

---

TERRI AGNEW: Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening. This is the ALAC Leadership Team ALT mid-monthly meeting on Tuesday, the 16<sup>th</sup> of September, 2014 at 20:00 UTC.

On the call today we have Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Tijani Ben Jemaa, Holly Raiche, Glenn McKnight, and Evan Leibovitch. Joining us a little later will be Murray McKercher and Dev Anand Teelucksingh.

Our liaisons are Maureen Hilyard (ccNSO), Alan Greenberg (GNSO), and Julie Hammer (SSAC).

I show no apologies.

From staff we have Heidi Ullrich, Silvia Vivanco, Ariel Liang, Gisella Gruber; and myself, Terri Agnew.

I would like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much, and back over to you, Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Terri. Welcome, everyone. Have we missed anybody in the role call? I haven't heard anyone missed. Okay, fantastic.

Welcome, everybody. The first question, which actually was on the chat, was whether this meeting was public or whether it's private. In fact, all ALAC and ALT meetings are all public. We absolutely welcome observers to be part of the meeting. If you have a question or comment and so on, just put them on the chat. Of course, if there's a burning question, I

---

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

---

might even give you the floor if we have time. Unfortunately, we're a very chatty [inaudible] here – chatty, as in chatting a lot. We only have an hour and 30 minutes – 90 minutes ahead of us – to discuss a number of very important things that we need to totally collaborate on quickly.

So let's start with the action items. We have two sets of action items to look through. The first one is to do with the ALAC meeting of the 26<sup>th</sup> of August, and the next one is the ALT meeting of the 28<sup>th</sup> of August. So the first one, we'll just go through the action items which were not completed.

We have the standard one of Ariel to work with Dev and Olivier on the development of an overall workspace for the collection of At-Large AIs. We have staff to monitor the use of additional languages over the course of a few months. And we have the issue of volunteer recognition and consideration to be taken up by Olivier with other SO and AC chairs. Finally, we have staff to investigate with web admin if and when we can move the ATLAS II website of Eduardo Diaz server.

The first one – collection of At-Large AIs – that's still in motion. That's still going on.

The language. Additional languages. Could we just have a quick feedback on how have languages been implemented? I believe Chinese and Russian are now in use. Hello? Could I please ask maybe Heidi if you could please speak in English to us.

---

HEIDI ULLRICH: I will do my best speaking in English. I don't have that information. Gisella has that information, but I know that she is urgently working on a technical issue on her computer.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this, Heidi. While she has found the mouse click to click and put her hand up, Gisella you have the floor.

Unfortunately, we can't hear you at the moment, Gisella. Okay. Lots more people are typing. We can't hear Gisella. It seems to be a technical issue. No. "I will provide an update here." Okay, excellent. Raf can hear her. I can't hear her. Julie can hear Gisella. I can't hear anyone. Don't tell me I've been cut off.

TERRI AGNEW: Olivier, you are still connected.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I can hear you, Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Oh, okay. So it looks like we have two half of the world that cannot hear each other.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Everyone can hear Olivier, I think.

---

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Can you hear Gisella?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Well, Raf can hear Gisella and Julie Hammer can hear Gisella. Holly cannot.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Raf, can you speak? Can we hear you?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I suspect that they're on the AC and we're not. We're on the bridge.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Obviously, some technical problem that we're having here. I could actually put the headphones of the AC on as well, but it doesn't make sense if the two things are not connected together. Let's just let Gisella provide her update on the chat and let's move on, bearing in mind then that some people that are currently on the AC will not be able to speak unless something gets done, some magic gets done, to link the two things together.

The issue of volunteer recognition and consideration is something that I've taken up with other SO and AC chairs. Unfortunately, there has been absolutely no response for the time being. The cycles in our

---

venerable chairs are taken up primarily by IGF, plus accountability issues, plus the IANA stewardship transition. Three topics, and unfortunately there's no room for a fourth at the moment. I'll follow-up in the next call and see if we can actually move forward on that.

Finally, the web admin, when in the world will we be able to move the ATLAS II website of Eduardo's server? Perhaps, Heidi, you might know an answer for this, please.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I'm actually going to give it to Ariel.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, let's hope Ariel is on the right side of the world. Ariel Liang, you have the floor.

ARIEL LIANG: Of course, I apologize for the continued delay on this progress, but we have got in touch with the web admin and looking into solutions. They proposed two solutions. One is to move the site to the archive side of the ICANN system. Most of the static content will be preserved, but some of the drop-down menus and some more fluid functions may not be able to preserve as they are moving the [WordPress] [inaudible] platform. So that's one solution.

And another solution is Chris is looking to potential hosts for this domain name, but they still need to get that to us about the second

---

solution. So we will be keeping in touch and provide more updates later on.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks very much for this update, Ariel. I heard someone say [inaudible].

ALAN GREENBERG: For \$5.95 a month we can buy a web host contract.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for this input, Alan. I think we might have to submit a budget request for next year's fiscal year. Since you will be the chair of the Finance and Budget sub-committee, I guess you'll already know the first task you'll have.

ALAN GREENBERG: I think I have a better idea. We should pass the hat around when we get to LA and collect money from people. Five dollars each will easily fund us for a year.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Actually, more than a year. We might even get the [upper] bandwidth. All right, I'll leave it with you, Ariel. Please check with Eduardo Diaz what the requirements are. I understand it's a standard WordPress website. It doesn't need a rocket scientist to change it from one website to another. This is getting a bit silly.

---

Let's go down to the newly-assigned action items. Terri Agnew is to follow-up on the missing report from ATLAS II working group chairs. I understand that this is not only missing reports, but there's actually a follow-up which has since taken place with a small working group that was set up, which is actually supported by Gisella – the follow-up reports and so on. Terri, would you like to give us a quick update, please?

TERRI AGNEW:

Certainly. I have followed up with the one missing report and still waiting for them to reply.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay, thank you. Gisella, your hand is still up. Okay. Oh, here we go. Your hand is down now. Okay, thanks very much.

Now let's jump over to the ALT call of the 28<sup>th</sup> of August. We have several action items there. Very similar open action items with regards to the workspace for the collection of At-Large action items and the additional languages we've seen as well. Then we have, regarding the following agenda items – Speaker's Bureau, ALAC request. Should the ALAC ask that the Speaker's Bureau list of potential speakers be expanded to include a database of well-known and vetted community members that would be able to address communities in their local language or local cultures or by topic rather than having it restricted currently to only staff and Board speakers? Darn, I couldn't say that sentence in one go.

---

Staff to get in touch with the Speaker's Bureau first, and then Olivier to write a note to the Speaker's Bureau and push for a statement. Staff, have you spoken to the Speaker's Bureau? Heidi?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I thought that was back to you, because you had agreed that you would send a note to the Speaker's Bureau.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So we've reached the second part of it. I'm fine with that. I read that in a different way. Maybe we should have an update on this. I usually check on action items assigned to me and this one just looked as though I wasn't done yet. But yeah, I haven't done it either. So fine. I'll write, but I don't know who I should write to on the Speaker's Bureau. I would be happy, with your help, to follow-up after this call.

Let's move on. If you look further down the page, there are no other action items. Any questions, comments on any of these action items? I see Tijani Ben Jemaa. Tijani, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Hello, Olivier. You asked to whom you have to write for the Speaker's Bureau. There is only one person who is actually in charge of that that I know [inaudible] and I think at the Speaker's Bureau under the [inaudible] – what's her name?



---

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Janice. Is it Janice?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sally. I believe it's Sally.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Sally.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Sally Costerton. Okay.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes. So I think you have to write to Sally.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you, Tijani. I'll check with staff and I'll write to whoever needs to be written to. We'll [inaudible] it out. Okay, let's move back to our agenda. We can now go to the items for discussion.

Policy development activities. I think you're all well aware of what we currently have on the table. Enhancing ICANN accountability 2.0. Are there any questions or comments on this? Because this is, to give you a background of it – I think I might have mentioned it – but there were discussions in Istanbul. Daily breakfasts between several SO, AC, and SG chairs that were present in Istanbul. The Board members that were there and the ICANN senior management that was there, one of the

---

discussions took place relating to the accountability thread in ICANN and also the IANA stewardship transition thread.

Certainly on the accountability thread there were many in the GNSO that were unhappy with the process by which the whole system of having a coordination group on one side and a cross-community group on the other side all set up and vetted by the Board and by staff. They weren't happy with that whole setup. There was some feedback, some amendments made. But also certainly a concern that there was no public comment period on that. So now it's open for 21 days.

