

**GNSO Review Working Party Meeting  
30 September 2015 @ 18:00 – 19:30 UTC  
Chat Transcript**

Nathalie Peregrine:Dear all, Welcome to the GNSO Review Working Party on the 30 September 2015

Chuck Gomes:I like the odds of RySG influence on this call! :)

Avri Doria:hmmm

Klaus Stoll:Rudi will join the call in a minute

Klaus Stoll:You can ask him,.

Avri Doria:makes sense to me

Klaus Stoll:I have technical problems to talk, sorry about that

Nathalie Peregrine:Stephanie Perrin has joined the call

Stephanie Perrin:Apologies for being late

Nathalie Peregrine:Rudi Vansnick has joined the call

Nathalie Peregrine:URL of the document showing: <https://community.icann.org/x/SptYAw>

Rudi Vansnick:sorry had to run away from another meeting to join this one

Larisa Gurnick:<https://community.icann.org/x/SptYAw>

Larisa Gurnick:here is the link to the wiki

Larisa Gurnick:We can add another column to capture Chuck's point

Marika Konings:It would also be necessary to document outreach strategies (in order to measure)

Chuck Gomes:@ Stephanie: Should we change it to hard?

Rudi Vansnick:agree with Stephanie

Marika Konings:The WG focuses on metrics for policy development

Stephanie Perrin:Maybe ....I dont think it would be easy

Klaus Stoll:We need do determine how we define outreach

Rudi Vansnick:some work is alreadygoing on in some constituencies on the level if messuring outreach results

Stephanie Perrin:Yes I dont think this is a priority for this group

Klaus Stoll:Are we talk out corporate ICANN centered outreach or are we talking about community centered outreach

Rudi Vansnick:ok with community centered

Rudi Vansnick:still we are quite different groups in the GNSO

Klaus Stoll:I just wanted to be clear, Thanks

Larisa Gurnick:Let me confirm what section 5.4.5 is. Possibly, the numbering was changed by Westlake

Stephanie Perrin:If we could simply measure the new folks on the PDPs (simple count, comparison year to year) we would get useful stats onpotential burnout. At the risk of sounding like I am totally focused on worker bees

Rudi Vansnick:it thought it was about having more new participants in WG

Klaus Stoll:To get more participants into WG's you need to do awareness and capacity building first

Stephanie Perrin:True but we have lots of folks who have joined but are not engaged.

Klaus Stoll:Relevance to those who have joined is also a important factor

Rudi Vansnick:@Chuck : the participants in WG are normally coming from the consitutencies

Rudi Vansnick:so i would consider the efforts should go to them

Klaus Stoll:Again, you need to do more awareness and capacity building through the constituencies first

Klaus Stoll:Hard

Rudi Vansnick:medium

Rudi Vansnick:depending on what budget ICANN wants to allocate

Rudi Vansnick:not really

Stephanie Perrin:There is always a limited budget

Larisa Gurnick:Work currently underway, as defined by staff - GNSO Newcomers Webinar, GNSO Learn, Community Travel Support, WG online sign-up tool, enhancing volunteers (SO/AC initiative)

Rudi Vansnick:yes

Marika Konings:Per Larisa's note, it would be worth to first evaluate what is in place to be able to determine what changes / improvements can be made

Rudi Vansnick:let's not forget there are also a lot of other non PDP WG's that needs volunteers

Marika Konings:It also links to recommendation 1 as you will need to be able to measure participation / # of newcomers

Stephanie Perrin:getting more worker bees seems to me to be a top priority

Rudi Vansnick:@Stephanie: +1

Stephanie Perrin:true, and if we do qualitative research on (for instance) the fellows who stay and go on to play active roles, that might be more useful than metrics per se.

Stephanie Perrin:that was in response to Marika

Stephanie Perrin:I think you could ask folks to rank them....

Larisa Gurnick:Agreed - not a scientific study, simply a container to aggregate people's views

Larisa Gurnick:How much time would you suggest be allocated to this survey of the WP members?

Larisa Gurnick:Reminder - Doodle poll is still open to assist with scheduling the Dublin meeting. Please respond, if you have not done so already

Charla Shambley:Link to the doodle poll: <http://doodle.com/poll/zcigurdm653cwyvv#table>

Rudi Vansnick:@Larisa: so many meetings and not yet having a clear finalised Dublin schedule it is not easy to figure out

Rudi Vansnick:done my poll ;)

Larisa Gurnick:@Rudi, I realize that. We are proposing all breakfast meetings, hoping that it may be easier to work into your schedules.

Stephanie Perrin:sorry I had to step away for a moment

Rudi Vansnick:on Tuesday morning NCSG is presenting to Fellows in the morning

Stephanie Perrin:Please dont put it on top of the Women's DNS breakfast

Avri Doria:if the OEC is rejecting the recommendation, why are we dealing with it.

Avri Doria:are we trying to reinstate it?

Stephanie Perrin:Whether or not the idea has merit, the process is not acceptable.

Rudi Vansnick:so max 2 weeks

Rudi Vansnick:no objection

Stephanie Perrin:People are extremely busy at the moment....but if it is easy enough we can do it in 2 weeks

Stephanie Perrin:+1 Chuck

Rudi Vansnick:no comments fields

Rudi Vansnick:good idea Larisa

Rudi Vansnick:very good to hear

Stephanie Perrin:thanks everyone

Larisa Gurnick:thank you all

Chuck Gomes:Thanks Jen & all