

Maryam Bakoshi: Dear All, welcome to the Monthly NCSG Policy Call on Tuesday 17 July 2018 at 1200UTC

Rafik: hello

Maryam Bakoshi: Hi Rafik

Tatiana Tropina: Hi all!

Farell Folly: Welcome all;)

Rafik: hello all, we will start in 2 minutes

Julf Helsingius: Hi

Rafik: waiting for more people to join

Akinremi Peter Taiwo: Hi everyone

Julf Helsingius: Someone is unmuted

Akinremi Peter Taiwo: Ayden is not muted.

Ayden Férdeline: I am muted

Ayden Férdeline: as I am on the audio bridge, it might appear that my mic is open, but it's muted on my phone

Tatiana Tropina: Olga is not muted - I see from AC room

Akinremi Peter Taiwo: Noted, Ayden

Olga Kyryliuk: Muted already

Stephanie Perrin: apologies for being late.

Tatiana Tropina: Olga :) :\*

Maryam Bakoshi: Welcome everyone

Ayden Férdeline: great to see a larger turnout today!

Tomslin Samme-Nlar: Thanks Maryam

farzaneh badii: hi , im here but cant talk. will listen ...

Benjamin Akinmoyeje (Nigeria): Hello All

James Njoroge Gitau: Welcome Farzi

Ayden Férdeline: Great blog post by the Internet governance Project on this issue (composition) - <https://www.internetgovernance.org/2018/07/03/stacking-the-deck-the-epdp-on-the-whois-temp-spec/>

Rafik: the documents were shared in this email thread  
<https://listserv.syr.edu/scripts/wa.exe?A2=ind1807&L=NCSG-DISCUSS&X=O1B40CF31F61BF71846&Y=rafik.dammak%40gmail.com&P=211709>

Julf Helsingius: yes

Ayden Férdeline: yes we can

Razoana Moslam: hey everyone

Julf Helsingius: Yes, GAC is pretty much all "public safety"

Ayden Férdeline: drafting team was disproportionately composed of CSG reps (as you might have just noticed)

Ayden Férdeline: CSG = Commercial Stakeholder Group

Martín Silva: hi all

Maryam Bakoshi: Apologies everyone

Rafik: please if you are not speaking, please mute yourself

Maryam Bakoshi: The is a technical glitch

Maryam Bakoshi: This is being sorted now

Ayden Férdeline: The Intellectual Property Constituency sent through a redlined document with hundreds of comments 7 minutes before a call, and staff did not complain about this. They only complained about Stephanie's edits being too extensive.

Tomslin Samme-Nlar: I like that version tracking job :)

Rafik: a github

Ayden Férdeline: would be great if you could help us there @Tomslin!

Tomslin Samme-Nlar: happy to @Ayden

Kris Seeburn: there is a need for version tracking...i've seen that at times comments and notes gets in and some get redacted to the detriment of the person who made comments

Kris Seeburn: ICANN would have to follow the new privacy laws of the state of california whether it applies now and later....they need to do it...

Rafik: layered = buffet

Rafik: tiered = a la carte

Cláudio Lucena: And here we go with dishes again

Cláudio Lucena: Lost it. Only me?

Cláudio Lucena: Back. Sorry

Julf Helsingius: If it doesn't get approved, it will be a pretty serious situation.

Julf Helsingius: Bad echo

Kris Seeburn: there is an echo

Arsene Tungali: Hi everyone, now joining you on AC as well

Kathy Kleiman: I have questions

Joan Kerr: Hi everyone. Apologies for being late

Ayden Férdeline 2: welcome @Joan

Kris Seeburn: +1 rafik very true

Arsene Tungali: we can hear you

Kris Seeburn: good points Kathy

Rafik: post about enforcing temp spec <https://www.icann.org/news/blog/enforcing-the-temporary-specification>

Kris Seeburn: <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/06/28/technology/california-online-privacy-law.html>

Kathy Kleiman: Tx Stephanie!