I know that the ALAC has already submitted I think two questions out of a massive list of questions that was sent to ICANN staff and we haven't received any reply yet. But do we wish to add any more questions, anything else, to what we've already sent so far?

Alan Greenberg?

ALAN GREENBERG:

Sorry. My hand was up before you asked that question. We pretty well went to the [inaudible] saying, "You cannot go ahead with this without a comment period." It's going to look awful bad if there aren't any comments. Just noting that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you, Alan, and thanks for telling us of impending doom.

---

ALAN GREENBERG:

No, no. The point is, yes, there was a long list. If we believe some of them are absolutely crucial or specific changes need to be made, I think we should be submitting something either as a group or individually. Otherwise it's a paper tiger and it's not going to go well next time we say something has to be done.

I think the extent that we cared that what they set up wasn't right, we need to be making statements then. I'm happy to have individuals do it and you on behalf of the things you heard, even if you're not speaking formally on behalf of the ALAC. But I really think we need to be vocal in this case.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks, Alan. Next is Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you, Olivier. There was a webinar two days ago about ICANN accountability. From the questions, especially on the replies of [Theresa], I understood that everything you say she'll tell you, "Go the public comment and please make your comments there." And they understand it because normally everyone has to go to the public comment and [inaudible] the questions over there.

I hope that there will be some change out of the public comment because they see that they are going on with the program since they are asking for membership [inaudible] and I rest the point. She told me, "Go to the public comments."

---

I think that the best thing we have to do is to comment in the public comments now, and if we want, ALAC will make a special statement. If we think that what was done right now by the SO and AC chairs is not enough, we can't do it. But I think that everyone has questions and remarks and we have to go there and put them.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this, Tijani. So do we have anybody who wishes to either put some ideas forward and put them on the Wiki or we need a pen holder or someone to volunteer on this stuff?

I don't see anyone stepping forward. Let's just put some ideas on the Wiki. I have been wracking my mind thinking what other questions can we ask, and I haven't got any other questions for the time being. Or what comments can we make on this? Then again, just being able to just speak to the Board on a daily basis for the past week. That makes it a lot harder for me to think of questions that are not asked.

Alan Greenberg?

ALAN GREENBERG: I certainly will be putting something on. It won't be until the beginning of – probably Tuesday of next week. But that's getting a bit late to do it on behalf of the ALAC and have other people supported. But I will be putting some stuff on there and I'll probably be making a statement on my own right. I think people need to go over the large laundry list and anything else that I think I have missed and identify what they believe are showstoppers.

---

We've criticized the process that staff has come up with and I think we need to identify specific things we want done. Not just ask questions, because the questions will yield answers we may not like, but suggest alternatives or say what it is we need to believe that we'll have some faith in it when the process goes forward.

I have no problem with them going ahead with what they orchestrated. Time is passing as the comment period is open. Yes, we may end up with wanting two people for AC and SO and we'll only have one, but I think we need to start the process, whatever it is, and then alter it based on the outcome of this comment.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay. Thanks very much for this, Alan. Certainly the comment period closes on the 27<sup>th</sup> of September. So that's just a week-and-a-half from now and you would be submitting a comment on the 23<sup>rd</sup>. [inaudible] time, but of course not in enough time for the ALAC to be able to go to its usual process of vote, etc.

I would say we'd have to close the current ALAC comment period by the weekend and then launch a vote, if we are to have anything for a statement – launch a vote next week to be in time for the 27<sup>th</sup>. I would imagine that since time is of the essence, action will be taken very soon after the 27<sup>th</sup> for the process to move forward.

I note in the chat Holly saying, "Could you circulate anyway?" Please do so. It's always better to have some circulation, some discussion, than having absolute silence.

---

Let's move on and go to the next one, and that's the Board working group report on Nominating Committee. Eduardo has volunteered to draft a statement. I haven't seen anything yet on there. I've been telling the different RALOs to have a look at that, because it is important. This really affects the ALAC. We've got five people in the Nominating Committee and they choose five people on our committee. So there are some comments in there, and I know that Eduardo is working on this. I might just drop a note to Eduardo and find out how he's going on with it. The deadline for it is the 21<sup>st</sup> of next month, so there's still plenty of time for us to come up with a statement on that. I wouldn't open a debate on this call on this.

And then, finally, the introduction of two-character domain names for dot-[inaudible], dot-global, etc. All these are going through a standard procedure. I think we've got the comment period open for just a week, and if there are no comments because the request is exactly the same as prior comments for two-character domain names, then we will be automatically ratifying this by default.

Alan, you put your hand up. Yourself.

ALAN GREENBERG:

On the NomCom one, Eduardo had crafted a pretty good statement. I made a few more comments on it and he said he would try to integrate it. I don't think he has done the revision yet, though. At least, I haven't checked. I haven't seen a Wiki e-mail saying that it changed, so perhaps we need to ask him what our target date is.

---

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks for this. Let's ask Ariel to please follow up with Eduardo on that. That's an action item. Thank you.

Next we have the review of the ICANN 51 At-Large meeting schedule and agendas, and that I believe, ladies and gentlemen, is going to be the largest part of our work today because we've got a big, big agenda when we will meet in Los Angeles and I therefore ask you if you could please turn to that meetings page. Los Angeles meeting, October 2014. On there you will have a link to the meeting agendas, and the meeting agendas are starting from Sunday all the way down to Friday.

What I was going to do was to take you through these meetings. Perhaps Gisella, if she's now back on the right side of the world – Gisella to take us through these and to comment on these.

I'll be very frank with you all. When we meet face-to-face, we are absolutely exhausted because we have such full meeting agendas. Yet, when we build the agendas – and when I say "we" it's usually staff and I and a few others – everyone asks for a meeting during the face-to-face meetings and that's how we end up with very busy meeting agendas. It's a bit of a vicious circle and I'm not quite sure how to resolve that one. Have a lighter meeting schedule and people start complaining that we are not making use of the time that we are face-to-face. Keep the same schedule and we're basically putting everyone to bed at 9:00pm because they're exhausted of the day, except of course there's [inaudible] quantities of coffee or alcohol. But that's a different thing.

So let's start with the Monday meeting agenda. Gisella, are you back online? Are you able to speak to us?

GISELLA GRUBER: Olivier, Gisella here. I'm here.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Oh, welcome. Super.

GISELLA GRUBER: Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, I can hear you. I said, "Welcome." I didn't speak to a ghost. I spoke to Gisella.

GISELLA GRUBER: Just double checking.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Welcome. Please take us to the Sunday agenda, or should I just take us through this?

GISELLA GRUBER: Olivier, if I may, I'm going to leave Sunday up to Heidi on the agenda, and I'll take it after Sunday. Heidi I believe will be doing the ALAC side of the updating of agendas, if I may.



OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you very much, Gisella. You've absolutely confused me, but that's fine. Just to reduce the confusion, I should say just look at the English column. Do not look at the other two languages, because these are not updated. So the English column is the important one. Heidi, over to you.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you, everyone. I'm going to go relatively quickly, except for the areas that still have some concern. I put the Sunday agenda page into the [inaudible], so we'll just read through it.

Just confirming again, we have not sent any invitations out yet, so some of this might change just a bit, depending on their availability.

So first we have Olivier starting out setting ground rules and an outline of the week. Then we have 30 minutes with Fadi, followed by Sally and her entire team, this time for a little bit more – 9:45 to 10:30 – and we're going to start with Nigel I think you said, Olivier. No, Save. Sorry, we're going to start with Save this time.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: We'll start with the ones who never have a chance to speak because they usually are the ones that we run out of time on. So yes we will start with those who weren't able to talk to us last time.

---

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. And maybe also hand it over to [inaudible], the AFRALO regional vice president, and ask him about the plans for Marrakech.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Pierre Dandjinou.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, sorry. I knew it was a P. I knew it started with a P. I'm terribly sorry. Then we have a 30-minute coffee break moving on to 45 minutes with Theresa Swinehart on an update on ICANN accountability and transparency activities. Then we have 30 minutes with Chris.

A question – I remember on the last either ALT call or ALAC call, there was an action item to invite Ashwin Rangan as well, so I added him for 15 minutes. I just wanted to confirm that that's going to be enough.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, thank you, Heidi. I would say we might need a little bit more for Ashwin. This is a time where we might be speaking to him about this overall system for tracking advice, tracking issues and so on. This knowledge management issue in ICANN, that might take a little bit more than just 15 minutes to touch on.

