



Thick WHOIS Policy Implementation

RrSG Stakeholder Group Meeting Follow-up | 23 March 2016

Objective & Agenda

Objective

- ⦿ Discuss feedback received from the RrSG during ICANN 55
- ⦿ Discuss next steps on definition of the transition implementation plan

Agenda

- ⦿ Background on meeting with the RrSG
- ⦿ Outcome of the meeting
- ⦿ Status of transition timelines discussions
- ⦿ Discussion of Next Steps

Transition of Existing Registrations from thin to thick

Analysis of Existing Data (Scoping Paper)

◎ Objective

- Identify and quantify challenges with transitioning of registration data
- Inform the definition of the implementation plan in terms of:
 - Requirements
 - Timeline to completion of transition
 - Supporting measures as needed

◎ Scope

- 10-20 registrars managing amounts of .COM, .NET and .JOBS registrations
- Testing representative data samples against the registries' systems requirements and all applicable contractual provisions

◎ Findings to determine types and prevalence of potential challenges such as:

- Missing data
- Incompatible data format
- Incomplete data
- Inability to contact registrant based on current data record

Initial Timeline for Data Analysis

Next Steps and Expected Timeline (included in Scoping Paper)

- ⦿ Clarification of scope and Terms of Reference: **18 March 2016**
- ⦿ Deadline for recruitment of volunteer registrars: **1 April 2016**
- ⦿ Sharing of initial findings among volunteers: **20 April 2016**
- ⦿ Discussion of findings and conclusions: **12 May 2016**
- ⦿ Final Data Analysis Report: **2 June 2016**

- ⦿ Development of Implementation Plan : **June/July 2016**

Outcome of meeting with the RrSG (ICANN 55)

No expression of interest from Registrars on Data Analysis at this stage

Comments made in relation to the implementation of the transition:

- ⦿ Use of data escrow for transitioning registration data was discussed and rationale for ruling it out was provided
- ⦿ Implementation should not be split between new and existing registrations, but instead proceed on a per registrar basis, as was done for .ORG (Franck Michlich)
- ⦿ No registry-side data format checks should be imposed (Volker Greimann)
- ⦿ Implementation should favor a cut-off date rather than a multi-stage process in order to minimize systems impact (Volker Greimann)
- ⦿ Transition of data from thin to thick should be performed when a registration is either transferred or renewed, which could take 10 years (James Bladel)
- ⦿ A staging area should be provided for Registrar testing (Ben Anderson)
- ⦿ Need for exemption from Whois complaint during and after implementation (Rob Golding)

Implementation Timelines under Discussion with IRT

New Registrations

- ⦿ Proposed milestones
 - Registries to make system changes
 - Registrar notification of changes
 - Introduction of optional thick (contact support) in OT&E
 - Introduction of optional thick (contact support) in production
 - Registrar notification/transition period
 - Cutover to required thick (contacts) for new registrations in OT&E
 - Cutover to required thick (contacts) for new registrations in production
- ⦿ Current assumptions (ICANN 55): 18 - 21 months overall duration
 - 90 days notification of systems changes to Registrars (optional thick)
 - 12 to 18 months for Registrars to complete the transition
 - 90 days notification of systems changes to Registries (required thick)

Existing Registrations

- ⦿ No Specific IRT input to date

Next Steps

Existing Registration

- ⦿ Decision on next steps for Existing Registration Data Analysis considering RrSG interest to date and comments received

New Registrations

- ⦿ Registries to provide overview of system changes (target: **April 2016**)
- ⦿ Registries and registrars to refine current assumptions and agree on a detailed timeline for New Registrations (target: **May 2016**)

Current Timeline Assumptions

