

Maryam Bakoshi 2: (6/24/2015 13:33) Hello, my name is Maryam Bakoshi and I will be monitoring this chat room. In this role, I am the voice for the remote participants, ensuring that they are heard equally with those who are "in-room" participants. When submitting a question that you want me to read out loud on the mic, please provide your name and affiliation if you have one, start your sentence with <QUESTION> and end it with <QUESTION>. When submitting a comment that you want me to read out loud of the mic, once again provide your name and affiliation if you have one then start your sentence with a <COMMENT> and end it with <COMMENT>. Text outside these quotes will be considered as part of "chat" and will not be read out loud on the mic. Any questions or comments provided outside of the session time will not be read aloud.

Maryam Bakoshi 2: (13:33) All chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior:

<http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards>

Niels ten Oever - Article19: (13:43) previous slide pls Maryam

Amr Elsadr: (13:48) <QUESTION> @Lee: Could you please clarify what "international law" is referring to? <QUESTION>

Amr Elsadr: (13:49) Is my question premature? :)

Niels ten Oever - Article19: (13:49) A bit, but will ask.

Amr Elsadr: (13:51) Thank you very much.

Kathy Kleiman: (13:51) Is there any video component of this meeting? It would be good to see everyone!

Maryam Bakoshi 2: (13:51) No, there isn't :)

Matthew Shears: (13:52) the scope of intl law was much of the focus of that session

Amr Elsadr: (13:53) Thanks Matt. Looking fwd to what they come up with.

Kathy Kleiman: (13:56) @Maryam, alas, but good to hear everyone.

Maryam Bakoshi 2: (13:56) :)

Maryam Bakoshi 2: (14:06) Greg Shatan - IPC

Kathy Kleiman: (14:18) I agree with Michele - and for many working in the communications regulatory concepts. At the US Federal Communications Commission, we regularly talk about privacy, free speech, fair use and due process.

Greg Shatan: (14:21) While we should identify the issues we are discussing, we should not "cherry-pick" human rights. We need to consider the full panoply of globally recognized human rights.

Greg Shatan: (14:23) We can't consider just our "favorite" human rights.; they are interrelated.

Kathy Kleiman: (14:23) @Greg, traditional certain aspects of the human rights panoply apply to communications mediums. The

Internet is the greatest of all these communications mechanisms, so by extension the traditional communications human rights are the ones that are the first to come to mind.

Kathy Kleiman: (14:24) That said - I love all the human rights! As I know you do.

Stephanie Perrin: (14:25) I think it is important to set priorities when starting from zero. I don't want to, for instance, trade free speech for recycled toilet paper.

Greg Shatan: (14:25) The fact that they are first to come to mind is not a limiting factor. We need to consider how all of the internationally recognized human rights map against ICANN's mission and mandate.

Kathy Kleiman: (14:26) @Stephanie, LOL

Greg Shatan: (14:26) Recycled toilet paper is a CSR issue, but not AFAIK a human rights issue....

Greg Shatan: (14:26) Non-scratchy toilet paper, however....

Matthew Shears: (14:27) this is a great report and focus that ICANN could use as a model:

http://www.cisco.com/assets/csr/pdf/CSR_Report_2014.pdf#page=10

Bill Drake: (14:28) Kinda think TP refs, even if good humored, maybe dance up to the edge of trivializing rather non-trivial concerns...

Bill Drake: (14:29) I say this sitting next to Greg who I hope won't hit me

Greg Shatan: (14:32) Won't hit you, but the underlying point is that CSR relates to internal behaviors as well as external behaviors of a corporation. "Green" concerns are not trivial.

Bill Drake: (14:32) ok but not all CSR issues are HR issues

Greg Shatan: (14:32) True, which goes back to the question of scope of this effort.

Bill Drake: (14:33) kumbiya

Kathy Kleiman: (14:33) Will you be singing, Bill?

Greg Shatan: (14:34) Bill and I will be singing in harmony.

Kathy Kleiman: (14:35) @Greg, Great :-)!

Fabricio Pessoa: (14:35) I believe what Michele mentioned is an example of how it's time to start building the bridge between technical and human aspects of the internet. The technical part is well taken care of and nowadays Internet is not a geek thing anymore. So, would not it be the time for us to start bringing people from other areas such as communications, sociology and so to be able to work on the human aspects of the internet?

Greg Shatan: (14:37) Fabricio, you need to consider this in the context of ICANN's technical mandate.

Fabricio Pessoa: (14:38) I'm not sure if ICANN is the place for that, I understand that, but what I mean is that there is a need of building the bridge between these areas to the technical

aspects of ICANN

Fabricio Pessoa: (14:42) I also see that on what concerns privacy and so, there are lots of discussions about how things should or should not be done and people speaking as if they were speaking on behalf of the users, while the users themselves have no idea of how internet really works so that they can decide by themselves what is acceptable or not for them.

Greg Shatan: (14:42) [FYI, It's the GAC Public Safety Working Group....]

Greg Shatan: (14:50) The Council of Europe document is far too narrow a map. It's aimed at specific topics. If that's where we're going, this is not a Human Rights effort.

Kathy Kleiman: (15:00) Excellent meeting - look forward to continuing this very important discussion!

Anne Aikman-Scalese: (15:01) Would just like to point out that authors are users of the Internet and that authors are the authors of works that constitute free expression. Agree there is a big difference between ICANN corporate social responsibility plan applying to internal operations and application of these principles to end users on the Internet - which certainly requires issue scoping and policy making, e.g. as mentioned, likely a Cross-Community Working Group .

Greg Shatan: (15:03) @Desiree, I must respond and emphasize that IPR laws are intended to promote innovation. If we are going to bring the discussion down to that level this quickly, that may impede, rather than enhance, the ability to achieve meaningful results with regard to Human Rights.

Amr Elsadr: (15:04) Thanks folks. Great meeting.

Maryam Bakoshi 2: (15:04) Thank you, all