TERRI AGNEW:	Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening. Welcome to the At Large summit two thematic groups coordination call on Friday the 30th of May, 2014 at 15:00 UTC.
	On the call today we have Allan Skuce, Hong Xue, Wolf Ludwig, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Rafid Fatani, Chester Soong, Seth Reiss, and Leon Sanchez.
	We have apoloties from Fouad Bajwa, Rinalia Abdul Rahim, and Anthony Nigganii. From staff we have Heidi Ullrich, Gisella Gruber, Silvia Vivanco, and myself Terri Agnew.
	I would also like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much and I'll now turn it back over to Wolf Ludwig.
WOLF LUDWIG:	Okay. Thanks a lot for this roll call and confirmation of participants. Well let's start on today's agenda. I guess most have you have seen it. This is a coordination call for the thematic groups, which was convened on rather short notice. As you may recall, we have created the five thematic groups for the ATLAS II program in London.
	And after meeting the subject matter experts for each thematic groups, have been confirmed, and I have to say, have submitted their first input, what was a short abstract on their topic, besides some five questions on their issues. We have continue with the selection of session moderators

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

for each group, and reported. And this is all documented on the workspace.

Afterwards, I received from the organizing, ATLAS II organizing committee the mandate to coordinate the further work of the thematic groups. And if you may recall, end of last week I sent around some conceptual thoughts, where I tried to reflect the challenges we all need for continued preparation until London. I don't know whether you have seen and checked this conceptual thought and whether you have any comments on this.

A very important part of this concept is what I suggested for the conduct of the thematic group sessions. We will start on Saturday afternoon, and we will continue on Sunday. All together we have nine hours for these thematic group sessions, which will be conducted in parallel to each other. And for the opening and the introduction on Saturday afternoon, I suggested the usual approach by having some brief introduction by the subject matter expert, and then trying to follow up on a certain order of the subtopics, which have been designed by the subject matter expert.

So, Saturday afternoon will be the opening introduction and running up, let's call it like this. And I guess there will be a lot of related questions coming up from the audience of each group. And it would be my idea to make some sort of an additional listing of such questions. And to follow up on them on Sunday morning, but in a more workshop style of meeting.

This can be on Sunday morning, much more interactive, much more participative, when it's done in a workshop style. And the inclusion of ALSs, especially of those who are newcomers, must be enabled in a good facilitating way. And I think this will be a challenge not only for the moderators of these sessions, but also for the subject matter experts. And the best way to handle these nine hours altogether, will be if each thematic group considers themselves as a team.

And they have to discuss prior to London in detail in what ways they want to proceed, and they need to have a clear idea of their particular role and how they can help to make the thematic group successful. This is so far my conceptual understanding of this. I don't know whether all of you agree to this, whether you have questions, please.

Does this seem that everything seems to be clear about the concept? I see the comment from Evan Leibovitch in the Adobe Connect chat. "I'm okay with all of this. No questions." Evan is involved in all of this summit preparations since quite a long time from the beginning. Maybe others who are not involved so closely may have questions to ask. Silvia Vivanco just inserted a link on the thematic groups.

Okay. This is something we will come to it later. At this stage, the other link will be more helpful, the thematic group coordination where you see all the names listed, all the five groups with the subject matter experts, the selected moderators, and this moderators and reporters.

And regarding the challenges we are all facing for having a successful conduct of these thematic group meetings in London, we've drafted for the moderators and reporters some criteria, which we think are very

important to be taken into consideration because the two criteria describe in detail the challenge we will all need to fulfill this function in London properly. So if you have any questions on this, please have a look on the criteria and if you have any further questions, you can ask them here or you can ask them after the call on the group list, or also directly to me.

Yes, I see a hand raised. Olivier, you have the floor.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, thanks very much Wolf. It's Olivier speaking. So I gather, where are we on the agenda at the moment? Are we on feedback on the conceptual thoughts that you circulated last week?

