
TERRI AGNEW: Good morning, good afternoon and good evening. This is the NARALO monthly teleconference on Monday, the 12th of May, 2014, at 19:00 UTC. On the call today we have Alan Greenberg, Gordon Chillcott, Yubelkys Montalvo, Glenn McKnight, Allan Skuce, Garth Bruen, Matthew Rantanen, Garth Graham, Judith Hellerstein, Joly MacFie, Eduardo Diaz, Loris Taylor, and Ogi Mitev. We have no apologies for today's conference.

From staff, we have Silvia Vivanco, Ariel Liang, Gisella Gruber, Nathalie Peregrine, Heidi Ullrich, and myself, Terri Agnew. I'd also like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much and Garth, over to you.

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you very much. This is Garth Bruen, NARALO chair. I welcome you all to the call. Thank you for taking the time. Mr. Secretary, if you are prepared to read the action items, I turn it over to you.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Thank you so much. We have a few items today. 2.1, focus to Nathalie, the ALS RALO database is to show the date of updating. Please advise the status. Did you want to turn to that item? It's not determined. Garth?

GARTH BRUEN: Yeah, I mean, I haven't seen anything on that. Maybe staff has a response to that. I think what we want to see is when the items are

published in the Wiki or they're published elsewhere, so that we can see the date that the last change was made. This will give us some indication of how current the information is. That's what we're looking for.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Just to clarify, you're looking for when the Wiki page itself was updated?

GARTH BRUEN: No, when the database was updated because it's a database that is going to have either the correct or incorrect information, and that's going to be the root of contact information. That's where we want to see the date reflected.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Do you want the exact date reflected? Normally we just use the month and year. Is that okay, or did you want it to be the exact date?

GARTH BRUEN: I think the month and year is fine. I just figured that, if was recorded automatically in a database, that it would be a base numeric date, but whatever method you use is fine.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, Nathalie handles that so I'm just going to back to her and just check with something, but I think we can definitely do that.

GARTH BRUEN: Yeah, just because sometimes, when we look at the information and we know it's wrong and we wonder how old it is, maybe somebody from an At-Large structure knows it. They submitted an update of information. It just gives us a clue. Then, once a year we can go through and we can actually refresh all the information if we know it's too old. It's an important detail. Go ahead, Glenn. Continue.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Okay, 2.2, again, the staff, please advise on the process of releasing the London meeting PPT template.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, that is our communications department. Perhaps Ariel. Actually, Ariel isn't on the call. Well, I think she is but we'll get an action item for staff to follow up on when the approximate release date for that is. It should be any time now.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Thank you. 2.3, staff again, please verify if English, French and Spanish NARALO brochures were shipped to the Chair.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, I mean, I know that Susie did that quite a while ago so I don't know if this was a new one. Garth, are you saying that you did not receive the brochures, ever? Or is this a new one.

GARTH BRUEN: I didn't receive them. This was a request that occurred before Singapore and, in Singapore, Susie had said, "We're taking care of that." I don't know what happened.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, and how many were you asking for?

GARTH BRUEN: I asked for 50 in English, 25 in French, and 25 in English.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Fifty French you needed, you mean? And, twenty-five English, twenty-five French, fifty French. Sorry.

GARTH BRUEN: No, no. Fifty English, twenty-five French, twenty-five Spanish.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Got it, got it. I'll ask Susie. I'll ask her to do that today. I know that she's really good at that, so I don't know what's happened. But just to let you know, also, we noticed, Job noticed that Glenn was passing out NARALO brochures with old addresses. So what we're doing is updating them for the ATLAS. Garth, would you like us to ship the ones we have currently?

-
- GARTH BRUEN: Are you saying it has the old ICANN Marina Del Rey address?
- HEIDI ULLRICH: Correct, and the old Washington, D.C. address and it doesn't have the hubs of Singapore and Istanbul. That one was updated in 2011 last. If you need something urgent then we can get you what we have, but if you can wait a couple of weeks, then you can get the new ones.
- GARTH BRUEN: I think if there's been a delay I might as well wait until the new ones are printed.
- HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. Okay.
- GLENN MCKNIGHT: Heidi, those are the brochures that I got shipped to me for the both the NTEN and the ARIN. Was that not new stuff that I got?
- HEIDI ULLRICH: No, Glenn, you had the old ones. Again, the latest version that is on the web right now of the NARALO trifold was made in 2011. We just noticed that so we're updating all of the RALO brochures with new addresses. As soon as we get the PDFs and the printed version, we'll update the Wiki and send those to Garth.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Okay, if I can just add to that, I will request some brochures, as well. I'll need them for IETF in July.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sure. What we can do is we'll bring a lot to London and then just take them from there.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Thank you. That would be great. Thank you. Okay, I'll proceed, 2.4, again back to Nathalie, to notify RALO leaders and ALAC members when the RALO ALS database is updated. Any comment?

Okay, I'll move to 2.5, again, the staff, please advise on the status of including closed captioning for filmed ICANN sessions. Do we have any status update on that?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I'm sorry, Glenn, sorry. Which one is this? I was looking at something else about the database. Go ahead.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Sure, it's the 2.5, it's the advise on the status of including closed captioning for filming ICANN sessions. Do we have an update from communications on that?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I know that Olivier asked senior staff to make sure that there are enough cameras to get some good filming. I think that they are going to do, go ahead with that. In terms of closed captioning, I think I need some more information on that.

