

---

TERRI AGNEW: Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening. This is the At Large Capacity Building Working Group call on Friday, the 26<sup>th</sup> of September, 2014 at 16:30 UTC.

On the call today we have Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Tijani Ben Jemaa, Maureen Hilyard, and Yasuichi Kitamura.

We have apologies from Ali AlMeshal, Jacqueline Morris, Pastor Peters, and Heidi Ullrich.

From staff we have Silvia Vivanco, Gisella Gruber, Kathy Schnitt and myself, Terri Agnew.

I would like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much and back over to you Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you very much Terri. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. So we start the teleconference on capacity building. And as you know, the last time we had the teleconference on capacity building, we decided to not prepare, but to use the webinar on the post-2014 IGF. And make it [inaudible]... to follow it, because this is a good way to make them learn more about what happened there.

And we also decided that when we organized webinar involved the ICANN 51 in Los Angeles. And this call will happen in a few days, so it wasn't planned to make another call now, but since there is something very urgent that the chair of ALAC asked all the

---

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

---

working groups to work on, which was the ATLAS 2 declaration, recommendation, [inaudible] on the working groups, and their working groups are charged now to prepare clear and practical recommendations to be sent to the Board.

So this call is more focused on this point, on this issue. And I want first to ask you, if you adopt the agenda I [inaudible], if there is any opposition to this agenda? Seeing no hands, I may, I understand [inaudible] you adopted, okay, Maureen thank you.

So we would start now by the point number three which is ATLAS 2 declaration recommendations, and I put a link here to the ATLAS 2 recommendations related to capacity building. I am sure you have received all received this because Olivier sent it to everyone. And we have two recommendations that were assigned to us.

The recommendation number two and the recommendation number 26. The first one, number two, says that the ICANN should increase support budget and staff to programs having brought valuable members to the community. And here three working groups were concerned by this recommendation: the outreach, the capacity building, and the finance and budget. Now, as capacity building working group, how we can formulate better clearly the recommendation to the Board, that the Board can understand, can act according to this recommendation.

This is the question. And I would like to ask Olivier to give more explanation about this, because this is the main concern today. Olivier, can you please take the floor?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah. Thank you Tijani. It's Olivier speaking. I have computer problems at the moment, I can't even read the recommendations. I'm sorry. I'm going to have to get [inaudible], give me a couple of minutes and explain other things, and I can get on with it. But at the moment, I would be working out of memory and I haven't even heard half of the things that you said. So that doesn't help, sorry. [Inaudible].

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay. No problem. And I notice that your voice is really distorted, so I am trying to understand, but because I know the subject, that's why I understand, but it is not [French] as the French people say.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Tijani, I'm in France. That's probably is why. This country doesn't have very good telecommunications. Let me just ask for Gisella to call me back again to try and get a better line. And then by that time, I'll also have the recommendations working. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay, thank you Olivier. So now coming back to our recommendation number two, I would like to know, how do you see, how do you think the capacity building working group can formulate, or give, a better formulated recommendation to the Board, so that the Board can act upon this recommendation. So

---

---

that recommendation is practical and can lead to something done by the Board?

I will read it again. ICANN should increase support, budget, and staff to program having brought valuable members to the community. And the note on this table, they say the five programs that brought valuable members to the community. So this also needs to be clarified. It needs to be more defined, more clarified.

So yes, Olivier, you have your hand up.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, thank you very much Tijani. Can you hear me better now?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yeah, much better.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. And my computer has started working too. So there you go. Obviously it was a problem that effected everything. I understand that you explained a little bit what the situation was. The two recommendations which are aimed at this working group here, the capacity building working group, are actually complex recommendations in that the ATLAS 2 follow up implementation team, sorry, implementation taskforce, the ATLAS 2 [imp?] for short, assigned those two recommendations to both the outreach capacity building and finance and budget committee for

---

recommendation number two, and the social media technology taskforce and capacity building for recommendation number 26.

I hope that you can both read those, because at the moment, they look very, very small. Oh my goodness. Yeah, this doesn't look very good at the moment on the screen. I hope you can link to it from the agenda. Yeah, you've got that linked from the agenda. So people can download that, it's a document which you'll read.

So, in effect, yeah, the Board is very interested by our recommendations, but the feedback they have provided is that many of them are just very open and not very targeted, and that we need to now target those, we need to focus them. And the Board doesn't like receiving recommendations saying, the ALAC wants the Board to do something about capacity building.

