
GISELLA GRUBER:

The recordings have started. We will be starting interpretation now, please, Sabrina, Claire and Camilla. Thank you. I'd like to welcome everyone today on the webinar 2014 IGF At-Large Participation and academic on Friday, the 22nd in August at 17:00 UTC.

We have French and Spanish interpretation on today's call, so I'd like to please state their names when speaking to allow the interpreters to identify you on the other channel, as well as for the transcript. And please speak at a reasonable speed to allow for accurate interpretation.

During the presentations, if you'd be so kind as to please mute your microphones in order to avoid any interference. Otherwise all the lines will be kept open, unless there's any interference coming through. Thank you. Over to you, Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much, Gisella. As a quick intro to this call, I wanted to take you through the At-Large Wiki page that deals with the IGF in Istanbul. First, I think the link will be in the chat. If Gisella could put the link in the chat, that would be helpful.

Just to let you know, these are the people we currently have listed as going to Istanbul. If any of you are going to go to Istanbul, then please share those details with At-Large staff. Or if you have the ability to edit the page, then please type in your details on this page.

I'd like to thank all of you for being here. This is a follow-up to the call that we just had about an hour ago, starting an hour ago, which gave a

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

preview of the ICANN activities in Istanbul, at the IGF in Istanbul. We are going to be looking more specifically just at the workshops that are related to the At-Large community that some ICANN communities have also been involved with. We have several guests who are with us today who can speak to us about their workshops ahead of the workshop taking place.

Further down on that page I just wanted to mention the five workshops – sorry, the three workshops in one main session that ICANN is staging at the IGF. Then, further down, we have the events organized recommended by ICANN community members. There are several workshops there, which are actually organized by our own community. Unfortunately, due to the amount of time we have on this call, we did not manage to invite one person from each one of these workshops to talk to us, but we've only got a selection of people there. These are very interesting workshops, so take note of them.

If you have a workshop that you are involved in either as a speaker or as one of the organizer or the [inaudible] or even remote participation, then please provide those details to staff or also edit the page and add the workshop there. This really is to be a one-stop-shop for all of the workshops that are taking place and that are related to the At-Large community.

I note that the audio on the Spanish channel has been lost. That's not a good start. Or is just Fatima who has lost the audio on the Spanish channel?

GISELLA GRUBER: Apologies to interrupt. We just heard that we don't have Spanish interpretation at this minute. If you would please just bear with us while we reconnect the Spanish interpreters. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much for this, Gisella. I can continue rambling on until the Spanish channel is reconnected. Just to say the workshops which are currently on this page are updated or have to be updated, and we look forward to having the full list by the time the Internet Governance Forum takes place.

There's another thing that should take place. There was discussion of a guide channel. I think that there might be worth in having another At-Large [Skype] channel devoted to the IGF activities regardless of whether people are going to the IGF or if people are following the IGF back home. I know that there is a lot of potential for remote participation as well, although I'm not quite sure how the timings of the IGF workshops work out as far as time differences or concerns. That's the current status.

Are we back with the Spanish or are we not back with Spanish? We are under very tight time constraints and I'd like to get moving ASAP.

GISELLA GRUBER: Yes, please. Please do proceed. We have interpretation.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic. Thanks very much, Gisella. Let's start immediately then with the presentation by Rafik Dammak who is the NCSG chair. Some of our guests have a presentation. Some don't have an actual presentation, per se. They will just talk to us about their workshop, and if they haven't got slides or anything, then it would be helpful if they could type in the chat the link to the presentation of their workshop. That would be very helpful for all of us to read about it while we hear about it. Over to you Rafik Dammak.

RAFIK DAMMAK: Hello. Thanks, Olivier, for the introduction and thanks for this opportunity to talk about the workshop. The title can be somehow misleading saying it's "Developing Countries' Participation in the Global IG" but it was kind of feedback from the [inaudible]. The workshop is really trying to focus more about the [inaudible], and in particular—

GISELLA GRUBER: Olivier, I will just check if Rafik is still connected on the audio. Also, I do not have Spanish interpretation working fully. Please [inaudible] for a few minutes. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Gisella. I'm glad the gremlins are awake on this early weekend for the Eastern part of the world, and certainly on the late week for the Western part of the world. Great.

