types are behind them

Bladel:I do think we need to separate RDS System from RDS data.

Bladel:or rather think abotu them as distinctly different problems.

Avri Doria:i think we are skipping a step here.

Lisa Phifer:@Bladel - EWG tried to decouple data from purposes/users but found it was iterative. We had to continually revisit data to see if it satisfied purposes. Chicken and egg.

Avri Doria:And we need to acknowledge that privacy protection and data protection authorities are also an equivalent for of law enforcement enforcement.

Susan Kawaguchi:@ I agree Avri, I think there are key areas that we do need an assessment

Ray Plzak:Bruce +1

Susan Kawaguchi:Denise +1

Charla Shambley:Thank you everyone for joining today's call.

Bladel:Thanks. See you at BEXCO, Avri. :)

Avri Doria:yea, later. back to sleep for a bit now.