No, what the Board would like to receive is, okay, if we have a capacity building working group that wants the Board to do something about capacity building, what is it that we want the Board to do. And we have to spell out and be quite definite and quite up front about what we want the Board to do, and quite explicit about what we want the Board to do. So, when it says here, "ICANN should increase support, budget, and staff to programs having brought valuable members to the community," I can see several things that we have to work on.

First, what do we mean by increased support? Budget and staff, that's great, but to what extent do we see that, you know, what would be a good increase of support in the budget and a good increase in the support of staff? And then, if we say this, what we mean in there, "To program having brought valuable members to

---

the community,” how are we to quantify who are valuable members brought to the community?

Are we going to be saying, “What are the programs that will bring valuable members to the community?” This sort of thing. So we can do as little or as much as we want on this, but this is all keeping in line with the recommendations that ICANN should increase support, budget, and staff programs having brought valuable members to the community. And it’s the same thing with the other recommendation number 26.

We’ve got quite an open recommendation here, which has current policy management presence within ICANN or insufficient. ICANN must implement a workable policy management process system, available for use across the SOs and ACs. And then we’ve got the reasons, what we wanted to do. The reason why the social media and the technology taskforce and capacity building working group were there, were listed there, is because what we are looking at here is not just a quick fix, and saying, “Well, if you put the request for comments 24 hours earlier on this website, that will solve the case.”

No. Here we’re looking at really implementing something that’s more of a knowledge management system. And if we want that to be implemented, maybe we should start putting together a set of leads and requirements for this project management system. In fact, number 26 is going to be touched on when meeting with Mr. [inaudible], who is the new chief information and innovation officer at ICANN.

---

There is going to be some time for the ALAC to meet with him in Los Angeles. That would be some discussion. But ultimately it would be good if this working group here could perhaps, since we're dealing with capacity building, could perhaps put a set of requirements together for this policy management process system. The technology taskforce will probably make some suggestions as to how this could be built, and the social media working group will probably also say how this should be marketed and how this should look like.

That's the way I think we saw it all come together. And then we can go and speak to [inaudible], and at least we've got something to ask him. I hope I've been explicit, but not too explicit. If you have any questions, of course, I'm here to answer them.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you Olivier, thank you very much. But Olivier, if for recommendation number 26, I can understand that the capacity building working group is really onboard, and can do a concrete recommendation regarding the knowledge management. I don't see for the recommendation number two, how the capacity building can be involved, because it is about increasing support.

So it can be budget, it can be staffing, it can be anything, increased support for programs having brought very good members. So what is the place here of the capacity building? I don't see any learning aspect, any capacity building aspect in these recommendations.

---

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Can I answer?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, please, yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. It's Olivier speaking. So the capacity building working group is listed there because it was thought that some capacity building programs have brought new, valuable members to the community. Now if you believe that's not the case, then we can always take the capacity building working group out of this, but that means that you're not going to get any funding for any capacity building.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: The question is not this Olivier. Some programs brought valuable members, okay. So, this is not the issue of this recommendation, this recommendation is about increase the support. So increase the support for those programs. So are we trying to find all of the programs that At Large or ALAC has already implemented, and that brought valuable members?

So we have to, I don't know, we have to.... Here I see possibly the finance and budget subcommittee, and the outreach or so. But the capacity building, yes, the capacity building programs are bringing to the community valuable members. Is it the reason why we have, what kind of recommendation we can do for the goal about that. Can you say, we are doing very good job so we need more money?



---

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks very much for this Tijani. It's Olivier speaking. So, in what you've just said now, Tijani, you haven't told me, you just spoke about capacity building, you have not said a word about what exactly was the capacity building that you did, what was successful, what was not successful. And we're now working on a case of, ICANN is going to look at every single penny that it invests and that it spends on programs, and find out what kind of return this brings.

So, you know, you said, "Well, we need more money for capacity building." Well, for doing what? For travelling to places and telling people what ICANN is? For flying people to Los Angeles and teaching them how to be a member of At Large and how to be bottom up and all of this? Leadership training for having webinars in the different RALOs? You haven't mentioned what works.

And I think this is what we need to find out. What are the capacity building programs that we have so far that have brought valuable members of the community to At Large? And if you don't know the answer to that, then the case is closed. Then okay, fine, I would assume that nothing in the capacity building program has been successful in bringing members to the community.