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Wolf. I wonder whether we could go through each one of those thoughts that you mentioned, because I don't know that everyone has got this email at hand, and find out if we agree with it, one by one, and tick them off. Once we've got that then no one can come back and say, "Well, I wasn't aware of this." Because this call is as much a case of agreeing to things but also to make sure everyone is on the same page.

EN

And I'm concerned at the moment that we might not all be one the same page. If we are, great. If we're not, we're set to fail. So I would like to make sure we are. Thanks.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. Thanks Olivier for this valid comment. I think actually it's a very good idea. The problem, I have a copy, of course, of this conceptual thoughts here in front of me. I don't know whether staff can help with this, and post it in the Adobe Connect.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Hi Wolf. This is Heidi. So I'm looking, I have a lot of different emails with the title conceptual input. So I'm not sure which one…

WOLF LUDWIG: Well it's basically the circular mail I sent around, I think, last Friday, where you added some more email addresses. I didn't have. So it must…

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay.

WOLF LUDWIG: So it must be the first to the group.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Wolf, it's Olivier. I've made a PDF of it just now, and I can share it if you require it.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay please, Olivier, go ahead. So let's just wait a moment, whether we can have this shared. Upload in progress, meanwhile Evan Leibovitch raised his hand. Evan, you have the floor.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Thanks Wolf. I'm going to repeat a point that I put into the chat, and this is specifically for the reporters. So I want to ask the group as a whole, what your beliefs are on what should be the expected outputs. On one hand, it's possible for the reporters just to say, "Well this was discussed, and these points were brought up, and so on."

Or should the reporters be actually pressing the group to come up with a specific action item, or a specific declaration, or a specific consensus. So this can go into two routes. I'm perfectly happy to go in either direction. One in being simply reporting on went on, even if there was discussion, or should the reporters actually be pressing for some kind of a declaration, resolution, or proposed specific output. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thanks a lot Evan. Actually, this is a very good and important point. I think I have somehow mentioned this in this conceptual thought. In my opinion, it doesn't make a lot of sense to have, in the reporting, to have documentation of the [?] exchanged. After nine hours we will come up with, I think, 20, 25 pages of such session reports, which will more or

less repeat or just list the arguments that have been brought forward during the session.

I think it should, it's a particular challenge for the reporters not to do this documentation kind of report, but a conclusion oriented. What you said at the end, Evan, I consider will be much more useful. When we have reporting approach and style, which is result recommendation, policy recommendation oriented. We're we really try to [test?] out of the discussions, also points which can be used for the final report.

I think at the end, we have to always think and reflect in this procedural way, what should be the outcome of the summit. The outcome of the summit should be a summit declaration as we had five years ago in Mexico City at ATLAS I. And this outcome document, this manifest from ATLAS I, proved to be a very important document. We hand it over afterwards to the ICANN Board, and to the ICANN CEO, and this was more or less a summary of what we discussed, achieved, recommended in Mexico at the time, and what became, more or less, the basis for allocating a voting Board seat in the ICANN Board, which is seat 15, which is presently held by Sébastien Bachollet.

And I think the primary goal for ATLAS II should be such a document as well. If you want to reach this, I think the session contact and the reporting, all need to be oriented at this outcome, that we need a consist document at the end with some concise policy recommendation on each of the five thematic subjects. And reporting should be done from the beginning into this direction.

This would be my recommendation. And I don't know whether anybody here has some other ideas on this. Let me just follow up some of the comments in the chat. There is a comment from Leon, "Yes, push the discussion to what [?] region for the suggested output, that will result from the discussion [?] have summarized the discussion key points and outcome."

Yes, it's exactly into this direction. Evan is saying [?]... Yes, I think to come up with such an outcome. Every actor of a thematic group must precisely know his or her role. Starting from the introductions and the briefings by the subject matter expert, then the moderators also have to keep in mind, what should be the outcome of the end. More or less, paving the ground in their moderation, in their facilitating role that the reporters may, at the end, get some precise ideas from the participant of the session, what should be policy recommendation, what could be the policy recommendation afterwards.

