

**Transcription ICANN Dublin
Wednesday 21 October 2015
NCSG Executive Committee Meeting**

Rafik Dammak: Okay, thanks. So thank you. We thought for this meeting is because it's transitional phase, this is my last meeting in the Executive Committee. And we are going with a new Executive Committee head by Tapani. And just (unintelligible) you can kick off a discussion about kind of maybe timeline or at least to start working on the issue list on things that you want to fix.

And I thought that maybe just you could have this and after whatever - how you can follow up within the mailing list or having that in your conf call and so on.

One thing, and maybe just to kind of clarify about the schedule management is that we had a meeting and the spreadsheet and the wiki space but I think maybe is not enough given with the reminders so I just asked Maryam that for next time, even I (unintelligible) ask that she print out the NCSG schedule and then we can distribute them. So having paper based - digital based reminders so everyone can be sure.

On other hand about meetings in the morning, is not really (unintelligible), it's quite difficult to find time. But I guess maybe we can figure out for next time what's the best time slot with the less overlapping with any other session. So anyway okay.

So let's start with discussing about issues. Yes, Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Thank you, Rafik. There are a number of things that I want to change at some point but I don't want to do a revolution and everything from scratch at once. One of the issue that we got - usually takes most of our time anyways member applications and processing them and I will want to do some streamlining of that process and hopefully building a better member database so that the issues we had with that in the past will be gone.

Rafik has been doing some good work on that already but still it's in the rather early stage and I propose to (unintelligible) in a even smaller group because it is basically a technical issue really that I somehow doubt everybody - Ruben doesn't seem to be here - used to take part on any technical issues here.

Anyway so I'll talk with - I hope someone from NPOC will be take an active role on it and I think I'll take it upon myself if someone - future member - someone from NCUC otherwise wants to join that's fine but we don't need more than that and many technical people there. And then we'll talk with ICANN staff about how to arrange it. There are some concerns with funding. I think we have funding but how to arrange it because we don't have a (unintelligible). Will take some discussions. But main problems should be sorted out.

And I also been planning to have a vice chair, possibly even two depending, in the hope that I'll find someone who's actually willing to do the work, not just honorary positions, I mean, that I can take a vacation sometimes even when there is something going on and have the vice chair do something, take the tasks on during that time. And I hope we'll have good candidates for the position.

As for meetings times, the only thing I can think of that would make it possible to get us better slots would be planning more in advance. Okay it seems we have coffee at least.

((Crosstalk))

Tapani Tarvainen: Okay.

Rafik Dammak: Okay just, I mean, to remind maybe because we forget but this usual was always our usual time slot but what happened in Buenos Aires and in Singapore we did - we had to cancel them. But usually we use that time. And, yeah, we can do better job in sending more earlier about the issues that we didn't - we don't get confirmation until late about the meeting so that's one of the issue we have now.

And still with - if we're ICANN we are going still to continue to talk about IANA stewardship transition and accountability, we have some issues to - in term of schedule management. So that's one of the constraints we have until now.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes, the calendar issue is chronic and we will not have a good (unintelligible) that we can try to plan as far in advance we can and we can try to make even more reminders maybe even SMS reminders see if that would help. But I'm afraid we can't help having to wake up early at some - at sometimes anyway.

So regular meetings conference calls also scheduling problems but I try to find time when that is not midnight for anybody or at least not early wee hours in the morning that try to avoid times between midnight and 6:00 am for everybody if we can do that.

Unfortunately I don't - well if we happen to get a member from somewhere in the middle of Pacific then it will trouble. And we'll have to just rotate the times. But otherwise try to book them out. We could try to do prior email meetings, which are asynchronous, that's a little problematic in itself but for some issues it might work well. And that we could avoid the scheduling problem.

I don't see there's any formal issue in having a - even a formal meeting in email just location of the meeting is emailing list and then we write minutes

and if everybody agrees with that it should be fine as long as there are no issues that need to be talked and people are willing to respond timely. We can experiment with that at least. Okay.

Rafik Dammak: Tapani, I was thinking yesterday so we got notification about a new application but it's quite interesting one. I thought if we can review it quickly because it has quite huge impact in term of, how say, (unintelligible) because it's Wikimedia Foundation applied to join NCSG. So I'm asking what do you think. I mean, it will be quick. We don't have that many application. But at least for that one and the other one that was pending.

