

Alice Jansen: Welcome to Online Workshop: How will Next Generation Registration Directory Services (RDS) Impact you? - Session 1 - Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior:<http://www.icann.org/en/news/infocus/accountability/expected-standards>

Carlton Samuels: Hi all

Michele Neylon: evening

Alice Jansen: Thanks for joining - the session has not started yet, we will begin shortly.

Lisa Phifer: Whoever has their speakers on please mute

Alice Jansen: Please mute your phone if not speaking

Bladel: Q: Who determined whether or not a purpose for access is legitimate?

Michele Neylon: there are phone lines available as well

Alice Jansen: Dial-in numbers: <http://adigo.com/icann/> Password: 48291 To mute your line: *6 To unmute your line: *7

Bladel: Yes.

Bladel: Thank you. One other question: Since this effort is a "fresh look", did the EWG consider whether some types of data (e.g. Postal Address) were no longer needed.

Michele Neylon: James - I'll come back to you after Alan

Rod Rasmussen: I'll add on to Faisal's answer as well.

Michele Neylon: Rod- noted

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: Security would need to be tight.

Michele Neylon: Olivier - exactly

Alan Greenberg: My question was implying it was not technically possible. In the days of Edward Snowden, the question will always be raised on who can you trust.

Carlton Samuels: +1. Every configuration is subject to breach of one or other kind. Risk management is key...and the balance of risks vs benefits is driver

Rod Rasmussen: Security needs to be tight at all levels - the attack surface is large, with every registrar/registry having access in order to operate - just like today. We don't really get away from that with either back-end model, but we can better understand our risk profiles and exposures once you have a model established.

Bladel: Thank you.

Bladel: Thanks. I'm good. :)

Rod Rasmussen: Yes we can hear you Carlton

Alice Jansen: RDS Risk Survey address: <http://tiny.cc/risk-ewg-survey>

Sebastien: can we have th link of the survey here?

Sebastien: Thanks

Michele Neylon: You can get the questions in advance I think so if you want to review them before doing the survey you can

Lisa Phifer: There is a PDF link on the survey landing page at the URL above for anyone who wants to review questions before taking the online survey

Alex Deacon: <http://www.icann.org/en/groups/other/gtld-directory-services/rds-risk-survey-01apr14-en.pdf>

Lisa Phifer: Michele you're up

Michele Neylon: apparently not :)

Michele Neylon: Carlton obviously wants to do more

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: I'm waiting to see more before asking questions

Lisa Phifer: yes

Alex Deacon: I note that these risks and benefits (operational or otherwise) can't be truly determined until the architecture and and even some implementation details are known.

Lisa Phifer: Agreed - but knowing which potential risks are important to everyone will help in prioritizing them to complete design

Alex Deacon: agreed

Michele Neylon: We wanted to scope out a "concept" and then get everyone to pick it a part

Fabricio Vayra: can I add to Susan

Alan Greenberg: "Front-running" is a dirty word in the ICANN context.

Michele Neylon: lol

Michele Neylon: he meant outside ICANN :)

Fabricio Vayra: can I add to Rod's response?

Faisal Shah: provide only non gated data

Susan Prosser: Wouldn't the ability for registry/registrar to also publish data in conjunction with RDS create 'authorative' and 'accuracy' issues?

Michele Neylon: Susan - yes

Michele Neylon: it would cause a mess

Susan Kawaguchi: unless it was only the ungated public data

Lanre Ajayi: There will be problem with validation even for ungated public dta

Alan Greenberg: That's a new hand for me.

Rod Rasmussen: Thanks everyone - I have a hard stop right now and need to jump off this call. Thanks to everyone for their input and great questions!

Fabricio Vayra: Can I comment re: Authoritative?

Michele Neylon: Can I add to that as well - assuming that Fab doesn't cover it

Faisal Shah: it all depends on the model: aggregated vs federated

Carlton Samuels: He also asked about a registry that exist to publish ALL registrant data

Susan Kawaguchi: can i add on to Fab?

Carlton Samuels: I don't think that would be a problem; all the data per registry rule is public. I'd think so long as it is established tht there is informed consent.

Alan Greenberg: Simple risk matrix: Nice chart!

Carlton Samuels: Thnaks Alan. Accepted on behalf of Lisa, Margie, Denise, Charla, Alice et. al. :-)

Lisa Phifer: :-)

Carlton Samuels: @Alan: True

Lisa Phifer: Yes all identified risks and benefits are POTENTIAL, from your perspective,

Carlton Samuels: Yessss. The funneling aspects would provide some data for measurement

Lisa Phifer: Assessment will help whittle down from that larger set

Bladel: And over the long term the probability that all data in an aggregated RDS is compromised & stolen approaches 1.

Lisa Phifer: +1 cartlon

Lisa Phifer: yes

Carlton Samuels: @Fab: We recognized that in discussions by talking about risks/worry/bad things vs benefits/good things/Yaay!

Carlton Samuels: Hmmm...owner? We didn't get to that in concrete terms but we assume federated model the eventual publisher would own

Carlton Samuels: With the aggregate then there is a licensing requirement.

Lanre Ajayi: can I add to that

Lanre Ajayi: I think the authoritative source of data is the owner

Lisa Phifer: For contacts, the contact is the owner of their own data?

Michele Neylon: Lisa - that depends

Michele Neylon: Check the output on a lot of whois now ..

Michele Neylon: for both ccTLDs and gTLDs

Lisa Phifer: @Michele - I meant with the RDS-proposed contact management approach

Carlton Samuels: Um, there is a real issue in law with respect to publishing vs storing + publishing data

Susan Kawaguchi: and we have a high level principle that would speak to not providing the data in bulk access

Carlton Samuels: @Alan: Even the 'most trusted place'...and I hear the Swiss jurisdiction...someone somewhere think they're bums!

Carlton Samuels: Its a triage operation to get to the most trusted/least offensive jurisdiction!

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: who would know if a spook agency was to requisit the data?

Alan Greenberg: It's not Snowden's DATA, but the actions that his data revealed.

Carlton Samuels: @OCL: Now you go and ask the 'unknown unknown'!

Carlton Samuels: :-)

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: rethorical question.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: thanks

Carlton Samuels: We accept the 'shadows' for what it is: it exists and will forever be with us.

Seth Reiss: Thank you.

Alice Jansen: Thank you for your participation!

Susan Prosser: thank you all, very informative.

Denise Michel: Thank you everyone

Carlton Samuels: Thank you all. I have to go oversee an exam at 4p

Lisa Phifer: Thanks all for your inputs!

Carlton Samuels: Happy trails

Michele Neylon: ciao