In the meantime, I guess I can just fill in. Workshop 114, Developing Countries' Participation in the Global Internet Governance. One of the

topics that the MAG has asked for specifically and that would fall under critical Internet resources. I guess that gives you all an idea that you can read through the proposal.

Several questions there. How do we assess the extent to which the ICANN multi-stakeholder model for policy development is working for developing countries, particularly in respect to the new gTLDs. Some of the questions that we've been asking ourselves in the At-Large community. That would definitely be spot on with the work that we are looking at. That might also link with some of the ATLAS II follow-ups.

Back to you, Rafik. I'm just filling a little bit and scoping through the document.

GISELLA GRUBER: We still don't have Spanish. They are just dialing the lines back in. Please bear with me for a minute. Thank you. Apologies.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Well, so much for finishing on time.

GISELLA GRUBER: Apologies. We do have the gremlins. We have Spanish interpretation back. Rafik, if you would be so kind as to proceed. Thank you.

RAFIK DAMMAK: Okay, thanks. Yes, so it's important for everybody for discussion. I was saying there's [inaudible] folks in participation from developing

countries because we heard a lot about any complaints and concern that their voice and interest are not really expressed or [inaudible] regarding the policy department for gTLD and I think the experience with the new gTLD highlighted that.

Even if, for example, the work advocated from ALAC and [inaudible] at the time for the cross-community working group for applicant support. It was a start.

Anyway, for this workshop, we tried to have geographical diversity from all regions. With some people who have [inaudible] GNSO with other more – maybe newcomers or coming from other space. So we will try to get the experience, for example, in Middle East, North Africa, from Asia, from Latina America and Caribbean to understand if they have any local and regional initiatives.

So, also learning from the [inaudible] policy and other parts of ICANN for the numbers, because their own structuring is really based in the region, so we will try to learn from them how they try to influence developing countries or [inaudible] communities in the process.

Also, we have [inaudible]. We put several questions. We will try to cover them and try to be more critical towards ICANN and maybe to convert to other international organizations to see what can be improved.

It's not just also – we tried to highlight importance of all the stakeholders, so it's not just about civil society but try to see how, for example, the government business and technical communities from developing countries are seeing their own participation in ICANN and GNSO.

That's a kind of quick introduction about the workshop and its purpose, because for example, really we want to have a more interactive format. Panelists are not asked to have a presentation, but they will do a short statement trying to answer the questions that we have that you can see I think on the screen. It's seven questions.

Then go to interact with the audience and to have a real discussion with them to try to [inaudible] turning, and hopefully maybe ending with some suggestion and proposal. We want to continue from this workshop and have follow-ups in how we improve the participation of developing countries.

I guess we can learn a lot from ALAC and their own experience and expect – and I hope – that many of you can come to this workshop and share their perspective, their experience, with everybody.

Olivier, are we going to have Q&A? I'm not sure about how we should proceed.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much, Rafik. Thanks for giving us a good description of what the workshop was about. We have 10 minutes per person. We might just have one minute for a question if anybody has a question. I don't see any questions. Thank you for coming to [inaudible] the workshop. I really hope that many of our community members will be able to make it to the workshop.

Certainly, as I was mentioning when you were dropped off, this is directly in line with the work of the ALAC and with At-Large, so it will resound very well with our community.

Next we have Fatima Cambroner, an she's going to be speaking to us a little bit more about the MAG itself and the overall theme of the whole IGF. You've already seen one aspect, one facet, of the theme, as Rafik has just given us. But Fatima is on the MAG. She is a member as a civil society representative. She's also an ALAC member in LACRALO. Over to you, Fatima Cambroner.

FATIMA CAMBRONERO:

Thank you, Olivier. Thank you for inviting me to join you today to tell you about the MAG and my capacity there. I will try to condense my message in these 10 minutes, and Gisella will kindly help me with my presentation. Thank you. We will be sharing links in the chat room while I give my presentation.

