

Notes- Call of the CCWG on Internet Governance
March 14th, 2014

Agenda: <https://community.icann.org/display/CPMMB/CCWG+IG+Weekly+Teleconference+-+2014.3.14>

Participants: Alain Bidron, Bill Drake, Filiz Yilmaz, Marilyn Cade, Michele Neylon, Naresh Ajwani, Young-eum Lee and Olivier Crepin-Leblond

Staff: Renate De Wulf, Alexandra Dans

Singapore meeting: preparation, update from planning team

Proposal from Olivier posted in the list (with call minutes inserted in blue):

Two sessions:

1. Monday: Public session collecting input from the community
2. Wednesday: Face to face open session on the WG where we'll discuss the information received at the public session, discuss the charter and probably the roadmap of where we go after Brazil.

A. TIME

The team felt that it was important to have the 10:30-12:30 slot on Monday, immediately after the Opening Ceremony. This time was originally the SO/AC Joint session and clashed with the least number of other sessions.

The proposed 1:30 hour 13:20-15:00 session as currently listed on the Master Schedule was deemed unsuitable because it clashes with the Name Collision session. Currently the 2:00 hour 10:30-12:00 slot is allocated to "ICANN globalization: Community Dialogue"

Action item 1:

Olivier Crepin-Leblond and Rafik Dammak to send an email to David Olive and Sally Costerton requesting that the ccwg IG session with the Community be moved to the two-hour slot the morning of Monday March 24th after the Opening Ceremony

B. Proposed Agenda of Singapore CCWG- IG meeting

1. Introduction (5 min)
2. CCWG Statement to Brazil (10 min)

3. Community Statements (10 min total) – statement from individual community members.

Marilyn: If we have too many, I propose to split it. But I don't think we will have.

Bill: Are we going to have these statements? Do we know how many there might be?

Olivier: We should put Community Statements – Comments.

Bill: I think we should then split those 10 minutes.

Filiz: The issue is not how many statements we will have but allocating mic time from the agenda. We could read their comments/suggestions; everybody has the same amount of time

Marilyn: we only have one so they accommodate it asking for the mic. I would propose not having a specific time for this and move it to the "questions to community".

Olivier: we will fold the Community statements in the Questions to community. My concern is that not many people will come to the mic. This is my experience with ATRT sessions.

On the chat>

Bill Drake: ATRT required reading the report. Everyone can spout off on IG topics

4. Questions to Community (50 min - if 90 min session) or 80 min (if 120 min session)

Filiz: Change it to "Community input" rather than "Questions to community".

Bill: Isn't this going to be a free for all?

Marilyn: The point is to have an open-mic to listen.

Filiz: proposing a clock/timer, providing fair time and equal chance for all who wants to speak.

Everybody agrees on chat.

Marilyn: proposing a roving mic and that questions be printed out, not just posted.

5. Summary of Points heard from community (10 min)
6. Next steps / Roadmap (5 min)

- C. Proposed format of Singapore CCWG-IG meeting
- Having Rapporteurs regarding each questions asked
 - Rapporteurs could be the session moderators (Rafik and Olivier)
 - Rapporteurs could be the others and support the co-moderators

Olivier and Rafik are proposed to be co-moderators and rapporteurs to be others from the CCWG.

D. Questions to the community

Broad ideas on the type of questions that could be asked. The proposed format would basically ask the questions that could be asked. The proposed format would basically ask the questions from participants, perhaps having had those questions sent in advance to all SOs/ACs for participants to engage. Rapporteurs would synthesize the answers/trends.

On the chat>

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: What are the main messages from ICANN Community members towards NetMundial? [Highlighting the main messages that seemed to be supported by the majority so far, with a note that there are varying opinions of course and unanimity is not the goal here...](2) What are the addition points for CCWG developed document? Are there missing messages that needs to be elaborated?(3) What are the expectations from ICANN Staff/CEO; ICANN Board; ICANN Community Leaders respectively during NetMundial? [As these can contribute the process in different ways, channels...]

Marilyn cade, BC /CSG Officer: I was proposing a rewording of one of the questions: What are the expectations of the community for the CEO/Board, and staff during NETMundial and any output of NetMundial?

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (3) What does the Community expect the role of ICANN Staff/CEO; ICANN Board; ICANN Community Leaders will be during NetMundial? [As these can contribute the process in different ways, channels...]

Action item 2: questions to community to be printed out (Singapore)

Action item 3 (for Renate): set doodle pool to see if we have an additional call on Monday (2 times, dropping the 7am UTC)
