

ATRT2 Recommendation 6 Implementation

Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC)-related matters

6 October 2014

Major Milestone Timeline



Project Description

The purpose of this project is to reflect implementation of ATRT2 Recommendation 6, while recognizing the autonomy of the GAC as to implementation decisions and timelines. Recommendation 6 has nine sub-recommendations with two having eight and four components respectively - in total 19 elements of varying nature and level of detail. The common denominator is that they are related to GAC activities with a view to increase transparency of GAC activities and to increase government support and resource commitments to the GAC.

Status of Deliverables

	Status
Project Initiation Phase	✓
Planning Phase	●
Execution Phase	
Operationalize Plans	

- ✓ = complete
- = on schedule
- = behind schedule, expect to recover
- = behind schedule, do not expect to recover

Project Status

The elements of this Recommendation have been discussed within the GAC and the BGRI-WG (Board – GAC Recommendation Implementation WG, that has an oversight function for this Recommendation), which has led to attribution of implementation responsibilities for the individual elements to the BGRI-WG, the GAC and the ICANN GSE department, respectively.

While the process of planning and mapping tasks and milestones is currently ongoing, considerable progress can already be noted for implementation of certain elements, while others are at the early planning stages. Thus, solutions for 6.1.a and 6.7 are operational in practice, awaiting formal confirmation, and 6.4 and 6.5 have advanced substantially.

For a list of all the elements, see the [ATRT2 Final Report](#).

The planning phase is expected to be completed 31 October 2014, with timelines and milestones outlined by then.

Implementation Notes

The varied individual elements of this Recommendation require attention to multiple aspects. These remain to be identified in detail, albeit most will also depend on agreement on timelines and decisions by the responsible entities.

Regarding the proposed solution for Rec. 6.5, proposed Bylaws revision was posted for public comment (see [link](#)), though input received to date is largely not in favor, which needs to be taken into account.