Tapani Tarvainen: Did you have some specific concerns about this or you want to look at how they fit within our...

Rafik Dammak: No, no, no, I thought that if we can do quick review for that. I mean, it's just...

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: ...idea like this because we're at an ICANN meeting and we can like kind of (unintelligible) so something like this, I mean, it's one big organization and so on so I was just wondering what you think about but we can skip that so just trying to get what you think about this. Robin. Okay.

Yesterday we received an application from Wikimedia Foundation which is the foundation for Wikipedia. And I thought since we are in ICANN meeting now in Dublin it can be a good opportunity if we can review it with other - quickly other pending application just in term of publicizing and so on. So Tapani, what you think? Rudi?

Rudi Vansnick: We have more than one application...

((Crosstalk))

Rudi Vansnick: ...entered in the last...

Rafik Dammak: Yeah, yeah that's what I said. It's another pending...

Rudi Vansnick: Oh yeah okay sorry. Yeah, I'm not awake.

Rafik Dammak: So and I think we can get quickly if other we get some response. And so do you agree or? Okay. Yeah. So let's open the - did you put it in there already?

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: In the spreadsheet. Okay let's give everyone access to this page. Okay - I think - did you update...

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes, the spreadsheet has been updated.

Rafik Dammak: Okay, I will check from your side. So what we have under New for individual. The next one is (Bram Fooselani) from Malawi.

Maryam Bakoshi: (Bram) is an ICANN - Maryam for the record. (Bram) is an ICANN fellow and his personal interest is in matters of Internet governance representing minorities within his region. He's also been involved with local ICG Association of Malawi. And he has championed the establishment of Malawi IGF chapter through (NEPAD), eAfrica Initiative. He has also represented NETmundial initiative and hosted the South African Internet Governance Forum in 2014 in Malawi. He's also been very vocal on the need for policy review in terms of (unintelligible) development locally.

He feels that being part of NCSG will give him the greater audience as well as providing him with the platform where contributions of those who cannot get their voice can be heard through this channel. Reference is Seun Ojedeji who is a member of NCSG. Thank you.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Yeah, you are in Adobe Connect? You are in Adobe Connect? Okay.
Okay let's start, Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Okay, Tapani for the record. Looking at this application I don't see any reason to reject him. He seems to be genuinely interested in more or less what we want to do and the IGF connection and (unintelligible) so I don't see any reason to oppose.

Rafik Dammak: Okay, thanks. Rudi.

Rudi Vansnick: I think that he is even a fellow now, he is present at this meeting also.

Rafik Dammak: Yeah, probably.

Rudi Vansnick: And he's quite active, I've seen him in several meetings popping up. Yeah.

Robin Gross: This is Robin for the record. I approve.

Rafik Dammak: Okay thanks. Thanks, everyone. Okay, approved. Next one is, let me check...

Maryam Bakoshi: Next person is (Korpens Pastu Rajijay), sorry. He's from Burundi or she.
Sorry.

((Crosstalk))

Maryam Bakoshi: So he's the Chairman of Burundi Internet Exchange Point, it's a not for profit organization. So he wishes to give his contribution a well-structured organization like the NCSG. He wants to actively participate in the NCSG engagement and activities. That's about it really.

Rafik Dammak: I think, I mean, okay I think I saw him in some mailing list as active.

Rudi Vansnick: But we had him last time or...

Rafik Dammak: (Korpens), are you sure?

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes, it looks familiar.

Rafik Dammak: Okay.

((Crosstalk))

Rudi Vansnick: ...doesn't work. I have been in contact with him. I know him for a while. And he had some issues with the form and, again, he thinks that he failed in going through the right process. He wants to be a member of NCSG, NCUC and NPOC. And he pops up again but we treated him last time already. He should be - maybe in the pending list.

Rafik Dammak: Or approved, yeah. I remember his name in other space but not necessarily in - as an application. Okay, we can find, no? No, we don't find any trace. No, it look like (Korpens). We don't have trace of him so okay anyway it's chance to fix that. So what do you think? You know him in person, Rudi?