HEIDI ULLRICH: So what I could do is move him down to Item 11. We'll see that there's a TBD there, and that's a 30-minute block. Then give Chris Gift more time for the website.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Are we okay with this?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. So moving on. Then we have a quick update on GNSO review with Larisa Gurnick and Matt. And moving on, then we have lunch. That's quite a long time, an hour and 15 minutes. There's plenty of time. There are a lot of food options. Right across the street, there's a really upscale mall, huge food court. Plenty of time.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Heidi, I would ask that we go through the agenda and not consider the food at the moment, please.

HEIDI ULLRICH: So just really highlighting hot topics one and two. We have ICANN accountability and transparency for the first one, and then hot topic two is the NTIA IANA function stewardship. Okay, that's it for Sunday.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Heidi. So you will find that again here we've got discussion with ICANN staff in the early part of the day, and then our own things in the latter part of the day. The reason that we have it on Sunday is because that's the only time we actually have access to ICANN staff. We felt it was important to have Fadi, Sally, and Theresa as being the most important people at the moment to speak to, since we've got

---

---

the IANA stewardship transition and also ICANN accountability that goes through.

And then Chris Gift, because we absolutely need our website to be re-developed. And as you know, there is some work that is now finally started after four years of being around. So we absolutely need to talk to him about that. Ashwin, as we just mentioned, was to do with the knowledge management.

And then the GNSO review is a very important part of ICANN, I guess, and so that was probably the only time we could have just a quick update on that.

Contractual compliance, as you know, is important. And then in the afternoon, the hot topic sessions are really an introductory to hot topic sessions. We need to get our ducks in line before the start of the week since there will be a number of ICANN accountability and transparency, and also NTIA IANA functions cross-community discussions afterwards. So this is where we will be able to actually, if we are still awake, be able to set our lines on what we want to [defend].

Alan and then Julie Hammer. Alan Greenberg?

ALAN GREENBERG:

Thank you. There's a half-hour "to be determined" slot. Is that something we're holding [inaudible] for last-minute urgent things? What is the plan?

---

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Alan. I think Heidi just mentioned we could move Ashwin Rangan for that so that we have a half-an-hour with him rather than just 15 minutes.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Please refresh. I've made that change.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Lesson one when reading these agendas: refresh. There we go. All changed now. So 12:15-12:30, Ashwin Rangan. That's not correct, but I will say it's probably – okay, the time is wrong.

HEIDI ULLRICH: The time is wrong. Sorry about that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Lesson number two: don't look at the times. Next is Julie Hammer.

JULIE HAMMER: Yes. Thanks, Olivier. Just a quick one. I just wanted to confirm that that slot from 15:30 to 16:30 has been confirmed with Patrik. I think we did that some time ago, but I know he's very busy and [inaudible] specifically.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Julie. Over to you, Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes. Gisella and Julie Hedlund confirmed that some time ago.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Excellent, thank you. Let's move over to Monday, please.

GISELLA GRUBER: I'm going to take you through Monday. Just bear with me for a second. I've just updated the Wiki page, so I'm going to share the new Wiki page, which is on its way. There we go. LA Monday is on its way.

Monday we're going to start with the ALAC and NCSG meeting. My suggestion is 7:15 to 8:15, which will allow us to get to the opening ceremony which starts at 8:30. The agenda is being developed by [inaudible] and Rafik. It needs to be in before Friday, but I will send a reminder to all the working group chairs, as well as for this meeting, to have the agenda in by Friday.

Then on to the welcome ceremony from 8:30 to 10:00. From 10:30 to 12:00 is the first high-interest topics, which is SO-AC led. Then we have from 12:15 to 13:45 the full title is "Meeting of the Cross-community Working Group to Develop on IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal on Naming Related Functions." I still have to update the Wiki page with the very long title.

We then move on from 13:30 to 14:45, high interest topic two, which is "All Things WHOIS Now and in the Future." Please bear in mind everything that is in green is not set in stone. We have an initial draft

---

---

that we're sharing with you today with the ALAC leadership team. It's not set in stone, so things may still change around while they're developed in the ICANN schedule.

15:00 to 16:15 we will be moving on to high interest topic three, which currently is an update on next round of new gTLDs. We then move on to a working group meeting from 16:00 to 17:00, the At-Large Capacity Building Working Group for which the agenda is still outstanding. 16:30 to 17:45 – so it will overlap with both our capacity building meeting as well as the ATLAS II Implementation Taskforce meeting. The high interest topic three, which is the new gTLD program update. It isn't actually labeled as "high interest topic" but these time slots were kept as place-holders for high interest topics.

We have our ATLAS II Implementation Taskforce meeting from 17:00 to 18:00, and then we'll swiftly move on to the At-Large New gTLD Working Group from 18:00 to 19:00. All three of these meetings are currently scheduled to be held in the Olympic room, which is the ALAC room.

And Monday evening [inaudible], so you have a free evening.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you very much for this. Did you say there's no gala?

GISELLA GRUBER: No.

---

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, she did say that.

GISELLA GRUBER: There was an announcement earlier on. I believe it's been posted on the ICANN website and it was – from the one I read was by Chris Mondini.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. So will there be a gala at any other time in the evening or [inaudible]?

GISELLA GRUBER: It's no gala because there is no one to sponsor the gala.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks very much for this. These are lean times. Goodness gracious. Next thing we'll probably have to sleep in the streets. Who knows?

GISELLA GRUBER: Well, we may cycle to LA next time. We may need to [inaudible]. But we do have a little – we will definitely have [inaudible] opportunity of the music night, which I believe is always highly appreciated by the community, which is on Tuesday night sponsored by PIR.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic. So we'll look forward to that. So just one small thing, because I heard a few people cringe silently around the world, but I felt the



---

energy. The 7:15am start on a Monday morning. Just another manic Monday in the middle having had such a long thing.

Now, you might all ask, “Why are we meeting the NCSG so early?” whilst we usually meet them on Thursday. The key to that is the fact that on Thursday there are going to be some other high interest topics that will be discussed with hubs, etc. We will touch on that in a moment when we go to the Thursday. But it means that, unfortunately, we have had to rearrange our schedule, and the meeting that we usually have with the NCSG during lunchtime on the Thursday. This had to be moved to this time slot here.

The ALAC meeting has had to be moved – the wrap-up has had to be moved earlier on Thursday morning, and split in fact so that we do one part during lunchtime and one part during the Thursday early morning. That’s unfortunately the same sort of problem that we had in London. We’re probably going to have to work out some changes. If these Thursday sessions are going to be coming and are going to be followed at every ICANN meeting, we might have to do our wrap-up earlier than we currently do it.

Alan Greenberg?

ALAN GREENBERG:

Thank you. Can we make it very clear ahead of time to everyone attending whether there will or will not be coffee and anything else at that meeting? I’m serious. Sometimes it’s very nice when we show up and find coffee, but people have gotten up 45 minutes earlier to have breakfast not having been told there’s coffee. Let’s just make it clear.

---

I'm not going to go into a rant that there must be coffee, but let's make it really clear what's happening or not.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah. Thank you for this, Alan. I've made a request for it to be breakfast and for it to be lunch on the Thursday. I've had a reply that finance has to give a green light to it. As you remember, in London we were provided with breakfast. In fact, the ATLAS II was provided with breakfast and also the ALAC was provided with a lunch.

I saw that Gisella had her hand up and now she's completely disappeared of the Adobe Connect.

GISELLA GRUBER: Olivier, I'm here. I [inaudible] crashing all the time. I'm back.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, welcome.

GISELLA GRUBER: I would just like to say, Alan, in response to Alan's query, we have, for instance, for the Monday morning requested coffee and hopefully a light breakfast or at least pastries. We haven't had any confirmations about any of our catering requests yet. So for any meeting that starts before half-past seven, we have tried to ask for breakfast. As I said, not yet been [inaudible]. And as Olivier mentioned, the Thursday sessions at

---

7:00am, as well as the lunch sessions, we are hoping to have that covered for both breakfast and for lunch.

What I suggest is when I send the reminders out the day before, we will notify you whether there is breakfast or not. Hopefully you will have a response before then, but at least you will have on the day of the update whether there's coffee.

I obviously don't want to attract every Tom, Dick, and Harry at the ICANN meeting to come and have our coffee and pastries.

ALAN GREENBERG: Private notification is fine. I'm just saying, at least to the ALAC and to the liaisons, just to make sure people know. That's all I would suggest.

GISELLA GRUBER: Alan Greenberg, your request has been noted.

ALAN GREENBERG: Tom and Dick is fine. I draw the line at Harry.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, let's move on then, ladies and gentlemen. We still have plenty of time. Any other questions, by the way, for this Monday? It is going to be a crazy Monday again. I love the idea of having high interest topics. I would call them special high interest topics. There are quite a few there. "All Things WHOIS: Now and the Future" we don't know whether that will include EWG and the [PPSCI]. There are very broad terms at the

---

moment, but it's very important that we're able to attend some of these.