GARTH BRUEN: In several of the big ticket ICANN sessions, there's usually a running textual account of the discussion on the board. We just think that that is a very useful resource. If that could be somehow rolled into the archived feed or made live, that would be very useful.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I know that does ring a bell now. If we could go back on that. I know Dev put that into a request for, I think he put that into a request for the Meeting Strategy Working Group public comments, but transcribing is quite expensive. That's why only large meetings, those really big, the Board meetings and the public meetings have that currently.

GARTH BRUEN: Yeah. What I'm saying is that if it's already being done in those meetings, can we make it available?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I can check. But again, it might be prohibitive in terms of cost.

GARTH BRUEN: It's being recorded somewhere, I would imagine.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Let me check into that.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, thank you.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Okay, 2.6, ALAC, please advise on the status of the formal request to de-certify Alberta Community Network Association under the rule #16 of the NARALO Operation Principles. Back to you, Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah. There's an update there. That's been completed and sent to Garth.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, thank you very much. Great, I'll make a note of that.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Okay, 2.7, the election, Alan Greenberg to check with the NARALO procedures if the election can be held in July.

GARTH BRUEN: I know Alan is on the call and I'm sure that he did check into it. He may have even sent out information about that. We can talk about this later on in the call.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Okay, perfect. And, lastly, 2.8, all please note the April report. Back to you.

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you very much. Right at the top of the queue, we have the upcoming London meeting and we have number of details that I want to make sure that we get out of the way. As many of you know, I reached out earlier today to get some details from as many of you as possible about how your travel arrangements are going. I have responses from a number of you. I see a few folks on the call who I don't have responses from yet, and I will just address them as I see your name.

I see that Garth Graham is on the call – or at least on the Adobe chat. Garth, can you confirm whether or not you have your flight information? You can either speak on the call or you can do the text chat. He does. Okay, good. Good.

Michael M., I do not see Michael on the call. Let's skip him for now. Eduardo, I had response from earlier. Evan, "Garth, I traveled from [inaudible]." Okay, that's Evan Leibovitch. We have a yes.

Thomas, Thomas Lowenhaupt, if you are on the call and you can let us know. I do not see Thomas' name. We'll come back to him.

Allan Skuce, Is Allan Skuce is on the call? I see Allan is on the call and I believe that he is typing, probably furiously. Heidi has a question, okay. Joly, you have your hand up. Please go ahead.

JOLY MACFIE:

Yes, this is on the point about the recording for the transcribing and that business. Normally I believe they are recorded on two levels, which is the rough transcript of what goes out and then there's a finished transcript which takes a few more days and takes money. But I think you're right to just double-check [inaudible] the rough transcript. Sometimes someone leaves their browser open and we serve them up ourselves rather than actually appear on the site.

Another question I had is a follow up to the Adobe Connect recording. Those links tend to be not published. I always have to write in and ask them, "What is the link to that? To the Adobe Connect?" You could just save that to the whole Adobe Connect. I'd ask that question, as well. Will the link to the Adobe Connect recording be available?

GARTH BRUEN:

Okay, this is Garth. Thank you, Joly. The question about the Adobe is for staff.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Terri, either you can answer or I can do my best to answer. Terri, actually, let me try and, if I'm wrong, please correct me, or Gisella. Our audio, the landlines through Adigo, those recordings are ready pretty quickly. Immediately after the call, Adigo sends it to the secretariat. So those should be available.

The Adobe Connect, we get transcripts. Actually, from the recording, we send it to language services and we get a transcript. Normally, during

the normal non-ICANN meetings, we get a transcript, for the most part, within 48 hours, and that transcript then is posted.

But during ICANN meetings, because of the sheer number of meetings going on delays the transcript. For ICANN meetings, those transcripts are not available to us for weeks and, unfortunately, sometimes months. That's the delay in terms of the transcripts for ICANN meeting calls. Gisella and Terri, maybe I left something out?

JOLY MACFIE: I'd like to follow up and say that the rough transcript is what actually appears on the screen, there is no reason why that shouldn't be available immediately.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Oh, the chat. Okay. Okay, sorry. You're talking about the chat. That should definitely be available.

JOLY MACFIE: I'm talking about the [inaudible] transcript that appears running live [inaudible] the rough transcript. That should be available, right?

HEIDI ULLRICH: The meetings that I work with are not scribed so I don't know if those, if the meetings that are scribed, if they're posted immediately. I have a feeling that they may be looked at just to make sure everything is correct. But again, Terri or Gisella, if you know more, jump in.

JOLY MACFIE: What happens is, the people who have a browser open, you can save it in a text file and it can be saved and uploaded. That's what's been happening at the moment where other people, we do it ourselves a lot of the time because ICANN doesn't.

And then my other question is, that's an Adobe Connect recording, which includes video, chat, the whole nine yards, it seems like the links are never published.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, we'll take that on board. Terri is listening and I think Gisella is, as well. We'll see if they can follow up on this.

