

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Terrific, okay we have French and Spanish interpretation this evening, so I'll ask each of you when you speak, to speak slowly and clearly. The interpretation is particularly important because of the MP3 recording of today's call and the transcript of today's call will be made available for people's perusal for the ALS's, At-Large members and anyone else who is interested and who may not be able to join this call at this time.

Also, if you would be so kind if I do not identify you by name, for example, if you are in the Adobe Connect room and you are able to indicate with your raising of hands that you wish to speak or ask a question, then I will introduce you by saying "Thank you Alan, over to you." If you are interjecting without being introduced, please remember to state your name for transcription purposes.

Matthias, we have some people in the Adobe Connect room and others just on the telephone bridge. Heidi is still on mute, I suspect trying to work out our Confluence, with the failure. Could you please do a roll call?

Matthias Langenegger:

Who is on the call?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Matthias?

Matthias Langenegger:

Yep, just one second I have to get it up. Okay on the English channel I have Cheryl, Adam Peake, Sandra

Hoferichter and then unfortunately all the others are unnamed in the -

Unidentified: [Inaudible00:02:00] is here Matthias.

Matthias Langenegger: I will have to put it into the chat room.

Unidentified: And I am on as well Matthias on the English channel.

Matthias Langenegger: Yes, thank you very much.

Unidentified: Me too.

Dave Kissoondoyal: I am here as well, Dave Kissoondoyal.

Matthias Langenegger: Thank you.

Unidentified: Me too Matthias.

Matthias Langenegger: Thank you, I suggested that you just put it into the Adobe Connect room because I don't see the names in the -

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: It's for the transcription services, Matthias. So the reason I was asking for a roll call is so that for transcription we have a spoken name. So perhaps I can take over and we can ask the language channel to identify who they have on the call. In the Adobe Connect room I can see Alan Greenberg, Alan Booth, Carlos Aguirre, Danny Younger, Dave

Kissoondoyal, Evan Leibovitch, Hong Xu, Joly MacFie, Sandra Hoferichter, Siva Muthusamy, Sylvia Leite, Sebastian Bachollet, Tijani Ben Jemaa and who else do we have? Wolf?

Wolf Ludwig:

Yes.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

That's all I can see in the Adobe Connect room. [inaudible00:03:21] is on the line. We have Pierre on the line, and we have Sebastian Bachollet on the line. And if there is anyone in the language channels could you please [inaudible00:03:34]?

Mark Rodenberg:

Hi, it's Mark Rodenberg.

Translator:

Nobody in French so far.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Okay thank you, thank you Mike. Anybody in Spanish?

Translator:

Nobody in Spanish so far.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Okay thank you.

Translator:

You're welcome.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

And Mark thank you for identifying yourself. I did see you going I just was only reading through the Adobe Connect room. If you're not in the Adobe Connect room, please

interject if you have a question. This call today or this week, sorry is as we would normally run it. We would be looking at the post questions which are held at the moment partly in email. And I will just remind everybody that unless you are speaking, your line should be muted. That is *6.

Unidentified:

Someone is introducing a lot of noise.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

That's why I am asking people to mute Tijani. Star 6 to mute and *7 unmute. And if you could just mute everyone but me, Alan, Sebastian and [inaudible00:05:05]. And just pause while we get rid of that ridiculous amount of background noise. Maya, if you could mute everybody but Alan Greenberg, Pierre and Sebastian please?

Please mute your line unless you are speaking at the moment. That is *6 to mute, *7 to unmute, thank you. All right each of the candidates will go in order for having a small presentation of their statements. And we'll do this in alphabetical order by survey.

So we will be beginning with Sebastian, followed by Pierre and then Alan. At the end of that we will each have some discussion on key issues that they believe, the At-Large community is facing. Each candidate will be given up to three minutes to respond to questions or rebuttals from

other candidates. And we can do that in reverse order by survey, then candidate.

We then have questions from the floor and then questions from the Wiki. And we'll also take some questions which we have already managed to distribute by email from each of the RALOs. All I can do is apologize most humbly for the failure of the technical issue for the Confluence Wiki, which is not up from what I gather from Alan, was down some eight or nine hours ago as well.

And there may be some questions which, for example those that Hong Xu is making from APRALO that she will just have to do her best to remember. Although Alan does have them in hard copy and he may be able to read them to the record. And Matthias could perhaps put them into the notes section. Go ahead Alan.

Alan Greenberg:

The Item 3 on the agenda, the key issues from the candidates, is that in order of last name or reverse order? I think you said reverse order but the agenda says the other way.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

I thought it would be in reverse order but -

Alan Greenberg:

You're the boss.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: But happy to do it as the agenda is a likened. The agenda is - all I can work off of is what is in the Adobe Connect room at the moment.

Alan Greenberg: Yeah that's what I am referring to also.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Well then it will be whatever it says in the Adobe Connect room which I thought was reverse order.

Alan Greenberg: Okay.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Alright let us now begin with Sebastian, Sebastian go ahead.

Sebastian Bachollet: Yes, thank you very much. It's a pleasure to be here with you today. I know that it's a first time ever in a thousand since ALAC was ended to be in gestation. And now it's working, we have this pleasure to discuss [inaudible00:08:53] of candidates. I think I will not add too much to what I write in my [inaudible00:09:02] and in my resume.

If Confluence will get in you will be able to get all that. I think it would have been a good idea to have the person to read to interact with participants in one of the two other languages because we have already written a lot of things in English and very small in Spanish and French. And I think for the understanding by the ALSs and their member

of this [inaudible00:09:44] it could have been interesting to have one call with the pivotal language French and one in Spanish.

Not to say that translation from this language to the other would have been of course useful too. And I am ready to answer in any languages, French, Spanish or English questions. In addition I think we at both of [inaudible00:10:23] been member of the ALAC community since years and I will not take this exercise as against the two other.

But I want to try to do the best to allow you to make the choice and whatever the choice will be I hope this candidate will stay around and work together to the good of the users, the Internet end users. And I guess I will stop here, I didn't put the clock in. I think it's a short time but I that will be okay. And I will be available for any questions, thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you Sebastian and you are well under your five minutes, never fear. Just to be clear on the languages, one of the reasons having harmonization on the call is of course that the questions that are asked and any discussion that happens in this call is both recorded in real time into French and Spanish and the transcripts will also be created in French and Spanish.