But I think that's exactly what you don't want to hear. So, I'm being provocative here because I think that's what we need to put our favors on, and we need to show and say, you know, what are the programs that would benefit from an increased amount of funding?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay. I would like to hear from the others. Maureen please.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you Tijani. I'm sort of listening to Olivier, and I really do agree. I know it has probably been a while since I was with the capacity building group, and I do apologize for that. But, I do feel that before we can even start at looking at ways in which we can ask for further support, we really do need to evaluate what we've done and what sort of support we've had, and whether it's actually been effective in achieving what it is that we wanted to do.

And I think, you know, now that we're actually sort of looking at a program of capacity building activity and I really probably need to be refreshed on what there has been... I mean, I guess it means listing those webinars, listing those opportunities that have actually been offered. So we really do need to get our working group looking at putting something a little bit more constructive together, so that we can actually move on what we plan to do further, and what recommendations maybe required for that. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Maureen, thank you very much. Olivier, this is exactly what I wanted to say. We did programs, capacity building programs, and I think that they were relatively successful. They really brought, if you want, I would not say brought people. I would say made people more engaged, more involved, more participating. And we didn't ask for money for that.

---

---

We tried to make the capacity building program without, for sure there is a budget for that. The budget is for the staff work, etc. But we didn't ask for specific budget for the capacity building. And now, if we start asking for, this is what I see, because this is the issue that increased means, increased resources. So that means we have to ask for [inaudible] resources for the capacity building program that we are planning to do.

And I really don't want to do that. I want to prepare the programs. I want to try to make the programs as, not cheap, but without additional budget, because we can do things without budget, without additional budget, and I don't think it is a moment now to ask for more money. As you said, because ICANN is trying to see where the money is going, why we are spending a lot of money.

This is my, this was my concern. And I wanted to, we heard from Maureen, I would like to hear from the others, the other point of view. Olivier, go ahead please.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, thank you very much Tijani. It's Olivier speaking. So, two things. On the one hand, perhaps the one thing to do first is to take stock of what capacity building has been done at the moment, what costs it had, what are the different programs that we've had. Because you mentioned capacity building, but I'm not quite sure we're quite aware of what type of capacity building has taken place at ALAC level, at RALO level, locally, and also during meetings, etc.

---

But that's one. And of course the part of capacity building that took place over in ATLAS 2. Now, on your second point, where you don't think that we should now be asking for money for capacity building, I'm sorry to have to contradict you Tijani, but we don't have a choice. This is a recommendation from the ATLAS 2 that was adopted by 150 At Large structures, it was then voted by our 15 member ALAC and ratified.

This is something we need to do. And this is a recommendation to the Board. So now, you know, had you not been in agreement with this at the time in which this was drafted and so on, then you might have wished to put your point across at the time, but I'm really sorry. This is a recommendation. So we don't have a choice in that. Right now, it's implementation of where the recommendation is. Now, if you wish to go against the recommendation, then I think you would probably have to ask for a vote from the ALAC.

And I'm not sure that you would go back on a recommendation... I don't know how that would work. But you would probably have to ask the ALAC. And I, well, I don't know really. I'm a bit confused on that one.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Olivier, I will not go against the recommendation [inaudible], it was only the understanding of the recommendation. But, that is, I want the group, the working group, to think about this. All the working group. It was my point of view, I wanted to have the feedback from the others. So I had Maureen, now I give the floor to Dev. Dev please. You might be muted.

---

MAUREEN HILYARD: Sorry Tijani. This is Maureen Hilyard. Were you asking me a question?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Pardon? Pardon Maureen?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Sorry. Were you actually asking me a question, Tijani?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: No, no. I said that I heard from you, and now I give the floor to Dev. And Dev said problem with the microphone. Okay.

MAUREEN HILYARD: I was able to find my... If he is having a problem with the microphone, if I might just look like [inaudible] here, I do think that we are sort of like establishing that, you know, there is a need for some sort of an evaluation process, and I mean I think that the very first thing that we have got to do is actually sort of like do, you know, as Olivier says, we've got to take stock and actually sort of like start looking at all of the activities that have been provided.