GARTH BRUEN: To echo what Joly was saying, sometimes when I go back and I try to find meeting transcripts and recordings, it's necessary to drill down into the actual individual meeting page in order to get the media, and I think it might be easy, and maybe this already exists, if there was a single sheet page that had all of the meetings and all of the media available listed next to it. Maybe table driven saying that has either video, audio, transcript, PowerPoint, chat, etc., all linked in a table. And that's just a recommendation.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Garth, are you talking about ICANN meetings? At-Large meetings at ICANN public meetings or the regular calls like these?

GARTH BRUEN: Well, I'm talking about regular ICANN meetings. Rather than having to go to the individual page, after calling an ICANN meeting, rather than having to go to the individual page for a session to find the available media, to have a single page that's a lot like the layout of the schedule, maybe, and have the media listed for each.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, I get it. I see what you're talking about.

GARTH BRUEN: Good.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Let me pass that on and see if that's possible.

GARTH BRUEN: Already making a lot of work that wasn't on the agenda today. I want to recognize Allan, who has his hand up. Allan Skuce, who had his hand up first, and then Alan Greenberg, who had his hand up second. Allan Skuce, please. Allan, we can't hear you if you're talking.

ALLAN SKUCE: Is that better?

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, now we can hear you. Go ahead.

ALLAN SKUCE: Okay. I've got my flight finalized and I just have a couple of papers to send back with my signature.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, thank you very much. Alan Greenberg, you're next in the queue. Go ahead.

ALAN GREENBERG: Just on the issues that were being raised, I tend to agree. Things are awful hard. Things that are normal meetings, we go to the agenda and there's clear links. For meetings that are held during ICANN meetings, there are often you have to go through several levels of direction and in some cases you can't get to them at all. It would be useful if, at least after the fact, the links were made readily available for meetings that were held in person. Otherwise, meetings that were held in person end up being second-class meetings, so to speak, because you can't find the history.

In terms of the scribe feed, they used to make that available. Nowadays, they seem to hold it to their chest and keep it until the final one comes out, which often is very delayed. I think Joly is right. If you happen to be watching the scribe feed from the beginning, you can capture it. But ICANN isn't doing that. I really wish they would because the delays are sometimes very, very wrong before the cleaned up one comes out. Thank you.

GARTH BRUEN: Yeah, thank you, Alan. I think that some people might be using specialized devices. That text may actually be translatable into something useful, like through a spoken-word device. That's a possibility, too.

Alan, you still have your hand up. Joly, you still have your hand up. Joly, do you have another point, or is this the old topic. No? Okay, all the hands are clear. All right, moving along. Ogi gave me his confirmation. While I've still got Alan Greenberg's attention, do you have your flight and other information?

ALAN GREENBERG: Yep, I've got everything.

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you very much. Gordon Chillcott is in the meeting. Gordon, do you have all of your required travel information?

GORDON CHILLCOTT: Thanks, Garth. Garth, I'm not the one that going. Todd Sullivan and I will be talking to him either tonight or tomorrow night, and one of us will get back to you.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, have him cc me. Send an e-mail to him and cc me directly so I'll have his contact info.

GORDON CHILLCOTT: Will do.

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you very much. Okay, I heard from some of the other folks on the list. Kerry Brown, I have not heard from. Is Kerry on the call?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, Kerry's okay. His travel has been set up.

GARTH BRUEN: Kerry's good? Okay, Louis Houle?

LOUIS HOULE: Can you hear me? I've been e-mailing again. This week, I'm facing some problems. The e-mail address was wrong, so I'm working on it.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, keep me informed, Louis. Darlene, if Darlene is on the call. Do you have your information? I don't see her name on the list. Sarah, I sent an e-mail to. Judith, I have her information. And [inaudible], I have her information, too.

Moving along, does anybody else have anything that they wish to bring up that is travel related? No? Okay, thank you very much. Okay, staff, do we have the image of the banner, at least the proposed new banner?

TERRI AGNEW: Yes. Let me get it up for you.

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you.

TERRI AGNEW: And you should be seeing it.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay.

SILVIA VIVANCO: I think we have to reduce to 25% to be able to see it.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: I am not able to see it. We need to reduce it.

GARTH BRUEN: Yeah, it's quite large.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You can do it.

SILVIA VIVANCO: I reduced it. Can you see it? 25%.

GARTH BRUEN: It's smaller now.

TERRI AGNEW: Everyone has to do it themselves. I'll put it back up.

GARTH BRUEN: I'm like Judith. All I saw was gold. I didn't see the image.

HEIDI ULLRICH: If everyone clicks on 25 you'll see NAROLO pop up. I'm not sure why that color is gold. It actually should be yellow. And I think it actually is more yellow when you look at it. I think.

GARTH BRUEN: It might be hard to see.

JOLY MACFIE: The official NARALO yellow is kind of golden.

HEIDI ULLRICH: That's what we have. If you scroll down and is everyone able scroll on your own or are you seeing me scroll?

GARTH BRUEN: No, I'm scrolling independently.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. For those who are not aware, the original At-Large logo was developed for the first At-Large Summit and every RALO picked a color as one of the sunbeams and NARALO picked yellow. That is why you're yellow. This is just a repeat of the one that was replaced. We had one ready for the Toronto meeting and the only thing that was added from my memory is the QR code.

