TERRI AGNEW:

Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening. Welcome to the LACRALO working group call, ccTLDs, on Thursday the 7th of August, 2014 at 22:00 UTC.

On the call today we have Aida Noblia, Sergio Salinas Porto, and Ron Sherwood. We have apologies from Jacqueline Morris and Dev Anand Teelucksingh.

From staff we have Silvia Vivanco, Gisella Gruber, Kathy Schnitt, and myself, Terri Agnew. Our interpreters today are Sabrina and Paula.

I would also like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much and back over to you Sergio.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

This is Sergio Salinas Porto speaking. Thank you Terri. Hello everyone, welcome. We have several items to be discussed during this call, or on this call. I believe that two items, or the items are the analysis of the listing of questions to the various [nic] for the map of ccTLDs that we want to prepare in LACRALO.

If you will, this is not a physical map, but rather a social map showing how the ccTLDs in our regions are working, and also we will be focusing on a document that was recently published. The document has to do with ICANN telling an US court that ccTLDs are not property. And therefore, they cannot be seized, or attached, an item file, a motion to quash in that local...

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

I will ask our interpreters to read this document. It is a brief document. It's on the website. And I believe that there are some differences in

terms of translation. That's why I want to have an accurate version or

reading.

So with that, I would move on to item number two on our agenda. That is the development so far, or the update. In prior months, we started a

questionnaire, we started working on a questionnaire that, excuse me.

Excuse me for a minute.

So as I was saying, we started working on this listing of questions to the

various [nic]. We have prepared a draft document, and my intent was

for us to correct, or edit that document. I am going to be circulating or

sharing some suggested changes that we can include in this listing of

questions so that we can reach our objective. I believe that Ron

Sherwood is on the call, so we give him a warm welcome.

We are very pleased to have him participating in the group, but so far,

there is only two of us from the original, or initial, group on the call. So I

would like to see if we are in agreement regarding these questions or

whether any changes need to be introduced or made. With that, I am

going to be reading out a list of questions. Please bear with me for a

minute while I look for this list.

Aida, you don't happen to have the questions handy, or convenient do

you?

AIDA NOBLIA:

This is Aida speaking. No.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: This is Sergio speaking. Silvia, could you please help me look for these

questions, or find these questions, on the wiki?

SILVIA VIVANCO: This is Silvia Vivanco speaking. Yes of course, I'll look for them right

away.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking, thank you Silvia.

SILVIA VIVANCO: This is Silvia speaking. Sergio, I'm looking for the questions.

AIDA NOBLIA: Aida speaking. Can you hear me?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Yes, go ahead please Aida.

AIDA NOBLIA: Aida speaking. I have a work file. Now, there was an initial draft of this

questionnaire that was later on modified. I thought that we had finalized it, but you said that some questions need to be finalized, and then Sergio you say that we haven't completed the questions. So I may

read them out loud.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Now let me check the link that Silvia has just posted in

the AC room.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Silvia speaking. I have posted links to two sets of documents. First, some comments posted by Aida and then some comments that you posted Sergio.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Yes but we're missing a list of questions that we have prepared. And Aida has them handy. So Aida, can you please start reading out the questions? Sergio speaking. Okay Aida. So please, start reading the questions, and we will start working on them, on an individual basis, and then I'll take them one by one.

I will focus on the corrections or edits that I have made, and we will try to agree on whether we leave things as they are, or whether we introduce any further changes.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. Can you hear me?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Yes Aida, go ahead please.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. I have the aggregated questionnaire. First of all, local laws are the framework for domain names and numbers in a country.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Okay, but I made a change Aida. And I wrote, "What are the local laws that give the legal framework to the registration of domain names and numbers in a country?" So, I'm going to post this in the Adobe Connect room, but what I wanted to say is, what are the local laws that give the legal framework to the registration of names and numbers, sorry, domain names and numbers, in a country or within a country?

Sergio speaking again. I am posting my questions or comments in the Adobe Connect room. And in any event, I am sending this, I am emailing this to everyone as I speak.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida Noblia speaking, perfect. So I will continue reading. So when was the ccTLD created? In what year was the ccTLD created?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Yes, but before that, I wanted to know whether there were any supplementary laws, because surely there are main laws and there are supplementary laws. So in the questionnaire, I included an item that focuses on any supplementary laws or supplementary legislation, and after that, yes, of course.

