

AC Chat

Please note that due to technical issues this chat is incomplete

David Cake: oh yes, the CEP deserves to be called obnoxious

Amr Elsadr: I can hear you.

matthew shears: dont forget that we have jurisdiction in WS2 as well

Kathy: Great to have such experienced and insight people in our WG.

Avri Doria: what a misnomer.

Milton Mueller: yeah.

Marilia Maciel: Perfect, thanks Ed

Avri Doria: i think voting in open council meeting is called for.

David Cake: the CEP is a process... so one third not a misnomer

Avri Doria: or is tht too late.

Marilia Maciel: Yes

stefania milan: consensus

Amr Elsadr: I don't object at all.

Kathy: no objection

Avri Doria: support

Marilia Maciel: Is this the only agenda for the 29th extraordinary meeting?

sam lanfranco: agree, sorry late - bad storm here

Rafik: no need for 29th feb, there won't be fall of GNSO

matthew shears: probably better to have the "vote" after the full ay CCWG meeting in 4th

matthew shears: there's still opportunity for mischief

Kathy: Wow, sounds like an intimidation moment

Rafik: @Ed realpolitik

matthew shears: yep

Avri Doria: i agree we have forced the HR issue.

stefania milan: @amr we were alerted by Glen that this is 'emergency'.... do rules hold in these cases as well?

matthew shears: the purpose should be clear when calling such a meeting - not after it

Milton Mueller: what is the emergency?

Amr Elsadr: @Tapani: yes..., I beleive so.

Amr Elsadr: *believe.

Ed Morris: Thanks Amr

Amr Elsadr: Thank YOU Ed.

Ed Morris: @Milton. The emergency is they fear what the NCSG might do.

stefania milan: folks, unfortunately i am off. will listen to the recording. very useful call, thanks

Maryam Bakoshi: Thanks Stefania

Amr Elsadr: I also understand that this issue is complex, and I am happy to answer any questions.

Amr Elsadr: @Ed: Trying..., but not doing such a good job. :P

Amr Elsadr: @Ed: Can't agree with your comment on review of the public comments.

David Cake: Kathy, very well said on the issues

David Cake: sor r y , gotta go

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: +1 delay possibilities

Maryam Bakoshi: Thanks David

Milton Mueller: Folks I will have to leave now. Sorry. See you all on the list

Ed Morris: Thanks Milton

Kathy: Good question: it serves the IPC interests to do URS first, UDRP second.

Kathy: Amr, the wording said that the Council will vote on whether to initiate the PDP...

sam lanfranco: The bigger issue here is multistakeholder requires ongoing engagement, not only "engagement" comment windows that marginalize constituencies and bias processes in favor of select constituencies.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: yes

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: now gone yes

Ed Morris: My position is that the public comments that bled into the issues report were not representative of the entire community due to the focus on the CCWG. We should reject the issues report for this reason and ask them for an additional pc period.

Kathy: I sent Amr proposed changes to the draft charter - should I circulate them to the PC?

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: engagement in this pdp has been massive in observers but not many inputs, from what I've seen

Ed Morris: @Kathy. Please.

sam lanfranco: @Kathy...Yes

Kathy: Will do...

Ed Morris: 1/3

sam lanfranco: We have to press for balance now, and win or lose, we have to continue for balance in the IP area for the long haul. There will not be a knock out punch on either side in this. It will be an ongoing area of contention for decades, no matter how this short struggle goes. We see this at the national level.

Amr Elsadr: Sorry..., was dropped off the call for a minute.

Robin Gross: Agree, Sam.

Kathy: +1 Ed

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: +1 Ed

Amr Elsadr: @Ed @Kathy: What is your problem with the issues report? I understand problems with the charter, but not the issues report?

Amr Elsadr: The issues report is largely irrelevant. It's the staff report on the public comments and draft charter we need to focus on.

Amr Elsadr: I don't see any benefit in voting against an issues report, unless we believe that a PDP should not go forward at all.

sam lanfranco: @Amr I see a (losing) no vote as a strategic move to send a message about NCSG engagement in the longer process

Ed Morris: As a matter of substance, I agree with you Amr. My no vote is more symbolic, designed to send a message. I expect no concerted positive reaction short term.

Ed Morris: Exactly Sam\

Amr Elsadr: @Sam: But then we would also effectively be saying that a review of the UDRP is not necessary, and that the RPMs that were developed outside a GNSO process do not need any GNSO action. That sounds ridiculous to me.

