

Maryam Bakoshi:Dear All, welcome the the montly NCSG Open Policy Meeting on Tuesday 1st Sept 2015
Timur Baltabaev:Thank you!
Joan Kerr:Hello everyone
Rafik:hello
Maryam Bakoshi:Joy has joined
Joy Liddicoat:Maryam!! hello :-)
Maryam Bakoshi>Welcome, Marilia
Maryam Bakoshi:@Joy: :)
Marilia Maciel:Thank you Maryam!
Maryam Bakoshi::)
Joan Kerr:any sound?
Joan Kerr:Ok
Maryam Bakoshi:Klaus, Bill and farzaneh have joined
Joy Liddicoat:are you talking Joan?
Joan Kerr:I am going to now try
Joan Kerr:I heard Rafik, but no one is hearing me
Joy Liddicoat:hmmm - let me try dialling in
Timur Baltabaev:I also heard Rafik
Bill Drake:can't hear a damn thing
Joy Liddicoat:i hear now - the dulcet tones of our leader Rafik :-)
Robin Gross:I hear Rafik.
Joao Carlos Caribe:Hi all!
Robin Gross:audio gone
James Gannon:Hey all
Robin Gross:I now hear stephanie
Joao Carlos Caribe:loud and clear
Stephanie Perrin:Hi everybody!
Bill Drake:ok thanks
Bill Drake:si
Robin Gross:can't hear anyone now
Bill Drake:so nobody heard me speak
Robin Gross:Not me, Bill.
Maryam Bakoshi:yes
Joan Kerr:no one can hear me either
Maryam Bakoshi:i can hear you Joan
Robin Gross:I can't
Joan Kerr:ok
Bill Drake:why do we keep having issues lately
Robin Gross:no kidding - what is up with that?
Bill Drake:I shall listen mutely
Maryam Bakoshi:Please let me know if you require dial out
Marilia Maciel:Just a question on the election of chair
Bill Drake:woohoo the new ballot works
Marilia Maciel:ok, Thanks

Bill Drake:actually it would be sensible to discuss the Council chair thing

Marilia Maciel:Item 4?

Joy Liddicoat:I support an extension of time

Joy Liddicoat:yes - item 4.

Avri Doria:for a while there was a moratorium on comment periods ending just before a meeting or during and right after.

Joy Liddicoat:that is right Avri - it would be good to remind of this.

Rafik:thanks Avri, it seems not respected lately

Avri Doria:it will be the 4th round, not the second. and it will not be indeitcal to this last round.

James Gannon:sorry yeah subsequent round

Avri Doria:in fact some of ask, why do rounds and not go into some steady state of applications. another of the questions that need to be discussed.

James Gannon:Exactly Avri thats why we need to think about our approach

Joy Liddicoat:like a constantly open round you mean ?

Maryam Bakoshi:Amr has joined

Farzaneh Badii:Thanks Maryam

Maryam Bakoshi:)

Stephanie Perrin:I dont understand the batching either....should be continuous

Joy Liddicoat:so effectively a first in time application process, rather than concurrent competitive round?

Avri Doria:isn't this the .book issue?

Avri Doria:becasue the public interst issue is festering.

Bill Drake:speaking of that....

Bill Drake:have been in discussion with Nora on the public interest work

Bill Drake:after Dublin we should be prepared to engage

Stephanie Perrin:do we have the cycles to start that? not that it is not important...

Amr Elsadr:@James: Actually..., the purpose of the letter seems to be to include a specific issue in the issues report, so the letter includes a request for the G-council to take action. Just not exactly sure why this request is being submitted to the council instead of policy staff (via the public comment period) who are responsible for drafting the issues report.

James Gannon:Yeah its a bit of a weird one.

Avri Doria:they are not appropriating , they are indeitfyng their view of public interest.

Avri Doria:i think the NCSg being against the public interest is a sad thing.

Robin Gross:I share Amr's concern. Everyone claims their goal

is "in the public interest".

Avri Doria:and it is the job of the process to figure out what it really is.

Farzaneh Badii:I share that concern too

Robin Gross:It isn't fair to say NCSG is "against the public interest" when we are concerned about how it is used. A big difference. I also don't hear anyone saying we shouldn't talk about it.

Robin Gross:Bill, I don't think anyone makes that claim.

Avri Doria:i think the comments out of the NCSG are primarily negative on the Public interest and i think it is a very fair appraisal.

Farzaneh Badii:the whole issue? I think we are arguing that we need to be issue specific

Robin Gross:A concern for how it is used is different from saying it shouldn't be discussed. This distinction needs to be understood or we will go in circles.

