

Maryam Bakoshi: Hello, welcome to NCPH
Intersessional Meeting on Tuesday, 14 February 2017.

Maryam Bakoshi: My name is Maryam Bakoshi and I will
be monitoring this chat room. In this role, I am the voice
for the remote participants, ensuring that they are
heard equally with those who are “in-room”
participants.

Goran Marby: Hi all

Maryam Bakoshi: Welcome, Göran

Maryam Bakoshi: The tech team is geeting the room all
set up

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you all for your patience.

Goran Marby: I can hear you...

Farzaneh Badii: eh I can't hear Goran

Farzaneh Badii: might be at my end

Tapani Tarvainen: nobody can hear him

Farzaneh Badii: yes

Arshad Mohammed: Yes

Kathy Kleiman: We can hear you

Farzaneh Badii: hmm I wonder why you would have a camera in the room in Iceland. I only see a square table with some vague human figure

Remmy Nweke [@ITRealms]: Hello

Poncelet Ileleji: Remmy where you

Remmy Nweke [@ITRealms]: i dey Lagos, Norway people no return my passport. They say the process did not revert to them on time.

Stephanie Perrin: It would be very nice to receive the goals, thanks.

Benedetta Rossi: @Stephanie we have noted your hand up for the queue

Stephanie Perrin: thanks!

avri doria: Gotn, Excuse me, but in the pastt the Staff just said they could not do it and that was enough for the Board to reconsiddr the decsion.

avri doria: that is the ICANN's trump card. anything you can implement, and only you judge implementation, you don't have to do.

Farzaneh Badii: Stephanie wants to talk ... its been ages

avri doria: I am reminded, that there is also a whole Implementation team methodology developed by the GNSO just for such problems in implementing policy.

Tapani Tarvainen: Steph is on the queue - next in fact

Farzaneh Badii: It seems like these processes don't address Goran's concern

Farzaneh Badii: we need to talk in more detail about this in Copenhagen

avri doria: i hope you have include the Imple,entation review team mechanisms in your meter long charts

Benedetta Rossi: we can hear you Stephanie

Goran Marby: avri: we will publish everything we do for comments and discssussion

Tapani Tarvainen: lots of latency but otherwise sound is ok

avri doria: Goran that is not the point. the point is you are supposed to be working with the policy people while implementing, not just tossing things over the wall for comments.

avri doria: but historically, the staff has gone to the Board and gotten emergency policy, like PCs, created in order to help them with implementation.

avri doria: .. like PICs, ...

Farzaneh Badii: I am not well versed in implementation and review process but we certainly need a bridge between ICANN org at implementation level and community. Board should not be the only decision maker

avri doria: we are supposed to have that, but it is hard to tell whether we have it and whether it works. maybe something else we need metric on. how many issues have been brought by staff to the various implementation review teams and how were they resolved.

avri doria: how many issues have been raised by implementation review teams brought to staff and how have they been resolved.

Farzaneh Badii: I think that's a good approach

Farzaneh Badii: there is no gratitude for hard questions?
poor hard questions

Bill Drake: Goran has a regime?

Farzaneh Badii: like a specific diet?

Stephanie Perrin: We had a whole working group that was supposed to solve the Policy/IMp triggering process.....I thought it was a great first step

Marika Konings: It may be worth noting that the policy & implementation recommendations predate some of the implementation efforts that recently caused some questions (for example IRTP Part C - although the principles of Policy & Implementation were applied from a GNSO perspective)

Marika Konings: I believe that for all recent IRTs the policy & implementation recommendations are in force and applied (but have not necessarily been tested yet in the case of issues)

Marika Konings: and that should be the other way around, the implementation efforts predate the policy & implementation recommendations :-)

Stephanie Perrin: Possibly what is missing is a sign off at the end? In a legislative drafting exercise, for instance, legal drafters are pretty quick to tell you if you have strayed from the Cabinet approved drafting instructions.

Farzaneh Badii: Bye everyone

Stephanie Perrin: WE need the equivalent signoff in the MS environment in my view....

Marika Konings: @Stephanie - I believe that is currently done in the form of public comment at the end of the implementation effort.

Stephanie Perrin: Not quite the same as an expert IMHO....just judging from what I can see on the PPSAI IRT>...this stuff is really hard to unravel.....

Stephanie Perrin: But I take your point.

Maryam Bakoshi: The NCSG breakout session starts at 1720 local time