Rudi Vansnick: Yeah, had several email changes with him also. And it looks like it's a person that we also can use. We need the African region to be more involved in many of the working groups the we have and based on my exchange with him it looks like he could be a valid person for some of our working groups.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Thanks, Rudi. Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, sounds good.

Rafik Dammak: Okay.

Tapani Tarvainen: I vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Robin.

Robin Gross: This is Robin Gross. I vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Good, thanks. Okay the next -next I think...

Maryam Bakoshi: The next person is...

((Crosstalk))

Maryam Bakoshi: ...(Madi Takazadi) from...

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: He, he.

Maryam Bakoshi: Oh sorry.

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: He's from Iran.

Maryam Bakoshi: My apologies. He's from Iran. And well it's very brief. He says the NCSG is working on protecting user rights and privacy and this is my personal interest. Reference is - sorry, Rafik.

Rafik Dammak: Yeah.

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes.

Rafik Dammak: Yes, he, how to say, I know (Madi) since the - funny thing he's Iranian but he was in the Arab IGF. He's technical guy, quite active. And he's started to be interested in Internet governance since last year. And he did (unintelligible)

program which - I mean, the partnership between he was Dutch organization and (unintelligible) foundation. And he's here, he's a fellow for first time in ICANN meeting.

Tapani Tarvainen: I actually met him yesterday and...

((Crosstalk))

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes. Didn't have much time to talk but still sounds like a good addition to our group so I vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. I think Rudi said yes.

Rudi Vansnick: Yeah, I saw him yesterday, he was sitting in between us at the NCSG meeting. And I had some exchange with him. Looks quite positive.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Robin.

Robin Gross: This is Robin Gross. I vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Thanks. Okay I think we can go now to organization.

Maryam Bakoshi: The first organization we have is Teenage Smoke Free, (Jackson Ogudu) from Gambia. The mission for the organization is advocating for the elimination of child smoking of tobacco and exposures to hard drugs. Yeah, so (unintelligible) and the focus to encourage (unintelligible) education for all. It's a small organization which is self-funded at the moment. Yes and that's it.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. So he is from Gambia. Rudi, do you know him?

Rudi Vansnick: No, it's the first time that I see his name. And when I look into Google I don't really see any immediate link with ICANN. Looks like he never came to an ICANN meeting. But I found his name also in the digital agenda for Europe

which means that he is not only active in Gambia but probably moving around. But it's difficult to identify what's the person - what the skills are.

Tapani Tarvainen: I don't know anything about him either but just looking at the (unintelligible) is this an organization or just one person? Self-funded meaning his own pocket. Stated mission doesn't seem to have really anything to do with ICANN. There's no Website to check. I'm a bit doubtful here. I'm not ready to say no or yes at the moment. I have to at - want a little discussion here if anybody has an opinion because I would like to have an organization to have a Website at least and some activity to indicate they have something to do with ICANN. But I'm open to persuasion here.

Rafik Dammak: Robin.

Robin Gross: This is Robin Gross. I share Tapani's concern.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. So I guess we should ask this question for clarification to him. If we can do it as soon as possible, so. Yes, Rudi.

Rudi Vansnick: The only thing when you try to find in Google a Website on Teen Smoke Free you're getting a few ones but they are dotCough so it looks like this government based. And it's somebody probably from a government that is trying to do some actions in other work and government.

Rafik Dammak: Yeah, I think it's quite generic (unintelligible) so just we will check with him. Okay, the next is...

Maryam Bakoshi: Maryam for the record. Wikimedia Foundation USA. Their mission is to empower and engage people around the world to collect and develop educational content under free license or in the public domain. Yeah, so Wiki basically.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Rudi.

Rudi Vansnick: I'm wondering, are they not yet a member of ALAC also?

Rafik Dammak: Don't think so. I think it's maybe chapter but not Wikimedia Foundation itself.

Rudi Vansnick: Oh okay yeah, I was confused. I see no objection that they join the NCSG.
Could be favorable for many issues.

Rafik Dammak: It's about accessibility, access to knowledge and so on. Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes it's an interesting application but I can see them having genuine issues with the DNS system so and even and they certainly are involved in everything Internet in some sense. Can't see any obvious reason or actually any reason at all to oppose them. So why not? Yes.

Rafik Dammak: Yeah. Robin.

Robin Gross: This is Robin Gross. I vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Okay thanks. So I think approved. Go to the next...