And if there will be a close coordination and cooperation, in each of the thematic groups. I think it will be much easier afterwards for the reporters to sit down and to get, and to draft this kind of conclusions, which will be the basis for the final report. The reporting from each thematic group all together is more or less the basis for the final report from ATLAS II.

And on Sunday afternoon, if you have look on the conceptual report where I summarized this, Sunday PM, one and a half hour to concentrate on conclusions or recommendations on its subject [?] and inputs for the final report. Report huge challenge for the session moderators. This, in my opinion, is one of the most difficult and the

most brutal sessions on Sunday afternoon PM, and the morning session, even if it's conducted in the workshop style of debate as interactive as possible. Please moderators always keep in mind that it's not just a sort of self-entertaining exercise.

Interactive is very important, but even this interactive discourse should be clearly focused on an outcome. So in the morning, during the four and a half hours available, you should consider that this must deliver the basis for the Sunday afternoon session, where we really have to come up with conclusions and policy recommendations. This is stand into this direction, I think this can work. I see that Alan Greenberg has raised his hand. Alan, you have the floor please.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you. Two things. I agree that the outcome report should be summarizing the recommendations that come out of it, but I also think that it needs a preamble which talks about what happens during the session. You know, and it may just be a paragraph saying wide ranging discussions about, you know, and list the laundry list. But it's got to get some context to how it was arrived at, without going into the detailed discussions and thought process.

Otherwise, their recommendations are out of the blue. And those don't have nearly as much force. So that's point number one. Point number two, although I presume the reporters will be working with the session chairs, I think the reporters also have a responsibility, especially as you're getting towards the end of the process, to be verifying that they understand correctly that they're picking up the salient points, and

perhaps more important, if the discussion is getting off track, so that they're not going to be in a position to do that, I think they have a joint responsibility with the session chair to redirect the discussions and focus them. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thanks Alan. I totally agree with everything you said. And to be quite frank from my side, one of the reasons why I'm very confident that such a concept can work in London, is the confidence in some of the people involved, particularly those reporters we have selected, and finally, at least, I know from Evan Leibovitch, I know from Carlton, I know from Allan, and others who played an imminent role at ATLAS I, they were the group of people, finally, who did the final drafting in Mexico and came up with such a substantial report.

And it's more or less due to their excellent work at the time, to their long term experience in policy matters, etc. that this concept will work for London as well. So we are lucky to rely on the long and broad experience of our main reporters, and they will do an excellent job in London as well. They know how to do it, they have all of the skills required for this, etc. and therefore, if we can now provide the close collaboration and coordination between the subject matter experts, the moderators.

As I mentioned before, the moderators are more or less have an important facilitating role during the session, but also the moderators must conduct the session in a way that they always keep the result in mind and the outcome in mind, and they have to steer the discourse

into a direction, what will help the reporters afterwards to really come up with this set of concise recommendations.

So this should be more or less the approach and the concept, and as outlined in this conceptual thought, if it will be done like this, I think it may work in London. Are there any further comments? You now have the conceptual inputs on the screen. These are the points I listed and suggested.

I think if we can agree on all of these points the key question is, how can we conduct these thematic questions while being clear that there are no teaching sessions but based on interactive calls among participants? This is a key element. This is particularly something for the moderators and the subject matter experts. They are not expected to deliver a lecture at the beginning for the opening and introduction.

I think precise inputs in a written form or just overly presented are very important to stimulate the discussion. And the discussion will be oriented and the response from the audience of course will be oriented on the inputs from the subject matter experts, therefore this is a very important element. And then, afterwards, the audience of each group should come in and contribute as much as possible to the following discourse.

Any further comments or questions? If this is not the case, then I guess, as Olivier suggested before, this has now been seen and reviewed again, and I would like to ask you on whether we can agree on this conceptual inputs, and points, and structure, and this sort of continuation? I would like to, all of you please indicate your approval or disapproval in the

Adobe Connect. Let me know afterwards whether we can rely and continue based on the content of this group.