So we will have English, French and Spanish transcripts and recordings. If we split calls up into languages, there would be a greater diversity. And it may be that you have a complete language group that does not have the benefit of a particular style of discussion.

So it's one of those choices between a mechanism which is fully harmonized and one that satisfies regional language modes. Thank you.

Sebastian Bachollet:

I am sorry Cheryl but I don't think it's representation of what I tried to say.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Okay, well -

Sebastian Bachollet:

I didn't say it right but my point is I totally agree that we need to have harmonized system. My only point was to say that we could have, or we can have, the same type of discussion with as pivotal language not English but the French and as pivotal language the Spanish.

That's not the same thing. It's not to say that all will not be translated. But as you know already I have some example of problem with translations. And I hope that it will not happen in this discussion. But don't misinterpret my suggestion, it's not to -

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

In the view of the ALAC what we have tried to do is try to ensure that we're maximizing the opportunity for accuracy and [inaudible00:13:32] in this exercise. If you believe that is not the case, then that's so noted.

I think it's also very important for all of those listening to this or reading the transcript or listening to the call recognize that, of course the ICANN Board, ALAC as well, but certainly the ICANN Board does work in English. But it is extremely useful for people to be able to interact with the wider community in whatever languages and whatever methods they've been fit. Yes, go ahead.

Pierre Dandjinou:

Thank you very much and good morning to everyone, I am Pierre Dandjinou from the At-Large and really I want to say that I am glad to be discussing with you. And I will also say that what I will look forward to is some discussion, we have RALOs so that they get to know more of me and also I get to know more of the expectations.

Well I would say I have been around at least within the ICANN arena for some time. I was one of those [inaudible00:14:49] that was here from Africa who participated in the ICANN white paper to its culmination in 1998. And also I belong to different committees as a volunteer and namely the [inaudible00:15:07] member of [inaudible00:15:09] Committee which actually thought about the present ALAC structure.

So I'm so happy that we do have some many RALOs around today and I really want to commend all those who fly for these to happen. It is so happy to see the result. And even this [inaudible00:15:27] candidate coming from LSC is, well he is considered kind of a victory for some of us who believe the public continues to be the represented and that I come to give more and more a place to - that the At-Large users who personally have some to contribute.

Having said that, I really want to talk to say that I am so happy to have the other two selected on the slate, Sebastian and Alan, whom I know and I know that well they have given enough to the At-Large Community and I know they are quite willing to do more than that. I would like to congratulate them and like Sebastian, I would like to say that whoever is selected he should be around to continue the movement.

Like I said, ICANN is in fact moving into what might be called secure waters, with so many things out there to solve. And I have heard that they need some sort of sound leadership and experience to add some diversity. I think I have some of those skills. But I think it is all about the Internet for all, how do we make that happen? It's about technical issues.

It's also about political issues and policy issues and I think with my years at the UN I was able to understand what contentious building means and what includedness means. So that's what I stand for. And briefly and the most [inaudible00:17:16] on turning my [inaudible00:17:19].

I don't want to take our time on this. I will look forward to debates and discussions and in well also I am ready to reform these questions are in French as well. There is some Spanish but I will see that this is about diversity and I'm ready. So thank all for this and like I said I look forward to a good full discussion.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Oh thank you Pierre and both you and Sebastian are coming well under your five minute introduction time. So we are gaining back some of the time we lost by our delay and technical difficulties. And for anyone who is not in the Adobe Connect room but does wish to access the Confluence Wiki, the Confluence Wiki with all the posted questions is now up. So, thank you Heidi and the team for making that magic happen, we appreciate that. Moving now then to Alan, go ahead Alan.

Alan Greenberg:

Well thank you. I guess first of all I will say I am honored to have been selected as a candidate and I will do my best to justify that honor. I spent most of my career at a University as a Technical Manager. And being a Technical Manager at a University is an interesting challenge. You

are expected to set direction for supporting the University in technology.

But you have to buy in from all the academics involved and of course, every one of them thinks they know more than you do. So, trying to lead in an area where everyone else believes they know better is always an interesting challenge. When you couple that with a budget philosophy at a University is that they want the absolute best and are willing to give you half the budget for it.

I think that sets us up well to work in an environment like ICANN where there are always constrained resources and people are always jockeying for what they think is best for the organization.

In addition to the University background, I have spent the last 15 years working in various areas with developing countries, first working on training which provided some of the basic information which allowed about 150 countries to connect to the Internet and in the last eight years or so as a consultant on the use of ICT.

I think although I live in Canada I think I have a perspective that is different from a typical North American in terms of what the Internet needs on a worldwide basis. I've been involved in ICANN actively for four years now. I

think most of you who know me, know I have a pretty good track record.

I tend to take responsibility for things and I usually deliver when I do that. I'm passionate about ICANN and I think there are things we can do over the next numbers of years both in At-Large and in general which I think will make it a stronger and more effective organization.

One of my strengths is that I tend to thrive on being confronted with complex environments that I'm not 100% familiar with and I'm a quick study and I understand those kinds of things. And I tend to be able to make effective recommendations and take effective actions to address these kinds of issues.

In my statement I've identified a couple of things that I think are most important in that, At-Large has to contribute. And a lot of them have to do with the issues of transparency and public interest and access from around the world that is involvement in ICANN from around the world.

My one overriding goal, if I were to be selected as the At-Large Board Director, would be to do everything I can to convince the Board and the ICANN community that there is unique value in having an ICANN At-Large Voting Director. There is no point in use being just another one of

the voices. I think we need to stand out and I believe I can do that, thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you Alan. And again you've gained us back a few moments. So I would like to thank each of the candidates for very concise, and I think very well presented first statements. I would remind everyone who is listening to this recording and reading the transcript later, that there are full statements on the Wiki put up by each of the candidates, and would encourage everyone to read the full documents as well.

Now we have a difference between what is on the agenda in the Adobe Connect room and what is on the agenda as the Confluence page is put up. The next section is where each candidate has the opportunity to ask questions of each other and to perhaps start a small frank and fearless debate on some of the key points that they think the At-Large community is facing.