Ayden Férdeline 2: i'm not voting for this on Thursday

Kris Seeburn: that goes in as of Jan 2020

Ayden Férdeline 2: my vote may be ineffective, but i am not voting for it.

Kris Seeburn: Can we have the EU registries to vote with us

Kathy Kleiman: I agree Stephanie --

Kathy Kleiman: Section J is beyond the scope.

Arsene Tungali: Ayden, are we tired of pushing for change? Is there any luck that things change the way we want so we can vote on Thursday?

Stephanie Perrin: Registries vote en bloc.....

Ayden Férdeline 2: @Arsene: That seems very unlikely unfortunately; it is likely that the text is 'fixed' now

Ayden Férdeline 2: I think it is worth a try, especially out of principle, as Stephanie noted

Stephanie Perrin: We do have allies who agree. Pam Little (Alibaba) is pushing back on similar lines.

Kris Seeburn: I think we make a point for the record

James Njoroge Gitau: Hello evryone, I am sorry I have to step out early hope to connect at the next call!

Kris Seeburn: and how we fight later

Stephanie Perrin: But the registrars also vote en bloc

Kathy Kleiman: Do you want to drop Section J -- or gate it for the very, very end of the EPDP?

Julf Helsingius: I understand the desire to make a point, but do we already at this point want to be labelled as "unreasonable"?

Arsene Tungali: @Ayden; thanks but can we think on the implications of not voting on the time line of the whole machine?

Kathy Kleiman: @Arsene, if we don't object on principle, who will?

Akinremi Peter Taiwo: I like Kathy position.

Stephanie Perrin: I think gating is ok. We have to acknowledge the very real problems that cybercrime folks are encountering. I have less sympathy for the IPC as you might have guessed :-)

Kris Seeburn: i think and still believe making a poitn and strategise for EPDP

Arsene Tungali: @Kathy: I would love to hear what you think on that one as it is a good question

Cláudio Lucena: I guess that last argument is particularly important, Stephanie. We are talking about two very different needs and realities for legitimate access

Stephanie Perrin: Exactly Claudio. The Cybercrime guys are not using it for marketing purposes. However, they are blacklisting folks without proper oversight, need to fix that.

Kathy Kleiman: @Arsene: I think there is a good argument to say that those negotiating the rules for the new specification, should not be negotiating their own individual access.

Kathy Kleiman: Continuing... shouldn't there a difference in the group who negotiates a fair set of rules -- and the group fighting for their own access.

Arsene Tungali: We will need back up people on this to support our members

Julf Helsingius: Yes, we'd better fill all 6 + 3 seats!

Julf Helsingius: Indeed, a heck a lot of work!

Arsene Tungali: fair point, @Kathy. Thanks

Benjamin Akinmoyeje (Nigeria): do we need a scrum master in this case to track the making of the document

Ayden Férdeline 2: there are lots of ways to help us without being a part of the EPDP officially - would be great to have volunteers to review the transcripts and to make sure our comments are in there and accurate (comparing them to the audio recording). would also help you learn about the issues!

Arsene Tungali: wow, time left is short for the first ePDP meeting

Akinremi Peter Taiwo: @Ayden Count me in.

Ayden Férdeline 2: excellent Peter!

Joan Kerr: Maybe a list of unofficial duties could be made and people can volunteer.

Tatiana Tropina: Thank you so much, Steph!

Stephanie Perrin: more than welcome!

Ayden Férdeline 2: yes, we should do that @Joan

Stephanie Perrin: Once we name the EPDP members, we can work on a task list and seek volunteers. It is not that complicated, but we should discuss our plan of attack and work teams.

Arsene Tungali: Good point Joan, I am sure the PC will help with that because we will need the support from our membership in supporting our reprs on this group. Starting with what Ayden has suggested, we will need people to read what other groups represented on this epdp think about the issues under discussion. This can be done through reading their public mailing list archives and flag to the PC or to other members. The list cna be long

Joan Kerr: @Stephanie, sounds good

Julf Helsingius: If you figure out how to deal with GAC, please let me know! :)

Joan Kerr: @Arsene, it's short intense work, so if the tasks are identified, volunteers can help with specific pieces

David Cake: Julf, it is an ongoing mystery.

