

# Chat – GNSO Review Working Party Meeting – 22 May 2014

- Matt Ashtiani: Hello all and welcome to the GNSO Review Working Party Meeting – 22 May 2014!
- Bret Fausett, RySG: Good morning. My sound is not working for me in Safari, so I am going to log out and come back in with a different browser.
- Bladel: Funny, Safari is the only browser that I can get working with Audio. :(
- Amr Elsadr: Hi. Just joined this party today. Have some catching up to do.
- Bret Fausett, RySG: I can hear now
- Michele Neylon: hi
- Michele Neylon: I'm dialing in now
- Chuck Gomes: Waiting for an operator
- Michele Neylon: same here
- Marika Konings: same here
- Terri Agnew: alerting operator
- Michele Neylon: at least there aren't any 404s
- Michele Neylon: :)
- Michele Neylon: too soon? :)
- rafik: hello,
- Rudi Vansnick: i just ended my previous call ;)
- Michele Neylon: we're waiting for a bloody operator
- stephane van gelder: also waiting for an operator
- rafik: still waiting for the operator

- Michele Neylon: their hold music sucks
- Amr Elsadr: Hi Chuck.
- Bladel: Charles. :)
- Michele Neylon: Chulk
- Michele Neylon: Chulk
- Michele Neylon: all hail the chulk
- Avri Doria: Ave! Chulk.
- Michele Neylon: grr
- Bladel: Michele & Rafik, are you guys on?
- Michele Neylon: no
- Michele Neylon: still waiting for the bloody operator
- Avri Doria: i am not trying. i am sticking with just adobe.
- Michele Neylon: I need to be able to move around
- Michele Neylon: so I want to be able to use my headset
- Michele Neylon: still waiting ..
- stephane van gelder: No operator still
- stephane van gelder: This is ridiculous
- Michele Neylon: SVG we could have a parallel call :)
- Amr Elsadr: @Michele: Hmm. Strange. The operator answered my dial in pretty quick.
- stephane van gelder: ;)
- Matt Ashtiani: hi all, i am working on the operator, one sec please
- Terri Agnew: Alerting operator

- Michele Neylon: expletive
- Michele Neylon: expletive
- Michele Neylon: since I'm not allowed use them
- Avri Doria: way big group now.
- Michele Neylon: Comment
- Michele Neylon: we'd like to have htre option of alternates
- Michele Neylon: can't speak
- Marika Konings: Please see updated membership on the right - I think the only group that hasn't provided additional reps yet is the IPC
- Avri Doria: but not balanced bCPH and NCPH
- Avri Doria: ... between CPH and NCPH
- stephane van gelder: I'm in!
- Terri Agnew: Welcome Stephane
- stephane van gelder: thanks Terri
- Avri Doria: but i can live with the imblanace if the CPH can.
- Avri Doria: CPH and NCPH should have the same opportunity. this group should nt be determined by old structure.
- Terri Agnew: Wolf Knobens has joined
- Bret Fausett, RySG: There are 12 slots for non-contracted parties and 6 slots for contracted parties.
- Marika Konings: Please note that this was decided by the GNSO Council, to mirror the structure of the Council, not by ICANN Staff
- Michele Neylon: Marika - thanks for the clarification
- Marika Konings: Although we did recommend keeping the group to a manageable number (but not providing any view on how that should be done ;-)

- Avri Doria: not rreally
- Marika Konings: thanks Jen
- Jennifer Standiford: My apologies for joining late
- Terri Agnew: Welcome Jennifer
- Avri Doria: thar just sort of happened, it was not a discussed option, it just sort of happened.
- Amr Elsadr: If this group feels there would be benifit from adding more members from the Registrars and Registries, I doubt the council would object.
- wolf knobn: I agree, leave this open
- Avri Doria: as someone on the call i was surprised when i saw we had decided that.
- Marika Konings: It is also important to note that the Working Party is not the only mechanism by which input can be provided (and it will also be the responsibility of the WP to reach out to the broader community and channel input back to the WP)
- Avri Doria: Mariak, yeah, but, they will set the stage.
- Avri Doria: Marika. sorry
- Michele Neylon: listening but AFK
- Michele Neylon: back
- Rudi Vansnick: @Chuck +1
- Rudi Vansnick: 30 minutes seems long to me
- Chuck Gomes: 30 minutes would be max in my opinion
- Avri Doria: i ca't seem to do more than 5 screen fulls.
- Rudi Vansnick: if possible have the possibility to store and come back later to complete !?