And in the Confluence Wiki it says it will be done in alphabetical order by surname. In other words, we will start with Sebastian, then following Pierre and Alan. And in the agenda it will be in reverse order, which Alan, Pierre and Sebastian. Candidates can I ask if you have a particular passion to go one way or the other? Do you want me to go back to the beginning and start again with

Sebastian for this part or ask Alan to continue to hold the microphone?

Alan Greenberg:

I am happy to go in the same order but I can go first if you want.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Okay, well let's say you take a deep breath and have a chance, so that everyone can have the same refractory period between speaking. We might move back to Sebastian for this particular section. Sebastian is there any particular key issues that you believe the At-Large community is facing that you would like to hear from the other candidates about?

Or are there any particular questions from the candidates that you would like to pose? And I would ask you, each of the candidates to limit your responses and reactions to no more than three minutes on any one of these particular points. Go ahead Sebastian.

Sebastian Bachollet:

Yes, it will be very short because first of all I was intending that it would be the other way around. The other is I think I am just one candidate or I am a candidate but what is more important but it is a discussion with the other participants in this discussion and I think with the long list of questions already post on the Wiki.

And some of them are quite important and difficult to answer, I think we may use our time more usefully to answer those questions. Because if I start to ask what we think about the new gTLD processes already on the question, my feeling is that we are in a very interesting time with these discussions the same day that which will last not anymore [inaudible00:25:30] but the new guidebook for the gTLD.

At the same of a few days ago, the review for transparency coming for comments. And some other issue really coming all together at the same time with the election of this Board Director, it's quite interesting and impressive. And I hope that committee will be able to deliver and participate more actively, even more actively into all the discussion in the future. Then I will stop here and thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Well thank you Sebastian and beautifully timed indeed. And Pierre your three minutes, you might be muted *7 if you've been muted. Pierre, if you've been muted you'll need to do *7 to unmute.

Matthias Langenegger:

Cheryl, this is Matthias, I believe Pierre just dropped from the call and they are trying to call him back.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you, well we will move to Alan then and do let me know when Pierre is back on the call. Alan anything but alphabetical order, this is random.

Alan Greenberg:

As the gods of technology will win in the end.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Oh I tell you what, we've discussed about every form of technology gremlin is out to play today.

Pierre Dandjinou:

I just got on, this is Pierre.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Oh you're back. Okay thanks Pierre. Well I was about to put Alan on the hot spot and jump the queue with him. But now you've been brought back to the call. I hope you didn't miss too much of what Sebastian was saying. He highlighted some of the key issues he thought ICANN was facing and felt that Confluence was - and I'm using that word advisably- of all of these things at the same time makes for very interesting days indeed.

I believe that the Board and At-Large will have a number of challenges and a number of opportunities. I think I have paraphrased him appropriately. But Pierre, two or three minutes for any particular questions or key issues that you would like to raise?

Pierre Dandjinou:

Hello?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Yes Pierre please go ahead.

Pierre Dandjinou: Yes and I do get to [inaudible00:28:13] please take it back.
Hello?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Yes we can hear you go ahead.

Pierre Dandjinou: Yes well I think your question was about the key issues facing ICANN?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Correct.

Pierre Dandjinou: Is that? Yeah, well as far as I'm concerned and I was saying ICANN was entering what we might call troubled waters in terms of what lies ahead. And after those what I would call the ten years of [inaudible00:28:41- 00:28:50] of government, I'm seeing that government lead to the same [inaudible00:28:52], well because of one or two things, you know?

Internet is getting more and more people connected. We have the issue of [inaudible00:29:08]. We have the issue of [inaudible00:29:13]. And so it is with me that if some of these issues can get complicated around privacy, for instance, and also the whole issue about what securities - the issue. So how do we deal with that?

Which part ICANN should be playing with that, all these I think are very important issues. And it is going to be difficult to delineate what ICANN should be doing

[inaudible00:29:36]. And also which role they have to play. Of course there are issues about the gTLDs, but I think that one will be solved in the own realm.

There are issues about the DNS, there are issues about the root server. I do believe that those will have some solutions as the technical guys are working on that. But I think the key issues I bring are revolving around the policies that we need to actually [inaudible00:30:11] experience together in the face of issues such as privacy and securities.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Okay, thank you Pierre. Alan over to you.

Alan Greenberg:

Hello can you hear?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Go ahead Alan.

Alan Greenberg:

Okay sorry I wasn't sure if you could hear me or not. The question asked about what challenges are facing At-Large and I will talk about those in a moment. But I think Pierre had it right that the question that's missing is what challenges are facing ICANN since the Director is going to be spending the vast majority of his or her time on issues that are not directly related to ICANN or rather At-Large.

At-Large may have a thought on it but most of the Director's work is not going to be focused on At-Large, as

such. But in terms of the challenges for At-Large there are two of them, but they're big ones. The first is how to make At-Large with its overly complex and unwieldy structure.

There are people around who claim the structure was carefully designed so that it couldn't work. Our challenge right now is to make it work, it's what we have. On the other hand, the next review may well focus on the structure of At-Large as opposed to the ALAC. And that was one of the recommendations is that it should probably be looked at next time.

So I think that's something to keep in mind as we try to make it work, is there better alternatives. The second thing is how to make At-Large effective within ICANN. And we'd be talking about should it have bylaw rights similar to the GAC? I think that's almost a secondary question of how do we make sure that it's viewed as someone that needs to be listened to, as something that needs to be listened to.

Challenges in ICANN in general, I think new gTLDs are going to continue to pose problems as we continue to go through the implementation. I think the multi-stay quarter model is in a very tenuous situation as more and more stakeholders have either significant financial involvement or political involvement. And in both of those cases one tends to want to make sure that your will is imposed.

And in a multi-stakeholder environment not everyone's will can be imposed. And lately on transparency and accountability, if you look at the conditions of the ATRT, some of them would allow ICANN to be an extremely transparent and accountable organization; I don't think we'd get any work done.

Translator:

I'm so sorry could you repeat that last statement? This is the French interpreter.

Alan Greenberg:

Which sentence? The one on multi?

Translator:

The one on transparency please and thank you so much. And just maybe a bit slower if you could.