The MAG has decided on the main overarching theme and several sub-themes. The MAG holds three annual meetings, one of which is within the IGF meeting. This year, the overarching theme for the IGF is connecting continents for enhanced multi-stakeholder Internet governance, and there are several subthemes agreed in the February meeting. You can see them on the screen. They are policies enabling access, content creation, dissemination and use, Internet as an engine for growth and development, IGF and the future of the Internet ecosystem, enhancing digital trust, Internet and human rights, critical Internet resources, and emerging issues.

Now we are going to see the draft agenda for this year. The link is going to be posted in our chat room. Regarding these subthemes for this year's IGF meeting – well, on the basis of these subthemes, workshops have been organized and sessions as well in line with the overarching theme and the subtheme.

If we go to the page where we can see the draft agenda – well, this is a draft agenda, so it may be updated. So my recommendation is that you should check the IGF website. This is version 02 now available on the website. And if you go to the very end of the first page, you will see that there are some colors, or the sessions rather are color-coded so that they can be better identified.

You will see that day zero is not officially part of the IGF, but day zero is meant for several activities with [inaudible], ISOC, and other organizations. I think that Olivier is also going to speak about a book presentation that day.

Also, we have the helpful meeting organized by the host country government who is also in charge of extending invitations to participants. In general, helpful representatives of other governments and MAG members and members of other Internet governance organizations are invited.

Now, if we focus on day one, we will see at the bottom of the page, or we will see a footnote with colors indicting or identifying the different topics like policy, enabling access, content creation, dissemination and use, Internet as an engine for growth and development, the IGF and the

future of the Internet ecosystem, enhancing digital trust, and emerging issues.

Also, apart from these subthemes, we can see another sector or other subtheme for workshops that do not fall within any specific subcategory or category. We have open fora organized by ISOC among other organizations. So these are fora that can be organized by any of these organizations as long as they meet the necessary requirements.

We have dynamic coalitions identified with another color. These dynamic coalitions are informal groups that deal with specific topics. The requirements to create or set up one of these coalitions is that the representative has to come from at least three groups of different groups of interested parties and they need to have a blog or a website on which to post their update. These are the requirements for these groups. We have several of these coalitions on this year's program – about nine of them.

We can also see the capacity building sessions within the MAG. I am part of the capacity building group. We have planned several activities and you will see that these activities are identified with the letter C (in brackets) that has to do with capacity building or capacity development.

So you are invited to join the open round table on capacity development or capacity building in order to discuss or explore experiences and initiatives in relation to capacity building or capacity development. This is planned for day four during lunchtime.

On this year's agenda we have special – that because of the content of their proposals or the number of panelists did not fall within the

category of workshops, so they are more informal sessions, briefer sessions, aimed at interacting with the audience. They will last for one hour instead of one hour-and-a-half compared to workshops. So because the duration is shorter, this means that more proposals were accepted and included in the program. This year, more than 200 proposals were received and the number of workshops is practically half of that due to space limitations.

In the MAG we didn't want to have so many overlapping sessions, so as not to have people rushing from one session to the other. On prior occasions we saw that people wanted to attend more than one session at the same time and that was not possible.

We have the main session and we have – some of the main sessions are colored in violet or purple, so we have the orientation session. I participated in the organization of this session with other colleagues from the MAG. This will take place on day one at 9:30. You are invited to participate. Then we have all the other mains sessions.

On the next slide, there is something really important and worth explaining. These are the focus sessions – and I'm sorry, I couldn't find a translation into Spanish for focus sessions. These are sessions that are open to collaboration. They are open to all the communities in terms of their preparation. There have been e-mail lists and the entire global Internet community has been invited to join this group to help in the organization and to contribute policy questions. You can see the result. This is on the slide. And these questions will be forwarded to the session moderators so that they can be shared during the sessions with all the panelists.

Also, we want to include best practice fora. We can see them on the slide. There's five of them. And you can check the IGF site because there are groups dedicated to each of these best practice fora if it's open to all the community and everybody was invited to contribute and participate. Participation is still open for the community to contribute with the documents that are being either drafted or published. Regarding these or in connection to these best practice fora. You can see the link on the slide.