GARTH BRUEN: I want to give a quick check box to agree to this format, the wording. I approve of it as is. If anybody else has any issues with it, please put in a red X or put up your hand to speak about it. Once we've approved this, staff can go ahead and order the new banner. I'm seeing a lot of check marks. I don't see any complaints. All right, I want to see – Evan, please go ahead.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Hi, it's Evan speaking, just repeating a question I put in the chat. What URL does the QR code go to?

GARTH BRUEN: Good question.

HEIDI ULLRICH: That was my question as well and Silvia and others let me know it goes to the portal of NARALO.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Correct, yes. You try it. It will take you to the Wiki page, the main NARALO Wiki page, the portal.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Which, all of you who were on the training, should know where the portal is.

JOLY MACFIE: NARALO [inaudible].

GLENN MCKNIGHT: The QR code works. I tested it.

GARTH BRUEN: Eduardo, you had your hand up?

EDUARDO DIAZ: Just to say I was checking it out and it works okay.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sorry, Garth. I do see Joly's question is a bit black at the bottom and I don't know what that is.

GARTH BRUEN: It does seem online it's a black bar running through the image.

SILVIA VIVANCO: It's just what they explain the printer or the manufacturer uses this, so just disregard. It's part of the layout of the sign. It's not going to come out in the printed banner.

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you for noticing, Joly. Okay. All right, so it appears as though the banner is approved. We'll go ahead and we'll move on. Thank you very much, staff, for putting the banner together. I know missing the explanation point in the Spanish last time was a source of a lot of frustration and it looks like we got it right this time. Anymore further comments about the banner? No? Okay. All right.

We'll move on into item #4, review of communication and outreach strategy. We've been making great, great strides in this area and we're going to continue doing so. Now that we have some more new members, we're going to be leaning on our new membership to continue to do outreach within their own communities. We're looking forward to working with them, as well.

I did receive a communication from staff about the automation of the ALS application. I know we also wanted to do that for individual membership, too, and make sure that all these things work in a seamless way and are user-friendly. That's one of our biggest complaints from new members is that the application process is a bit daunting. It's not Web 2.0, and we're even moving out of the Web 2.0 era. We're going to have to step up the game a little bit. But I did receive that communication from staff and I will get back to you all on that.

Jumping down into CROPP, is anybody from the CROPP Committee on the call?

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Allan's on the line.

GARTH BRUEN: Allan, I'm catching up on what happened with Konstantin's application for the IETF meeting. What was the SNAFU there?

ALLAN SKUCE: That was #5, right?

GARTH BRUEN: I believe so.

ALLAN SKUCE: The SNAFU is that it was after the date.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, what was the date?

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Konstantin's request is for the IETF, which is in July. What Allan is saying is it's after the cut-off day of the latter part of June.

GARTH BRUEN: Got it. Understood. And do we have a confirmation that this program is going to continue? I guess this is more a question for staff, unless Allan knows that, as well.

ALLAN SKUCE: Nobody has sent me anything. Staff said that they were going to let us know.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Garth, are you asking whether the overall CROPP Program is going ahead?

GARTH BRUEN: Exactly, yes.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, my understanding is it is going to be a pilot for a second year and I think that that was published when finance published their financial information last week. One of the files that was listed was the list of AC/SO requests, and I think that was in there. I believe.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay. Alright, then, based on that, I would presume that we're out of the running for any additional meetings, even if they occur before that July cutoff. Because I see that there are at least two meetings that Evan recommended, Internet of Things June 17th and 18th and ACM MobiSys

June 16th through the 19th. Do we still have a window of opportunity for those?

ALLAN SKUCE: I believe that, if it's possible, it would be very, very, very tight. It would have to be expressed through.

GARTH BRUEN: All right. If there is interest there, please step forward. Glenn has a question. If Konstantin's proposal valid for July with the new fiscal period? Excellent question. But if the fiscal period hasn't been announced yet to the CROPP committee, I don't see how that would even work. Travel requests must be submitted eight weeks before any such travel is to have taken place. Okay. Alright.

I suppose that's why this is a pilot project. I suppose it would be difficult for Konstantin to apply for an out-of-scope travel time when the new fiscal year program hasn't been approved yet. Am I wrong?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Let me found out from the people who are supporting that.

ALAN GREENBERG: I'm sure I was on a call a while ago. Heidi might or someone else remember, where they said yes, they understand the problem and were making provisions for people to apply for meetings in the first few

months of the fiscal year. Sorry, I thought I heard that, but I may have just hoped I heard it.

GARTH BRUEN: If that's the case, and if there is some way we can keep Konstantin's application hot and not necessarily giving up. Obviously, for obvious reasons, it was rejected for this year because it didn't happen within this year, but if it's happening within next year and next year's approved, maybe this way we can keep it on the books so it can go through when the time is ready.

ALLAN SKUCE: Yeah, but Garth, eight weeks is just about now. It would have to happen pretty soon.

GARTH BRUEN: But that's the thing is that his application is already in, but he applied for it under the previous fiscal year, which is coming to an end, and we want to put it.

ALLAN SKUCE: I'm just saying processing would have to start real soon.

GARTH BRUEN: I mean, they have all the information. I mean, Konstantin already did the work. Hopefully we can make it so his work doesn't go to waste.