Alan Greenberg:

Yes certainly thank you for reminding me. On transparency and accountability, the ATRT report talks a lot about what should be done to make ICANN and the Board transparent and accountable. There's a danger that it spends a lot of its time and a lot of staff time doing that at the expense of actually doing the work we're supposed to be doing here.

So how do we get to a point where we're viewed as transparent and accountable? On the other hand, without those processes becoming so unwieldy that they get in the way of making the decisions and getting in the way of the

work, I think it's going to be a real challenge. And certainly a challenge that the Board is going to have to face, thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you, well gentlemen, and I will note, it is all gentlemen, there were ladies in the mix towards the end, but no in the final [inaudible00:34:16]. We're now going to move on now to questions directly from the community. And these are ones that have been posted by the regional At-Large organizations.

We'll be doing these in alphabetical order by RALO name. And we will take the first question from each of the RALOs that's been posted and cycle through them until we get as far through them as possible. If we find any duplicates obviously we will skip over them.

And there are additional questions from the candidates and we will take questions from the floor. As has been noted by Sebastian, there are quite a number of questions listed and I actually doubt that we will cycle through all of them in the time allocated for the call. So what I will be encouraging you all to do is we will go through a number of the questions posted in a form of discussion.

And of course here in this call that I would strongly encourage you to give detailed responses on the Wiki to each and every one of the questions that are listed. And

indeed there may be additional questions that come in over the next few days, so I will encourage active use of the Wiki and will ensure that someone from staff catches key points off the Wiki and pops them out to an email list as well so that we have the opportunity to have a look at these.

If by chance Confluence is down again, we'll have a second form of how the answers go. The very first question comes in from AFRALO. The very first question from AFRALO is as a Board Director selected by At-Large how would you support the At-Large community?

What kind of support would you provide the RALO and ALAC even if you are not obliged will you report to the ALAC? Will you meet with the ALAC and listen to the At-Large concerns and ideas and how often? And will you help to implement the At-Large projects and activities? And if so how?

And we will now do this in any order you would like to, who would like to go first Sebastian, Pierre or Alan? Go ahead Pierre, I heard your voice first.

Alan Greenberg:

Cheryl is that one question or did you read all?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Well you can read them. You've read them. I think if you could bundle them together we can get through.

Alan Greenberg:

Okay.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Because that goes to a number of the issues that a number of people have raised.

Alan Greenberg:

Okay.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Go ahead Pierre.

Pierre Dandjinou:

Okay thank you very much and [inaudible00:37:41] quite interesting questions. Of course some of them are a little bit tricky but I'll try to respond. I have been putting those questions to myself for many years. I think the bulk of this is that as Director, I should be actually doing for the At-Large [inaudible00:38:06] should be contributing.

And of course according to the bylaws, the Director has got specific [inaudible00:38:18]. And yes we are - there is this issue about reporting back to the community or not once you've been elected or appointed on the Board. I would say that given this specific position, the number 16 as a Board Director, coming from At-Large, which is already a [inaudible00:38:39] for the whole community to [inaudible00:38:43] that position.

Where you're sitting on that position to have very specific roles to play, so how [inaudible00:38:49] ALAC community. I know that ALAC community, of course, we

do have our ALAC, we do have our RALOs. But [inaudible00:39:00] would be to engage more and more with more users At-Large.

So these requests have reached [inaudible00:39:10] and I know this is going to be our issue. If I could have no ifs about it for the At-Large I think that is what I would be trying to do because it is about giving people more opportunity to voice their concerns. Any specific support?

Well I give the example of how to provide [inaudible00:39:33] means to the At-Large as a forum. Of course, I will need to work within the limits of my role as a Board Director. There are also issues about should I listen to the ALAC concerns and ideas?

Of course and I will say that while I belong to the At-Large, I was there when the [inaudible00:40:05]. I was one of those who did it. of course, at some point when I was in the committee and I had some challenges [inaudible00:40:17] because I was not expected to report back from the [inaudible00:40:21]. And I know my colleague in At-Large wanted to leave.

And this also created some [inaudible00:40:27] for me. But I thought I should deal with that on my own level. That may have created some problems but indeed I had some reasons for doing this. So if I am not obliged to

report to [inaudible 00:40:43] I think I would do, and I said this position is 16 for me and is [inaudible 00:40:52].

And by all means I will try to see how to report back to the ALAC because I will not be sitting as a Board Director for my own, for Pierre but for the community as the responsibility. Of course when it comes to [inaudible 00:41:08] sure whatever consensus is needed on the Board, like I said the many years at the UN also put me in the position to also know how to build consensus.

There is something we call public interest in the UN, public good in the UN and development in the UN, also diversity and inclusion. All of those are things that I really understand and master and what are the complexities of those things. So I really think I should bring whatever I know, what I have, to be consensual and to make sure that the user At-Large to be heard, because after all that is what matters.

But yes definitely [inaudible 00:41:57] for all of those questions. I really sympathize and I feel ready to provide whatever assistance I could provide as a Board Director to move this agenda of the ALAC ahead. Well that's what I could say for now, thanks.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you very much Pierre. I think I heard Sebastian's voice, so Sebastian and then Alan.

Sebastian Bachollet:

Yes, thank you. First of all I would like to say that I just get the AFRALO question now. The other questions from the other RALO I tried to answer by writing. And if it's too short for the call today then I will diverse this answer by writing. And yes, this question it's taking some of the questions from the other RALO. That's quite normal I guess.

Fortunately, we not just five RALO but we have a real worldwide community and that's very good and important point. Then the question of the support of At-Large community, I don't think that the fact that the Board member will get me from any support of the At-Large community.

Yes with the Board member there are special duties but except that and specifically for this Board seat, as one of the questions directly to me was related to as I will be possibly still seated in the ALAC. And in the Board as a not a supervisory position but as an [inaudible 00:43:59] seat if I understood well the bylaw. I will have this opportunity.

And I have received some feedback from the community saying that you need to give the opportunity to other people and it's my inclination. But at the same time as we, as At-Large, we are losing the liaison role. I would like very

much to open this possibly to discussion to the community to see if it is a good idea to stay on both or just to stay on the Board. It's not simple to answer and I would very much like to have this answer made with the advice of the community.

Then knowing that if we answer one way or the other will change the answer. But the main part of the answer is yes I will stay involved. I will stay a member of the ALAC. I am a member. I will stay and participate to the work they are doing in this field specifically. And I will be with you as much as I will be able to do.