I see there is already a small majority of approval. Leon, you did, Hong, Chester, please can you make up your mind whether you first agree, yes I now see that we have a solid majority who voted on this and approved it. So we can take this as approved. Then one of the next steps would be, as I mentioned here as well, in my opinion it would be extremely helpful, when we decided that each of the thematic groups would consider themselves as a team.

Subject matter experts, reporters, and moderators. That they convene coordination call of their group, among themselves, that they follow up as a team to prepare their sessions, their nine hours for London. Please always keep in mind, nine hours can be extremely long for discussions on a subject, and you have to be aware about the particular lengths, and you have to try to fill it up in a certain way, and to make sure that you will have an interesting discussion, and that the debate in your group is not getting boring at a certain stage.

So the follow up would be after this call that all reporters, moderators get in contact with the subject matter experts, and follow up as a thematic team, and decide when they would like to have a coordination call of their group, possibly prior to London. So in my opinion, this should happen either next week, or in two weeks, at the latest. And then please discuss among yourselves in detail how you would like, based on this concept, how you would like to continue, what kind of further inputs you need to prepare for your sessions, etc.

Be aware about your roles, etc. and particularly be aware that you all have to deliver the outcome, the outline [?]. Any questions, idea on how to continue next? Comments from your side?

MURRAY MCKERCHER: Hello Wolf. This is Murray McKercher for the record. Can you hear me?

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes I can hear you very well Murray.

MURRAY MCKERCHER: I have been listening for the last 40 minutes. So thank you very much. So just curious, I like the theme idea.   But just from a logistical standpoint, I believe Olivier and I are co-experts. I just wanted to make a connection there. I'm sorry I'm remote silent at the moment. I don't have any visuals. So I just want to reach out to Olivier who is on the call to coordinate that. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. And I can just confirm, Murray, you, Olivier, and Stephan [?], you are the subject matter experts of thematic group five. And you should, as soon as possible now, get in touch with your moderators and reporters, etc. and follow up as a team. That's exactly what is needed at the next step. And another big challenge is now, we depend on your further inputs, regarding the further program outline.

The more link inserted by Silvia Vivanco, at the beginning of this call, perhaps that can put this into the screen again, and if you have a look on this, I have to see it as well. Now I have it in front of me. It is At Large meeting, Saturday 21st June 2014 workspace. Here you have an overview over all the At Large meetings during ICANN 50, starting with Saturday 21st June ATLAS II plenary session, nine to 10:30 AM.

If you scroll down, here is this list you will find the particular program element, and it's very important that each of your groups supplies a short description, what should be added to this program. In most of the cases, this still looks a bit empty, and you need to decide in your group, what you want to have more or less as a teaser, or as a rough outline, breakdown on your session, what you can publish in the program. This is something At Large that Heidi needs as soon as possible to fill up this program overview, and you are the input persons for this.

It's more or less your responsibility. I cannot take it. I cannot do it on your behalf. It's me having the more or less coordinating role, but you are responsible for the content of your session. So you have to discuss among yourselves, what you would like to have posted in the program. The working title, the agenda, etc., the agenda, of course, based on the concept we set. In the afternoon, we do the intro and the outline on the subject, etc. The morning of, on Sunday morning, continuation in workshop format, and then for Sunday afternoon, I think we agreed that we will have the wrap ups. So this program elements are needed from your side, so please get back to Heidi and me, to please deliver as respected inputs for the agenda.

Are there any questions on this? Is there anything from Heidi I forgot or Heidi would like to specify? Heidi, you have the floor.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, thank you Wolf. Yeah so, this is Heidi for the record. If you could send us the agendas for all of the breakout sessions. I mean, ideally, by end of business today, your time. Because I'm trying to get the agendas to the translator, latest on Sunday. So if you can, again, it can be very basic. Introduction, review of issues, conclusion, something like that. But I just need something to fill all of that empty space that would be going through all of the various sessions.