Okay. All right, moving on. Just so everybody is aware, we continue our work on outreach. We have an outreach queue. Submit applications to Murray. We will process them and we will do the outreach and we will keep talking.

I asked earlier if Tom was on the call. Tom is not on the call so I won't talk about his project in real detail.

Without further ado, if Joly is ready to do this month's spotlight, we'd love to hear about your work, Joly. Are you there?

JOLY MACFIE: Yes, I'm here.

GARTH BRUEN: Please, go ahead.

JOLY MACFIE: I'm posting a link in the chat, which is something I wrote in 2011 when we ran an update on our Internet here in New York. It gave a sort of history of the chapter back to the start, which you can peruse at your leisure.

Essentially, ISOC NY was born in 1997 by some sort of local academics and local ISP, the idea of it being something of a professional organization in the way that those professional organizations go. They went through and got the 501(c)3 nonprofit status.

A couple of years later, the original crew had gone away and it was replaced by more sort of community organization type people on the left wing. But they did a good job, sort of a grassroots working with grassroots working with schools in the local area. Then it kind of fell a little bit fallow until David Solomon came along and reinvigorated it. He currently our president.

David has worked as a network admin in medical school and his concern has always been more with the free software, the freedom, the free access to things but also cutting edge with things like virtual worlds and that kind of activity.

We brought in other people. We have a very strong board. Evan Korth is my executive vice president, is a professor at NYU and is an organizer of hackNY and is also on the board of the New York TechNique, which is one of the [inaudible] in the country. We have a good relationship with them.

Jonathan Askin, who you'll all be meeting at ATLAS, is a professor at the law school. He runs a thing called the Brooklyn Law whatever it is clinic which is where students offer legal advice to startups and so on in the city. As you know, I do a lot of webcasting.

As far as our relationship with ICANN goes, one of our members is Danny Younger. He actually joined ISOC so he could be in the NCUC. He represented us in the NCUC through the early part of the 2000s. When At-Large emerged, we were invited by ISOC [inaudible] to join at large and there was a lot of consultation of whether we could be both in

NCUC and At-Large, which was eventually resolved. There was no conflict there.

And so, Danny stepped down from [inaudible], which was a shame because nobody but Danny saw the sweeping minutia of what was going on at ICANN. But later on Avri Doria has joined us. So she [inaudible] in fact goes on these things. Sort of the default admin. I represent both in the NCUC and the At-Large.

Generally, as a chapter, we don't get that active in terms of putting on events and that sort of thing, but we're very active with other groups in New York that are providing that sort of way of those people to hook up. We put people in touch with each other and that sort of thing. Basically, that's what I've got to say. I'll take any questions.

GARTH BRUEN:

Does anybody have any questions for Joly about ISOC New York? No? Okay, thank you very much, Joly. That was great. And it's good to get an idea what everybody is working on and where everybody comes from. These are all really, really important things and we should all know a little bit more about each other and know a little bit more about each other's work.

Moving forward with that, we have a whole bunch of new ALSes to welcome. The first one I would like to welcome, and we actually have a couple of their representatives on the call today, Native Public Media. We have Loris Taylor and Matthew Rantanen, I believe if I've pronounced it wrong.

Loris, are you able to speak on the call to introduce yourself? If you're not actually on the voice call, that's fine. If Matthew is on the voice call, you want to introduce yourself. If you're not able to speak on the call, that is perfectly okay. Matthew, welcome.

MATTHEW RANTANEN: Thank you for having us and letting us join your group. This is a wonderful occasion for tribal Native America to get to be a part of this.

GARTH BRUEN: Your group has influence in a number of areas, not just the Web. If you could just fill everyone in a little bit.

MATTHEW RANTANEN: Loris and I are pretty involved in a number of things going on in Indian country in the United States. Native Public Media deals with media and radio, terrestrial radio stations, Internet radio stations. We even are working in conjunction with the Native American Journalist Association on the print side of things. We have a lot of stuff coming with that.

We work on policy at the FCC, at the different departments at the government to break down some of those obstacles and barriers that exist for the tribal community. Loris and I also sit as the tech and telecom co-chairs for the National Congress of American Indians. I actually set on the Native Nations Broadband Task Force at the Federal Communications Commission. We're spread out a bit among the things that are happening in Indian country, so we have access and knowledge

on a lot of different areas. Hopefully we can contribute some of that to your group.

GARTH BRUEN:

That would be wonderful. I think that's great news and I love to hear about this kind of work. I've been especially curious about the radio, not even as a cultural issue that's specific to any one group. But Internet radio is a phenomenon that has impacted a lot of people in the way that they receive information and it's an amazing thing. Hopefully we can get a presentation out of you folks at some point so we can all learn more about what you do and we can all meet in person. Thank you so much for joining NARALO.

MATTHEW RANTANEN:

Absolutely. Internet radio is a great new phenomenon that's happening. It's something that's opening the doors, but one of the problems that we have with Internet radio is that it requires broadband communications to be able to deploy. So that's our primary goal in a lot of Indian country is to get broadband to folks. We currently are at around 10% that have access to broadband. We're working very hard at the national level to try to solve that issue so that we can get those kind of services to everybody. But yeah, we'll definitely give a report at some time and a presentation. That would be great.

