ATRT2 Recommendation 5 Implementation

Decision-Making, Transparency and Appeals Processes

30 June 2016

Implementation 5 Timeline

June 2016
Operationalized

Recommendation 5 Implementation Description

Recommendation 5 states: The Board should review redaction standards for Board documents, Document Information Disclosure Policy (DIDP) and any other ICANN documents in order to create a single published redaction policy. Institute a process to regularly evaluate redacted material to determine if redactions are still required and if not, ensure that redactions are removed.

Status of Deliverables

	Responsible	Re-plan Date	Original Due Date
Create Pilot Log	**Staff**	✓	✓
Create report from log	**Staff**	✓	**September 2015**
Document process for review of redacted material	**Staff**	✓	✓
Finalize draft of Disclosure Guidelines into combined document and submit to Board Governance Committee for information and review	**Staff**	✓	**September 2015**
Publish Disclosure Guidelines combined document	**Staff**	✓	**October 2015**

Complete
Planned/In Process
Behind schedule, expected to recover within original plan
Behind schedule, original plan to be adjusted

ATRT2 Recommendation 5 Implementation

Decision-Making, Transparency and Appeals Processes

30 June 2016

Recent Update: The process of documenting for review of redacted material has been completed. The singular document that contains reference to ICANN's publication practices, including redaction procedures is now published on ICANN's Accountability page: https://www.icann.org/resources/accountability at: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/publication-practices-2016-06-30-en.

Project Status

A redaction log has been developed and sample reports are being developed so that such reports may be made publicly available to the community on the ICANN website in a way that provides transparency into ICANN's publication and disclosure practices. As the redaction project is underway, ICANN continues to improve its briefing material design, including more intensive consideration at the outset on the need for inclusion of materials that may require redaction.

Implementation Notes

Given that ICANN has posted Board Briefing Materials since 2010, we anticipate that a regular redaction re-evaluation path will only be for more recent materials on a going-forward basis. A successful re-evaluation process requires more in-depth tracking at the time that redactions are applied, an effort that continues to be refined over time.

ICANN