And I think it's important that as Board Director we commit to that because it's so important for the community. And as everybody knows we're starting to have two seats on the Board. It's not the case. We are losing the liaison too. It's a pity all but now we have to use what we have as the best way we can and I am here to help with that, thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you Sebastian, over to you Alan.

Alan Greenberg:

Okay I will try to answer the questions one by one but they do overlap. In terms of supporting the At-Large community I think that's one of the questions like a lot of the other RALOs that it's going to depend. The situation will change from time to time and there are times when a Director can provide support.

Other times when I think you have to provide some level of separation if the Director is going to be essentially passing judgment on certain things, there has to be a certain level of removal. But I think the person who is going to fill this position, come from At-Large, is still going to remain seated in At-Large emotionally if not physically.

And there is going to be continued involvement. In terms of support of the RALOs and ALAC, I think that's really the same question because At-Large is the RALOs, ALAC and the ALSs below it. And I think there is going to be ongoing support but it's hard to characterize exactly what it is. In terms, the next question if you're not obliged to, would you report to the ALAC?

I think report is the wrong word there. I would foresee lots of interactions. I would foresee the Director going to ALAC and At-Large and asking certain questions, what is your opinion of certain things? How do you think that certain things should be handled? And I think there needs to be a lot of feedback in both directions.

I would assume that the Director, like liaison will stay on the various At-Large lists and monitor them so that if things come in the discussion which the Director feels it's appropriate to interject and give his opinion, then I think that's the kind of opportunity. But I think it's going to be

interaction and not reporting back, I think report back sends the wrong tone.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

And just slow down a little bit.

Alan Greenberg:

Okay, sorry. The next question is will you meet with ALAC and listen to At-Large concerns and how often? Well I think I just addressed that. I'm not going to say we will do it every week or four weeks. It's certainly going to have to be done on a regular basis, whether that means At-Large meetings or at teleconferences or some other venue.

I am not sure how these things will play out but I think there are going to be regular interactions there have to be. And will you help implement the At-Large projects and activities? I suspect the answer there is no.

But will provide input and feedback that if from a Board Director's position what do you need to do to be effective in perhaps most classic case that we've been talking about, where we have been talking about regional assemblies and things like that, it's not going to be up to the Director to create the proposal.

But I think the Director is the prime person to give advice to what has to be in the proposal to sell it to the other Directors. None of these things are going to be approved by one person. And the real issue is what does a person

have to do to be effective in the ICANN overall environment?

And I think the Director should be providing lots of feedback and interaction but not writing the proposals or things like that. I think I've tried to cover most of them and not take too much time, so that's it.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

You've done beautifully on time, thank you very much Alan. Moving now to APRALO, and what I've asked in the chat space for those who are not in the Adobe Chat room but just on the telephone bridge knows, AFRALO's question was, as you noticed, was clustered nicely and took into account some issues raised by other regions. APRALO has quite a list of questions.

EURALO, LACRALO and NORALO, what I've asked each of the regional reps on this call to do is to consider prioritizing their questions. And I am now asking them to read to the record mainly so we hear more voices and the dialog continues. So I'm going to ask [inaudible 00:51:00] your selection of the questions.

But there is a first question you would like the candidates to respond to which I believe you indicated was Question 4 in the list, if it's already prepped. The questions it will be Question 4. But Hong if you're muted do *7 and please

feel free to read to the record what you've got to respond to first.

Hong Xu:

Cheryl, Question 4, shall I read it. Okay for Question 4, [inaudible 00:51:34] is patient of At-Large community is essential for ICANN's next decade, do you have any holistic plan to outreach to user community? Would you support another At-Large summit or make summit a regular channel for users, the RAC participation in ICANN activities? Back to you Cheryl.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Oh back to the candidates.

Translator:

Oh I'm so sorry this is the interpreter again, could you repeat that question?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Are you in the Adobe Room Maya?

Translator:

Oh I am but I cannot see the question unfortunately.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Because in the Adobe Room it's Note 4 and the Question is #4 in Note 4 which is enhancement of involvement and participation of At-Large community for ICANN's next decade. Do you have a holistic plan to outreach user community? Would you support another At-Large summit or make summits a regular channel for user's direct participation in ICANN activities?

And let's go back then to - in the same order as we dealt with the last question. Back to you Pierre go ahead, Pierre has dropped so we will go to Sebastian, go ahead.

Sebastian Bachollet:

Okay thank you very much. First of all [inaudible 00:53:12] the floor there is one comment from the previous question even that didn't get, it seems to be my answer and really explain it.

Then I will drop to my ALAC seat, I say I will wish to ask the advice of the At-Large community to decide what is best for the community if I am elected to be at the Board but happy to discuss that with any of you, of course. Now concerning the question, I think I have a track record on that. We put with the idea of the summit in Lisbon, Portugal a few months.

Pierre Dandjinou:

This is Pierre.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Welcome Pierre, I've just skipped to Sebastian for Question 4 from APRALO. So you'll be following Sebastian. Go ahead Sebastian.

Pierre Dandjinou:

I am sorry for that I was cut off.

Sebastian Bachollet:

And it's the summit finally happened during the ICANN meeting in Mexico. It was a great success of the community and I think it allows a lot of new ways and new

ways of working for the level of the ALSs, RALO and collectively at the At-Large and ALAC level and I think it must be repeated.

And the question asked for a plan and I can say that it's not anymore my plan but it's one of the ALAC At-Large express doings about the strategic planning of ICANN. And I will not read all of what we say but just to pick a few ideas, the first was to have a strategy object to have ALSs in every country.

And the goal to have for the next three years, remember it was last year to sell them and [inaudible 00:55:24] to have a [inaudible 00:55:26] RALO assembly in each region. [inaudible 00:55:29] face to face answered by ICANN and to recruit ALSs. And for the next strategy plan a 2013 and 2017 to have a second ALS summit face to face, of course.

And I think it's still the position we need to struggle with, as you know, we have different interpretation with CF4 on the [inaudible 00:56:00] and I think a bold member coming from our community will help to solve that misunderstanding and to have one general assembly in each region face to face during the next three years, as soon as possible.

