ATRT2 Recommendation 5 Implementation

Decision-Making, Transparency and Appeals Processes 2 April 2015

Implementation 5 Timeline



Operationalized

Recommendation 5 Implementation Description

This recommendation 5 states: The Board should review redaction standards for Board documents, Document Information Disclosure Policy (DIDP) and any other ICANN documents in order to create a single published redaction policy. Institute a process to regularly evaluate redacted material to determine if redactions are still required and if not, ensure that redactions are removed.

Status of Deliverables

	Responsible	Due Date
Create Pilot Log	Staff	✓
Create Report from log	Staff	April 2015
Document process for review of redacted material	staff	May 2015
Finalize Draft of DIDP & Guidelines into Combined Doc and submit to Board for review	Staff	May 2015
Publish combined DIDP & Guidelines Combined Document	Staff	June 2015



Complete

Planned/In Process

Behind schedule, expected to recover within original plan

Behind schedule, original plan to be adjusted



ATRT2 Recommendation 5 Implementation

Decision-Making, Transparency and Appeals Processes 2 April 2015

Project Status

A pilot redaction log is under development, and reporting capabilities are being tested so that a log can be made publicly available to the community. The log will assist in establishing a review cycle for the continued need for redaction for the separate items, and to accompany a process for such a review.

Work is also underway to deliver a singular document that will contain reference to ICANN's redaction process and practices. That document will serve as a single-source reference point for understanding the ICANN's redaction processes. It will be submitted to the Board committee responsible for oversight of the implementation of the recommendations for review prior to publication, with the goal publication by June 2015, if not sooner.

Implementation Notes

Given that ICANN has posted Board Briefing Materials since 2010, we anticipate that a regular redaction re-evaluation path will only be for more recent materials/on a going-forward basis. A successful re-evaluation process requires more in-depth tracking at the time that redactions are applied, an effort that is now underway.