Maryam Bakoshi: Pending.

Rafik Dammak: Yeah, it's pending. Did you get any response? Because I don't think - did you get response for all of them or because there was short time for them.

Maryam Bakoshi: No, Maryam Bakoshi for the record. I got response from two people.

Rafik Dammak: So I think that's (unintelligible) only that we can check other (unintelligible). I mean, maybe two weeks maybe and get response that we can check with those who responded.

((Crosstalk))

Maryam Bakoshi: So we got a response from (Sunia lar) in India. And she - the person says, I have a keen interest in the ICANN Internet and domain names on a personal level. Wish to strengthen the awareness among the people of my country, India, apart from the fact that I wish to contribute productively to the development of policies which might affect the noncommercial group. I have an inclination to social (unintelligible) and injustice. I wish to do my part in any way I can by using my skills and qualifications in any volunteering work that might come up in the NCSG stakeholder group.

But also would like to make it clear that I'm employed at a registry, dotOOO. But I make this application in my own personal account and not representing the registry. I'd like to use the skills and experience I've gained working in the gTLD for the betterment of the society and people at large. I have completed my master's in business administration from the most premier institute in the country and have been working in the gTLD space since 1.5 years.

I hope that the zeal and passion I have towards representing and doing my bit for the end users (unintelligible) relevant skill set and experience will be considered in my application for membership.

Rafik Dammak: Thanks, Maryam. Okay at least she is clear about her - she's belonging to a registry so.

Rudi Vansnick: Well it looks like she's passionate in the work she would like to do in NCSG. I don't see an immediate objection exception that we need to be careful that we don't get too many people from registry or registrar in our community because that could give a bad imagine outside that we know about but outside world not always know that they really committed to their personal engagement.

Rafik Dammak: Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, it is a concern that we really do not want to have registries indirectly represented within our ranks. But on the other hand we cannot really make it an absolute condition that somebody working for a registry cannot be individually interested as well. And where exactly we draw that line this seems to be a good case of this if we take the argument she makes. And we have some other members who are employed by registries so it's not like we don't have such an absolute rule to begin with. So given the way that she apparently has been active might be valuable member for NCSG even though she does work for a registry so.

Rafik Dammak: Robin.

Robin Gross: This is Robin Gross. I vote approve.

Rudi Vansnick: If I may just make one comment is that when we accept individuals coming from registry environments it's going to be difficult to say no to others that want to join. That's a bit the difficulty that I have. I have no objection itself just that we have to be careful if we say yes to several ones and then others are coming say yeah, but I want also and they want to infiltrate coming from the registry it's going to be difficult to say no to them because we approved others. So we have to maybe clarify a bit in the future how we are going to handle this particular cases.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes, I agree, Rudi. But, as I said, we need to have some kind of - it's difficult to draw the line. Would actually been - might be interesting she has publishing opinions at ICANN's public forum. We don't have time to do that now but assuming that those are really from a personal perspective and do not represent dotOOO's views that would be a good criteria.

If you see actually the person actively engaging in a personal capacity that would be something that would work as a qualifier or some kind of criteria at least. But the only other obvious alternative would be a strict line that if you

work for a registry then you're out and we don't really want to do that, we can't from this point. So with that caveat let's vote yes for her.

Rudi Vansnick: I vote yes too.

Rafik Dammak: Thanks, all. Maybe this, we put it in kind of issue list that discussing about specific criteria and how to make decision in those cases maybe joining in individual basis but they are part of other particularly the contracted party. Because for other I think it's more clear the non-contracted party but from contract party it's always there (unintelligible) okay. Who else? I think only one organization.

Yeah, I think that's...

((Crosstalk))

Maryam Bakoshi: So we have one organization that has replied. Yes, and that's International Youth Action Against Terrorism, Kenya. So his response is, well I joined this multi-stakeholder on to basis which are to continue to align my path - career path to have a practical work in the ICT sphere and also to be in a network of different experts so as - so I, as a young person in the continent of the world can also use my knowledge for the co-development initiatives of what matters which also I see as a platform to advise my government from time to time to modify our Internet policies and the like of having our own registry.