We focus on the year in which the ccTLD started operating.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. What do you mean when you say supplementary laws?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. If there is any law or any piece of legislation that my replace any existing law or legislation, or any legislation that is in force. For instance, I have a certain law enacted, but then that law is amended. So we need to know the origin of that law, and then need to know the current status.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. So that's a different topic. So we need to focus on laws that are in force and prior force. So we need to focus on whether laws, or the legislation is in force. Now, if you want to have the history of the legislation, okay, I agree with that. So we need to focus on current or incumbent legislation that is legislation in force, and prior legislation.

Okay. Let me continue then. So how long has the ccTLD been in operation? What was the year in which the ccTLD was created?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Yes, but isn't there a question that says, "When was the [nic] created?" How long has the [nic] been in operation?

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. I don't have that question.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Oh, you don't? Okay. Okay, so I have, Sergio speaking. So I have just posted the question in the Adobe Connect room. How long has the [nic] been in operation in the country? I mean the year of creation.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. Which gTLDs are linked or connected to this ccTLDs? Which is the ccTLD operator or administrator in your country?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Yeah, and I changed that question, and I rephrased it as follows. Who manages the ccTLD in country? Is it a private entity? A public entity? Or a combination of private and public entities, a mix of both?

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. Who is in charge of the administration, or the administration of the ccTLD?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. I thought about the following. This is not my own idea, I borrowed it from some other source in relation to this ongoing work. And the question is, if it is our thought, is it only the administrative function that is our thought? Or is it the technical function our thought as well?

AIDA NOBLIA: Aida speaking. Okay, so you are posting... What are you posting?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. I am posting my own edit, my own comment, so that

then we can change or modify everything.

AIDA NOBLIA: Aida speaking. But where do I insert your proposal? Your changes?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. For instance, you spoke about incumbent legislation,

legislation currently in force, and prior legislation.

AIDA NOBLIA: Aida speaking. But my point is that I am not incorporating your

proposed changes as a recent document.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Well, but I am doing that. Right now, I'm just posting

my proposed changes in the Adobe Connect, but at the end of this

process, I will be sending the new document, the updated document.

AIDA NOBLIA: Aida speaking. Okay. So, who is in charge of the ccTLD administration?

In your country, is that outsourced? If that is done by an university, is it

a public university, a private university?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Excuse me Aida. My proposed version is, if the ccTLD

manager is an university, please specify whether the university is a

private one or a public one.

AIDA NOBLIA: Yes, of course. We are working on changing the document, right?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Exactly, that's why I am proposing these changes.

AIDA NOBLIA: Aida speaking. How many domain names are currently registered?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Okay.

AIDA NOBLIA: Aida speaking. How many business or commercial domain names are

registered? How many domain names are related to the field of

education? How many are related to the field of health or health

services? How many of them are private? How many of them are

public?

How many professional firms are also registered? What are the

industries or fields of action that these ccTLDs belong to? How many of

them are provincial or represent providences or states, in which your country is divided or organized?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Oh, Aida, I don't have that part of the questionnaire, and I think it's really interesting. Could you please post that on the wiki? That is the item that has to do with provinces or states?

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. Yes, of course. I can post what I am reading out right now.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Yes, yes, I understand. What I am asking is the following, can you please post that specific part that deals with provinces, or states?

INTERPRETER:

Interpreters apologize, we have speakers overlapping on the Spanish channel.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. So, how many domain names were there in prior years, or where registered in prior years?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Excuse me Aida. If you can copy and paste what

you're reading, please copy and paste the specific item that deals with

states and provinces.

AIDA NOBLIA: Oh, just that one.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Yes, just that one.

AIDA NOBLIA: Aida speaking. I'm not sure where I have to paste it, but I think I will

find the place. Is this okay? Like this? If you want, I can paste

everything.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: No. I haven't seen it yet. I cannot see it.

AIDA NOBLIA: Aida speaking. You can't?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Silvia, can you see it?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Silvia speaking. No.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Yes, now we can see it. You can go on Aida.

AIDA NOBLIA: Aida speaking. How many domain names existed in previous years?

And where they distributed in the same way, initially?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking.

AIDA NOBLIA: Or Aida speaking. Commercial, professional, university, any other piece

of information.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. Aida, I'm sorry. I included the following. When we're

finished with the items of the posted ccTLDs, I decided to include some

other statistics, because probably there may be some other statistics

regarding distribution or administration of ccTLDs.

And it would be interesting to know that information. So after that list, I

included this question: can you offer some other statistics? And

immediately after that, I included a line saying, "Can you provide

statistical information about growth year after year, in terms of

numbers of ccTLDs?"