Amr Elsadr:@Robin: +1

Bill Drake:Robin that argument has been made to me repeatedly

Joy Liddicoat:that is well said Bill - I agree

Farzaneh Badii:well it's a valid argument Bill

Robin Gross:What is an example of when we said it shouldn't be discussed?

Bill Drake:of course the term is abused, that's precisely why we have to deal with it

Bill Drake:Milton has said this repeatedly Robin

Bill Drake:inter alia

Robin Gross:Not an interpretation of MM's point, but a statement saying it shouldn't be discussed.

Stephanie Perrin:This conversation is precisely why I am leery of embarking on it. We had better be sure that we have the time to deal with it.

Avri Doria:serving the public interest is a corner stone of ICANN's existence.

Avri Doria:it is not just GAC.

Bill Drake:absolutely Amr

Avri Doria:well there is also the question is there something special about single use names that related to public interest. i think that PI is critical, but i do not think this topic is necessarily applicable.

Maryam Bakoshi:Tapani has joined the meeting

Bill Drake:we have a NCUC workshop on this at IGF

Robin Gross:Can we please stop saying we don't want to discuss this issue. Of course we need to, so let's do it, instead of talking about talking it. We just go in circles of mis-stating others' views.

Avri Doria:glad to hear it, hope you have balanced participation on the issue.

Avri Doria:that was to Bill on his session

Walid Al-Saqaf:I also am organizing a workshop at IGF but on behalf of ISOC. So I'll try to participate if times don't clash

Rafik:we should work on position paper to summarize all different point of views within NCSG

David Cake:I think it is ok for us to disagree in public.

Bill Drake:the line up is at

http://intgovforum.org/cms/wks2015/index.php/proposal/view_public/52

Bill Drake:actually I do not agree that the concept is so unbelievably complex and unknowable, or that it has been a complete mystery in US telecome regulation

Amr Elsadr:Similarly to the public interest..., we need to define what it means to be "stupid" when disagreeing with each other in public!! ;-)

Bill Drake:we heard all the same stuff a decade ago when people said we could not define Internet governance

Farzaneh Badii:Public interest in any field has been complex and prone to abuse

Bill Drake:@Amr we should not invite government officials to our meetings and call them stupid, no

Farzaneh Badii:we can't just generally define public interest. no I dont agree

Stephanie Perrin:Well Bill I think you and Milton disagree on that...it is not my principal area. But I believe we are going to be up against a number of contrary, well documented views. An issues paper that details the various points and issues would be an excellent contributions to the discussion

Amr Elsadr:@Bill: I don't think we should call anyone stupid. Govs or others.

Joao Carlos Caribe:you define public interest as engamenent?

Bill Drake:yes Milton is radically anti-regulation and I am not, so of course we have differentviews

Joao Carlos Caribe:engagement

Stephanie Perrin:and then somewhere in that mix is CHina....

Maryam Bakoshi:Milton has joined the meeting

Stephanie Perrin:We are going to have to outline the margins on all these issues

James Gannon:He heard us =)

Patrick Lenihan:Welcome Milton - I have been following yhour posts very closely. Thanks!

Stephanie Perrin:I just misquoted you Milton, better check the transcript:-)

Amr Elsadr:Hey Milton..., you just missed another discussion on

"the public interest". :)

Robin Gross:t

Amr Elsadr:Thanks David.

Maryam Bakoshi:Matthew Shears has joined

matthew shears:ello

Amr Elsadr:Hey there Matt. :)

Bill Drake:good turnout today :-)

Amr Elsadr:It's election season. :)

James Gannon::-)

Milton:glad I missed that

Rafik:PDP = policy development process

Stephanie Perrin:IS there anything in the metrics report on accuracy for WHOIS?

Amr Elsadr:@Stephanie: I haven't seen anything, but I need to go over it again. It's not that kind of report.

Amr Elsadr:It's more about creating generic guidelines, not specific to any specific policies.

Milton:Robin, I have taken pink as my chat color

Stephanie Perrin:How about costing....I am worried that we are going to be spending time on meaningless stats...I believe in metrics, but I have deep experience in meaningless govt stats.

Milton:CCWG

James Gannon:hahaha

Amr Elsadr:Train?

Bill Drake:Milton appropriating Robin's property

Stephanie Perrin:are you in the twilight zone milton?

Timur Baltabaev::)

Rafik:https://docs.google.com/document/d/1JGBX05o0iN_FxivPFkHjz3Gc2w3AT2PeJznrXPw2fJ4/edit

Bill Drake:he works in Fritz Lang's Metropolis

Robin Gross:I have a trademark on pink, MM. You owe me a licensing fee.

Bill Drake:I have always thought you two were confusingly similar ;-)

Bill Drake:is 'radical redistribution of power' a phrase we could soften to get consensus?