And so that we can actually make some reasonable assessment as to the effectiveness of these programs, and what we need to look at, what... I mean, again, looking... As Olivier says, how do we quantify, how do we qualify, how effective each of those activities has been? So, I know that, you know, this is, it's quite a

---

big task even to be taking, to be setting at this stage, but I think it's happening that we've got to do. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Maureen. So Dev, can you talk?

TERRI AGNEW: Tijani, this is Terri from staff. We're actually going to dial out to Dev at the moment. Give us one more moment please.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay. Thank you. So the idea now, if I understood well from Olivier, is to try to assess the actions or the programs of everything we did, and try to explain how we, if we need more programs to bring more people into the community. This is what I understood from Olivier. Yes Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. It's Olivier speaking. You're absolutely correct. And I was going to ask you, are there any programs which you would have wanted to have, but which would require funding? And I think that's where the second part of that work would go. First part, taking stock. Second part, what are the programs that we would need staff support or budget that you would like to see implemented?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, okay, understood. Dev, go ahead.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thank you very much. This is Dev speaking. Sorry for the problems with the audio. I think one of the, obviously I agree with what Maureen was saying and Olivier was saying. You do need to take stock of what our efforts are, but I do think, and I think... I wasn't in the thematic group, but actually when I read this recommendation, the idea is [inaudible] these capacity building session accessible.

And by that I mean, you know, making it easy to find and for persons to easily digest. You know, a lot of our capacity sessions have been, I believe, through the Adobe Connect, and you know, maybe that is not a suitable format for easy retrieval, or even [inaudible] tape that capacity building session and play it back on their computer in the evening, when they don't have fast Internet access. That type of thing.

So I think where we're looking at in terms of staff, I think we want to increase, I guess I will call it our production value of our capacity building sessions, so that it could be put up on our ICANN At Large website, embed the video, that type of stuff. So making all our capacity building sessions more accessible and easier to share and so forth. So that's my thinking as part of that recommendation.

But we do need to take stock, what we have done so far, has it been effective? That's it. Thanks.

TERRI AGNEW: Tijani, this is Terri. If you are speaking, we're unable to hear you.

---

---

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Sorry Terri. You are right, I was muted. So thank you Dev for this. I have a question for all of you. Do you think that we have to make the [inaudible] for all the capacity building activities that have been done in At Large, or only those implemented and performed by the capacity building working group? This is one question I want to hear the response from you.

Olivier [inaudible] very good. That's exactly what I thought. So, Olivier said, all capacity building programs in At Large, not only those implemented by the working group. Very good. So, and Fatima is proposing a kind of recommendation for the Board on the chat. If we are going to ask more slots for At Large members in the Fellowship Program? Yes. Okay.

This kind of recommendation, that's right. Okay. This is for recommendation two, I think it is less complex than the recommendation number 26, but it was for me, it wasn't very clear... I understand that if we have to make this [inaudible], it's very good. We have to evaluate our classes, and to give what we did, what was the results, what was the cost. This is clear.

But for the future, yes we have a plan for one year, and we can say that this program, perhaps, needs more resources. And we have to be very clear on those resources. It is recommendation for the Board to be implemented, to be taken into account, so it must be very clear. Exactly like Fatima said, for example, more At Large people in the Fellowship Program, for example.



---

This is one point. Do you have other things to say about condition number two? Yes Olivier, please.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. It's Olivier speaking. I think you completely summarized exactly what was needed for recommendation number two. And you also noted that you were initially quite confused about this recommendation, and imagine the Board raising this recommendation. They were equally as confused, but you've just said now is exactly spot on with what needs to be done. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Olivier. Any other comments on recommendation number two? Okay. So, for recommendation number 36, one moment. I have to open my file. Recommendation 36, is for me less confusing. The recommendations says, "Current policy management processes within ICANN are insufficient. ICANN must implement a workable policy management process system, available for use across the SO and ACs, in order to first enhance knowledge management, second improve the effectiveness of all ICANN volunteers communities.

Third, improve cross-community policy specific activity, and enhance policy development metrics." After that, facilitate multilingual engagement, create a taxonomy of policy categories. And last, provide policy development system as met for newcomers. This is the recommendation. I read it very slowly. I think that we are involved in enhancing the knowledge

---

management. If there is other aspects that we may be involved with, please tell me.