I think it's really important to have the involvement of the ALSs as much as possible. And we can't just ask them to

be online or to be discussing through a telephone; we need some time to see them, if not to touch them. Thank you very much.

Pierre Dandjinou: Hello?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Yes Pierre go ahead.

Pierre Dandjinou: Well I didn't have the APRALO questions actually.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Do you want me to tell you? It's about a holistic plan for outreach to user community over the next decade; do you have a holistic plan for that? And would you support another At-Large summit or make summit's a regular channel for user direct participation in ICANN activities?

Pierre Dandjinou: Well I won't say that I have a specific plan. [Inaudible 00:57:37], the Board, are that they of the Board. As I say [inaudible 00:57:45 -00:57:47] to be decided by the whole Board. But of course if I have to actually I will be the one to actually suggest this [inaudible 00:57:54] we discussed and agreed upon.

And it seems that I can give you something which is quite important, I want to give my [inaudible 00:58:06] that within that [inaudible 00:58:08] and that people understand why we should be doing this. The other thing that I'm seeing is of course that policy making is the center of the

ICANN world. And that why I say [inaudible 00:58:24] no it's important.

So I will say that it's important to include all. of course the part that we do, we are losing the ALAC liaison. It is something that will affect me at some point. but I do think that the discussions for that should continue. we do have [inaudible 00:58:46] even if we do have a Director of the Board.

Would I have [inaudible 00:58:55] any global RALOs? Of course but there again, like I said in my earlier assessment most of the thing that we need is outreach. Most of the thing we need is [inaudible 00:59:11] resources that makes sure that our [inaudible 00:59:16] function. How do we do that? Is it going to be only from ICANN budget?

Do we need to think about some other way of doing things. To that the user [inaudible 00:59:33] not only for channel of ICANN. I am seeing, for instance, what we were doing with the [inaudible 00:59:42] for instance, where they are able to leverage and do some funding on their own local into the community. I think I don't have [inaudible 00:59:55] actual term for that.

But I do believe that if requested I will be one of the first [inaudible 01:00:04] discuss and debate around this. And I will be encouraging that we have these global meetings.

By the way, it is important to have these face to face meetings. I know the last one was quite interesting.

But I know that some of the participants, you know, fell short of [inaudible 01:00:22] because of the online discussions, they like to be maximum involved. And the face to face meeting, how we do that I think we will have to design a new mechanical [inaudible 01:00:36]. Take into consideration what I can contribute, but I think we need to find out other sources for kind of breaking into [inaudible 01:00:49] together.

So yes, I will make sure that I contribute to favor those in the ALSs. But I also think that we also need to open up our mind and be much more [inaudible 01:01:01] and think how we should. And [inaudible 01:01:03] approach. I am one of the people who think this should be working on a country level and it's on a regular level.

So I see [inaudible 01:01:16] contributing to the At-Large. So this is my sort of take on those questions from my friends from APRALO. I am sorry I didn't have all the questions before.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you Pierre, I appreciate that. Alan, go ahead.

Alan Greenberg:

Thank you, no I don't claim I have an overall plan of outreach to the user community, nor do I think it's the

single Director's responsibility. As a Director, I would certainly be involved in the user community and I would be pushing hard for more ICANN processes overall to be involved.

I think the direction that At-Large and ALAC has been going in the last year or so of involving global partnerships and involving other parts of ICANN so that when there are synergies of working with parts of the At-Large community, that we use those.

So we try to get involved between country code managers and ALSs. We try to capitalize on meetings that are being held other times and other places around the world and vice versa. That the rest of ICANN use our relationships to build upon also. So I think it's a much larger question than the single Director. And I believe we're going - we have been moving in the right direction and I think we have to put a lot more effort into that.

And as a Director I would certainly support that kind of activity from a budget and philosophical point of view. In terms of summits, yes I would certainly support activities like that which make At-Large more effective. As I said earlier, the current At-Large structure is unwieldy and complex. And things like summits and regional assemblies are expensive and they are going to be a hard sell.

On the other hand, if we want At-Large to be effective, then we have to invest the money into it. I'm not sure about the concept of saying we should do it regularly in that we've been talking about in the next three years doing regional assemblies, one per region and then three years after it do a summit.

So if we repeat that we are going to be doing a summit roughly every six years. And for an organization like ICANN which is only 12 years old to begin with, I'm not sure doing it once and saying we'll do it again in six or seven years is something we ought to call a pattern.

But as long as we have and want At-Large involvement, then I think we have to make sure that people understand what ICANN is and understand how they can work with it and that's going to require some level of face to face participation on a regular basis.

Whether it's with the ICANN meetings or whether that will change, we would even be talking about having fewer ICANN meetings, so none of us may be meeting face to face as often as we are right now.

If At-Large is going to continue to be effective there is going to have to be continued some level of interaction of that on a face to face basis. And so yes, I think we need to support it and we need to push for it.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Alright, thank you very much Alan. The next question will come from EURALO. Wolf if you're able to unmute yourself with *7, like APRALO there is an extensive set of questions from EURALO and I'm going to ask Wolf to read to that list and start off with the first question he would like you all to respond to, Wolf go ahead.

Wolf Ludwig:

I will group the eight questions by EURALO?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

We're going to do one of the eight questions. So I just was asking the Chairman of EURALO to choose which one he wanted to start us off with. Is Wolf still on the line?

Wolf Ludwig:

Since there are a lot of questions and very specific questions on behalf of EURALO there are eight questions alone from [inaudible 01:06:00] and some very specific questions from Adam. And I would appreciate if you could answer the other ones in a written form. I would like to concentrate on my Question 3. What is relevance of the public interest for the candidates and how best it could be perused once seated on the Board.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you Wolf. Just to repeat it, it was Question three which is in the second set of questions from EURALO as you're looking at hard copy? And it is what is the role of public interest, and let's start with Pierre, go ahead.

Pierre Dandjinou:

Well thank you very much for the question to start with I would say that the idea of public interest is more complex to clearly define will take about a year to build in where we were actually crafting the structure for the LAC where I would have to define the public interest, have to define who the large [inaudible 1:00:40] would be, who the registrants would be, what type of configuration should we give to the end users of the Internet.