To conclude, in this year's IGF, the expectation is to meet one of the recommendations arising out of the NETmundial meeting that was to strengthen the IGF. So the organization of this IGF has been opened to the community in many aspects, so we are looking forward to see the results, to see this work or not. The idea is that the IGF will continue being a multi-stakeholder discussion space and I expect or I hope that many of you can participate on site, and if not, we have remote participation including remote participation hubs. There's going to be interpretation into the six UN languages.

If I still have time for questions, I will very gladly take your questions. And if not, we can follow-up by e-mail. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Fatima, for this very good presentation. That certainly is providing us with a good background of the IGF of the different sessions. Your presentation will be available linked to today's agenda so as for people to be able to download it and to actually click on the many, many links that you have put in there.

Certainly, I was not aware of the main focus sessions having these links where one can actually put questions and participate. That's really great. Call for public interest, contribute to policy questions. A very important link. Thanks very much for that.

We have to move to our next presenter, and that's Marília Maciel who is going to be speaking to us about one of the things that is happening on – I believe it is day zero, which is the follow-up to the NETmundial. As we know, there is going to be quite a lot happening until then. So Marília, the floor is yours.

MARILIA MACIEL:

Thank you very much, Olivier, for an invitation. My connection is not very good today, so if there is some problem, I already arranged for someone to dial in. My name is Marília Maciel. I am a member of the NCC and of NCSG and I'd like to thank you very much for an invitation to be here with you today to talk about the IGF day zero.

It's interesting because the day zero has been integrated into the IGF calendar. It happens one day before the actual agenda starts. Traditionally, it has been the day that [inaudible] organizations organize their meeting. But from year to year, the program on day zero has become more wide. So there is strategic planning, there are substantive discussing. There is also a high level event that is organized for governments and it's based on invitation-only attendance.

This year one of the main events that is going to take place on day zero is a discussion about NETmundial that will last [for] the date, and it will be divided into different sessions. [inaudible], as we know, it was one of

the main events of 2014. Not only because of the important points that were raised in the substance of [inaudible] documents, but also – and probably mainly because – of the process through which NETmundial was built, which was a bottom-up and multi-stakeholder process in way of arising at this outcome document.

After NETmundial, many [inaudible] have evaluated NETmundial may be a good example or could provide good lessons for the IGF to become more outcome-oriented and more effective as it has been discussed for some years now. So the day zero will be dedicated to NETmundial.

And the first moment, the agenda will start at 9:00am sharp. The first moments will be organized in a partnership between The Brazilian Internet Steering Committee (CGI.br) and the Brazilian government. The morning will be divided into two sessions. The first one, we'll try to look at NETmundial and describe how the process was.

We know that the word is multi-stakeholder committees. We know that these committees draft the outcome document based on inputs, but sometimes it's not very clear how the process was conducted. [inaudible] to be relevant, all the IGFs and all the [inaudible], it is important to understand what were the procedures that were followed.

So this first session will be composed mostly by the participates of the executive committee of NETmundial, and we'll look into the process and try to explain how the outcome document was drafted and produced and how they conducted the registration process, how consensus was achieved, and so on. So it will be focused on the process of NETmundial and the lessons that can be drawn from each.

The second session that will start at 10:45 will be dedicated to the outcome document of NETmundial. What is the importance of this document, how this document can be taken to other spaces, what are the important points for the community, what were the advancements that were achieved, how was the political importance of the high level committee into this process. It's important to emphasize that in the second session, Fadi Chehadé will be present. So it will also I think be an opportunity to discuss ICANN role in NETmundial and how ICANN participated in the meeting.

This is all [inaudible]. As I said this morning will be dedicated to NETmundial and organized by CGI.br, the Brazilian government. Then we'll break for lunch and come back in the afternoon with all the sessions that are [inaudible] by non-governmental actors, by civil society, by the [inaudible] community and other stakeholders.

Then we'll come back at 2:00 and then we will have a presentation about a survey that probably you all have received the link to. It is a survey that is being conducted in research developed by [inaudible] foundation. The survey tries to analyze what are the impressions of the participant of NETmundial in terms of how the process was built and conducted.