As you will notice, we have a few of our own sessions in the afternoon. The Implementation Taskforce is very important, and certainly the New gTLD Working Group is also going to have a very important meeting there. I hope we're not going to fall asleep.

Tuesday, please? Back to you, Gisella.

GISELLA GRUBER:

Thank you, Olivier. We start very early every day of the week. It's just to keep you on your toes. Tuesday morning, 7:00 to 8:00am At-Large Accessibility Working Group. Again, coffee and pastries have been requesting. Whether that will be confirmed, I don't know. We're hoping for at least coffee.

We then have 8:30 to 9:30 our usual meeting with the Board. Now, as of Marrakech, that may change over to the Wednesday as they had initially tried to put us on the Wednesday, but they came back to the Tuesday and they said was the change wouldn't happen until Marrakech. So I will see how it pans out in Marrakech.

Then from 10:00 to 12:00 we have the ALAC Work Part 1, and I'll hand it over to you, Heidi, for the agenda.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Thank you, Gisella. ALAC Part 1 is the same schedule that we normally have for that particular session, but with more time for less – a smaller

---

number of working groups. So the At-Large working group update will be limited to four, as you can see there. Evan, you have two. Just a head's up there.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Understood.

HEIDI ULLRICH: And then for the item two, it's just 25 minutes with the 2015 NomCom leadership. If you have not heard yet, the incoming chair of the NomCom is Stephane Van Gelder. Joette is working with him, and as soon as he announces his leadership team, we'll add those as well.

ALAN GREENBERG: Has the incoming chair designate for the following year been picked yet?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I have not heard so, no.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks, everyone. So just recapping on the ALAC work part one, as you all note, it's a bit more of an airy schedule. Less crunch [inaudible] and maybe a bit more time to discuss thing. That was the feedback we received from the last meeting. We always ran out of time in our discussions. That really should be much easier to handle. Is there any working group which you think we have missed in there? Because

---

the four that were chosen here were effectively the ones that seem to be doing the most things at the moment. Any thoughts on that?

No, okay. Let's move on then. Back to you. I guess it's Gisella now.

GISELLA GRUBER:

Thank you, Olivier. After the ALAC Session Part 1, we have a 15-minute break to then proceed from 4:15 to 13:45 from the LACRALO monthly meeting. Agenda still to be updated. From 14:00 to 15:00, ALAC is meeting with the GAC. I don't know if you wish to discuss the questions now or later, because on Tuesday we have the meeting with the GAC and with the Board. So we have to submit the questions to the Board and to the GAC. Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you, Gisella. I think we'll probably go through the whole schedule and then we'll ask the questions on the Board and the GAC at the same time. But what we can say though is to mention it now. So you all have this task to think about this for the next 10-15 minutes and then come up with suggestions. Back to you, Gisella.

GISELLA GRUBER:

Thank you, Olivier. We then allow ourselves 15 minutes of [inaudible] to keep the agenda flowing for the ALAC Working Session Part 2, just time to get from the GAC room to the ALAC room which I believe is only a floor apart.

Heidi, over to you for ALAC Working Session Part 2, please.

HEIDI ULLRICH: So we have confirmed 30 minutes with the ASO and NRO. I have to confirm who those people who will be presenting are. Then we also have a note back from Alyssa, thanks to Olivier, that they are still confirming their schedule, so they'll get back to us on that. So that is still TBC at that point. That's an hour session with them.

The last session in ALAC Part 2 is just a conclusion and next steps with Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Heidi. Just to add, I think it would be very good to have an actual full hour with the Coordination Group itself. Alyssa had mentioned this in Istanbul. I know that they're going to have a wider meeting with everyone at ICANN, but having a meeting with the ALAC with the Coordination Group is probably likely to yield a lot more results, a lot more understanding on our side.

They have a call tomorrow night in exactly 24 hours, and they should be able to get back to me at that point with regards to their schedules and whether they can confirm this time.

Back to you, Gisella.

GISELLA GRUBER: Thank you, Olivier. We then have from 17:00 to 18:15 the At-Large Ad Hoc Working Group on the transition of U.S. government stewardship of the IANA function, and that will be in the same meeting room. It will be

---

in the ALAC meeting room, Olympic, so at least we won't have to move anywhere in order to gain time.

And the draft schedule that we have had come through doesn't have music night on it, so I am just getting a confirmation from [inaudible]. Have you? Yes?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I have had a confirmation from [inaudible] and she's looking into why it's not showing.

GISELLA GRUBER: Thank you. The usual time is 20:30 and it's in the hotel venue.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks very much for this, Gisella. As you'll note, we will have the working group on transition of U.S. government stewardship immediately after the discussion with the ICG. That flows well, and hopefully we'll then be able to design a strategy of what kind of input we want to have in this IANA stewardship transition.

Move on to the next day, I guess. I don't see anyone putting their hand up for comments or questions. Let's go to Wednesday. Back to you, Gisella.

GISELLA GRUBER: Thank you, Olivier. We start at 7:00am – surprise – with the RALO leadership an ALAC leadership team working breakfast where we will



---

have David Olive with us. So it's the RALO leadership, ALAC leadership team liaisons and David Olive. It's going to be quite a full house. The last time I counted, we were approximately 24 people attending this breakfast. I have asked for a meeting room, and according to the schedule, it is going to be in the ALAC meeting room and breakfast will be provided.

At the same time, unfortunately – and, yes, because we are very limited with time, and I don't see this on the main schedule so I'll follow up on this – we have the At-Large Metrics Working Group from 7:30 to 8:30. It's been a chess board trying to fit everything together. Not ideal, but we've done our best.

8:45 to 9:45 we have the APRALO Monthly Meeting. We already have the agenda up on the Wiki page. [inaudible] roundtable where we have two panels. We have a 90-minute session with two 45-minute panels. The first panel is [treating] on privacy and proxy. The moderator is Holly. I'll leave that over to Holly. And panel two is ultimate and inexpensive DNS where the moderator is Garth Bruen and he has invited [inaudible]. As we always say, it reminds us of Lord of the Rings. Holly, we just need your agenda for the first panel for 45 minutes. I know you're working on all the Los Angeles related matters this week.

HOLLY RAICHE:

Yeah. Shall I send it to you by Friday?

---

GISELLA GRUBER: That would be very much appreciated, yes, because by Friday we would like to send them in to have them translated, so it's not last-minute rush and it is done accurately by our Translations department.

HOLLY RAICHE: Do you have a PowerPoint template yet?

GISELLA GRUBER: Unfortunately, we don't have the PowerPoint template. We will be circulating that as soon as we receive it. Thank you.

We'll then go on to the NARALO Monthly Meeting from 11:30 to 12:30. There again, the agenda has been updated. And that runs parallel with the At-Large IDN Policy Working Group the same time from 11:30 to 12:30. And for your information, it will be the IDN Policy Working Group who will stay in the ALAC meeting room. And the NARALO monthly meeting will be in another meeting room.

12:30 to 14:00, the At-Large Regional Leadership Meeting, which will be in the ALAC meeting room. Lunch will not be provided, so we'll ask people to please fetch their lunch probably mid-morning whenever they're able to go and get it. As Heidi says, there will be more than enough places in the hotel to be able to get some takeaway lunch.

We have 14:00 to 15:30 the AFRALO-AfrICANN joint meeting. That is a usual slot on the Wednesday afternoon. And 16:00 to 17:30 we have the ICANN Academy [inaudible] joint AFRALO-AfrICANN and the ICANN Academy. We're still waiting on agendas.

---

Running parallel to the ICANN Academy, and which Evan agreed to, is Future Challenges Working Group. I'm just checking as we speak on which one will be – the Future Challenges with interpretation will be held in the ALAC room.

And the last meeting of the day will be the CROPP meeting from 17:30 to 18:30, and the NARALO outreach event will end off the Wednesday from 18:30 to 20:30. And I see that the CROPP meeting has been put in the same room as the NARALO Outreach Meeting, so they will be working on that to possibly change the meeting rooms to allow the NARALO team, the outreach event working group team, to prepare the room for the event.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks, Gisella. And of course, the NARALO Outreach Event is the showcase that we usually have. Just rewinding back to the beginning, I saw Tijani Ben Jemaa put his hand up, so Tijani, you have the floor. You might be muted at the moment, Tijani. Now we can hear you, go ahead.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I was muted, so [inaudible]. I have two remarks. The first one is that I notice that the Metrics Working Group is meeting at the same time as the ALT plus the [regional] leadership. This is a big problem because now we have – the important thing is to have the interaction with the regional leadership and they will not be able to attend this meeting. And also, the chair of the Metrics Working Group, she will be with us in the breakfast. So I don't know. This cannot work like this.