GARTH BRUEN:

I've been aware of that problem of broadband, especially within Indian or Native areas. I've been reaching out when I can to specific tribes and

I've had marginal success. Hopefully I can lean on you guys a little bit to do some more outreach. That would be good, too.

MATTHEW RANTANEN: No problem.

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you so much. All right, we also want to welcome Open Media Canada. I don't believe there's anybody from Open Media on the call. If there is, speak up.

I also want to welcome the ISOC Disabilities and Special Needs Chapter. They actually told me that they couldn't make it today, but this is another great addition to our arsenal that is focusing on the needs of the disabled on the Internet. In addition to that, we've also had join our ranks the Visually Impaired and Blind User Group, also known as VIBUG, and this, yet again, is a great inroad for us into the disabled community's use of the Internet. Unfortunately, this has been a completely missed population. Some folks have come up with some extremely innovative ways to access the Internet and have come to really rely on it as an important resource. We want to make sure that they get the resources they need and their work gets the attention and gratitude it needs. Joly, you have your hand up. Go, ahead Joly.

JOLY MACFIE: I just briefly want to say about the ISOC Disabled group, the chapter, they need people. We should actively try and recruit people into that chapter.

GARTH BRUEN:

Okay, that's a good point. I will keep that in mind. But, you know what, our work is not done. There are still many, many groups out there that need to join and many people who simply need to be contacted. Some people, some groups that I've spoken to, they needed a little bit of convincing. They needed a little bit of convincing so they could understand how their work could be influential in Internet policy. I actually had to show them. I had to demonstrate some of the power of what At-Large does. For other groups, as soon as I reached out to them, they were ready to join. Actually, Native Public Media was one of those groups. That shows you the power of our outreach efforts.

Okay, moving on, and going to skip down right to our committee and working group activities. If Louis Houle is still on the call, do we have a NomCom report? This is the nominating committee. This is the group or body that recommends candidates for the board and other critical positions within ICANN. And we have representation on the NomCom from At-Large. This is a very, very important piece of what we do at At-Large. Louis is the representative from North America. Louis, can you give us a rundown of what's going on? You might need to speak up a little bit, Louis.

LOUIS HOULE:

Can you hear me?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE:

Very faintly.

LOUIS HOULE: Can you hear me?

GARTH BRUEN: It's very faint, Louis.

LOUIS HOULE: I can't think what the problem is. I'm live now.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, just go ahead and talk, then.

LOUIS HOULE: [inaudible] report cards [inaudible]. We've been going to weekly sessions, weekly starting in April. Minutes of our minutes can't be shared, actually, so we will have to work on report cards, but we cannot [inaudible] report cards [inaudible]. We will be summarizing in the next few weeks. Can you still hear me?

GARTH BRUEN: It's difficult. Just wrap up as quickly as you can. Maybe we can get more information later.

LOUIS HOULE: Yeah, so a report card will be coming soon.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, thank you very much.

LOUIS HOULE: [Inaudible]

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, I believe that the ATRT2 report has already been published. Comment period, I believe, is the comment period still open for the ATRT?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I don't think so.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay. All right, we can remove that item. What is the future work, if any, of that?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: We're in a period where the board is supposed to respond and in theory, they'll be responding in London saying what they're going to do and what they're not going to do and why.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, good. Okay, any reports from the Future Challenges Working Group?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Not at this point. Essentially, we'll be looking for some new membership come the summit in London and trying to come up with some new agendas, amongst the other things that have been taking the time of Alan and myself.

There was a public comment period on the public interest commitments program. That, actually, is still ongoing. There was an initiative that was approved by the ALAC and taken out for public comment that mentions both the Public Interest Commitments Program on the new gTLD Program that we found it to be extremely lacking and that we were calling for some action on it, including one alternative call Public Action Councils.

GARTH BRUEN: Policy Advisor.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: That's right. Thank you. Policy Advisory Boards. My acronym meter is malfunctioning. That is actually still out for public comments. The reply period ends the 21st of the month after an extension was granted. Essentially this was something that formed a very interesting conversation going on during the Singapore meeting.

During the board interaction with the ALAC, we were told that they believed that the issue was gone and buried and that the GAC was happy with it. Then the GAC community, at the end of the week, indicated it very much was still an open issue. So this continues to be a topic. There's a number of us that continue to believe that the public

commitment component of the current TLD Program is heavily flawed so we continue to work towards it.

While that is not directly related to Future Challenges, it is something that is a little bit off the grid and hasn't really been on the radar of any of the conventional working groups, so that's my opportunity to talk about it. Garth?

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you. Glenn, you have your hand up.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: I was just earlier, with Joly's comment about ISOC reaching out, I had a long conversation with [Walda]. She really wants to reach out and create an ISOC North America Native Group, so it's great to see Anthony on the line and Matthew, as well. This is great. So if we can help follow up on that.

GARTH BRUEN: Fantastic. Outreach and inreach. Okay then, other than the CROPP discussion we had earlier, are there any other reports from CROPP?

GLENN MCKNIGHT: I posted my report earlier and I was at the errand session. Very interesting session. I've put into the link the link to some pictures at the event.

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you very much. And Avri has posted in the chat give me link to the enhancing public accountability public comments. Thank you.

The At-Large Finance and Budget Subcommittee, is anybody from that group wishing to, okay, before I move on, Allan, you have your hand up. Go ahead, Allan.