There were a lot of consultations before NETmundial [inaudible] that participates were heard. But after NETmundial, there hadn't been an opportunity to come back and to seek feedback from participates. That's what we tried to do [inaudible] survey. The survey was sent to all the people that registered in NETmundial or that [inaudible]. So please,

if you have not answered the survey, peek at your e-mail inbox and you will find a link there.

We will try to analyze what were the responses. There are a lot of questions, mostly related to process, and we believe that the responses of the survey will help us to understand what were the strong points of NETmundial and what could be improved if we want to organize another outcome-oriented meeting such as this one built from the bottom up.

So this will be the first activity [inaudible]. These activities will be followed by a book launch that is being organized and put forth by the Internet Observatory together with Bill Drake [inaudible]. They are leading this particular session. And the book that is being published now is concentrated into different topics. The first one is related to lessons from NETmundial that could be taken to the IGF. The second one is related to improving ICANN. There are chapters about the IANA transfer, about globalization and accountability. There is also a topic about moving forward, too, some years. How are we going to discuss the creation, the development, of public policies in the global arena? And there are some perspectives as well that try to link our discussion sin NETmundial to the WSIS [inaudible] process. So there is wide diversity of chapters and Bill and [inaudible] are going to come back with discussing and to make sure that some highlights are given in the session that will last for 90 minutes approximately.

This session will be followed by two others sessions. One more focused on IGF improvements. So everything that has been discussed [inaudible] regarding what are lessons from NETmundial, how these lessons can be

[inaudible] to the IGF. And [inaudible]the IGF will have [inaudible]. So it will be an interesting moment for Brazil as hosts try to give support to the community to implement these changes in the IGF and to implement the good lessons that have been learned from NETmundial in this moment.

So this session will be dedicated to lessons that can be taken to the IGF, and how can we improve the IGF based on the processes that we have conducted, that we have collectively built, for the past year or so.

The other session will be dedicated to other initiatives that have been a spin-off from NETmundial, so to speak. The most spoken about is for sure the NETmundial initiative that will be launched soon. So [inaudible] moment for us to discuss about this initiative. What are the strong points? What is it trying to achieve with the initiative? What are the shortcomings? How can we be involved? How can we participate? How can we help [inaudible] this? So it will be a moment dedicated to this follow-up initiative that I'm sure that other topics will come up to [inaudible] upcoming WSIS [inaudible] meeting. It will be mostly focused on the NETmundial initiative.

As [inaudible] we have some very skillful people – Raúl Echeberría, Martin [inaudible], and [inaudible] – that will be the chairs of this LAC session starting at 5:45 until 6:00 that will try to sum up what has been discussed during the day and try to develop messages between the day zero discussing with the IGF. How can we [inaudible] our discussions in a way that can be taken, for instance, to the main sessions, in a way that this can be fed into the workshops and other sessions dedicated to NETmundial and dedicated to improving the ecosystem.

So in order to make sure, we have some bullet points and some takeaways to [inaudible] throughout the meeting throughout the week. They are going to present their concluding remarks and this will close the program [inaudible] first day [inaudible] and I'm sure it will be a very interesting opportunity for us to look back in our participation in NETmundial and to take lessons from other forms we need to participate, such as the IGF. Thank you very much, Olivier, for the opportunity.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much, Marília. My goodness. What a full first day and what an exciting one as well. This seems to be an IGF that starts with a great bang, a great productive piece – how do you call that? Fireworks, as a starter. Goodness. Very, very exciting to see how NETmundial is going to evolve and to be able to have a view of behind the scenes at NETmundial.

I don't think we have that much time for questions, although one of our participants that was going to provide feedback on their workshop is not able to make it, so we have a little bit more time before we go over to Avri Doria. We can take one or two questions. Fingers on the buzzers if anybody has a question to ask.

I'll ask a quick one. With regards to day zero, you mentioned the participant of CGI and the governments. Are all the sessions on day zero, will all these be public? Yes, Marília, go ahead. The questions are aimed at you. You don't need to raise your hand.