Just need something to put into each one of those. Again, just today, if at all possible. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. Thanks Heidi. Heidi, just one additional questions in this direction. Do you have something like, let's call it, session template? What gives more less, specifies what exactly you need. What is now on the screen, you need introduction and aim of plenary, etc. What kind of details you would like to have in this? If we could send such a session template, showing what kind of and type of information precisely, in what language is needed for the input, it may be helpful.

And to get it back to you in a more or less standardized form.

HEIDI ULLRICH:	So this is Heidi. Wolf, that's up to them if they want something standardized. It's up to all of the thematic groups' team, but I would basically suggest just a three point agenda, something very general, if you would like. I mean, I'm a little hesitant to develop a template that all of you need to sort of fit into. I'm happy to do so if everyone agrees.
	I was thinking maybe, you know, there would be some sort of, the first session would be introduction. Many of you, all of you, have developed questions. If there is a question. And I'm not sure that you discuss each of those questions in separate sessions. So that's something all of you need to decide.
WOLF LUDWIG:	Well I would say, or it was my understanding so far, that the key questions per topic, the subject matter experts delivered already, should be more or less a basis for the introduction. So either you insert respective link to each of the questions per thematic groups, or you just copy/paste it in again, but this key question should serve more or less as a basis or as a key input for each topic. Cheryl, you have the floor.
CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:	Thank you. Cheryl for the record. What's wrong with literally duplicating, for each of the sessions, one [?] from the introduction and [name?], summary of discussions, you know, options for us to have certain other [?]. The only thing I would suggest is, you know, return their [?] something that ensures that all of the moderators encourage interaction, and root activity. You know, in that discussion.

I'm just not sure that the agenda is what we're after here. I think a detailed agenda is what we're after here. I think we need to encourage a very, very light handed agenda, and then [?] guidelines that says within your thematic group, moderators need to do the following. They need to encourage that participation happens for all of the attendees, in a fair and equitable manner, that they specifically draw out people who may not have been contributing as much as others, that they guide the conversation to ensure that one point is not overtaken the discussion over other points made.

You know, those types of things, [?] more important, as far as I'm concerned anyway, that the [meeting?] of an agenda in three languages. Thanks.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yeah, thanks a lot Cheryl. I think those were all very important points. And I think this is what you just described Cheryl, would be the basis for each team now to follow up, decide what needs to be posted on the agenda, in the program, I think each thematic group should have sort of a storyboard, which is not published but should be available for all team members, so they have a more or less a common concept, a common agreement, etc.

So you have your papers you can refer to, where you define topical issues, etc., procedural issues, and the like. And that you all have a common understanding and agreement on how you have to conduct your sessions during the nine hours. This could be extremely helpful, and this would be my suggestion, as I said before. I think the best way

to proceed now, from today, after this call, is to ask all of you at this call to get in touch with your other team members.

They are all listed in the workspace, push the subject matter experts who are not on this call today, that you have to create this thematic team as it was discussed and decided today, and please follow up as soon as possible, trying to do it over the next phase, etc. Make sure that you're teams for each thematic group is created by the beginning of next week, and that your discussion on the details needed for the program, etc., that your discussion on your storyboard, etc. that all this is done really as soon as possible.

We are running short of time until the summit, and to make sure that this all is properly prepared in time. We cannot really lose any more time, and have to come up with, this is results as soon as possible. Any questions or comments on the next steps from your side? I think, I hope that everybody on this call has now understood what to do. If you're not contacted by your subject matter expert, so you contact them and you push them, and try to make sure that your thematic teams are really created and can start working by the beginning of next week.

This is very important because Heidi needs your inputs as soon as possible, etc., and we have to fill in the program slots you see on the screen. And it's your responsibility to come up with those inputs. Any questions or comments on this? Anybody on the call who has not understood or would like to add something on this? I see there is quite an active conversation in the Adobe Chat.

If, after this call, you suddenly realize that you have a question, please don't hesitate to contact me or to contact Heidi. We are both trying our best to do the coordination. Yes, I see Cheryl's hand raised Judith afterwards. Cheryl first, you have the floor.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you. Cheryl for the record. I just wondered, when we will have confirmation, or will we have, confirmation of the number of participants in each of our groups, [?] of our group. And the [?] diversity and language diversity [?] of the groups that we're moderating.