ALLAN SKUCE: Quickly on the CROPP reports, as far as I know, they're all up-to-date except for that one for next year. It turns out they've been really well-received.

I'm on the At-Large Finance and Budget Committee and we're just preparing to have a number of sessions in which we go over what's already been there. There is an open comment thing on the budget for '14-'15, and I believe that's open until the end of June.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, could you put that link in chat, or staff could put that link up to the comment period that Allan is talking about. Much appreciated.

All right, Privacy and Proxy Services Accreditation Issues Working Group. Is anybody from that working group on the call? No? Okay.

I believe, is the Board Member Selection Process Committee work complete for the time being? I believe that it is. The At-Large Ad Hoc Accessibility Working Group, it's no longer ad hoc group. That is a real, fully functioning group and we're going to be getting an agenda for that for London. Looking forward to that.

Moving on to ALAC At-Large Advisory Committee work, and I believe that Olivier has just joined the call. Olivier, if you're on the call, do you wish to comment on ALAC matters?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I have to unmute, of course, before speaking. Thank you very much, Garth. Can you hear me?

GARTH BRUEN: Yes, go ahead.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: You can. Okay, there's just so much going on. We really are focusing as much as we can on At-Large II because that's the big thing that's coming up in front of us. Apart from this, as you know, there's the public comment. There's still quite a few coming through. I invite you to come to the call later on this week that we'll be dealing with something else that will open up, and that's the cycle to look at ICANN accountability and the globalization of ICANN, and these two things are closely linked together. I don't know whether you've noted this already on the call, but it's an important process that's starting up.

What's really important for us, of course, is the transfer of stewardship from the U.S. government of the IANA function. That's something which is of great importance, as you all know. I had a call earlier today with the other SO and AP chairs. It's a monthly call that we have and these are the two issues that we touched on.

There's a cross-committee working group on the transfer, on the IANA effectively that will very soon come alive. The GNSO has announced it in their comment to the public comment period that was opened on the matter. And we'll, of course, have some representatives that will be there. I touched on that during the ALAC call. We're going to have to have a committee of some sort that will place people in a first in a steering group that is board and staff led and then there is the cross-committee working group, as well. These are all ongoing matters. That's it. Thank you.

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you, Olivier. Moving on to Evan. Evan, do you have any ALAC issues?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: I would like to be able to talk about the At-Large participation of the At-Large people that participated in the NETmundial meeting in Brazil, not only in the working groups that led up to a joint statement on it, but also to the individual participation, but that would really tend to a much longer meeting than you had probably planned.

GARTH BRUEN: Well, Evan, I actually had under recently held meetings at 7.2.1 the NETmundial and I was hoping to get a comment from somebody about it.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Well, I think between Avri and I we can scramble together something.

GARTH BRUEN: What can you give us as far as impressions, though?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Do you want to wait until that point in the meeting or do you want me to go now?

GARTH BRUEN: That is this point in the meeting, so go for it.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Okay. Avri, I'm sure you can add your own piece. I was extremely happy with it. I actually think the NETmundial is something of a milestone in that it demonstrated a version of governments being able to work together with business and civil society and not with each side hollering at each other, but with different podiums. A very fast-paced session where you had a very, very difficult set of competing interests that were working on this, and yet, despite it all, we were able to come up with a statement that was fairly inclusive, that did a little bit of watering down of public interest language, but it's still there, and essentially has the endorsement of a number of different parties and really helps set the stage, I think, for a better outcome on some of the meetings that are going to happen later this year, such as the plenipotentiary from IPU.

We're talking real high-level stuff here. But this is a very, very important document. It addresses surveillance. It addresses obligations and it commits to openness. Is the language 100% as I would have liked it? No, but then again, I don't think any party got what they wanted. The only ones that really seemed to be, the only people that spoke that were very, very much against this process, I believe, were Cuba, the governments of Cuba, India, Russia, Iran. Those were the loudest governments against it. When you found the governments of Brazil and the U.S. on the same side, they're both wary of each other on surveillance and other issues, but they were able to find common ground in the wording of the final statement. Again, I was very happy to see the way it turned out and I was happy to be part of it. Thanks.

GARTH BRUEN:

Thank you, Evan. Just one maybe tough question. How does the ordinary Internet user benefit from this?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH:

I don't know that I can give you a solid answer. The problem is this is about ten steps removed from day-to-day use. This is essentially a document that will guide processes that will inform policy down at the government level and, hopefully, even at the intergovernmental treaty level. This is possibly talking at the level of the Kyoto Climate Accord or various international treaties on how you treat commerce or things like that. It's very high level and it's going to take some time before the effects of this are really felt on the ground, but this had to start somewhere.

Especially post-Snowden, you had a lot of governments that were wary of each other and you had governments that were wary of civil society and business interests. Meanwhile, you have people in ICANN who are saying you need a multi-stakeholder model. These can't be decisions made by government alone. And I think that was the big decision, the big accomplishment of NETmundial was showing that you could have a process that brought various parties to the table in a way that was productive.

GARTH BRUEN: Avri, any of your thoughts, if you care?

AVRI DORIA: Yeah, but Alan had his hand up before me.

GARTH BRUEN: All right, Alan and then Avri, please.