MARILIA MARCIEL: Yeah, I know. I just pressed the wrong button, sorry. Yes, as far as I know, all the meetings in day zero are going to be public. There is not only this one about NETmundial. There are other meetings that will be taking place, too, on different topics. The only session that is on day zero is a high level session [inaudible] been organized to and by governments. It's invitation only. So as far as I know, some people from other groups have received an invitation, but it's not open to all. This is the only part that I know is closed, but yes, other sessions are open to anybody who wants to attend.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Well, thanks very much, Marília. Those of us that will be in Istanbul look forward to being there. Oh, one more thing that just got me. All of the sessions have remote participation, is that correct?

MARILIA MARCIEL: I need to check with the secretariat if they have remote participation for day zero sessions. I would say so, but I think we need to check that with [inaudible] and the secretariat.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: That's great. Thank you, Marília. Just making sure. When you have the answer, please come back to At-Large staff and we will be able to announce this to our members. We have a Wiki page that has all of the information for IGF and we will be updating the Wiki page.

Thanks very much for joining us. Now we have Avri Doria, GNSO Council member, who actually is present in – is it half of the sessions in IGF out

of the 200-something sessions? Avri is in at least half of these. Or maybe a little bit less, but quite a few. Avri, you have the floor and you're going to be speaking to us about some of the sessions that you are going to be in, and of course maybe the wider IGF issues. Avri Doria, over to you.

AVRI DORIA:

Okay, thanks. I assume I can be heard and I'll try to speak slow enough. I only found out I was going to be making some sort of presentation here yesterday, so I have no slides and have a variety of things.

First of all, I'm not participating in nearly have, only seven or eight. And part of this comes out of me just having a bad habit of saying yes, but it also comes out of having many different funders helping me get there. Basically, been out with the panhandle getting folks to get me there.

In terms of things, one thing I wanted to point out to all of you is that you are there as participants, not audience. Sometimes people say "audience" when they're talking about all the people that aren't up on a panel or up on a [inaudible]. That, to me, is not IGF. You're there as participates.

And that's also a good clue how to follow [inaudible] threads. It was pointed out that there's many things at a time and some people think that we need to limit those. But if you look at the many threads, know that they are all recorded. Know that there will be transcripts of many of them. So if you're interested in a session that you're not just interested in, but not the one you have something to say at, give precedence to the one you have something to say [inaudible].

For example, I'm participating in three threads – the IANA transition accountability thread, a thread on ISP liabilities, and on Internet of things. So find those places.

The sessions that [inaudible], for example, one of the first sessions – start a timer when I started this so I'd know when my 10 minutes are up, but I forgot to do that – is on Wednesday, September 3rd workshop [inaudible] accountability multi-stakeholder governance regime. A regime at ICANN.

Now, that's going to be one of the early discussions in this and it's going to be one of the more, I think, free-ranging ones on the scope and variety and political correctness of the viewpoint. So it might be an interesting one to look in on.

The other thing, though, that I wanted to mention is I'll be basically moderator for one of the best practice sessions. I think that that's a very important one to get a look at. Basically, we have a 90-minute session. I believe that's on Wednesday afternoon at 4:30. It's developing meaningful multi-stakeholder participation mechanisms. Is that the right one? Yes, it is the right one. Sorry. We [inaudible] these sessions and it does get confusing.

But anyhow, what we've done is there's a paper, as was mentioned, you all can still participate in the discussing on the best practice will be put online so that people can get it, and in fact, it already has been.

And in the session, basically we're going to cover five topics. Each of the topics will be introduced by one of the presenters. What I'm asking for is a one- to two-minute thought provoker on that particular topic

restricting or trying to restrict every participate, whether on stage, moderator or in the room to a one- to two-minute intervention so we get as many people to talk as possible. Again, [inaudible] please talk.

Anyhow, the topics will be definitions, attributes, and commonalities when we start talking about both best practice. What do we mean? And when we start talking about the working definitions of multi-stakeholder [inaudible] been put forward. So looking into that will be the first topic.

The second topic will be on stakeholders. Questions like does rejection of the method by some people render the method ineffective? Is the model about stakeholders or stakeholder groups? Is the Tunis agenda break down of stakeholder groups appropriate and helpful?

The third topic will be on trust. How is trust developed and how is it lost in multi-stakeholder practice? Can power imbalance be mitigated in multi-stakeholder practice? And if so, how?

