

DRAFT RSSAC Executive Committee Meeting Notes

2 June 2014 | 16:00 UTC

icann.adobeconnect.com/rssac

Adigo Conference Bridge: 8365

Decisions / Approved Motions

Resolution 2014.06.02.01

Made by Daniel Karrenberg, seconded by Suzanne Wolf, passed unanimously

“RSSAC permits ICANN staff to make audio recordings of its meetings for the sole purpose of preparing meeting notes. These recordings are to be completely deleted once the notes have been prepared. The chair may decide to have parts of the meeting excluded from recordings.”

Resolution 2014.06.02.02

Made by Daniel Karrenberg, seconded by Paul Vixie, passed unanimously

“RSSAC permits its liaison to accept compensation once the ICANN Board passes Resolution 2014.04.30.06.”

Action items:

- ICANN staff to publish meeting notes a few days after each meeting and take into Daniel's request into consideration.
- RSSAC exec to publish their SOI to the wiki for RSSAC caucus.
- RSSAC exec will review the progress of the formation of RSSAC caucus on its next teleconference
- The membership committee to draft a communication to various mailing lists alerting people that the initial application period for RSSAC caucus is closing at the close of ICANN London meeting.
- Liman to propose on the mailing list a deadline for the comments on the procedure's document.
- Liman to draft agendas for London sessions.
- Daniel to draft a statement on RSSAC 001 to circulate to the RSSAC exec list.
- Revoke the action item to form the group to follow up on IANA stewardship transition.
- Staff to change the “caucus meeting” to “information session for RSSAC caucus” in the meeting request.

Meeting notes:

1. Call to order

Lars-Johan Liman called the meeting to order at 14:03 UTC.

2. Roll Call

Lars-Johan Liman conducted a roll call.

A/J – Absent

B – Bill Manning

C – Paul Vixie

D – Tripti Sinha

E – Kevin Jones

F – Suzanne Woolf

G – Jim Cassell

H – Howard Kash

I – Lars-Johan Liman

K – Daniel Karrenberg

L – Absent

M – Jun Murai

IANA Functions Administrator – Apologies

IANA Functions Operator – Elise Gerich

Root Zone Maintainer – Apologies

IAB Liaison – Apologies

SSAC Liaison – Apologies

Staff – Julie Hedlund, Susie Johnson, Carlos Reyes, Barbara Roseman, Steve Sheng

3. Agenda Review (2 minutes)

Liman: I would like to add for our discussion the draft-iab-2870-bis (<http://wiki.tools.ietf.org/html/draft-iab-2870bis-01>)

4. Housekeeping

A. Recording Meetings (5 minutes)

Liman: We had a discussion on the mailing list about this issue, and Daniel put forth a motion below. Is there a second to this motion?

Suzanne: I second it.

Bill: I think the wording "completely and irrevocably" is not implementable.

Paul: I think it means staff's best effort to do that, but we are not going to check.

Daniel: I can accept as a friendly amendment to remove the word "irreversibly"

Bill: I am good with it.

Liman: ok, is there any one opposed to this motion?

[no one opposes the motion]

Liman: Ok, this motion is approved unanimously.

Resolution 2014.06.02.01 by Daniel Karrenberg, second by Suzanne

RSSAC permits ICANN staff to make audio recordings of its meetings for the sole purpose of preparing meeting notes. These recordings are to be completely deleted once the notes have been prepared. The chair may decide to have parts of the meeting excluded from recordings.

B. Board Compensation for Non-Voting Liaisons (5 minutes)

Liman: on the board compensation for non-voting liaisons, Daniel has put forward a motion. Is there any second?

Paul: I second it.

Liman: Any one object?

[no one objects]

Liman: Ok, this motion is passed unanimously.

Resolution 2014.06.02.02 by Daniel Karrenberg, second by Paul Vixie

RSSAC permits its liaison to accept compensation once the ICANN Board passes Resolution
2014.04.30.06.

5. Minutes from Previous Meetings (10 minutes)

Liman: Staff, we need to have minutes from previous meeting as soon as we

can. These are really helpful for me to review in between meetings. Could we do that?

Carlos: yes.