Rafik: for support work to EPDP team, the PC will share details soon as we agree on plan. please reach me if you to volunteer or support in any manner

Arsene Tungali: I am sure the PC will also appreciate any input from our members on how we can best support each others. The epdp members need to carry our voice, we need to tell them what we think. And Rafik has said that we will find a way to involve everyone

Ayden Férdeline 2: Sigh, had forgotten about this, another item to add to our collective to-do list...

Ayden Férdeline 2: might want to confirm the candidates are still interested too?

Tatiana Tropina: I am here

Ayden Férdeline 2: it's been a long time since they applied.... nearly 2 years

Tatiana Tropina: I withdrew my candidacy

Tatiana Tropina: so it's Michael next in the list

Tatiana Tropina: but we will have NCSG people

Tatiana Tropina: Michael is the next in the queue after me

Tatiana Tropina: And yes, it's incredible that we applied god knows how ling ago and the circumstances changed a lot.

Tatiana Tropina: \*long

Ayden Férdeline 2: people applied in 2016

Tatiana Tropina: Ayden, I am really astounded with how long it took.

Stephanie Perrin: Indeed Arsene, the EPDP team needs to keep in touch with membership. However, please be aware that team members will have to act with a certain degree of authority; there will be no time to seek agreement on each battle we fight. Hence the need for a workspace where documents are kept up to date. I will not be volunteering to write any synopses of the weekly arguments....nor checking the summaries prepared by folks who are not experts. So yes we are going to need to hear how the community feels about all the key issues, but you are going to have to trust the team (whoever that may be) to make decisions on the fly

Ayden Férdeline 2: 8 comments we need to respond to....

Arsene Tungali: Stephanie, I understand the complexity of the task our members will have. And of course, we will find a way to support you bearing in mind the workload that you have

Arsene Tungali: But at the end, those who will apply to be on this team will need to have agreed that they have to keep in touch with membership as this has been made clear in the call. How to do it is what we can agree on but the principle remains the same. I never had an issue with trusting people though. (And apologies for talking about this now though we talk about public comments lol)

Julf Helsingius: kathy, you have a quirky sense of fun... :)

Kathy Kleiman: Well, I do hang out in ICANN :-)

Julf Helsingius: :)

Stephanie Perrin: Arsene, certainly we agree, Unfortunately the amount of serious review we get when we send complex documents to the PC, and to the list, is not encouraging. Folks need to focus on providing written comments on the documents....

Stephanie Perrin: I would suggest that the EPDP members agree on a procedure themselves, and propose to the backroom we need to set up. If we have 9 people focused on serious drafting work, that is more than we have had on any of the activities I have engaged with on WHOIS since I got here.

Julf Helsingius: It is open, and had an open meeting in Panama

Stephanie Perrin: I just don't want to discourage people from applying to the EPDP, by suggesting they have to seek approval from members

Arsene Tungali: Thanks Thato, please join thae CCWG AP. I haven't been able to attend calls due to many conflicts with Council work.

Stephanie Perrin: The timeframe wil not allow for a whole lot of consultation, we need others who are interested to become observers and watch closely what is going on.

Thato Mfikwe 2: Thanks Rafik, will get in contact with Stephanie, Noted @ Arsene.

Tatiana Tropina: thank you Rafik!

Ayden Férdeline 2: thanks all, and thanks for chairing the call Rafik! a big task given all our silence on the line ;-)

Farell Folly: Thanks for the meeting

Farell Folly: See sou soon

Kris Seeburn: bye all

Julf Helsingius: Thanks!

Joan Kerr: Bye

Benjamin Akinmoyeje (Nigeria): Bye all

Gustavo Paiva: Bye everyone

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you all for attending the call, have a good day. Bye

Arsene Tungali: bye all