I don't see any hands. Okay, Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this Tijani. It's Olivier speaking. So you're absolutely right, and I think you've completely covered what needs to be done here. The question I would like to ask the group is how far do we go? Do we go as far as putting together a proposal for a complete knowledge management system? So for the requirements of a knowledge management system, or do we leave it to the chief information officer to come up with a solution?

It really is how far do we want to go? And I'll listen to what others on this working group think. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you very much Olivier. Any other comments? Yes. Maureen agrees with you, and says, "How much do we add to policy development?" Yes, Maureen please, go ahead.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you Tijani. I'm just adding on to the... It sounds very sort of like policy driven, which I think is probably a little bit out of our frame, but I do think there are definitely areas in this section that we can focus on, that may perhaps influence policy. But I think that will come out of the evaluation that we do of our, of the program that we're, what we were talking about in section two.

---

Because the section two may actually relate a lot to some of these issues that have actually been raised in section 26. So, I think that when we're evaluating the programs that we actually already covered, we need to look at what has been covered and do we meet some of these needs, and how can we, how we might be able to adjust them. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Maureen. Thank you for that. Any other comments on recommendation 26? You still have your hand up Maureen. Do you want to take the floor again?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Sorry.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Olivier, please.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. It's Olivier speaking. So, the page that's on the Adobe Connect doesn't really show very much, because it's has got these... Well, on the right hand side, the assignees or the social media taskforce and capacity building, you'll note that some of the sub-points in there are, for example, facilitate [inaudible] engagement, or create a taxonomy of policy categories. The work has already started, for example, to create a taxonomy [inaudible].

---

So some of this has already started moving forward. Again, maybe it would be worth starting with taking stock of where we are, at what level are we on all of these sub-categories. So we're clear where we are, and then putting together a time table of what we want to achieve by when.

I think recommendation 26 is not going to be something that we are going to be able to do in one call, or one meeting, or in two weeks. This is something in which, hopefully, we could design by Marrakesh. So giving us three, four months, and would obviously need help from many different people. And might even the need the help to work already, hand in hand, with ICANN staff or with those people, either Mr. [inaudible] or the others that might delegate to this.

But obviously, we need to flesh this out as well. And what do we have today? What would we ideally like for tomorrow? Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you Olivier. Since I don't see another hand, I would like to ask you if you think that we have to work the recommendation 36, together with the other working groups designed for it, or assigned for it, or do we have to do our part of the recommendation and let the others do their parts? Do you think that we have [inaudible], to work with them, and to come out with a single, not a single, a common, a common recommendation to the Board?

Or should we work our part and shape it and in a single, or in a separate recommendation? Yes Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. It's Olivier. I'll defer to Dev on this one, since he is the chair of both the technology taskforce and the social media. So he would probably be able to respond a lot better than I will.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: So Dev. Where is Dev?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thank you. This is Dev Anand speaking. So, this also came from our thematic group, and I think one of the problems in terms of capacity building, is that it's very hard for a new person to really get into, to really understand what the background is behind any policy issue, and I think one aspect of where the capacity building working group could help, is the part regarding where it's providing the policy development history, as [inaudible] for newcomers.

Often, you know, we just get a public comment, and unless the persons are well, well versed in the policy issues, it's hard for... It's like, I'm trying to find the right analogy, it's like a train, it's a passenger train. And unless you hop on and you're on the train, it's kind of hard, especially if you're on the platform, to jump on the train. So, I think what we can also look at from the capacity building working group side of things, especially in terms of providing the policy development history, and ensuring that policy development history is, well good enough.

---

Is it not too complex? Does it break down the issue in easy to understand, digestible chunks, for our At Large members to come up to speed on the policy issue? So I think that's probably one aspect of it. I could go into the idea regarding how the system would work, but I'm not sure if that is really what is being asked. I may have missed the question then, and I apologize for that Olivier.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you very much. But I didn't think you answered my question. First of all, I think that the most appropriate part of the recommendation number 36 is the [inaudible] of the capacity building working group. This is the first remark. The second remark, my question was, do you think we have to work apart, we work alone? So you prepare the recommendation from your side and we prepare the part of the recommendation from our side?

Or do you think that we have to prepare it together? To make a common work? And to come up with a common recommendation to the Board? This is my question.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH:

Okay. Thank you. Thanks Tijani. This is Dev. With regards to the second part, I think, okay, regarding knowledge management is one, but that policy development history I think is an aspect for capacity building as well, to ensure that what is there can be accessible for At Large members to get up to speed on policy issue. So I think capacity building effects that point, the last point.