Organization is a youth initiated NGO which has representative around the world at large and always see ICT as a very resourceful tool in doing our research and carrying out our mandates and to also develop more in our daily integration more in (unintelligible) fighting terrorism, empowering young people and the likes. Our organization is funded. It's funded by a government foreign territory organization and allies. ICANN is very relevant because it can advise on how we utilize IT to - for proper use of data analysis and research management. Thank you.

Robin Gross: I have a question. Did I hear that correctly, they said they're funded in part by government because that's going to be a problem if that's the case.

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes, that's correct. It says, "Our organization is funded by governments, foreign charity organizations and allies."

Rudi Vansnick: Rudi for the transcript. But in the charter of NPOC we are not putting a barrier on to what they are funded. If it's an NGO they are registered as an NGO. That is the basis of the application.

Robin Gross: This is Robin Gross. We're discussing admittance into the NCSG which that is a criteria. So if they're funded by government it's a problem for getting into the NCSG even if NPOC would be willing to accept them.

Rafik Dammak: Okay maybe just clarification here, Robin. I think in many in developing country, even my own country, many association organization get funding through the government because there is some program but doesn't mean they are following what the - I mean, there are plan kind of funding because if you're a developing country you don't have that much choice, there is no private sector to fund you or the idea of charities it's not really common.

And either if you don't get from the government you will try like international funding, I mean, like from some like United States or, I mean, Holland or so on. So that's maybe what he's meaning here.

Robin Gross: Well I think we need more information. I think we need to know about the connection and the extent of the funding and what the relationship is between the government and the organization.

Rafik Dammak: Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes, this is slightly unclear, funded by governments, foreign charity organizations and allies. That does not really make it clear if they get occasional project funding of some government. It's a different thing than if they are major part of their budget comes from their government. So yes, more clarification would be in order.

I'm also a bit concerned that they say that ICANN is relevant because it can advise how to - the (unintelligible) data management and whatever, just...

Rafik Dammak: Okay.

Tapani Tarvainen: ICANN doesn't really do that. I'm not sure if this person and this organization understands what (unintelligible) to the DNS system, how it matters to them. So it might be a good point to ask about that a bit more clarification of what exactly do they expect to do in ICANN rather than are they just expecting to get some general IT support because that's not what ICANN does.

Rafik Dammak: Okay, we will ask those question to please check the transcript later, Maryam, because was quite long. Okay so we'll ask them to clarify, yeah. The next is (Sowera), no?

Maryam Bakoshi: Okay, Maryam Bakoshi for the record, that's the only replies we have so far.

Rudi Vansnick: Yeah, Rudi for the transcript. Well I have contact with them and I thought I have explained already the issue of their domain name, (Sowera).org that has been hijacked when you go to (Sowera).org. You will see that in fact it's not used. And they didn't know how to get it back. I'm still in contact with that lady and she wants me to help her to find a way of getting it back because they - they put a lot of investment in the past on that domain name. And they lost it because they didn't know how to - how it - they (unintelligible) work.

Tapani Tarvainen: Tapani for the record. It seems that this is somewhat old issue at least, Whois data indicates that (unintelligible) was transferred to the company in 2013.

But in any case I would - in principle they should be in touch with us, with NCSG directly rather than getting - not that I distrust you but in principle I should think that they should be able to fix their own application rather than sending - doing this with too many hands in between. So could you ask them perhaps to send correction to Maryam saying that just drop that (Sowera).org from their application. That they are (unintelligible) now and...

Rudi Vansnick: Will do.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah and then the dotOrg can be handled whenever they manage because that's not likely to be easy anyway.

Rafik Dammak: Okay yeah...

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: Yeah, Tapani, so Rudi can you send them email today and if they respond we can do it by the mailing list.

Rudi Vansnick: Yes.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. So that's kind of pending. Yeah, I think we did all of them was pending? Okay thanks. I didn't know that we take much more time. So back to more kind of planning here, I think it's more for you, Tapani, to think about the planning and what you want to do at least in the next six month or one year. And I think, Rudi, you expressed some, I mean, how you expressed some issues, concerns. Can you send them, write down them. And the idea is to have an issue list and kind of what the issue description. We can create kind of backlog.

