

1. Do you believe that the transition from the NTIA should happen (Please provide the reasons for your answer)?

Yes provided sufficient accountability mechanisms are put in place beforehand.

2. Are you comfortable with ICANN as policy-maker also being the IANA operator without the benefit of external oversight?

Yes, provided there is accountability to the community.

3. Should registries, as the primary customers of the IANA functions, have more of a say as to which transition proposal is acceptable?

No

4. What does functional separation of IANA from ICANN mean to you? (this is not referring to having another operator than ICANN performing the IANA functions but rather the internal separation between ICANN and IANA in the context where ICANN is the IANA operator)

5. Do you believe the IANA function is adequately separated from ICANN under the current arrangements (internal separation)?

Yes

6. In considering the key factors (such as security and stability, ease of separating the IANA function from ICANN, quality of services, accountability mechanisms etc.) for evaluating the various transition proposals what importance would you give to the ability to separate IANA from ICANN (separability) vs. the other factors?

Low

7. Given the IANA functions could be separated from ICANN do you believe it would be important for the community to obtain from ICANN on an annual basis the costs for operating IANA including overhead costs? o Would it be important to separate out the costs associated with address and protocol functions?

Yes. A detailed budget is important for credibility.

8. Could there be unforeseen impacts relative to selecting a new operator for the IANA functions vs the ICANN policy role (should ICANN determine that there will be another round of new gTLDs, how could it ensure that the new operator would accept this)?

9. Are there other transition models which the CWG should be exploring?