

GNSO Working Party

10 July 2014

Attendees

- Jennifer Wolfe
- Klaus Stoll
- Avri Doria
- Mike Rodenbaugh
- Wolf-Ulrich Knoben
- Chuck Gomes
- Rudi Vansnick
- Philip Sheppard

Apologies

- Ron Andruff

ICANN Staff

- Marika Konings
- Larisa Gurnick
- Matt Ashtiani
- Lars Hoffmann
- Terri Agnew

Notes

- Regarding confidentiality, the IPC felt that responses should not be confidential by default. It should remain so respondents can *elect* confidentiality if they so choose.
- The IPC also expressed concern that the nature of the current questions seems to be directed to the current situation within the GNSO and do not ask respondents enough about how they would like to see things change and/or how things could be improved.
- The group agreed that there should be an open-ended section within the survey for any other comments or suggestions respondents may have.
- It was noted that the Westlake team was made aware of the Working Party's previous suggestions/comments regarding the 360 Assessment and that Westlake is in the process of formulating a draft survey for the Working Group to test.
- One suggestion made was that respondents be given the opportunity to address their responses toward specific group(s) within the GNSO, in addition to responding about the GNSO as a whole. It was noted that this suggestion

was given to Westlake and it is being considered in the actual architecture and design of the survey.

- The survey will allow respondents to provide an identification of what their affiliations are as part of the general data gathering. However, the survey will also allow respondents to have the opportunity to respond relative to a given group.
- It was noted that while the survey is a very important data collection effort, it is not the only one. Westlake will, where appropriate, look into certain attributes through the research of documents as opposed to asking questions of individuals. Additionally, follow-up questions may be considered as part of the one-on-one interviews that will develop out of this process, so there will be a variety of different means that are employed to collect information.
- It was noted that Staff would compile an expanded FAQs and send it for distribution once it is completed. It was also noted that Staff will be developing an interactive FAQs for the GNSO Working Party Workspace, as well as reaching out to all SO and AC Staff leads to inquire about requested updates on the Review and to promote the 360 Assessment to the various constituencies.
- The group agreed, as it did so in London, to switch the teleconference schedule to every-other-week rather than weekly.