Marilia Maciel: Thanks, Kathy

Kathy: Tx Marilia, did that make sense?

Marilia Maciel: Yes, very much, thanks!

sam lanfranco: @Kathy Let's discuss possible strategies for NCSG to keep IP issues on the table. Might look at how human rights strategies have been used - just a starter thought

Amr Elsadr: We will be talking about this for months to come. :)

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: in the wg, there wasn't much discussion about the charter for what it's worth

Kathy: Tx for all the time, All!

Ed Morris: @Amr. Agreed. :)

Amr Elsadr: Thank you all for listening to me go on about this. Doing my best not to muck it up. :)

sam lanfranco: @All, Have to leave to drive through a snow storm to a meetings. Thanks to all and bye.

Amr Elsadr: I'm sorry. What are we discussing right now?

Marilia Maciel: GNSO review

Kathy: Bye Sam, safe driving!

Amr Elsadr: Thanks Marilia. And what's the question?

Marilia Maciel: Where we are with that and how it fits with the rest of the work on our plates

Ed Morris: Thanks Amr for picking this up.

Ed Morris: I have to go guys. Sorry. Thanks for everything.

Kathy: Bye Ed, tx you!

Maryam Bakoshi: Thanks Ed

Avri Doria: Agree with Amr impressions as another member of that group.

Rafik: WEIRDS

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: address disclosure is the heart of the matter here and @rafik worst acronym when thinking about that.

Kathy: Yup, the Weirds Working Group, IETF :-)

Robin Gross: Now there is a group possibly worth joining!

Rafik: @Kathy shall we respond to this <https://www.icann.org/public-comments/rdap-profile-2015-12-03-en>

Rafik: 31 days remaining in public comments

matthew shears: yes unfortunate clash

Rafik: there is no good timeslot

Kathy: @Rafik, yes, but I think we should respond.

Rafik: there is GNSO related sessions during wednesday

Kathy: :(sorry to be caught in the timing conflict

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: yep

Avri Doria: i still hear

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: y

Rafik: as suggested by Kathy RDAP <https://www.icann.org/public-comments/rdap-profile-2015-12-03-en>

Rafik: <https://www.icann.org/public-comments/rdds-output-2015-12-03-en>

Rafik: <https://www.icann.org/public-comments/ppsai-recommendations-2016-02-05-en>

Kathy: Re: public comments, I think Stephanie might want to respond to:
Proposed Implementation of GNSO Thick Whois Consensus Policy Requiring Consistent Labeling and Display of RDDS (Whois) Output for All gTLDs

Joao Carlos Caribe: sorry need to leave now

Maryam Bakoshi: Thanks Joao

Rafik: I dont think we cna get volunteers here, better to send the list to NCSg list and give a short brief

Rafik: we can also have some updates about RDS WG?

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: w/ brief would be better to realize how to collaborate

Kathy: @Tapani, PPSAI was a hard-fought policy with full consensus in the end. I am not sure what more there is to say.

Kathy: Tx you, Tapani!

Kathy: I think we need to find more people to write comments....

Robin Gross: desparately, Kathy....

Kathy: If others (perhaps some of our newest members) draft, we can edit...

Kathy: :-) Robin, sigh

matthew shears: yes, but we also need a chart or table showing who is following what and willing to wrie etc.

Robin Gross: :-)

Amr Elsadr: @Tapani: Did you want me to say something about the PC Chair elections?

Kathy: @Amr, yes!

Rafik: @kathy it wont happen quickly unfortunately

Kathy: @Rafik: we have some really bright new members...

Kathy: Tx Amr, and tx for all your work for so long as Chair PC!

Rafik: @kathy yes

Patrick Lenihan: Thanks to All...!

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: thank you

matthew shears: do we need to put out a call for observers to the PC?

Robin Gross: Thanks, Tapani!

Amr Elsadr: Thanks Kathy. Felt like I could have done a better job.

matthew shears: thanks!

Amr Elsadr: @matt: I don't believe so.

Kathy: @Amr: the eternal concern of ICANN... sigh

Sana Ali: Thank you all, very informative meeting.

Amr Elsadr: Thanks all. Bye.

Chris Dillon: Thank you. Goodbye

Marilia Maciel: Thanks everyone! Bye!

Kathy: especially on the ncsq side...

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you all for attending the meeting