Daniel: +1. I would also like to add that one of the meetings there is a note for the legal review, I would request in the minutes we formally capture the context, what is it that we asked for review, instead of a hyperlink.

Carlos: Yes, I can do that Daniel.

ACTION: ICANN staff to publish meeting notes a few days after each meeting and take into Daniel's request into consideration.

Action: Carlos to send 5 May and 19 May minutes to list.

6. Status of Membership Committee (10 minutes)

Paul: We have sent out a solicitation, we are receiving indication of interest from various people. We are also woefully incomplete in completing the project that Daniel created, that is to create a entry (SOI) in the wiki, so all the execs can be part of the caucus.

We are finally moving. The membership committee got the caucus document. Most people didn't like the caucus document. The way we ended up describing it that it is a committee that does nothing. So I hope the caucus to take it up and revise it as the first task. If you haven't updated the ICANN wiki, please do that. I hope our meeting in a month, I can report on statistics.

Elise: I have sent my SOI by email, are those captured? Or I should be updating the wiki.

Carlos: Anything that I have seen in the RSSAC-exec list, those are entered.

Paul: Anything that is sent to the RSSAC-exec list can be captured by ICANN staff, anything that is sent to the membership committee is however not captured by staff.

Daniel: this wiki is our internal use. I would like the membership committee also authorize the staff to put it on the public website.

Paul: So Daniel, I understand that's the intention and I agree with it. But I don't want to make these public if there is only three of us.

Daniel: I think you are right, but don't forget about it eventually.

Paul: I agree, please populate the wiki or send it to Carlos.

ACTION ITEM: All Executive members to publish their SOI to the wiki for RSSAC caucus.

Suzanne: I think it is right to post our SOI, and get as much as we can from the wide community. So the action item for our next meeting is to review the progress here.

ACTION ITEM: RSSAC exec will review the progress of the formation of RSSAC caucus in its next meeting.

Liman: Paul, do you think we could seat the caucus by London?

Paul: there is no way we can seat the caucus in London.

Paul: I have a suggestion, ask for time, ask for room, and invite the old RSSAC list.

Barbara: Is it possible you can convene the initial caucus as the member of the exec, and get work underway? I fear this is becoming an extended problem that is attracting criticism from the ICANN community. Perhaps you can form this in London, and note that this is the initial formation and more to be added.

Liman: What do you think about that?

Paul: I resonate this get started, and the extraordinary time it takes. However, the negative feedback we got from the community that this is going to be a do-nothing committee, I think that just conveying the exec as caucus would affirm the impression.

Daniel: All that is said that makes sense. We should not call a caucus meeting. We should call it an informational session for potential caucus members. We should make sure that it is open to people, but we should definitely not call it a caucus meeting.

Paul, Tripti, Liman agrees.

Elise: Can I ask a question? How many membership application for the caucus have we received? And what have we done with these applications?

Paul: So far, Kaveh has been thanking people and saying that we will be getting back to you.

[PLEASE DO NOT MAKE THE BELOW PUBLIC]

Paul: Unless we get a 1000 people, we will approve everyone.

Elise: Can we just approve the 10 people that applied? and invite them to the meeting?

Paul: I disagree, we should finish the call for caucus, and approve them together.

Elise: I guess I don't see why we need to do that. For example, people are added to the SSAC incrementally.

Paul: I have been on the SSAC since day one, I think those days are long passed. Right now, there is a membership committee that reviews everyone.

Liman: I agree to have a public meeting on this.

Liman: Do you have criteria for the closing of the selection of caucus? Is it a number reached? Is it a time?

Paul: I think we should make it the close of ICANN London meeting, after that the exec should have a call to approve and form the caucus.

Bill Manning: If we are closing it in London, shouldn't we let people know that nominations are closing?

Paul: Yes, that make sense.

ACTION ITEM: The membership committee to draft a communication to various mailing lists alerting people that the initial application period for RSSAC caucus is closing at the close of ICANN London meeting.

Daniel: Nomination are closing? Shouldn't we allow people to join afterwards?

Paul: We can say initial formation is closing.

Daniel: So what I synthesize from this whole discussion is that I think we should leave the membership committee to do this. If they say we expect to approve the first batch shortly after the ICANN London meeting, I am happy.