---

To answer the question, I think the technology taskforce and the social media working group is going to have its meetings on Monday to discuss and to try to flesh out these other points. I think we can try to come up with a document, and then send it to the capacity building working group for comment. So in terms of, discussing how we think this should work, the technology taskforce and social media could take the lead on it, and the capacity building could take the lead on the knowledge management part and the policy development history part.

So, yeah. So I mean the technology taskforce, social media, we'll try to document a bit more what we think we want this policy, the policy management process system, what it should look like, and you could... And well, the capacity building can review and comment on it. Would that be okay Tijani?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Yes. I understand very well what you said. This is exact answer to my question, thank you very much. So we'll work everyone from the other side, and then we'll try to converge the result that we will have. Each one will try to send the recommendation they come up with to the other groups, so that we can comment on that. This is clear and I am fine with it.

I saw Olivier has his hand up, but now he...

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

It was an old hand.

---

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay. It's an old hand. Okay. Any other comments or recommendation, comment or remark on this recommendation number 36? So I don't see any hand. We still have 15 minutes, so we can... Yes Olivier, go ahead.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. It's Olivier speaking. So, I was going to suggest, since we do have 15 minutes, if we now have any action items coming out of this call, because obviously we need to be absolutely clear as to what happens next. So with regards to recommendation two, what are the action items? With regards to recommendation number 26, what are the action items?

At that point we can track... Because I'm speaking now wearing the hat of the ATLAS 2 implementation taskforce, where we need to track how the work is going in all of the different working groups, that are now working on the different tasks that we sent them. And so, obviously, one of the things would be to come back now to the implementation taskforce, and let them know that the meeting has taken place, and what are the action items coming out of that meeting?

The second question I was going to ask you is, the timeline, because as you know, our first deadline is the ICANN meeting in Los Angeles. Do you expect anything to come back from your working group and the capacity building working group, to be able to give something to the Board, either before or in Los Angeles, regarding recommendations two and 26?



---

And that is something I leave very undefined. It could be just the status, a status report, or you know, basically saying, "This is what we're working on at the moment." Or it could be a full answer with a full proposal. But I seriously doubt that the only tools that you we have you could have a full proposal. So I would be edging more towards the status report. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you Olivier. Yes, for the condition of the [inaudible], the action items were first, make the [inaudible] of the capacity building activity inside At Large. I tried to give the out group the [resource], and tried to alleviate the costs of those activities. And then, for our next program, the program for the next year, what are the activities in terms of capacity building, and does it require more money or more, let's say, more resources?

So those are... So the first action item [inaudible] with the outputs and with the [forces?]. And the second action item is to propose a program with the required resources. This is for recommendation number two. As for, we ready to present something to the Board in Los Angeles? It cannot be more than a status report. I don't think that we can formulate the clear and implementable recommendation for the Board by Los Angeles.

Is there any comments regarding recommendation number two? I say the two action items. Is there any addition? Any modification? I don't see any hand. So I go to the recommendation number 36. And for recommendation 36, we have to, I think we have to give a conception of the knowledge

---

management, something that we didn't do before. And prepare this, and think about the resources needed for that.

Is there any comments about this point before I go further? I don't see any hands. Okay. For the history of the policy development that wants to be in the capacity building, even if I don't see it in the capacity building activities. But we can, if you want, give capacity building activities about the history. But I don't think it is part of the work of the capacity building. We may do any, we can do capacity building programs for any issue.

So it doesn't mean that any issue is, how do you say, not duty, but it must be given to the capacity building working group to give recommendation on. I want to have your point of view on this. I know the point of view of Dev, but the others please. Okay. I see Silvia. Go ahead Silvia.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Tijani, hello yes, this is Silvia. I see that Fatima Cambronero has put a note and she asks to read out. If you allow me, I will read out her comment.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Go ahead please, go ahead.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes. She said, "Tijani, maybe regarding recommendation number two, we ask collaboration to each RALO. They can help us to remark what capacity program, what is more relevant for them and

---

why. Also we can add something for them next week.” That’s all.  
Thanks.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you very much Fatima. Something for next week, what do you mean by that Fatima?

Outcomes to analyze, from the RALOs, next week? We would ask RALOs and will have outcomes from the RALOs and then we will invite them for next week?