In order to have an idea of development of ccTLDs in the region. This is what I suggested, so I can post it here in the chat room so that you can see it.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. Yes. There was something similar this year. In addition to what I read before, it said, "What is the protection?" What is the forecast? Perhaps the [inaudible], the exchange that we had. What is the forecast growth for next year? And perhaps we are referring to the same, but it's a different phrasing.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. No. Aida, what I have here, is the following. I don't know if the interpreters can explain that one is Aida and the other one is Sergio, because we have forgotten to mention our names throughout this dialogue.

But I have just posted something that reflects the growth process of a ccTLD within a country, but then I believe it would be interesting also to identify how such growth also connects to a growth matrix. And this has to do what are the plans for the ccTLD in order to find its place in the market.

So we are talking about the growth forecast for the next year and the next five years. And this has to do more with making some provisions, or with making some considerations on the part of the ccTLD operators for diversification. So that ccTLD can propagate itself.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. I agree.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. So that focus will come hand in hand with the statistics

about the growth process.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. Shall I go on?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Erik is also asking for the floor I think. Erik, if you want, you can take the floor. I don't know if you have the chance, we are not comparing ccTLDs, we are not trying to do that. We don't to compare. We just want to see what is the growth in the country. We are not interested in that comparison.

It was not our intention to compare the ccTLDs, because they may have gone through different processes. So we are not trying to compare them, not at all. You may participate if you want. I haven't realized that you were there. Perfect.

So Aida, can we continue please?

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. Okay. Is there a payment in exchange for the release of the domain? How much is that payment for? Has it increased? What is the frequency of that payment, and how much has it increased? Is the state paying for the domain?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Okay.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. Is it economically affordable for the general population or is it too expensive? Name of the person responsible for the [nic]. Contact email address, phone number, and if email for information for ccTLD users and associated gTLDs.

That the person in the administration firm had a stable and [inaudible], and for how long? Is there dispute settlement mechanism for dealing with controversies and conflicts with ccTLDs? Which is the relevant jurisdiction, and the relevant and the applicable legislation?

Criteria, reason why, rationale. Here, between brackets, I have private international law. And then, I have something that says, "Add Dev's suggestion." So there is a note that I took.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. All right. I think that we have everything there. And the idea is to try to reach out to those responsible for the ccTLD Society in Latin America. You have answered many questions, and I know that actually we need to have some speakers provided by those in charge of the next phone...

We intend to prepare a map of ccTLDs. And I agree with what you say, I understand Erik. But, we are here proposing a different approach, then it has to do with LACRALO coming closer together with each of the

[nics]. And that is our intention, and that is also part of our effort to come up with a map that will contain things that are of interest, not only...

Why are you saying that Erik? I don't understand what you are referring to when you say that we are going to stigmatize them. We are not chasing anyone. So I would like you to take the floor, to speak into the microphone, because actually I'm speaking alone. So perhaps it would be better if we could have a dialogue.

So, let's move on. Now we can post these questions on the wiki, for our colleagues to review them and to see if there are any suggested changes to the listing that we have come up with. That would be the first step.

The second step, go ahead Erik. You have the floor.

Sergio speaking. We cannot hear you Erik.

Because actually, Erik, your contributions are really valuable, and it is always nice to listen to everybody's review. So please don't harass me with all of these phrases that you are writing here in the chat room. No, but I'm not trying to convince you, I just have to say things as they are.

Aida, are you raising your hand, or...?

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking for the record. I found a listing that has some other additions.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Yes, because I recall making some other proposals.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. Yes, this is the result of the work that we did previously, and then we stopped there.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. I had an initial approach with people from [nic] dot AR, and we have new management, so we had to explain what LACRALO is about, what At Large means, what ALAC means. And how the users can participate in this structures, because they weren't aware of that.

There were continuing some specific activities, and they didn't fully understand how they could participate in those activities. So I told them about our experience, and I promised that I would come back, because initially I shared with them, the idea of, this form of this list of questions, and they liked that idea.

They said they would reply to all of the questions. But I think at first we need to, we need to finalize it. We need to make sure that it is properly done. So then we can talk to them, we can talk also with people who are in LAC TLD, and I think we can do a lot of good things.

Erik is saying that the proposal is there to catch information. We can group and collect all of that information and see what we are missing. While Latin American dot ICANN dot org, to ALAC, the governance

Internet group three, turn with a final report and for the LAC TLD public information. Yes, Erik. I agree, but I don't know.

I'm not aware of that. There may be problems. Let me read what Erik is writing here, otherwise he won't understand. How can you come closer to the ccTLDs? There are several members of this community are only focusing on having a fight with ccTLDs. How do you expect them to reply to your questions?