The fourth topic, accountability and transparency. How is accountability and transparency in stakeholder groups achieved? How does the model deal with representational legitimacy issues?

And the final topic is the next steps. How can the practice be improved and what should the IGF do next in this best practice theme? Because best practice themes is then to see whether there is any outcome that the IGF can produce, and if it can, what do we need to do to get there with that outcome?

That I think is an important issue. It will also be the best practice forms wrap-up session, which will bring those together and we'll be reporting on that.

I don't know that I need to get into the rest of the sessions I'm in in any detail, but I will be in one of the main focus sessions, the IANA functions, NTIA stewardship transition and accountability. One of the exciting things to me in that one is in the first part of that on NTIA [inaudible]. One of the fellows who was at the [Myson] School and part of putting together their proposal for an NTIA solution will be on that panel, so I'm actually very excited by that and want to bring that up.

The last thing I wanted to mention is there is also the Internet Ungovernance Forum going on at the same time. This was basically put together by a group of Turkish activists, people who had basically submitted a few workshops. The workshops did not make [inaudible] as was explained earlier [inaudible] went through a very complex process and making the cut. And for some reason, none of the Turkish workshops on surveillance and such that made the cut, so they've organized a parallel event – not a competing event. They advocate going to both. I've been calling it the IGF fringe, but that's not a name they've adopted for themselves yet, though I have the hope that the notion of an IGF fridge becomes sort of a [inaudible] notion that would [inaudible].

I've put the URL for that Ungovernance forum in the chat there, and I'm told that there will be a shuttle going back and forth between. So at a time that you find nothing that you want to speak at as a participant going on at IGF, I recommend checking that out. Sorry I went too long.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Avri. That's fine. A very, very exciting thing you're telling us here. Certainly there appears to be enjoyment of the IGF fringe. Very good coining of the term. Good sessions that you are on.

Just a question with regards to all of the sessions that you will be on. Are these open for everyone, and also are these more like a workshop type thing or are they going to be with presentations and panel presentations and things? Is the format going to be very interactive?

AVRI DORIA: Okay. It varies. I'm chair of the Dynamic Coalition on Internet of Things. That will be workshop-ish and it will be session leaders and discussion, which should be interactive.

I'm part of the Best Practice Forum, Wrap-up session. There I'll be [inaudible] some open mic time, but I'm not sure. In the Main Focus session, yes there's a [inaudible].

Everything is open. I don't think I'm doing anything that's not open. I'm part of UNESCO intermediaries roles and good practice in protecting freedom of expression. That's certainly an open workshop. It's Workshop 21. It's also UNESCO Open Forum Multi-stakeholder [Consultation] on UNESCO's comprehensive study of the Internet, which I'll be in the floor participants among and that is obviously open. The one I mentioned was open. The other ones I mentioned, the accountability one on Wednesday morning and the developing meaningful multi-stakeholder participation is more than open, because

it's going to have [inaudible] that is now in its basic form, and hopefully will continue being worked on beyond the IGF that is looking for deeper involvement than just coming to an open session.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much for this, Avri. We are reaching the end of this call, but prior to the end of this call, we have time for a few questions. The floor is open.

I don't see anyone having put their hand up. So just a couple more things. Is it possible for you to send a list of those workshops with the right links to ICANN staff – At-Large staff – so they can edit on our Wiki page? I noticed that there are a number of workshops still missing also from that Wiki page and I'll be following up. Or anyone else who isn't on the call who is aware of the workshop that would be of interest to the At-Large community whether it is domain names, whether it is privacy, whether it is to do with bottom-up multi-stakeholder processes or NETmundial or whatever else, really, that would be of interest please send those links to At-Large staff so they could update them on our agenda on this Wiki page.

The link to the Wiki page, again, in the chat. They are already there. Yeah. Sorry. Heidi just beat me to it. That's a great thing. I've lost track of what I was going to say no. No, I haven't. I'm back.

Know that we have Nigel Hickson who is on the call. We have another minute or so. Or has Nigel left us? It looks like Nigel is not on the call anymore. Okay. I was just going to give him the final word if he had any specific points to point out, but it looks like he's just come off the call