ALAN GREENBERG: I don't really care about who's first, but just a few short comments. I support everything that Evan just said. Garth, I think you asked the wrong question of how will this help individual Internet users. I think the real issue is it may be one of the things which will help diffuse changes in the future which could have hurt individual users a lot.

That is potentially taking and put the Internet in government hands, which would have changed its very nature. There's no guarantee that

can't happen, but this is one part of the process, which is moving away from that direction.

I think the thing that Evan didn't mention, although it's essentially a document with no standing, it reversed some of the outcomes of WSIS that claimed everything had to be done multi-lateral, country-to-country basis. That's a really important outcome that it was agreed to by most of the governments that participated in that process.

GARTH BRUEN:

Thank you, Alan. I was just trying to ask the question from the perspective of an Internet user and not necessarily somebody who is embroiling in this stuff constantly. But I think you did answer the question in that what it does is it balances the power between government and multi-stakeholderism and doesn't completely hand it over to government. So that's probably a good answer to my question. So Avri, go ahead.

AVRI DORIA:

Yeah, definitely want to back up what Evan said and probably go into one thing, actually two things. One is the critical part of this is that we were able to achieve an outcome document. People have been saying since Tunis, and by the way, the Tunis and WSIS documents have no standing, either. It's just that heads of state agreed to them, but they have no binding effect, either. A uni-stakeholder declaration as opposed to a multi-stakeholder declaration.

But anyway, it was really the first time that we were able to show that multi-stakeholder groups could come to an outcome document because this has always been thought of as completely optional. It really opens up the avenue for things we can do using the various layers of the multi-stakeholder model.

Now, in terms of how it's going to affect the other, for example, with EuroDIG coming up soon, EuroDIG is looking at trying to emulate it and see what it can learn from others. The IGF, which is going to be meeting in Paris in its pre-meeting in two weeks is still struggling over the notion of how did they learn from it. I think Evan is incredibly optimistic if he thinks that the plenipotentiary will even hear the echoes of it unless we see strong action in EuroDIG, in IGF and in some of the intermediate [inaudible] in Geneva.

I think in terms of the users, you're close. I think the fact that was a multi-stakeholder, that there were representatives of user groups in the various groups in the discussion able to communicate and contribute initially with their own statements, it was open. And so there were groups that were user representatives, just as there were groups that were industry representative.

I think that one of the immediate effects on users is this is a place where their organizations, their stakeholders, could actually come and participate in producing a consensus, rough consensus albeit, but a consensus outcome document. And that, to me, is a big achievement. Thanks.

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you, very much, Avri. Great input. Eduardo, you have your hand up, por favor.

EDUARDO DIAZ: I was just going to mention that I put a link in the Adobe of a very good article written by Wolfgang that goes to all this history all the way to NETmundial. I recommend reading it. It reads fast. Thank you.

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you, Eduardo. Back to Evan.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Thanks, and my last word, just a quick answer to Avri saying that I am optimistic if I think it's going to affect anything to do with the [polanipot], I'm not sure that it's going to have a major effect, but it will offer some ammo to those governments and to those parties that want to push back on some of the more draconian things to be presented.

In terms, Garth, something that I'd remembered about you asked what are the implications for end users. There was one representation that was made at NETmundial to ask that the conference represent the interests, or do something to represent the interests of end users who are not even aware of the meeting, let alone able to attend or understand what's going on. That was made by me. If I want to be very gratuitous about it, I think I might have actually affected it in the final document where the words "and end users" was added to a clause that was not in the original. Can I take credit for that? I have no idea, but it

was there and it wasn't there at the beginning. So two words may not mean much, but you take what you can get.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, thank you very much. Now, as far as other ALAC issues go, Evan, do you have anything else you want to bring up?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: No. The biggies were NETmundial. Well, there's one thing in the after ATRT, there's another cross-constituency working group that's yet to be formed about the IANA transfer, the IANA handover. I think there will probably be more information coming from ICANN staff on this, if it hasn't already gone out.

There's going to be a cross-constituency group that's going to tackle that issue and I believe, and this gives you an idea where things are going, I believe there is a cross-constituency working group to deal with the makeup of cross-constituency work groups.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, the redundancy redundancy department. Sure.

Avri, you still have your hand up. Do you have any other comments?
No? Okay.

Eduardo, do you have any other ALAC issues you wish to discuss?

EDUARDO DIAZ: No, I think you did a wonderful recollection. Olivier covered most of it. Thank you.

GARTH BRUEN: Alan Greenberg, do you have any other ALAC issues you wish to discuss?

ALAN GREENBERG: Nothing that comes to mind at the moment.

GARTH BRUEN: Okay, the other issues that we have on the agenda deal with compliance. I know that there has been some activity in this area and I have not had a chance to read it. I apologize. And I'm actually the initiator of a lot of it, so it's failure of my responsibility to go back and keep track of all these things.

Just in general in terms of travel, for anybody who is having any kind of problem out there, please keep me in the loop and we'll see if we can get it fixed together. And if anybody has any other issues, please, please bring them to my attention or put them on the mailing list. Thank you very much for your time and I will talk to you later. Have a good day.

TERRI AGNEW: Thank you. The meeting has now been adjourned. Please disconnect all remaining audio lines at this time and thank you for joining today's conference.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]