---

Second remark. Yes, we don't want ICANN to pay for the [inaudible]. We can have proxies brought to us and we will pay for them. Otherwise, if you include and have your lunch [inaudible], we will spend time and the meeting will not begin at the right time.

Third remark. We said last time, in London particularly – we said because there was a lot of problems [inaudible] between one session and the other, because the session is starting late and then people will not leave on time and the other group was done and there are a lot of [inaudible]. So we said we have to get at least 15 minutes between two sessions. I note that we always have no [inaudible] between two meetings. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for these three remarks, Tijani. I think you're absolutely correct on all three, and that's going to be a tough one to resolve. How do we resolve the clash between RALO leadership and ALT working breakfast and the At-Large Metrics Working Group?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Are you asking me?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I think I should ask Gisella and Gisella is not answering. Gisella, you have the floor.

---

GISELLA GRUBER: Heidi and I have turned this around. It is finding time, and unfortunately with the Monday and with the Thursday morning now, there is no time. I can look at it again now that the main schedule has come out. I'll do my best. I can only say that.

HEIDI ULLRICH: The only thing I can think of as a relatively quick change, if meeting staff would change it, is a switch between the Metrics Working Group and the NARALO Monthly Meeting. They're both one hour, and I don't know how many people from NARALO are in the Metrics Working Group. But that won't work because we have the RALO leadership, so that won't work either.

Let's give this a little bit of a think. But we also need to be aware that the meeting schedule is closed. We have a bit of a challenge at that point.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I thought you said the meeting schedules close on the 19<sup>th</sup> of September or was that the agendas?

HEIDI ULLRICH: That's the agenda.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Oh dear, okay.

---

HEIDI ULLRICH: The actual meeting schedule for actual meetings has been closed for some time, and it was reopened late last week for a couple of days, but it's closed. So that's a challenge.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: The madness, Heidi. I guess I can say that on the record. But the madness is that it was opened just for the Thursday sessions to be slotted in there, and therefore producing a tsunami that wiped all of our sessions out of the way and now just crunching everything else together. That's what it appears to be.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Keep in mind, as we've mentioned, we've had a lot of requests for meetings and we had Monday almost fully removed, so we are not going to have a conflict-free meeting. That's just not going to be the case as there are more working groups, longer working groups. So Gisella's noted that on the Metrics from NARALO, there's only Alan and Darlene. And Cheryl, she's a liaison, so not absolutely vital for the RALO meeting.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, but I think the whole point of the last Metrics discussion was that we wanted to have Metrics on the ALAC Metrics on the RALO Metrics on the ALSes. A primary discussion I think is this whole Metrics thing.

---

HEIDI ULLRICH: Coming back to that, we have representatives from each of the RALOs in that Metrics Working Group. Could they not feed back to the secretariat meeting later that day? I think the secretariats' meeting is going to have significant time dedicated to the topic of metrics.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Maureen Hilyard?

MAUREEN HILYARD: You're correct, Olivier, in saying that the Metrics is actually taking quite a lot of attention of the RALOs and the leadership teams. I think it's important for anyone – and you're getting interest from across the different RALOs, not just from the leadership, so we need to be able to just give everyone an opportunity to be part of that Metrics discussion. It really [inaudible].

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Maureen. I was going to suggest then that we join the At-Large Metrics Working Group with the RALO leadership and ALT working breakfast, but that will just make it a massive huge number of people, probably.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah. Olivier, if I may note that one of the key purposes of the RALO leadership was to allow David Olive to have more time with them speaking to them and getting to know them. That's not an ideal situation.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Too many cooks for the broth. I can't remember which one begins with a party and whatever. Okay, fine.

We've got Alan Greenberg, then Tijani Ben Jemaa. Then we'll just have to move on, and probably we'll have to move that Metrics thing elsewhere.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Sorry, I hate to cut in. I just need to say I've got to get off the phone. If somebody needs my attention, you can Skype me.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: All right, thanks.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Sorry about that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: That's fine, Evan. Thank you. Alan Greenberg?

ALAN GREENBERG: You just said what I was going to say. That Metrics, as important as it is, can't be wedged in with other groups without some of the key Metrics people there. I don't know whether we can move somewhere else or [inaudible] it up for this meeting, but what is being proposed I don't know is acceptable right now.

---



HEIDI ULLRICH: What about the At-Large New gTLD Working Group that's currently scheduled for 18:00 to 19:00 on Monday? Could that be a switch with the Metrics group?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: You just asked ten seconds too late, because Evan has just left.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Let's look into that and let's ask meeting staff if we can make a change at this late stage.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Well, silence is consent. I don't hear Evan saying anything, so consider it done. That's a joke. Okay.

Tijani Ben Jemma, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you, Olivier. I don't think it's a good idea to mesh the Metrics Working Group with the Regional Leadership plus ALT. It is a [inaudible] idea, because we will not have time to discuss what you want to discuss with the [inaudible], etc.

And when we have a huge group, it will not be easy, especially because we are eating at the same time. So it is not a good idea.

---

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks, Tijani. I think that idea is off the table anyway. That's well-understood. Maureen, your hand is still up.

MAUREEN HILYARD: I'm sorry. It's supposed to be down. I'll put it down.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Dev asked a question in the chat. Dev Anand Teeluksingh asked the question, "Since I don't have audio, can Metrics be from 8:00 to 9:00?" So we have from 8:00 to 9:00. One of the problems I think is room allocation. Staff? Heidi, Gisella?

GISELLA GRUBER: 8:00 to 9:00. Metrics 8:00 to 9:00.

HEIDI ULLRICH: That would be a 15-minute overlap with the APRALO, but it's only 15 minutes, and I know we do have key people in the Metrics. We have Cheryl, we have Maureen.

ALAN GREENBERG: It also overlaps with the RALO Leadership ALT.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Let's take a look at Monday, switching it with the New gTLDs.

---

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks very much, everyone. Let's move on, please. We have half-an-hour left to this call, hopefully. Let's go over to Thursday. If we live until Thursday, this is what we'll have on Thursday. And this is the day that has made all the other days what they are. Over to you, Gisella.

GISELLA GRUBER: Surprise, surprise! We start at 7:00 in the morning with the ALAC and Regional Leadership Wrap-up Meeting Part 1, which will last for 30 minutes. Then we will be redoing ALAC action items, and then 7:30 to 8:15 we're going to go into the meeting with the ccNSO Council.

Initially, this was the ALAC Leadership Team Meeting meeting with the ccNSO Council, but due to the fact that [inaudible], we're having our Wrap-up Session Part 1 from 7:00 to 7:30, we are going to keep the ALAC meeting. We're going to keep ALAC there and the ALAC will meet with the ccNSO. The agenda is yet [inaudible] ccNSO Council.

Then we'll go on 8:30 to 10:00. We've got the Enhancing ICANN Accountability 10:30 to 12:00. Community discussion with the ICG. And as we speak, I'm just checking the times on that. Yes. Enhancing ICANN Accountability goes from 8:30 to 9:45. I will change that on the schedule.

Community discussion with ICG is from 10:30 to 12:00. We then have the second part of the ALAC and Regional Leadership Wrap-up Meeting from 12:00 to 13:30. We happen to have lunch served there.

---

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Gisella, we might have lost you just now. I think we've lost Gisella, or have I been lost?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Let me take over a little bit before Gisella gets back. For the ALAC and Regional Leadership Wrap-up Part 2, it's basically 45 minutes of ALAC actions. And then we have 45 minutes with a Chairs' Announcement among other things – a discussion with Sébastien Bachollet and a recognition of his time as the first At-Large selected director of the ICANN Board.

That then leads directly into the public forum, which is two hours. We still need questions for that. Actually, there seems to be two public forums after the coffee break. Then there's an annual general meeting. Again, this time – in London, they did not have a recognition of departing members. So this time, there will be those community leaders who have left since Singapore will be recognized. So if you are in that situation, then please be at that annual general meeting.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much for this, Heidi. Just quickly going through this, initially we were supposed to have three-and-a-half hours I think of ALAC Wrap-up going through our usual Board liaison report, etc. Sorry – our usual liaison reports, working group reports, RALO reports and all that.

Because of the additional sessions on that day, we've had to squeeze everything into an hour-and-a-half at lunchtime and 30 minutes. So that gives us a total of two hours on that day, which is not very much. I hope

---

they will be able to get through the action items in 30 minutes. We usually have an enormous amount of action items by then, but we'll try and start on the dot at 7:00am.