Bill Drake:so no

James Gannon:Its the intercommunity balance that we dont want to change

Bill Drake:inter-tribal

Milton:listen to the real debate, you bums

Romina Florencia Cabrera:hello

Rafik:the document is viisble in AC

Romina Florencia Cabrera:intersting the discussion, I do not disturb

Stephanie Perrin:HI Romina!

Romina Florencia Cabrera:hello stephanier!!!

Rafik:<http://www.internetgovernance.org/2015/08/29/gac-as-first-among-equals-the-danger-in-the-accountability-plan/>

Amr Elsadr:"De-fang" GAC!! :D

Stephanie Perrin:I agree that they want cake and eat it too...

Robin Gross:I share Milton's concern of how this proposal empowers GAC too much.

Stephanie Perrin:It is not inappropriate to ask them to choose one or the other

Robin Gross:the community as a whole should make that choice, not GAC in isolation.

Milton:agree, Robin

Milton:pink solidarity

Romina Florencia Cabrera:the issue of fiduciary responsibility was studying the GAC, with bright contributions made. The issue is whether the process would only via administrative and judiciary, but as you establish jurisdiction? with public and private international law applicable? or made financial institutions, processes of self?

Avri Doria:i 'd call you pinkos, except ...

Milton:heh

Romina Florencia Cabrera:yesss

Robin Gross:care for a pink cocktail, MM?

Bill Drake:CCWG is of course perverted in this sense

Bill Drake:unavoidable

Robin Gross:Completely agree, James.

James Gannon:Bill agreed, but where we can I think we must fight it being perverted where we can

Joy Liddicoat:I thought Avri also made a different point in the discussion on the list- about the voting allocations reflecting bottom up representation, rather than numbers of seats on the Board and, by that calculation, coming up with a different point of view

Joy Liddicoat:but i might not be reflecting that back very well

Bill Drake:we could partially redress that by not expanding alac's...

Robin Gross:I'm not sure giving GAC 5 votes contributes to "bottom up" decisionmaking. There isn't anything in GAC that requires bottom-up decisionmaking.

Joy Liddicoat:i thought avri's point was that viewing differently would not reify GAC

Robin Gross:Don't understand that point.

Bill Drake:is Matt breaking up or is it me

James Gannon:Yeah breaking up

Robin Gross:right - can't hear Matt now

Romina Florencia Cabrera:yes..

matthew shears:sorry guys

Amr Elsadr:Yes. Matt's audio seemed to randomly drop then come back.

Rafik:@matt it is not your fault is BT infra is bad :)

matthew shears:I was trying to make a point that it would be good if we could come up with a position that will find support among other stakeholders - the issue is that I am not sure what it is

Robin Gross:ICANN can't really claim to be bottom-up, if it doesn't require its constituent parts to be bottom-up.

Bill Drake:they might not have meetings between meetings but some do in fact work

matthew shears:the issue is how realistic is it to think that we can remove their special advisory role?

James Gannon:Not very Matt I don't think

matthew shears:right - and how realistic is it that we will carry the day in the CCWG that the GAC and ALAC should not have votes?

Robin Gross:very hard in the immediate term - all the more reason not to give them more power now

Robin Gross:with the 5 votes

Romina Florencia Cabrera:thanks!!!

matthew shears:+ 1 james

Stephanie Perrin:I don't see why you cannot make the argument that they cannot have both a special advisory role AND 5 votes....

Avri Doria:NCSG either needs a comment that represents that various points of view, or perhaps we just leave out a comment on this topic.

Stephanie Perrin:That is like being the appellate court and the supreme court

Robin Gross:our previous comment showed the diversity of views on the issue

James Gannon:yup

matthew shears:Stephanie - we can make that point

matthew shears:thanks Marilia - have pinged wp4 to get the google doc up

Rafik:can you share the google doc?

Marilia Maciel:Not up yet.

Marilia Maciel:As soon as it is I will do

Marilia Maciel:I saw, Matthew, thanks!

Joy Liddicoat:thanks Marilia for all your work

Marilia Maciel:Joy, welcome :)

James Gannon:46 days

James Gannon:=-)