I believe that LACRALO is a set of associations, and not one organization, a single organization, in itself. So I think that LACRALO is something different. We are approaching them as LACRALO, not as an association. So we are interested in coming closer to them, starting to share the information, come up with a map, with a number of items that would be of interest for the users.

And I'm sure that [detail] and other organizations may also find interesting some specific data as part of those questions. So perhaps we can think about other items that we are not considering right now. And yes, information is scattered, so perhaps it is a good idea to collect all this information together.

So we have to see what we can get, and what we already have. And we put all of these pieces together and I think that we can get to a very good product, very good output. The experience, in my country, was what I said before. They weren't aware of LACRALO, or ALAC, they didn't know what these [inaudible] were, and we were able to approach the ccTLD as an organization.

We have had an initial approach, and I think that now we have opened a door, because now they are aware of what they do, of their development in the region, and especially from the point of view of users. And I think that is really important. If you agree, now that there are three of us participating here in this call, I would take note of Erik's proposal.

And I would also include all the information that Latin American dot org has, because I think we have that information detail, and the public information for LAC TLD. So, we can bring all of these three things together, we would establish a solid foundation for the preparation of this map.

At the same time, please bear with me, because I'm sharing something with Silvia. I'm sharing the action items that I have just mentioned. So that they can be posted. Yes, Aida, I will give you the floor. You have the floor Aida.

AIDA NOBLIA:

Aida speaking. First, let me say that in the wiki there were a number of addresses that have been added. We have the LAC TLD. I think that Erik's idea is really good. We need to bring together the information. There are several addresses that I had originally posted there, and I can see them now on the wiki.

LAC TLD is one of them, but I think there are seven of them. And we need to get all of this information together, because this was one of our initial objectives in the group. And at the same time, we may consider

what comes first, what comes next, but I think that we can pursue both things together.

With dot UY, I also have some preliminary contact, and they were expecting the questionnaires. So the questionnaire was going to be ready, I promised that I would send it to them, but later on I realized that we still have to finalize some details.

So I told them that we still have to do some work on it. This person that I had that contact with, is a person who has knowledge about ICANN and the rest. So I think it would be just a matter of forwarding those questions as soon as we have them ready. And if there are any questions on their part, then we can look into that.

So perhaps I can share these questions with that person, that person can start providing answers to those questions. And, at the same time, we can start reviewing the documentation that I think that we had at some time. And Erik's proposal is really interesting, because that was one of our initial objects, to gather information. That would be all.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Thank you Aida. Erik, I need to ask you a question. And I don't know if you can answer it because we can't hear you. Can you take the floor? Can you speak into the microphone, or are you still disconnected? Okay, I'm sorry.

So let me move on to the next item. Then we have the document for which I sent you the link. I wanted the interpreters to read it because I believe there were some differences between the Spanish and the

English version. So let's see if we can read them. If they can read it, so

we can work on that. Is that possible? Sabrina, Paula?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Silvia speaking. Yes, I send them the link with the information so that

they can read it out.

RON SHERWOOD: Hello, is anything being said?

TERRI AGNEW: Hi Ron, it's Terri from staff. Right now the interpreters are just

interpreting that page in Spanish, so that's why we're not hearing it.

RON SHERWOOD: I see, okay. Thank you.

TERRI AGNEW: You're welcome.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Sergio speaking. I have, I see that we have two knowledgeable people

on the call, one of them is Erik, one of them is Ron Sherwood. I don't

know if Ron or Erik have any comment about this document posted by

ICANN. I don't know if....

RON SHERWOOD:

Hi, this is Ron. Hello, this is Ron.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Yes, Ron go ahead please. You have the floor.

RON SHERWOOD:

Well, thank you. I don't know if I need the floor. I'm here as an observer, and I'm very interested in the meeting today. And I have a fine translator working for me, so I could understand it in English. I did not have any Spanish, I'm afraid.

I do have the document in front of me in English, but I haven't had an opportunity to read it, and comment on it. So please, forgive me, but I do not have much to offer at this point. But I'm very interested in the meeting, and it will be valuable when I'm working with my two partners as we put together the methodology for bringing cc's and the ALSs together.

I have no idea that you had gone this far, and that you had so many questions. But I will respond, once I've had an opportunity to understand what it is that you're looking for. If I can provide some [inaudible].

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Thank you very much Ron. And it's a pleasure you joining the call in the afternoon or evening. I don't know where in the world you are right now, but it's really interesting to have you on the

call. And it's really interesting for us to be working together now and in the future.

So, Erik has shared some material that is very, very interesting. He has one line or so, and he was very precise. He said, "There are property rights over a domain and over a TLD." So, I was wondering, what happens, or what about the state, the government, when they are the administrators of the ccTLDs, do they, or are they entitled to more? Or do they believe that they are entitled to more?