Certainly I look forward to the meeting of the ALAC and the ccNSO Council. There is a small working group that is currently working to put together the topics. The two topics on Enhancing ICANN Actually and Community Discussion with the ICG are those big room topics, like what happened in London on the Thursday with remote hubs taking part, plus public microphones, etc. The whole circus, basically.

But the sessions worked quite well in London, and so it's hoped that they will be able to recreate something as exciting in Los Angeles.

On the wrap-up, we're just going to be dealing with the ALAC action. This really is going to be just a transfer of – well, we've got two big transfers there. We've got, on the one hand, going from Sébastien Bachollet to Rinalia Abdul Rahim, and on the other hand, you've got going from Olivier to Alan. So there's going to be some time to discuss this in passings over.

And of course we're looking at what's going to happen next. What are we going to do after the LA meeting? What are our future directions? I thought it would be good to be able to have another final chat with reflections from Sébastien Bachollet on his time as Board member selected by the At-Large community. I hope that Rinalia will be there as well, so we'll also be able to have a smooth handover on that.

---

Finally, on the public forum, while you all know that in [AGM] [inaudible]. Of course we have a cocktail afterwards. There's nothing else to add.

I see Heidi has put her hand up. Heidi, you have the floor.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you, Olivier. I heard you noted Rinalia. Do you wish to have Rinalia there or did you want this to be solely a focus on Sébastien in terms of the Board Director?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Heidi. At the moment, I would say this is fully focused on Sébastien to reflect on what's he's done and on what has happened in the years that he's been there. I mentioned Rinalia by her being there. I would imagine she would be there. This is the ALAC Wrap-up. I don't think there's anything else that's more important than this.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Well, she's fully transitioning to the Board. If there are any Board events happening, then that's probably her first priority. I just wanted to know whether I should invite her to be there and I will do to ensure that, if possible, she—

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I'll put this out to the record that she'll spend most of the week with the Board. I think she can leave the Board for a couple of hours. I think it

---

would be healthy for her to leave the Board for a couple of hours. In fact, it's healthy for anyone to take a break from the Board for a couple of hours.

HEIDI ULLRICH: If we move on to Friday, you'll see at that point, Alan is the chair; and one of the people that we have down to be invited is Rinalia.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Absolutely, yes. It's very important at that point. We will be speaking to Rinalia and looking forward to hearing her plans for the Board and for At-Large. We really look forward to that. It will be a very exciting moment. It will also be exciting for me, because for once, I won't have to chair that meeting.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Alan, to walk you through that meeting – again, we've ordered a buffet for the ALT and the liaisons. Everyone can see, on Friday – could you put that up please if Gisella is not able to do so?

The first thing we have, just a few minutes of welcoming the ALT members – the new ones. Then we have David Olive who is confirmed for 8:15 to 8:45. Steve Crocker, I will reach out to his assistant with that. We actually have – it looks like we have 45 minutes with Steve. Rinalia, 30 minutes.

Then, Alan, this is up for you to decide on the new ALT. Normally we would do next steps to the next ICANN meeting. In this case Marrakech.

---

And then some time on strategy. But that's up to you and your new team to decide that.

ALAN GREENBERG: What is the reference to AFRALO or is that because of Marrakech?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Again, normally, that's the area or the region, the RALO, that is hosting the next meeting.

ALAN GREENBERG: Okay, thank you.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Any comments there?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: It's Alan's session on this one. So, Alan?

ALAN GREENBERG: Oh, sorry. I didn't know you were expecting input from me at this point.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: You're chairing that session.



---

ALAN GREENBERG: As Heidi said, it's up to Alan and his new team. Once I have a new team, we'll put some effort into planning that in a little bit more detail.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Alan, okay. That's fine. Keep in mind that we are sending the agendas to be translated this Friday.

ALAN GREENBERG: I understand.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: No pressure.

ALAN GREENBERG: And you won't get it by then if I'm supposed to consult with my new team.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Great stuff.

ALAN GREENBERG: The details can be omitted at this point until [inaudible].

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: All right. That took us through the whole agenda for the week. As you can see, it's going to be very, very packed. But at least we've identified a

---

couple of things that need to be changed around. I now invite you to move on then to the next item of our agenda. Actually, before we do that, we're on 5a. Let's go to 5b, the questions for the groups and for the public meeting.

The first set of questions I was going to ask was for us to choose in the LA high interest topic session. David Olive sent out a list of possible sessions that we might be interested in, possible topics that we might be interested in. We have to somehow send a vote and [we] have to do that before tomorrow, because tomorrow will be when the decision is made.

So I sent, last week, a note to the ALT list on those topics and I haven't had any feedback from it, apart from Evan who has answered today from the suggestion and he said, "Number 8 by far. I'd be comfortable with number 9 if it is substituted Internet users for civil society."

Holly said she would be choosing from number 8 to 11.

ALAN GREENBERG:

Olivier, do we have what those numbers mean?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

The numbers are as follows:

1. NETmundial initiative and handoff
2. Affirmation review of gTLD expansion
3. Planning for next round of new gTLDs

- 
4. NomCom improvements
  5. Universal acceptance of new gTLDs
  6. Dispersion of excess new gTLD fees and auction proceeds
  7. Integration of the GAC into the GNSO policy process

There's also a GNSO Council suggestion on number 11 underneath.

8. Trust in ICANN
9. Legitimacy in Internet governance
10. Future of the Internet
11. Future role of the GAC
12. What is the essence of ICANN?

ALAN GREENBERG: Six and eight are my choices.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So to remind you, what Evan chose was number eight, which was Trust in ICANN. And number nine, which was Legitimacy in Internet governance. In there, it mentioned civil society is the most disenfranchised part of the whole process and has the most to lose. So what Evan was going to suggest was to replace civil society by end users. That's one.

---

And then the other one was Holly. Holly said number 8 to 11. So numbers 8 to 11, just to remind you all is:

8. Trust in ICANN

9. Legitimacy in Internet governance

10. Future of the Internet

11. Future role of the GAC

If you are unsure about those, I've sent a reminder to the ALT list just about a half-an-hour before the call started. You should've had it in your mailbox. Any suggestions?

ALAN GREENBERG: Yes. My choices will be for 8 and 6, trust and dispensation of funds.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: The disposition of excess new gTLD fees and auction proceeds. Correct?

ALAN GREENBERG: Yes, but 8 would be my first choice. Trust.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Eight first choice, okay. Anyone else? So 8 is Trust in ICANN. For those of you who do not have the message or don't know what we're speaking about at the moment, let me try and find it very quickly indeed. There we are.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I put the numbers of the topics in the chat.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: You put them there, perfect. That's really great. You can also consult the message on this archive. Any other thoughts from anyone? At the moment, everyone doesn't seem to be particularly interested in this topic, so [inaudible].

All right, let's not continue on this one. I'll let you all [inaudible] this. Please answer the e-mail. I need an answer by tomorrow, so take the next few minutes and just send me the numbers that you're interested in and I'll just collate them and reply to David Olive prior to the announcement tomorrow.

We also have global stakeholder engagement questions, Board questions, GAC questions and the topics for the public forum work space. I think the topics for the public forum work space are something we can push a little bit back. We really need to work on the GSE questions, the Board questions and the GAC questions. I'm just wondering – we're running out of time yet again – but if you have any thoughts right now, then please let us know so we can put them on the record and start filling those pages.

After 85 minutes on this call, I believe most people are fried, myself include. Most people's minds are fried at the moment. I don't seem to get any answer from anyone on the call, so let's move on.

---

Please, again, as a follow-up to this, let's have this as an action item please. Staff to send an e-mail – and next to it again – another e-mail to the ALAC. Let's get the whole ALAC to fill in the Wiki pages for global stakeholder engagement questions, Board questions, GAC questions, and the topics for the public forum. We need to really have a push on that.

Heidi, yes?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Could we make a deadline of, let's say, the end of the month?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, let's do that. In fact, let's do a deadline of the 25<sup>th</sup> or something, and then we can always extend it if we haven't got enough by then. I'm a bit concerned if we put it at the end of the month, people think, "Oh, it's only mid-month. We've got time." And then nothing gets done. So give it a good nine days or so, and then a few more days afterwards if we need. Perfect. Thank you, Heidi.

Next, ICANN 51 hubs. Just a quick update on these. I mentioned earlier, on the Thursday, there will be remote hubs for these day sessions. What's the deal on these now? I've heard we've had a number of At-Large structures that have taken up an interest in running a hub locally.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Ariel is going to give a very brief update, if she's able to.