James Gannon:Nope haven't heard

Stephanie Perrin:who raised it amr?
Bill Drake:B is a disaster
Amr Elsadr:Anyone have a clue? :)
Joy Liddicoat:sorry i did not hear that
Amr Elsadr:@Bill: I suspect that after one or two of the B meetings, everyone will come to that same conclusion.
James Gannon:Terrible format =)
Bill Drake:the planning process is totally fragmented and nuts
Bill Drake:did we want to talk about gnso chair
Stephanie Perrin:We have three minutes left, correct?
Amr Elsadr:30?
Amr Elsadr:not 3.
Stephanie Perrin:sorry, 33...
Maryam Bakoshi:Yes
James Gannon:Do chair candidates announce themselves
Robin Gross:better get more coffee...
Bill Drake:all discussion has migrated to private Cc's
Milton:Mat:
Stephanie Perrin:@Robin getting another pot going now. I drink Espresso...
Robin Gross:NCSG Executive Committee members are not on these lists.
Milton:I got an emergency phone call during the CCWG discussion. Missed your comments, what was your takeaway?
James Gannon:Thanks Amr
Stephanie Perrin:Milton You missed me disparaging the quality of the GAC delegations, I am so sorry .
Bill Drake:there are also internal political dynamics in CSG
Bill Drake:we've asked
James Gannon:wow
Bill Drake:wot the hell
Milton:I need to know whether we can make meaningful comments as NCSG or not
Amr Elsadr:That wasn't me!!
Romina Florencia Cabrera:jajajaj
Amr Elsadr:Thanks Rafik.
Milton:As far as I can tell, the ONLY person who wants GAC to vote in SMCM is Avri. Am I wrong?
Joy Liddicoat:woahh - Rafik was that your pet puppy?
Joao Carlos Caribe:turn off the speakers
Bill Drake:Milton to be honest we really haven't heard from a lot of members who might feel differently
Bill Drake:I can think of some
Milton:who
Bill Drake:you snooze you lose I guess
Joy Liddicoat:Milton: I thought Avri had made some good points

on the list - I mentioned that before

Milton:Joy, do you think GAC should have BOTH special advisory rights AND vote in the SMCM? Yes or no?

Robin Gross:I didn't understand your point on that, Joy. Can you explain?

Joy Liddicoat:i can only refer back to the list discussion, which explained it better than i did

Romina Florencia Cabrera:I like the dynamics of goose discussion and electronic voting system, it is very comfortable and well verifies the list of candidates, hear the proposals and strengthen the democratic system, and consensus.

Robin Gross:its hard to address an argument that no one can state.

Romina Florencia Cabrera:dynamics of gnso sorry

Joy Liddicoat:it is on the list robin

Farzaneh Badii:can I ask something

Romina Florencia Cabrera:thanks!!

James Gannon:Oops I was talking about the next agenda item

Farzaneh Badii:I can't talk , but WS 2 on accountability is going to talk about jurisdiction , I was wondering if we want an ad hoc group to discuss that

Farzaneh Badii:that's not gonna be until after Dublin I think

Rafik:yes Farzi, we can, can you take the lead and ask people to volunteer?

Farzaneh Badii:yes

Rafik:we got a group for DIDP

Amr Elsadr:PPSAI = Privacy/Proxy Services Accreditation Issues

James Gannon:+1 Stephanie, the PDP must be able to work from a blank slate and not small incremental advancement

Robin Gross:right

Joy Liddicoat:stephanie I have an update for you on possible options for DPA involvement - i will follow up with you offlist

Farzaneh Badii:I have to leave sorry . have a nice evening morning, day mid day afternoon

Joy Liddicoat:by2 farzaneh!

Milton:By Farzy

Robin Gross:Thanks, Farzi!

Milton:Bye I mean

Amr Elsadr:@Stephanie: Where can we learn more on what's going on with the whois conflicts with local privacy laws?

Stephanie Perrin:WE may need to go public again on the PPSAI, if we lose a couple of key battles

Robin Gross:let's not wait until we lose - if it looks like we will lose, let's go public.

Stephanie Perrin:@amr I will send the link to the NCSG list.

Bill Drake:I need to sign off. thanks people

Stephanie Perrin:There may be a link in the privacy update I already sent out

Maryam Bakoshi:Thank you, Bill

Stephanie Perrin:@Robin, I agree

Stephanie Perrin:not yet though..

Robin Gross:ok

James Gannon:Amr: There will be an initial report coming out soon, its not a great one but will summarise where we are

James Gannon:Basically no consensus

Robin Gross:if they try to discount all the public comments, we need to alert the press

Avri Doria:so we are supposed to have received ballots already?

James Gannon:Thanks Rafik

Joy Liddicoat:thanks Rafik!

Amr Elsadr:Thanks James. Good to know. Wondering because this isn't a typical WG.

James Gannon:Yes Avri

matthew shears:yes, thanks!

Milton:Adjourn!

Avri Doria:hmm, will have to find mine.

James Gannon:=-)

Robin Gross:Thanks, Rafik! Bye everyone. Lola wants out!!

Maryam Bakoshi:Thank you, All

Timur Baltabaev:Thank you!

James Gannon:See you all soon

Amr Elsadr:Thanks all. Bye.

Marilia Maciel:Bye! Thanks Rafik and all!

Joan Kerr:Bye