And I think Tapani will take the lead on that. We (unintelligible) and try to also maybe agree on some timeline. I'm not sure that we can fix like in one month so but so it's at least to understand what are the issues and to have that

common understanding that we agree on a timeline. I think the EC maybe then will have in each agenda that part first. We will try - I think - I know that there was expectation that we spend so much time in the review but because well we find this - we are supposed to do the application review on spreadsheets, not optimum but - and I'm suggesting here is this up to you, Tapani, how to take the lead.

We got like some work going about the management - membership management. It's really too easy here to review what we got as proposal and to see how you get. So that's now for you, Tapani. So take, I mean, so what's you - I think maybe you can discuss with the folks here what's your plan, how you want to do this and what's your vision maybe.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, well we don't have much time so not much for vision at this point but still the idea that if you can, Rudi, Robin, if you are - have issues you want to bring to the agenda in the coming year having them in writing would be very nice.

Rudi Vansnick: Rudi for the transcript. Well, I propose that we put a deadline in sending in the issues at least one week before we have a meeting so that we have the chance to go to our constituency and look into and have the ability to speak up for our community and not just my personal perceptions.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah. Very much agreed.

Rafik Dammak: So maybe as first item for you, Tapani, when will be the next EC meeting?

Tapani Tarvainen: I'll do it tomorrow. Tomorrow, Rafik, is still the chair.

Rafik Dammak: I mean, okay I have proposal for you. Tomorrow I secured the room for two hours, the meeting with the board. So if we have one hour in just the next you can spend it and kind of Executive Committee discussion. It can be more informal because was not planned but you can start then to discuss. I mean,

I'm not sure myself I will attend. But I think it's for you maybe to kind of preparing from there.

Tapani Tarvainen: That is a bit difficult for schedulers then also because we don't really know who will be in the NCSG EC to replace me at this position.

Rafik Dammak: Okay.

Tapani Tarvainen: So I think I'll have to schedule a meeting basically as soon as we know who will be there.

Rafik Dammak: Okay.

Tapani Tarvainen: So I don't think...

((Crosstalk))

Tapani Tarvainen: We can have informal discussions whenever but we don't really time to set up a meeting at this point.

Rafik Dammak: Okay.

Tapani Tarvainen: And we need to know who.

Rafik Dammak: So put that in your maybe to do list.

Rudi Vansnick: A question for clarifying my clarification of my memory. You are in the Executive Committee as an NCSG member?

Tapani Tarvainen: At the moment I'm in as the NCUC representative here.

Rudi Vansnick: Okay.

Tapani Tarvainen: But will vacate that position when I become chair and then they'll have to find someone else.

Rafik Dammak: Until now he is an NCUC representative to NCSG. And Friday, and his birthday, he will become NCSG Chair officially.

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: Okay maybe I suggest usually what I find most easy is to kind of agree with let's say at the last Friday of the month, something like that, so people can always have that in mind. You can do changes maybe holidays or, you know, because it's too close to ICANN meeting. Yes, Tapani, so I think maybe you can set up your plan or kind of action items.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes, we can carry on those on the mailing list.

Robin Gross: Mailing list please.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. We can still occupy the room but is there any other business you want to - okay. Thanks, everyone. I'm really sorry that I scheduled too early for you. It's challenging.

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: The problem why I scheduled because I was worried about you because there are the accountability session. The problem is that this is the - I think even Rudi know that when we schedule room meetings, Robin, we have no idea about what's going on so we schedule and just what we can we use is the previous like for example Buenos Aires, I know there was some session but have no idea what other session. And when we find out there is clash it's unlikely that we can change. So I try to avoid but it's not working well. I could spend 24 hours to 36 but it's not going to happen any time soon.

Yes, Rudi.

Rudi Vansnick: Yeah, well I have been talking to Tanzania yesterday about the issues of scheduling meetings. And the suggestion that I got from her is that if we can send it well in time before a month, two months before that we really need to have a meeting that they cannot move anywhere else than the time we are giving then they try to take care of. But she confirmed that she sleeps three hours the month before the ICANN meeting, three hours a night because everybody tries to change schedules.

Rafik Dammak: Yeah, I mean, we send in the deadline when Glen request us but because we don't have direct access to the meeting team so it's going through Glen. Maybe in the future it will be, Maryam? Yeah. Okay.

So any other business? Okay thanks, guys. Thank you, that's my last EC meeting. And I am happy.

END