Okay. Thank you. So it is not a recommendation but a suggestion that she made, and she said maybe it can be done. My own point of view, it is very difficult to have something for the next week. If you want to have kick back from the RALOs, you know very well that it will take much more time. And coming back to the RALOs, we have done a survey for the RALOs, before the summit, about what kind of capacity building they are in need of.

And we received their feedback, we ranked them, and we tried to make the capacity building program according to the choice of the RALOs, of the representatives of the ALSs. So, it is something that we have already done, and we can do at any time. But I think the time is very short between the last survey and what you are proposing now.

So, I don’t know if it is useful to do it now. I think that we know more or less, what kind of capacity building they need, and more than that, we also know what is urgent now to conceive a capacity building program about now, because we have the condition, we have the accountability, something that we need our ALSs to be

---

very knowledgeable about that. And so we have a duty to make capacity building about that for them.

And I think we have to do something intensive, so that there will be more aware about those questions, and they can participate in the discussion, and perhaps participate in the decision making. So, this is my point of view, and I will give the floor to Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Tijani. It's Olivier speaking. So, just to recap on the action items. From what I've heard here, it looks like... So for recommendation number two, one, make stock taking a current capacity building efforts, evaluate the output and costs. Two, propose activities in terms of capacity building, ask – and that's all part of two, ask RALOs to propose capacity building activities by the same time next week.

Make use of the ATLAS 2 survey answers in proposing the activities. And I think this basically says, you know, you can ask the RALOs to propose capacity building activities, and maybe some people will have suggestions for it, but also the core amount of activities to be proposed will be coming from this ATLAS 2 survey answers that you're very, very rightly reminded us about.

And three, the third action item for recommendation number two, was provide status report to Board in LA. Are these okay?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, okay. And I will ask you that, that the survey result, and with asking the RALOs about what kind of capacity building they want,

---

we have to add, design capacity building programs for the hot topics in discussion now. For the future of ICANN and the future of the Internet. This is something that we have to do, even if people don't ask for it, we have to do it. It is our duty, and it is compulsory for everyone.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:       Okay.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:            Okay.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:       Okay, so that's for recommendation two. And then, you have got to think about recommendation 26.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:            Yes. I said that we have to think about a knowledge management system, because it wasn't done before by the working group, or by any other one. We talked about this in the framework of the ICANN Academy. But not in the framework of any other structure in ICANN or in At Large. And so, we need to think about knowledge management system, and proposed suggestions with the cost, or with the resources needed for it.

This is the recommendation I see. Not recommendation, the action item that I see. Siranush says, "I agree Tijani." Okay. Okay, Olivier please.

---

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Old hand.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Is the recommendation, well it's Olivier speaking. The recommendation as it's listed in the summary minutes and action item as you said it?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Recommendation 26, no. Think about the resources for, no, no. It is not that. It is thinking about a knowledge management [inaudible] system, and try to propose suggestions about that with the resources needed for it. Is there any comment about that?

Thank you Olivier. Any other comment? Okay. We are one minute before the end of this call. I have another point of the agenda that we will address which is the next steps, and I wanted to speak about the face to face meeting in Los Angeles. But I wanted to tell you that we need in this meeting, to design the program.

I will propose a program, but I want you to discuss this program during this face to face meeting, and to perhaps propose more or change it, etc. It will be the main item of the agenda, the program for the [activities?] for the next year, and it will be function, or it will be according to the survey, to the ATLAS 2 survey. It will be also

---

according to the hot topics now under discussion, so it will be all of this and I hope that we will have agreement about it.

The capacity building working group will take place on Monday, 13<sup>th</sup> of October, in Los Angeles. And you have the link here to the agenda, and the it is not yet updated, but you have the link to the meeting there. This is what I wanted to add to item number four of the agenda today. If there is a comment about that, please pick up.

Okay, no hands, so agreement. Any other business? Is there any other business? Okay, no other business. So thank you very much. We are one minute behind. Thank you very much. It was productive, I think, and I hope that we will be able... I guess before I close this meeting, I like you all to contribute in the production of the material we are going to do for the assessment for the [inaudible], and also we have to, it is teamwork.

So everyone has to participate. So please, we have our mailing list, we have also the wiki, so any contribution by wiki, by mailing list, will be very welcome so that we can advance our work. The work cannot be done only by the teleconferences. Thank you very much. And bye-bye.

**[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]**