WOLF LUDWIG: Good question Cheryl. As far as I understood from yesterday's call, we have about 80 participants who filled in the survey, who decided already on their thematic presence. There are still a lot of ATLAS II participants who didn't participate, who didn't tell us what would be their first preference or subject, etc. So there is still a lot of uncertain, uncertainty, call it like this, on this precisely.

                         But perhaps Heidi, you have some more input on this?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes Wolf. This is Heidi. I'm just about ready to put the participants… This link here has the initial allocation, and you can see, this actually is going to change this page to include not only the thematic working groups, but also the participants and their attendance. So if you scroll down, you can see the overall results.

Let's see, no. [?] Hasn't done that yet. I can get those numbers to you. You can see right now that Carlos has put, for each of those people who have submitted, completed the survey, the thematic group that they've chosen. So let me go on mute again and see if I can get the overall members.

There are two groups that are currently more popular than the others, and let me go ahead and get those figures.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. While Heidi is looking for this, next on my list is Judith. Please you have the floor. Judith? Hello? Can you hear us? Are you muted? Judith? Please you have the floor, go ahead. I cannot hear…

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, Judith, it's star seven to unmute. Gisella, could you help Judith try to get unmuted please?

TERRI AGNEW: Yes, this is Terri from staff. I've been helping.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. Until Judith is unmuted, I give the floor to Olivier, Olivier go ahead.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much Wolf. It's Olivier Crépin-Leblond speaking for the transcript record. I suggest that we maybe have these results in a tabular form in an Excel document, rather than having it on a sterile wiki page, which we can't manipulate to extract the information out. But it's extremely important to have this out there ASAP of course, because you need to know who is going to be allocated to what, and to start engaging.

I think the first step is going to be the subject matter experts, the moderators, and so on, to engage with each other. And very, within the next week, I would hope that we start engaging our, the people in our working group, because we're only three weeks away. And the last thing we want is for people to arrive in London, not knowing what's going on and saying, "Oh, so what's this working group about?" Thanks.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes. Thanks a lot Olivier. I think actually this is a good idea. I would like to have this as an action item from today's call, that At Large staff will prepare an Excel file regarding the numbers we know already for each of the thematic groups. That the teams have a sort of orientation or idea on that. I don't know whether Judith is unmuted by now, and can take the floor? Hello? I still can't hear her.

TERRI AGNEW: And this is Terri from staff. Judith is on the Adobe Connect only and her microphone is unmuted at the time, so it might be something muted on her computer.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay perhaps, I just lost Connect. Yes, perhaps she can insert her question or comment in the Adobe Connect chat. I see she is typing, and while she is typing, I'll give the floor to Alan please.

ALAN GREENBERG: I just want to congratulate Cheryl. She'd moderating session two but participating in session four. We may want to double check some of these assignments.

WOLF LUDWIG: Just wait a minute, whether we have [laughing]…

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I haven't looked at it [?], is that, I thought I was doing Alan.

ALAN GREENBERG: Yeah, but if you look at the bottom of the list of assignments, you're there under nominating committee under four.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Actually, Alan, you should be, that is an old list.

[CROSSTALK]

ALAN GREENBERG: I just pulled it three seconds ago.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, okay. We're in… There is change in the wind.

ALAN GREENBERG: Okay.

HEIDI ULLRICH: We were going to actually change the page. Currently there are two pages for this information, we're now going to consolidate everything into a new page with a new title. And now we're also going to do an Excel sheet. So…

[CROSSTALK]

ALAN GREENBERG: …off on what, what we were told five minutes ago. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG: But Alan, as you know, Chery is such a multi-talent. She's even going beyond any basics from Albert Einstein, etc. and she can be present everywhere.

ALAN GREENBERG: As I said Wolf, I started off by congratulating her.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. And to my knowledge, Cheryl is selected as moderator for thematic group two, the globalization of ICANN.