Paul: Should I say "select" instead of "approve". We will run the language by the committee.

Tripti: i have a few questions. My sense that many things need to thought through and that the membership committee should be more active and solve these problems.

Liman: I agree, but don't overworked it.

7. Operational Procedures Document (10 minutes)

Liman: Julie sent out a member of discussion points, and we solicited comments. I have not received one single proposal for my comment, I would like to encourage you to at least comment on the things that I have done. I am going to propose a deadline for comment to the discussion points where i have proposed text, but I will do that on the mailing list so that all members can see that.

ACTION: Liman to propose on the mailing list a deadline for the comments on the procedure's document.

Suzanne: I was being quiet on this topic. I think I can restart on it. I hope I am not the only one speaking up.

Elise: Borrowing it from other discussions, sometimes there are paragraphs under discussion. We can devote some time on the call to work them through. On this call, we have all but three letters represented.

Liman: If I receive comments to my proposal that indicate that there is no controversy, then we can take them off. If we are receiving conflicting comments, we will leave it for our discussion on the next call.

Julie: I would like to offer that that once the comment is reviewed. I am happy to take the pen and build a revised draft accordingly. When you need to get that point, I am happy to help. We also have a private meeting in London, I will be in that meeting and available to help.

Liman: Would it be ok if you if we do this one by one?

Julie: We can do one by one.

Liman: Much appreciated.

8. Future work items:

8.1 Transition of Stewardship of IANA Functions discussion

Liman: I have put forward a proposal last week to form a group to follow this.

Suzanne: We have replied to the NTIA transition, we have not heard anything else from ICANN. So there is no current work item on that.

Liman: So maybe we don't need to form a team on this item, and address other items first.

Suzanne: Agreed.

Liman: With that comment, we can revoke my action item from two meetings ago to form a team to look at IANA transition.

ACTION: Revoke the action item to form the group to follow up on IANA stewardship transition.

8.2 ICANN Accountability

Not discussed.

8.3 DNS Dashboard

Bill: there was a root scaling study, and RSSAC promise ICANN to feed data to a DNS dashboard.

Liman: I agree with it and a suitable task for the caucus, but we don't have take any action now.

Bill: Certainly.

9. Next meeting(s) (5 minutes)

Liman: Do we have a time slot for London?

Carlos: On Monday 8-12 we have working session, and 1:30-3:00 we have two meeting, the public meting and a caucus meeting. We will revise the language for the caucus meeting.

ACTION: Staff to change the "caucus meeting" to "information session for RSSAC caucus"

Liman: I would like some help on we can best use the time. Please help me how to best use the time. Please help me to figure out the details about it. It is up to me to send a draft agenda, but please send me suggestions.

Liman: We have one more telephone conference to be scheduled.

ACTION: The next teleconference call would be on

10. Any Other Business (5 minutes)

Liman: Marc blanchet in the previous meeting has sent the IAB draft on RFC

2870-bis, I wanted to know what the chances that the RSSAC 001 document be published at the same time. What I heard from the committee is that we want the caucus to be formed first and work on the document. Is that still the case?

Suzanne, Bill, Daniel agrees.

Liman: Then chances that we will keep time in sync with IAB is pretty slim. They have their machine going. So I will convey to Marc.

Daniel: May I for openness here. The IAB has put us on the spotlight, in addition to the ICANN spotlight. Why don't we make a statement that the top item on our list is RSSAC 001, we are at the moment is impeded by not having the caucus. In the statement we should also give an estimated date when this will be finished. I think that's the minimum we should be doing. It wouldn't be harm if we add to the statement the current draft. So this way we don't give the perception that we are delaying things. If you'd like us to move forward expeditiously, join the caucus.

Liman: I am happy to publish the draft version as the draft. I would ask you to draft such text. would you like that?

Daniel: I can draft that, but I would like to publish the document without the clear statement.

Liman: I agree.

Suzanne: We could write a liaison statement to the Marc, and relay this to IAB.

Daniel: Fine with me as long as it is published, and I will take the action.

ACTION: Daniel to draft a statement on RSSAC 001 to circulate to the RSSAC exec list.

Liman: I promise to send you an agenda much much early next time.