

1. Do you believe that the transition from the NTIA should happen (Please provide the reasons for your answer)?

No. As an observer this is a mess, the proverbial Camel- a horse put together by Committee. Moreso the Board fails in transparency, accountability and all the ways they are profiting behind the scenes

2. Are you comfortable with ICANN as policy-maker also being the IANA operator without the benefit of external oversight?

Not at all. ICANN first of all has not been "just" a policy maker. How can you be a policy maker when you are selling/auctioning and collecting the money from the auctions. A non-profit means just that non-profit not accumulating profits that are exponentially increasing. Moreso ICANN has failed to protect Trademarks, devastating people's businesses etc

3. Should registries, as the primary customers of the IANA functions, have more of a say as to which transition proposal is acceptable?

No not at all.

4. What does functional separation of IANA from ICANN mean to you? (this is not referring to having another operator than ICANN performing the IANA functions but rather the internal separation between ICANN and IANA in the context where ICANN is the IANA operator)

As I am watching the increasing chaos globally I see ICANN nudged by the United Nations instrumental in breaking down what was organized. It is chaotic what is going on and getting worse.

5. Do you believe the IANA function is adequately separated from ICANN under the current arrangements (internal separation)?

Not at all. ICANN is supposed to be about policy. ICANN is all about profit. IANA is all about power. I have taken time to go back retroactively reading original papers. This is not the IANA/ICANN that Jon Postel had in mind.

6. In considering the key factors (such as security and stability, ease of separating the IANA function from ICANN, quality of services, accountability mechanisms etc.) for evaluating the various transition

proposals what importance would you give to the ability to separate IANA from ICANN (separability) vs. the other factors?

I would put ICANN as far away from IANA as I could. Look, if you did your homework you would see who set ICANN up and see at the loss of privacies, and, human rights in the data mining that is part and parcel of IANA/ICANN.

7. Given the IANA functions could be separated from ICANN do you believe it would be important for the community to obtain from ICANN on an annual basis the costs for operating IANA including overhead costs? o Would it be important to separate out the costs associated with address and protocol functions?

I think ICANN and the RIRs should return all funds collected during their time of oversight. There also has to be 100% written disclosure of all the entities that are connected to this from the NewNogs etc

8. Could there be unforeseen impacts relative to selecting a new operator for the IANA functions vs the ICANN policy role (should ICANN determine that there will be another round of new gTLDs, how could it ensure that the new operator would accept this)?

ICANN should halt all roll outs of further gTLDs. I think ALL trademarks need to be given the chance to expunge any and all violations of their trademarks that never should have been sold as domains.

9. Are there other transition models which the CWG should be exploring? I would put ICANN under the FCC and the gTLDs under something similar to a Copyright Royalty Board but ONLY after the gTLDs have been rolled back from the wild west this chaotic matter is in now. I would also not allow ICANN to set up any accounts overseas, also pull back the arbitrations from WIPO. Quite simply a flow chart of all the interwoven relationships should be drawn in that way clarifying what ICANN is, isnt and was never going to be

With 20+ years under ICANN's belt, I want to see a forensic accounting of funds spent.