Thick Whois Implementation

Transition Implementation Details | IRT Meeting | 4 August 2015

Agenda

1

Introduction
Overall Status
Role of IRT & Experts
Target Timeline



10 min

2

Discussion of Transition Implementation Details



40 min

3

Next Steps
Frequency of meetings
Review of Action Items



10 min


Introduction

Status of Implementation (as of 4 Aug. 2015)



Status of Implementation (as of 4 Aug. 2015)



Focus on Transition Implementation Details

- Background (Reminder):
  - Guideline of the Thick Whois PDG WG Final Report in its Implementation Considerations (§7.2): "*A team of experts from parties most affected by this transition should work out the details with ICANN Staff*"
  - Call for experts (Dec. 2014): 12 volunteers

- 12 volunteers from 10 Registrars
  - Chris Pelling, NetEarth One, inc.
  - Rob Golding, Astutium Ltd
  - Dan Rodgers, Domains Manager, Paragon
  - Ding Yang, Technical Director, BizCN
  - Rick Wesson, CEO, Support Intelligence, Inc
  - Joyce Lin, 007Names.com
  - Brent Lee, Intracomme.com
  - Jody Kolker, Senior Registration Architect, Go Daddy
  - Roger Carney, Sr Director Software Engineering, Go Daddy
  - Sara Bockey, Intellectual Property Enforcement Manager, Go Daddy
  - John McFadden, Director Domain Products, Mark Monitor
  - Pat Moroney, Sr. Software Engineer, Name.co

Focus on Transition Implementation Details

- Role of Experts from Affected Parties
  - share their analysis of the issues at hand
  - contribute proposals for implementation measures
  - ideally represent diversity of business models

- Role of IRT Members (reminder)
  (Source: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/gdd-consensus-policy-implementation-framework-31may15-en.pdf)
  - serve as a resource on policy and technical questions that arise
  - serve as a resource on the background and rationale of the policy recommendations
  - return to the GNSO Council for additional guidance as required
  - assist staff in the planning for the technical implementation of a policy change

- Target Timeline for Detailed Transition Implementation Plan
  - First Draft for discussion in September 2015 (in advance of ICANN 54)
  - Revised Draft for Public Comment in November 2015 (after ICANN 54)
  - Finalized Implementation Plan in early 2016



Working out Implementation Details of the Transition

ICANN

Transition
Implementation



Transition Implementation


1) State of Consent & Conflict ?
Transition Implementation
1.a) consent to transfer, no legal obstacle
5) Transition from thin to thick


1) State of Consent & Conflict ?
2) Is consent given ?
Transition Implementation
1.b) consent to be renewed, no legal obstacle
1.a) consent to transfer, no legal obstacle
5) Transition from thin to thick


1) State of Consent & Conflict ?
2) Is consent given ?
Transition Implementation
1.b) consent to be renewed, no legal obstacle
2.a) yes
5) Transition from thin to thick
1.a) consent to transfer, no legal obstacle


1) State of Consent & Conflict ?
2) Is consent given ?
Privacy/Proxy
Transition Implementation
1.b) consent to be renewed, no legal obstacle
2.b.i) no
2.a) yes
5) Transition from thin to thick
1.a) consent to transfer, no legal obstacle


Transition Implementation
1) State of Consent & Conflict ?
1.b) consent to be renewed, no legal obstacle
2) Is consent given ?
2.b.ii) no
Termination
2.b.i) no
Privacy/Proxy
2.a) yes
1.a) consent to transfer, no legal obstacle
5) Transition from thin to thick


3) Manage Conflict
1.c) conflict jurisdiction
Termination
2.b.ii) no
1) State of Consent & Conflict ?
2) Is consent given ?
Privacy/Proxy
2.b.i) no
Transition Implementation
1.b) consent to be renewed, no legal obstacle
2.a) yes
5) Transition from thin to thick
1.a) consent to transfer, no legal obstacle


3) Manage Conflict
4) Mitigate Conflict
1.c) conflict jurisdiction
Termination
2.b.ii) no
1) State of Consent & Conflict ?
2) Is consent given ?
Privacy/Proxy
2.b.i) no
Transition Implementation
1.b) consent to be renewed, no legal obstacle
2.a) yes
5) Transition from thin to thick
1.a) consent to transfer, no legal obstacle


3) Manage Conflict
4) Mitigate Conflict
RDAP Redirection
1.c) conflict jurisdiction
Termination
2.b.ii) no
1) State of Consent & Conflict ?
2) Is consent given ?
Privacy/Proxy
2.b.i) no
Transition Implementation
1.b) consent to be renewed, no legal obstacle
2.a) yes
5) Transition from thin to thick
1.a) consent to transfer, no legal obstacle


3) Manage Conflict
4) Mitigate Conflict
RDAP Redirection
Regionalized Whois Service
1.c) conflict jurisdiction
Termination
2.b.ii) no
1) State of Consent & Conflict ?
2) Is consent given ?
Privacy/Proxy
2.b.i) no
Transition Implementation
1.b) consent to be renewed, no legal obstacle
2.a) yes
5) Transition from thin to thick
1.a) consent to transfer, no legal obstacle


3) Manage Conflict
4) Mitigate Conflict
RDAP Redirection
Regionalized Whois Service
1.c) conflict jurisdiction
Termination
2.b.ii) no
1) State of Consent & Conflict ?
2) Is consent given ?
Privacy/Proxy
2.b.i) no
Transition Implementation
1.b) consent to be renewed, no legal obstacle
2.a) yes
5) Transition from thin to thick
1.a) consent to transfer, no legal obstacle
6) Supporting Measures

Next Steps

Working Implementation Details – Next Steps

- Target timeline for Detailed Transition Implementation Plan
  - First Draft for discussion in September 2015 (in advance of ICANN 54)
  - Revised Draft for Public Comment in November 2015 (after ICANN 54)
  - Finalized Implementation Plan in early 2016

- Meetings going forward
  - 8 weeks to end of September
  - What frequency would suit the group ?
  - Once a week ? Twice a Month ? Once a Month ?
  - Availability in August ?

- Discussion of action items from this meeting

Thick Whois Implementation

Transition Implementation Details | IRT Meeting | 4 August 2015