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The Goal of CWG-Stewardship

Produce a consolidated transition proposal for the elements of the IANA Functions relating to the Domain Name System.

The proposal must meet the needs of:
- The naming community in general
- All of the CWG’s chartering organizations (ccNSO, SSAC, GNSO, ALAC, GAC)
- Direct consumers of IANA naming services including gTLDs and ccTLDs

The Scope of CWG-Stewardship

Based on a summary and description of the IANA functions drawn from the NTIA’s statement of work for that IANA contract, IANA performs 11 individual functions.

The CWG is focused on 2-9 and 11 (but may also comment on 1 or 10).

1. Coordinates the assignment of technical protocol parameters including the management of the Address and Routing Parameter Area (ARPA) TLD;
2. Performs administrative functions associated with root zone management;
3. Manages root zone file change requests;
4. Manages “WHOIS” change requests and the WHOIS database;
5. Implements changes in the assignment of Country Code Top Level-Domains (ccTLDs) in accordance with established policy;
6. Implements decisions related to the delegation and redelegation of Generic Top Level Domain (gTLD) in accordance with ICANN policy;
7. Undertakes projects to increase root zone automation;
8. Manages Root Domain Name System Security Extensions (DNSSEC) keys;
9. Provides a Customer Service Complaint Resolution Process (CSCRP);
10. Allocates Internet numbering resources; and
11. Performs other services (operate the .INT TLD, implement modifications in performance of the IANA...
The Chairs recognize that continued and close engagement with the CCWG-Accountability is essential to the elaboration of a complete proposal, since aspects of the CWG proposal will be conditional on the output of the CCWG-Accountability. Giving the CCWG-Accountability the input they have requested a key next step, as will be keeping the CCWG apprised of the evolution of key aspects of the proposal which are linked to their work.

In a joint meeting between CCWG-Accountability and CWG-Stewardship Chairs on 25 March, the CCWG-Accountability Chairs specifically requested input from, and coordination with, CWG-Stewardship in the following four areas:

- ICANN budget (in particular, the requirement for transparency around cost allocation in relation to the IANA functions)
- Community empowerment mechanisms (in particular, relating to a confidence vote option)
- Review and redress mechanisms (in particular, relating to a 'fundamental bylaw' mechanism)
- Appeal mechanisms (especially with regard to ccTLD related issues)
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What is needed to make the IANA Stewardship Transition happen?

Interdependencies between:

Design Teams
Complementary workstreams

Legal Advice
On post-transition structure

CWG-Stewardship > CCWG Linkage
Opportunity to leverage each other’s work & not duplicate efforts
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---

**10 April**
Deadline for Design Teams to provide content

**20 April**
Start of Public Comment for 30 days

**22-23 April**
TENTATIVE webinar briefing days

**20 May**
Close of Public Comment

**25 June**
Deliver Names Proposal to ICG

---

**Design Teams**

**Legal Advice**

**Draft Proposal**

**Public Comment**

**v2**
30 days

**v3**
17 days

---

**13-14 April**
Intensive working days Preparing final proposal

**30-31 May**
High-intensity weekend

---
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**v3**
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### CWG-Stewardship: Focused on Producing a Proposal
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#### Utilizing Design Teams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>III. PROPOSED POST-TRANSITION OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III.A Review of existing oversight and accountability mechanisms post-transition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.1 Oversight and Accountability - IANA Functions Contract for NTIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.2 Oversight and Accountability - NTIA acting as Root Zone Management Process Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.3 Oversight and Accountability – Binding arbitration included in TLD contracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.A.4 Oversight and Accountability – Applicability of local law for the administration by the IANA Functions Operator of ccTLDs associated with a specific country or territory (ccTLDs).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CWG - Draft Transition Plan V2.2**

- **Completed**
- **In Progress**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Dependencies</th>
<th>Links</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>IANA Service Level Expectations</td>
<td>1 (Final) Submitted*</td>
<td>Dependent on [D]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Links with [C] [M] [N]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>Delegations / Redelegations Appeal</td>
<td>1 (Final) In progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C</strong></td>
<td>Customer Standing Committee</td>
<td>1 (Final) Submitted*</td>
<td>Needs input from [A]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Links with [J] [H] [N]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
<td>Authorization Function</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
<td>Links with [J] [H] [N]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E</strong></td>
<td>SAC 69</td>
<td>1 (Final) Submitted*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Needs input from [Red Team]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dependent on [A] [D]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F</strong></td>
<td>Relationships NTIA/IANA/Root Zone Maintainer</td>
<td>1 (Provisional) Submitted*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G</strong></td>
<td>IANA Intellectual Property Rights</td>
<td>2 (Provisional) To be started</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H</strong></td>
<td>.INT Operations</td>
<td>2 (Provisional) To be started</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I</strong></td>
<td>Competition policy and Conflicts of Interest</td>
<td>2 (Provisional) To be started</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>J</strong></td>
<td>CSC/MRT confidentiality and conflicts of interest</td>
<td>2 (Provisional) To be started</td>
<td>Links with [C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>K</strong></td>
<td>OFAC Licensing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>To be started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L</strong></td>
<td>IANA Function Separation Mechanism</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td>Escalation Mechanisms</td>
<td>1 (Provisional) Submitted*</td>
<td>Links with [A] [C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>Periodic Review of the IANA Functions</td>
<td>1 (Provisional) In progress</td>
<td>Links with [A] [C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O</strong></td>
<td>Red Team</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>To be started</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Proposed content was submitted for review in Istanbul
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Proposed Status</th>
<th>Links/Dependencies</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
<td>Prov. Completed</td>
<td>C, M, N, D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td>J, H, N, A, D, M</td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
<td>Prov. Completed</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>Red Team</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td>/ D</td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td></td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td></td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td></td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td></td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td></td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td>A, C</td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td>A, C</td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Proposed content was submitted for review in Istanbul*