ALAN GREENBERG: Too bad. We could really use her in four.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay [laughter]. Okay, thanks. Any further comments or remarks on this? Let me just check, Judith is lost connection, is back now. She still has her hand up, but she is not on Adobe, so she's only in Adobe Connect. I haven't seen her question. So we are running short on time now, the hour is over. Let me ask you, are there any open…

ALAN GREENBERG: Seem to have lost Wolf.

TERRI AGNEW: Yes. This is Terri from staff. We will dial right back out to him. One moment please.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Going… Someone should please [?]…

ALAN GREENBERG: But not at night.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: No. [Laughter] Not at night, and keep them drying. You've got to keep them dry as well.

MURRAY MCKERCHER: Hello everyone. It's Murray speaking. Can you hear me?

ALAN GREENBERG: Yes.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yes we can Murray.

MURRAY MCKERCHER: Yes, I was just, I don't have Adobe Connect in front of me. I'm only on my mobile, so I'm, all the links sound wonderful, but I [?] pick those up afterwards from the transcript or if anyone [?]…

WOLF LUDWIG: So…

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Exactly Murray. And in fact, I've asked in the chat, it's Cheryl for the record. I've asked in the chat that the copy of the chat, which includes those links, go out in an email, because for example, I have to use the mobile app to connect with Adobe Connect and we can't open these from the Mobile app. So, I agree totally with your suggestion.

MURRAY MCKERCHER: Very good. Thank you. For the record, the Adobe Connect does not work on my Blackberry telephone, so I now have to carry multiple telephones…

[CROSSTALK]

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. So I lost connection, but I'm back now, thanks to another dial out by staff. So I don't know whether I missed much while being out for a couple of minutes. The last thing I wanted to reticulate was just, I think we discussed a lot of details on the concept and also on the next steps. I think everything important at this stage at this stage has been said. Any further procedures for creating the thematic teams, etc., and let me [meet?] and rely on your inputs for the final program outline for each of the thematic sessions.

So please come back to Heidi and me on this, etc. I think everybody knows now, or should know now, what to do. If there are no more open questions or comments…

TERRI AGNEW: Excuse me Wolf. This is Terri. I think we have Judith's audio at this
                      time.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. So if we have audio, please bring her in. Judith?

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Yes. Can you hear me?

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes, now we can you hear you.

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: So my question… It's Judith Hellerstein for the record. My question is,
                      as a reporter, since this is my first time, what are the responsibilities?
                      Do you just take notes? And help out the moderator? Or what other
                      roles do you need to do?

WOLF LUDWIG: Judith, before I think I repeat here again what we really discussed at the
                      beginning of the meeting, when Evan raised his question, what kind of
                      reporting it should be, I think we clarified this. So to my knowledge you
                      are assisting the moderator. So get in touch with your main moderator,
                      and he will explain to you what we have discussed and decided at this
                      call.

So the reporting should not be minute taking. This would be a rather useless, in my opinion. Reporting should be very concise, result oriented.

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: I am assistant reporter.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes, have a look on the workspace.

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: So, I will just assist the main reporter, who is Glen. Who is not on the call. I assume…

WOLF LUDWIG: Just let me check again. Yes, your main reporter is Glen McKnight, so be sure, we will let him know what we expect from him, but otherwise you can come back to him and tell him he should refer to either Alan Greenberg or to Evan Leibovitch, because he really must know in detail what sort of reporting we discussed, we decided upon, what we really need for London, etc., and he must be aware about this.

The same will be true with Carlton, but I can make such a briefing with Carlton bilaterally.

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Okay. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Okay. Any further questions from your side? Last comments, this is your last chance now. And please let us know, once you have created your thematic teams, whether you would like to have a follow up briefing call of your thematic team. If you need any assistance from At Large staff for organizing such a team call, let us know.

We can have, and if you need any assistance from my coordinator's side, please let me know as well. We will be pleased to help you. If there are no further questions, let me thank all of you for your participation, for the interesting discussion, etc. And I wish all of you a pleasant evening. Thanks and bye-bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]