---

ARIEL LIANG: [inaudible] applied. We also have the balance of the geographic, so all five RALOs are represented. Apparently the IT teams are reviewing their applications, so we'll have a good turnout for the application.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you for this, Ariel. Just to let you all know, there are two types of hubs. There's the listen-only hubs where you can have as many as you want, and then the two-way hubs when there's a limit of ten of them. And ICANN is ready to pay the hub some money that would go towards the technical setup and things for a two-way hub. But obviously it also has some service levels that need to be reached when funding this. So that will be interesting. It will be a very interesting test to see if we can have more hubs than we've had before.

Certainly I think that's something we should ourselves also look at, because those remote hubs might be something we might wish to add or so when we have our own At-Large meetings if a few of our ALSes wish to set up a hub and perhaps interact with the ALAC directly on a two-way basis. That would be a very nice test setup.

Let's move on to number 7. Number 7 is update on the transition of U.S. government stewardship of the IANA function. I'm going to be very fast on this.

There was a meeting of the IANA Coordination Group that took place in Istanbul last Saturday. There's going to be a call of the IANA Coordination Group tomorrow, Wednesday afternoon, for Europe. I think it's early morning for the American continent. And it's going to be

---

late afternoon or evening for Asia-Pacific and Pacific Islands, maybe even nighttime for them.

I would recommend that anyone interested in this would be following this call as a listen-only procedure. It's important because the ICG is going to really start its work now and define further procedures and prepare for Los Angeles.

As far as working group is concerned, we have done some good work. Basically, on the one hand, having the charter of the cross-community working group on IANA stewardship transition all voted. I think that most of the SOs and ACs have now ratified that charter, so the work will be able to start very soon.

We have of course our own working group in the topic that has been feeding Mohamed El Bahir and Jean-Jacques Subrenat with all of our input so far. And the working group has also divided its work among some of the working group member into going to other discussion fora outside of ICANN. Some have now joined the RIR (the Regional Internet Registry) mailing list to take part in the discussions there. Some have joined the IETF mailing list dealing with the IANA stewardship transition and are caught up with that. So they're active.

We tried to basically be active in all of the different silos that will work ultimately to produce something. That "something" being the proposal to the U.S. government on transition of stewardship of the IANA function.

The concern we have at the moment is the operational communities are going to be designing those proposals. However, I must say that the



---

discussion so far on the mailing lists of those different operational communities is taking into account the input from people that are not directly from those operational communities.

There is a concern with regards to the regional Internet registries, that there are five of them, as you know. There is no coordinated manner by which they would all submit just one proposal between them. There's also a concern that some of these proposals might be contradictory to each other.

On the GNSO and on the naming issues side, there is also a concern that the ccNSO, which has four members on the ICG has members that are part of the ccNSO. I got this wrong, didn't I?

The ccTLD operators have four spaces on the ICG. The ones that are [inaudible] to the ccNSO are I think two of them, and then there's one that is a non-affiliated ccNSO member and then there's one that doesn't want to even hear about the ccNSO. But there's also concern that there is going to be no consensus among them on anything.

But it's still very early dates, so we'll just have to see how things percolate slowly. And I shouldn't be too worried about our influence so far. Having been in Istanbul and spoken personally to Alyssa, and in fact to people from the ICG but also the respective organizations that were feeding the input into the ICG, I think there's an overall understanding that accountability across all of the [inaudible] organizations is going to be an important topics. And this should of course work together to reassure the U.S. government that this accountability across all of the different parts is not going to fall through the cracks.

---

I've also spoken to Larry Strickling and his answer to my concerns on accountability of all participants, and the accountability checks and balances that the U.S. government was bringing, his response was, "Well, if we don't think the proposal is good enough, we'll just send it back and say we want another proposal or we want you to improve on it." They're not going to settle for something that is half baked. So it's going to be a very interesting number of months.

Alan Greenberg and then Tijani Ben Jemaa. Alan, you have the floor.

ALAN GREENBERG:

Thank you. Just a question which no one here may know the answer to. The scheduling of the ICG meeting, was it only scheduled 24 hours ahead of time for the participants also? In which case, one wonders what kind of turnout they're going to get. Or was it already scheduled a while ago and we were only told about it now?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Yes. Thank you very much for this, Alan. I originally thought there was going to be a master schedule for the ICG calls, and I think that the original intent was to have a master schedule. Unfortunately, as you just rightly said, I just found out about it now and it's in less than 24 hours' time. You are absolutely correct that it's a very poor way to organize things, and certainly there will be concerns about transparency by having this announced so late in time.

---

ALAN GREENBERG: Or participation. Or if, indeed, the members were also just told in that timeframe.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah. Well, I have a feeling the members might have discussed timings and just done a Doodle poll or something and then the Doodle poll to have chosen the time.

But what I will do, and unfortunately I haven't even had the time to ask either Jean-Jacques or Mohamed – what I'll do is fire an e-mail to them and find out. We definitely need to know, because this makes it very difficult for people to follow.

Tijani Ben Jemaa?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you, Olivier. You said that the regional Internet registries may send out a proposal and perhaps a [inaudible] proposal. It is not [inaudible] AfriNIC and also from I think APNIC. I think they said they are working NRO together. They are working in [inaudible] and they coordinate everything inside the NRO and they will come up with a single proposal. [inaudible] from Ariel and I think from APNIC. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes. Thank you for this, Tijani. The reason for me saying this is that there was a discussion on the ARIN discussion list that looked at the coordination between the different RIRs. At the moment, there is a

---

forum on each one of these RIRs that deals specifically with the topic of IANA stewardship transition. There is no global forum to discuss that, though.

So if the RIRs are each having their own public discussion on one side, but then are meeting behind closed doors in the NRO to build up a consolidated proposal, I'm afraid that will just, as far as I'm concerned – and of course I haven't shared this with anyone in our working group – but I would feel that this just doesn't meet the criteria for transparency that is needed to build such a concerted proposal – or consolidated proposal.

Tijani?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Old hand, excuse me.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you. By the way, just for the record, this was my personal feeling, but if we have five public discussions, then how are they all coming together? There should really be a public discussion for them to come together. Anyway, that's the topic.

Next, update on the ICANN accountability process here again. I think we touched on it quite extensively earlier on in the call for the public comment process, so there's no need to add anymore. Anyone have questions or comments on this?

---

None? Okay. Then we can move to the last part of our agenda. Sorry, I just heard someone say something. No? Okay.

We're now reaching the agenda items for the 23<sup>rd</sup> of September ALAC meeting next week. What items should we add to the agenda? Do you have any specific items that you would like to add? Thank you, staff, for adding this. We've got our usual review of action items, etc. The policy bit will be updated. Then we've got the meeting schedule. And of course, we're not going to spend that much time as we spent here on it, but hopefully we'll have a quick update on where things fit, and hopefully not too many people will be horrified by the number of sessions that we have in Los Angeles.

Then we'll have a few other things. Any additional items which you would like to add on this? Heidi, I mentioned to you earlier something. I just can't remember it. It's not on my mind anymore. Something we needed to add to the agenda item, items for decision.

HEIDI ULLRICH: You will see in the chat. It's a type of vote. The issue of whether the ALS votes should be open or not.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Ah, that's correct. Yes. I see Alan Greenberg has put his hand up. Alan, you have the floor.

---

ALAN GREENBERG: I didn't put my hand up for that, but Heidi is correct. We should have that item on there for decision.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, excellent. So just to explain it to everyone, we appeared to have had a change in the way that the votes on ratification of an ALS takes place. There was a time when the vote was totally open so you could find out who voted for an ALS to be accepted and who voted against an ALS being accepted. Now we changed to a vote where the vote is anonymous.

There is a story behind it. I'll be explaining the story behind it during the call and we'll have to make a decision on whether to revert back to making the vote transparent or whether we'll keep it confidential or whether we will be voting to actually put it as confidential by changing the Rules of Procedure relating to the votes on accepting ALSes.

Do you have any other topics that you would like to add to the agenda before Tuesday next week? Please send them. Heidi, is it okay if they're sent before the end of the week?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, that's fine. I will get to it probably on Friday. I think we have two people with their hands raised, Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, I can see that. But Alan is already for the next part of the agenda, or you still have something for this?

---

ALAN GREENBERG: I put my hand up to answer that question.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Oh, please, go ahead, Alan.

ALAN GREENBERG: I think we need a short item on the agenda to talk about the process that would by then have just about started for the selection, for the contested positions that we have [inaudible]. And I would like an AOB item on this meeting to discuss that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you, Alan. We'll discuss this in a second, then, but we'll keep that also in the ALAC call. So we'll have a section on this, selection of people of contested election for liaisons and ALT.