Public interest I'd put it in the same level as what you call public good. This mostly would be the use and the ideas said to have public recognition of some of the needs that you would like to provide to the people. For that regard of course for instance to what you have in the rim of public interest also the rim that I work that I call the common well being of the people. Which really we find a way to how we got to the issues.

We've 2 Billion people now connected to the Internet and with the bird bound everywhere today and with the mobile killer for me, which is to know how to get to the net and know the Internet users from the mobile. I think we need to define precisely the public interest and what we should do about it. I think it is about what guarantee we should give to the Internet users on a few things.

When he actually gets on the Internet which is about I would say his privacy. Internet would come as a

commodity of course but yet attached to it are a few issues it's the privacy, how do we deal with that I know I can have a few mails a few reports around tracking this issue I personally think these are the things I'm much interested in and I would like to get more and more involved so that we keep that privacy issue on focus.

The other one issue is working on the public interest and providing the commodity the issues related to this process has to be opened. Public interest would not mean that some people would capture whatever wrong or good is there, so we make sure this is compressed enough so that we do have that more and more people benefit from this public interest.

The next one is a curiosity for me how do we guarantee security when getting over, using this commodity. Putting it on the board, I would be putting those questions related to public interest and see how best we can target it.

I know how this position is as myself but I think I will go for the assistance of this community who has being doing so much for [inaudible 1:02:04] and yes I think I should be promoting these questions and a solution for all so that the public interest would be preserved. Well I think that's what I can share at this point and get further questions.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

All right thank you Pierre. Okay Sebastian.

Sebastian Bachollet:

Thank you for the question. Internet is a critical resource and therefore it is of interest ICANN stay and work on that and the Internet more generally can take care of the resources. In the saved group and in an enhanced manner. It is important ICANN keep it frontally spaced public interest and to avoid any capture by the vested interests.

And that means as an example ICANN as a whole need to find a better way there is a way to work on certain issues where the constitution is difficult to switch with the current tool and which says the current participation. If we take two example of a working group in the last few weeks one about vertical integration and one about MAPO/MOPO reqsys, it seems to be in the first one the committee was unable to find consensus.

And in the second one not less conflict in the beginning we find maybe a broader participation and about the second working group it's a good sign for the future to try to find consensus. It's not that less people and less committees involved then we find the best solution then let's open the door and allow as much as called to participate.

Because it's important to look to the wider picture and it's what At-Large often does and need to do better and better. And for that we really we need to go to AG to LM to participate. And I would like to take just this few second at the end to my answer to say that I don't feel that we as a

group need to try to find other resources for any of our activity. To be blind and short I prefer to have a summit rather than to have a physical office in the US for the ICANN stuff.

It's a choice of vergit and I hope the one who will fill these 15 seats will be able to change or to move that type of decision. It is so important I think that priority must be done to allow to make as much and best possible our work that is important for the end user community, thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you Sebastian, over to you Alan.

Alan Greenberg:

Thank you I'm going to address Wolf's first question as an introduction. He said you can give a precise definition of public interest and my answer to that is no. When we started talking about public interest a few months ago regarding the ATRT review and a number of other things, I did some research. And the best sentence I found was at the end of the intro in the Wikipedia.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Slow down Alan.

Alan Greenberg:

Sorry slow down?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Yep.

Alan Greenberg:

Okay sorry, when we started talking more about public interest a while ago I decided to look up what it meant because it's an interesting term everyone says they are defending it. But I wasn't quite sure what it meant. And the definition in the Wikipedia, which is as good as any you find, the intro ends with "There is little if any consensus on what exactly constitutes the public interest or whether the concept itself is the coherent one."

And I think that's part of the problem. Within ICANN the GAC has been known to say that the governments by definition are supporting the public interest and therefore it's their job. In an ICANN context I think the public interest is talking on behalf of those who are not present at ICANN with a financial stake to defend the outcome they want.

I think it's looking at decisions and trying to understand how the Internet world and the world will be impacted by these and try to do things which are for the good and not for the negative. So supporting the public interest I think it's a mindset. If you look at the statement I issued yesterday in the third of the targets I have, it talks about public interest.

And I think it's really a matter of in every decision that the Board makes to make sure we consider each Director in its own way perhaps but certainly At-Large director how's the

public interest impacted by this. And if there's a perceived negative impact, even though the definition of public interest is not clear we need to try to factor that into the decision and perhaps try to make the decision in a different way because of that, thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you Alan. Moving now to LACRALO and [inaudible 01:18:08] has kindly said he will prioritize one of the LACRALO questions, so over to you Carlos.

Carlos Aguirre:

Thank you Cheryl I have a question for the three candidates. We heard on the call skills and the packages of his own voices. We heard also the responses to the important question by the [inaudible 1:13:17] but my own question, my [inaudible 01:18:47] question is, why not the other two candidates?

What are the weaknesses of the other two candidates? The response that they ask is for the three candidates. I came to generate a controversy or discussion I only want to know why each one of the candidates think that the other are not prepared to assume the charge?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Okay, well understand but perhaps you were too much liable and ensuing court cases, I might modify that question slightly and encourages the candidates life's and thinks they are the best. Over to you Pierre.

Pierre Dandjinou:

This question which I feel is tricky especially when, personally I know two of our colleagues, Alan and Sebastian, who why I feel are not prepared to be on the Board. Well as far as I'm concerned I do feel that each one of us have differences and weaknesses.

And by and large the three people here have the willingness to serve the community and at least as far as I'm concerned that's what I've been doing. So if we are in it to represent what I would say inclusiveness. How'd you make sure well that the end user is somewhat represented on the whole eco system of the Internet today.

Diversity, how do we make sure that diversity become part of the whole issue we are making so that we in the long run cater for the specific needs for those who may not be favored especially? And I'm talking of families of working countries I'm sure that I do have some of the sensitiveness that is needed the way we are using the Internet all over the place.

I've been travelling a lot in a while with the UN, within Africa developing [inaudible 1:20:30]. I think diversity matters, I think I should be in a position to actually make that decision and [inaudible 1:20:40] cater for. Well of course there is always going to be need for technical skill.

But of course as a Director we not supposed to have all those technical skills as you need to be a good director. I personally started as an engineer. I did a few work and I keep on trying to give my own sort of library and full opening at least I'm able to have a balance of whatever issues are there and believe that I have all to dealt with the ICANN Board.