- Marika Konings: Is it an option to have a short and long version of the 360 so people can choose?
- Avri Doria: There are countless surveys i have abandoned after the fifth page.
- Marika Konings: and clearly inform people up front how much time each version will take?
- Avri Doria: being able to take a break and come back, works sometimes.
- Michele Neylon: Avri - to a degree - yes
- Marika Konings: because if people want to provide more information, I don't think we want to restrict that either?
- Michele Neylon: I'm happy to do short ones
- Michele Neylon: simple short questions
- Jennifer Standiford: agree with chuck, ten mins for each session.
- Jennifer Standiford: like a focus group
- stephane van gelder: Michele ;)
- Michele Neylon: SVG - you miss being a registrar - admit it
- Michele Neylon: I should have put "registrar" in quotes obviously
- Michele Neylon: /me ducks
- stephane van gelder: Well, I will just admit to the fact that the registrar SG was much better before ;)
- Michele Neylon: before what?
- Michele Neylon: /me glares
- stephane van gelder: Lately it just seems to be going downhill.... must be a leadership problem ;)
- Michele Neylon: I'll blame Bladel
- stephane van gelder: I'm with that!

- Michele Neylon: when in doubt
- Michele Neylon: blame Verisign or Godaddy
- Michele Neylon: Assuming you can't blame ICANN
- Michele Neylon: or WHOIS
- Bladel: Thanks, Obama.
- Michele Neylon: Chuck is saying what I was thinking
- Rudi Vansnick: i agree with Chuck
- Michele Neylon: also - choice of words
- Michele Neylon: KISS
- stephane van gelder: My pleasure Michele
- stephane van gelder: Agree with Michele on wording
- Marika Konings: Oh, no one has any issues with blaming ICANN Staff ;-)
- Michele Neylon: Marika - no no - I blame ICANN execs
- Michele Neylon: I generally don't blame ICANN Staff
- Michele Neylon: or try not to
- Marika Konings: Well, I think you did see staff earlier on the call, but I'll review the transcript :-P
- Michele Neylon: Oh I know
- Marika Konings: see = say
- Michele Neylon: but you should replace "staff" with "execs" most of the time
- Michele Neylon: and you corrected me
- Michele Neylon: I have a right to be forgotten :)
- Bladel: Good question, I don't think we should allow anonymous response.s.

- Michele Neylon: YOU don't want astro turfing
- Rudi Vansnick: anonymous but would be good if we could have the option of filing in emailaddress
- Bret Fausett, RySG: I can say I am representing the IPC.
- Marika Konings: You could require people to fill in their name and affiliation, but have it optional for that information to be published (like with the ICANN registration)
- Terri Agnew: Jonathan Robinson has joined
- Bret Fausett, RySG: Marika makes a good point. If you don't want your answers to be seen widely, hide your name and contact details, but someone needs the ability to know who said what, to audit the responses for fraud and attempts to game the survey.
- Bladel: Agree, think this should be transparent process, respondents included.
- Chuck Gomes: @ Marika & Michele: Good compromise
- Jennifer Standiford: I think we can move on from this topic.
- Bladel: We can publish in the aggregate (x number/percentage of respondents from y constituency).
- Avri Doria: I thin the collection of he demographic information is among the most importnat. we don't need many questions in the first place. another way os to stack the survey. top one is a 10 question high level. 11th question, are you willing to do a detailed. if yes, then give them a humdinger.
- Bladel: That's the trade-off...easy/quick to respond, vs. high value responses. We may set different standards for each group.
- Chuck Gomes: Avri's suggestion is good.
- Amr Elsadr: So, does the group feel the value of quantifiable data in this form will provide the value required? I'm thinking that if this survey is filled out by (for example) 30 groups and/or individuals with repsonses based on drop-down menus, the questions those would leave me with are probably more than any answers or understanding.
- Jennifer Standiford: Great point Chuck. I was going to ask that question

- Jennifer Standiford: even if they have been participating in ICANN, that doesn't mean they are knowledgeable re. GNSO
- Jennifer Standiford: at what point will the participants be deemed 'credible' to participate in the survey?
- Amr Elsadr: @Jennifer: True..., and their answers will dilute the overall value of the quantitative analysis.
- Bladel: Also wastes the respondent's time...less likely to respond to the survey.
- Chuck Gomes: Where do we access the survey?
- Bladel: Not sure I would say "more credible." :)
- Chuck Gomes: It would be helpful if the survey was sent to the list.
- Chuck Gomes: I didn't receive the survey probably because I was just added to the list.
- stephane van gelder: I haven't had it either
- Jennifer Standiford: could you please distribute the draft spreadsheet to all participants?
- Matt Ashtiani:  
<https://community.icann.org/display/GR2/GNSO+Review+2014+Working+Party+Meetings+Workspace>
- Chuck Gomes: Thanks Jenn & Larisa
- Larisa Gurnick: Thank you everyone for the useful feedback
- Rudi Vansnick: thanks to all
- Amr Elsadr: Thanks all. Bye.
- Bladel: Thanks!