Tijani Ben Jemaa?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you, Olivier. I didn't hear about contestation, but I would like to know if there are. Another point about the vote for certification of ALSes, I don't think there is any advantage in making it public. Yes, we want [inaudible], but when it is useful here. It is not useful here. It can make tensions between people and I think that it is better to change the rules and make it [inaudible].

---

If there is contestation about the ALT members and liaison selection, please give us the definition. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you, Tijani. It's not a contestation. It's a contest. In other words, there are more than one person who have been nominated for a position. So should there be an election or how things should happen to choose that person will be the topic of discussion.

With regards to what you just mentioned now on the ALSes, the original – and I'm just saying this from memory. The way that things changed back a few years ago was we had a concern that was [admitted] by a European organization that dealt specifically with privacy issues, and that said that having such votes on this and having them actually recorded and openly appointed to was probably a privacy issue. Hence, the reason why we then went into the safer option of keeping that vote confidential.

It's a little bit going in line with when you vote on people and then you also vote on ALSes. When those organizations are finally ALSes, it might, as you said, introduce tensions when they find out who voted against them joining the ALAC. That was a concern that was [admitted] at the time.

Alan Greenberg?

ALAN GREENBERG: My hand wasn't up for that, but I would suggest if we're going to have the discussion in the ALAC, we don't need to have it here also.



OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Well, thank you, Alan. I think we're finished and we're finally now reaching any other business. Alan Greenberg, I'm sure you wanted to say something about any other business.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you very much. This is the first time in my memory and I think ever that we have had a contested election or selection for an ALT member or an ExCom member under the previous name. And it is the first time in a long time that we have had multiple candidates for a liaison position. I would suggest that since we now have relatively clear guidelines for what the qualities that we're looking for are in the Rules of Procedure and I think in one of the adjunct documents, that I would be glad to extract the relative sections and ask all of the candidates to address why they believe they meet those criteria prior to any formal vote, and that we also allow a couple of days. We give them a couple of days to essentially answer the questions and a couple of days for people to interact with them should they choose and then call a vote.

I'm not convinced that I'm willing to think, do we really need a teleconference for this? Is there going to be enough interest in it? If so, we could try to schedule one. We should decide today whether we're going to or not. I don't feel very strongly on that, but I do feel that we should ask the candidates to explain why they believe they meet the criteria and they are the best candidate. Essentially drawn out of the Rules of Procedure. I'm not suggesting put together a committee to put together the list. We already did that in writing the Rules of Procedure.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Alan. Just a quick question for you. What is our timeline now on this? By when do we need to have the ALT and the liaisons selected?

ALAN GREENBERG: We need to have them selected no later than the end of the meeting. Going into the meeting would be nice. We have time.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. So it's not just something that we need to do in the next week or week-and-a-half or so. We can wait to discuss this with the ALAC, or would you say that we have to think collectively of a few suggestions that we can suggest to the ALAC when we have the call next week?

ALAN GREENBERG: I think I would like the agreement of this group that we will start with extracting the criteria and asking the candidates to address them, and the ALAC meeting, we can then decide whether we are going to go ahead with a vote prior to the meeting, whether we want to wait in person – opportunities to discuss things

I think we can make that decision at the ALAC meeting exactly when to go forward with it, but I would like to start the process to give the candidates a heads' up that we will be asking them to address the issues.

---

The nominations close on Friday, if I remember correctly. Heidi, is that correct?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I'm just looking.

ALAN GREENBERG: Or is it Monday? I can't remember if we put the announcement out on Monday or Friday.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I believe it was Friday.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I wonder, just thinking aloud at the moment. We've basically closed the nominations because of time issues and so on. But since you tell me we do have some time, would it be maybe worth extending it for another week, so we then have the ability to let candidates know and maybe more candidates or some candidates withdraw or whatever?

ALAN GREENBERG: Well, candidates can always withdraw. We don't need to put anything in place for that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So it wouldn't be good to extend the application period.

ALAN GREENBERG: Olivier, you're the chair. If you want to extend the application period, we can. I'm not sure if there's any real need to. But if you want to extend it until Monday or the ALAC meeting or something, you certainly could. We have a couple of candidates. Maybe they have wisdom to lend to this.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Tijani Ben Jemma, and then Dev Anand Teelucksingh. Tijani, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you, Olivier. I do agree with the proposal of Alan, and I understand the rationale behind it. It is good to make people tell us how they are meeting the criteria. Those responses from the candidates have to be [submitted] to the whole ALAC members and it will help them to make the choice. This is a very good way to help the ALAC members to make the choice. It will not be because it is [inaudible] or something like this, but this is the criteria [inaudible] better. I think it is a good thing.

And I don't think it is wise to extend the nomination period. I think we have to stop it as [inaudible] and we have to go on [inaudible] what Alan said. Make people tell us how they meet the criteria and then distribute those responses to ALAC. And then, after that, there is always more than one [inaudible] per position, we'll go on voting. Thank you.

---

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Tijani. Next is Dev Anand Teelucksingh.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Just a question, really, that has come to mind. Is it the liaison has to be [inaudible] travel to attend ICANN face-to-face meetings? And if so, what it therefore be – I think all that's not an issue. But for the GNSO liaison candidate, I could be wrong, but one of them is not funded to travel to be at the LA meeting. Is that an issue?

ALAN GREENBERG: The SSAC liaison position is not funded. The ccNSO and GNSO ones are funded.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Correct. I think what Dev was alluding to was if we are going to have a selection of a GNSO liaison that is contested, one of the two people going for the position is not funded to come to the ICANN meeting. So if they were to be selected, they [would] need to be funded and they [would] need to be booking, etc. But well-understood, Dev.

That's why I think I would go along with what Tijani mentioned here, which is to not extend the application period, but first to obviously send an e-mail over to the ALAC letting them know that we're going to be discussing this, sending a note of course to the – well, maybe putting

---

together a set of requirements for the GNSO position in particular, but also for the position of – I remember the other position, anyway. But making sure that these are clear, and then basically having our ALAC call next week and discussing the issue next week and being able to find a quick answer on that.

Alan Greenberg?

ALAN GREENBERG: Remember, this position only takes effect at the end of the meeting. Although it's nice to have the person there for overlap and transition, it's certainly not mandatory. I know when I first became the liaison to the GNSO, that selection was done at the end of the week. It's nice, but it's not mandatory. We are certainly not funded for two liaisons.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Alan, all incoming leaders are funded for the AGM for the entire meeting.

ALAN GREENBERG: Including liaisons?

HEIDI ULLRICH: If there are non-ALAC members of the liaisons, yes.

---

ALAN GREENBERG: All right, that's something new. In the past, that hasn't always happened.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Alan, when you first started out as GNSO liaison, I think ICANN [inaudible] 15 members.

ALAN GREENBERG: Olivier, I mean much later than that. It was [inaudible].

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Whatever you say, don't complain about it, Alan. We're getting more people to travel. This actually was part of the follow-ups I think to the At-Large improvements process where, if you recall, we had asked for liaison positions to be properly funded and so on, and specifically just the ccNSO and the GNSO liaison positions.

If you recall, at some point, there was even a question over that. We've gone way beyond that, and now all incoming leaders and outgoing leaders are both funded to go to LA.

ALAN GREENBERG: Olivier, let's you, me and Heidi work this out after this.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, I think it's all worked out. Any other questions or comments on this topic or any other business? Alan, your hand is still up.

ALAN GREENBERG: Just because I haven't lowered it.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. And I have Tijani. Tijani, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you, Olivier. Just to open a [inaudible] for the next ALAC meeting, I will be – my ALS is organizing a parallel event to the ICG forum in Tunis, so I will not be able to attend the meeting.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this heads' up, Tijani. You will be missed, but at the same time, it's great to hear that your ALS will be involved in an event. So the best of luck for that day. Please report back to us afterwards on how it went.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Sure, sure.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: With this, I thank you all for having lasted nearly two hours. We've overrun by half-an-hour which is the usual for meetings that I run, but I think we've had a very good, productive meeting today. So I thank staff for having taken the notes and done a major amount of work in all of the [inaudible] call here including going through all of the agendas.



---

I thank all of you for having lasted that long. Special mention goes to those people that seem to have multiplied themselves. We now have three Julie Hammers and two Raf Fatanis. Everyone else is still one person. But that's what happens late at night or early on in the morning.

Ladies and gentlemen, it's been a good call. Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening and goodnight. This call is now adjourned.

TERRI AGNEW:

Once again, the meeting has concluded. Please remember to disconnect all remaining lines. Thank you very much for joining.

**[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]**