AIDA NOBILA:

This is Aida speaking for the record. I had read the document in Spanish, the text in Spanish, and the attachment is legal procedure. So in our legislation, when we speak about attachment or attaching or seizing goods, well property, may be attached according to European Continental Law, which of course, is not the same as the Anglo-American law.

But I believe that the judicial resolution is a very good one, because property rights, a right that we exercise over property, physical or not. So in order for something to be considered property, it needs to have a certain value, and it needs to be traded, or it needs to have that capability. So, there is of course, plenty of regulations included in the different codes regarding property. This, supposedly, might be considered intellectual property, but it is not, because it cannot be traded, because it's not a type of property that has a value per se.

So, this is a way of thinking about a certain country that is being individualized by means of that name. So the country cannot sell its

name, and therefore, cannot sell its domain name. Uruguay cannot sell dot UY, it's the same as your ID or identity card number. You, as an individual, have an ID, and that ID cannot be sold or traded.

So in that regard, I believe that the document is very brief, but I share, I fully share the position in that document. This is what I can say right now, because it would be equivalent to selling your name or selling your capacity as an individual. I cannot sell my own name.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Thank you Aida for your contribution. I will now give the floor to Ron, but before that, I would like to read Erik's comment on the chat. He says that a domain name is a legal property granted by means of a contract. And we have the right of position over that property.

And every holder is, or has property rights. So this is over that domain. So this means that a property right is created over that property. The TLD and the administrator, be a ccTLD or a gTLD, is linked to ICANN by means of a contract, which make that administrator the holder, the immediate holder of that TLD.

And it subject to applicable legislation and legal venue. That according to the contract, is the legal venue of California in those cases, because that is where ICANN has been set up as an organization. So if ccTLD is auctioned or transferred, then wouldn't that mean the existence of something? With that, Ron, I give you the floor. Go ahead please.

RON SHERWOOD:

Thank you. I think maybe I can shed the light on the ICANN perspective here. All ICANN deals with is the names and numbers, the IP addresses in the DNS, that's the Domain Name System. Now, an address, like a telephone number for example, you cannot own a telephone number. You cannot sell a telephone number.

It belongs to the organization that controls those numbers, and ICANN controls all IP addresses, and the names that go with those addresses, and they cannot be sold to anybody else. Your corporation can be sold, and if your corporation is renting that name from ICANN, or from a registrant, registrar for ICANN, names and numbers, then that is a different issue.

But the number itself, the IP address itself, that the computers use to connect your name to the Internet, does not belong to anybody, ever. They are never purchased, they are rented, they are assigned, they are licensed, but they are not property. And ICANN, of course, is maintaining that they are not property.

They do not belong to anybody, therefore they cannot be seized as such, even though a corporation that has the right to use that property maybe seized, to use that number rather, maybe seized. The IP address itself cannot be, and that's ICANN's position. I think it's very reasonable. Thank you.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Sergio speaking. Thank you Ron for your contribution. We have come to the end of the time allotted for this call. We have three minutes left, and I can just make a final comment. I don't know if anyone would like

to make some other contributions, we just have ICANN's side on our agenda.

Let me read what Erik has written here. I hope next time you can have a phone available or a mic working properly, so that I don't have to read so much. So following this issue, a TLD is not a property, but the contract over the TLD may exercise, or may imply property. So the contract, perhaps allows you to do something, perhaps it allows you to transfer it, or to rent it. Is that what you mean? Would that be possible? Following this line of reasoning?

Yes, okay. So my suggestion is the following. This is an interesting point of discussion. This...

RON SHERWOOD:

I'm sorry, was that a question for me?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

...It is a good point for discussion, but [inaudible] work together where it might ready for all of these new scenario about the property rights. It's 7:58 PM, and if nobody else has any other comments, I would like to end this call. I would like to thank everyone participating in this call, as well as the interpreters for their excellent work.

And I would also like to make a request. I will ask the staff to carry out just one last survey among ourselves, to see what would be the best day of the week for us to have the meeting, so that we don't have to keep on changing the days. So, we will have one day a month to get all together here on a call.

Perhaps it shouldn't be at 4:00 in the morning on a Monday, but I will ask you to fill in that Doodle so that we can agree on a day during the month that will enable us to get all together and have a discussion. So with that, I wish you all a very nice weekend, and we will meet again in our next meeting.

Anyway, we can communicate over email and on the wiki, that will still be open for comments. Thank you, and good-bye.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Silvia Vivanco speaking. Thank you Sergio. Thank you to the interpreters.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]