Things that can be complex to decide and which need that from experience. And I will claim that I have this kind of experience I've been right from the white paper. I've been to practically all of ICANN meetings. And have been discussing with people from different countries, different regions and see the diversity there which is the richness of ICANN, I want to bring that richness that is what I call brink the act of consensual development and the act of getting things done and also for the public interest.

So I think that's what I can provide. And whether my other colleagues are I'm ready for that, well of course I will let them say that, and well thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

And thank you Pierre, over to you Sebastian.

Sebastian Bachollet:

Thank you and thank you callers for your question I won't disappoint you I don't think I don't want to stay in the beginning to enter into a fight or struggle with my colleague. I think you know as participant and member of

ALAC the differences between both of us. And that's enough to say and at the same time I can ensure that whoever is elected I will try to help him. And I hope if I'm chosen they will try to help me too.

As it is it will a new job and I think quite demanding because we will have to deal also with the end user community and not just the events of ALAC, RALO [inaudible 1:23:30] but the member of all the ALSs. And just to pick up one thing when I was working for a large company my goal was to allow each employee to have his own mail address.

That was not the case before, before as we the company had different mailing system and just for few people because they were the boss or they were the research people and that allow each one their mailing address. And I think it's how I would like to see the future everyone connecting and also everyone participating.

And if I have to add something to the new slogan of ICANN, today one world, one Internet, everyone connected. I would like to add every one participating because it's better than just to be connected. And if I just say participating, it could be taken in different ways.

The first one is to participate in the Internet area, it's to publish, to have a blog or to use whatever social network

they want. And the first step there are many and one of them is also to participate - our ALSs to participate to the discussion within the ICANN. And I think it's the more important point I like to raise in answering this question thank you very much.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you Sebastian, over to you Alan.

Alan Greenberg:

Thank you, I'm going to disappoint Carlos also in not identifying the faults of the people running for this office. Each of us have different styles quite different from each other and I don't pretend to have a crystal ball that could dictate after three years which of us have proven to be successful and great and which of us won't. It may well be that all of us would be great.

Clearly each of us thinks that we have sufficient uniqueness that can help At-Large and ICANN that we are put name in for it. I think my style is different from Sebastian's and Pierre's. But I really cannot say why they are not as good, they are just different. And I think it's a judgment call it's gonna have to be made.

I have a track record which says I work hard, I'm not afraid of saying things which are different from other people, so I know on the board, I will stand up for what I believe and for what At-Large believes, rather than a popular position or not, and I think I can be effective in as an ICANN

Director itself and as an ICANN director selected by At-Large, and with price [inaudible 1:27:25] to At-Large and with a mind-set which thinks from this point of view.

I don't think the words for the other candidates are very different but how we will do it, I am sure it will be quite different. And I think people are going to have to judge which of us are likely to be affected in the Board environment. Because, when it comes down to it, the real issue is not are you are defending At-Large or not, but will you say things which the other Directors will listen to?

Whether you convince them or not, perhaps doesn't matter as much but whether you can contribute to the overall process and make sure that the decision that are made, are made rationally and for good reasons. I believe and hope that I can do that and I think my track records, as I said, indicates I have done that within At-Large and I think I can continue to do that on the Board and justify people's faith in me.

But I don't feel comfortable nor would I want to with this environment, start identifying traits of other people which would not serve them well. Thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you Alan, moving now to NORALO. Evan unmute if you're muted, it will be *7. And again from the NORALO list if you could identify the main priority

question that you would like the candidates to deal with verbally here tonight. Evan you might be muted, *7 to unmute.

Alan Greenberg:

May be we need to ask him on the chat.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

I've already asked him on the chat. He's typing. No can you try again *7.

Alan Greenberg:

Can Adigo unmute him?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

[Inaudible 01:30:59] can you unmute Evan in some way. They're going to do their best to unmute you Evan.

Evan Leibovitch:

Ok, maybe that's better.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Perfect, hi Evan.

Evan Leibovitch:

Ok. I didn't think it would take that long just to be able to say this. Since there have been many questions that have been said about personal capability and relationship to ALA, I am going to switch to something specific, about ICANN policy, about the way that each three of you feel about specific things and that is Whois.

I want all three of you to give as much detail as you are comfortable, your assessment of Whois. I specifically want to find out where you believe the balances are to be struck

between the privacy of registrants and accountability of registrants. The suitability of Whois to accomplish its intended purpose and in general whether you believe Whois needs to be fixed/replaced/discarded or left untouched, thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Okay Pierre, your responses to NORALO please.

Pierre Dandjinou:

Thank you for this question, which I would say is a bit technical. As far as I am concerned, I will try to briefly specify my [inaudible 1:30:31] and I believe the Whois system is of course originated as a matter for systems administrator who [inaudible 1:30:41] to obtain contact information for IP addresses and or domain name administrator.

I think what is controversial is certainly the use of the data in the Whois system and debate however, over the year and one of the key issues for ICANN, is going to be the one of security. So therefore I think as far as I am concerned, the battle is about having the balance between the needs for providing the information and also the need for security.

Let's take it as an important issue [inaudible 1:36:36] globally because of some of the [inaudible 1:36:39] we are undergoing. For me the issue is how we track the balance and which mechanism do we put together there. Of course, I do agree that one should provide the information

[inaudible 1:36:59] data we provide, what level is there. I think the registrant or the user should be at some point be associated with the finishing of the data that one really want to collect.

It can have ICANN with this knowledge and also once is done; it should be accessible by him. So I would say it's not just a technical issue it has more to do with the level in which we associate the user of the data we are collecting so that we fully understand the destination of that data. I won't say that we scrap it.

What mechanism we do need to put in, to better the system we do have to administer the contact and we need to form a kind of [inaudible 1:38:15] group actually which I would say people from diverse origin be the technician of [inaudible 1:38:31] users so that group in a way indulged to the responsibility of starting [inaudible 1:38:45] the Whois system, about to know the user confidence in the Internet are reliable and efficient means of information and communication.

And I think that if you also want to promote ratio of visitor inclusion/ecommerce, with only those data, so for me the point is whether you go for Whois or not, we put the whole [inaudible 1:39:15] where its controllable whatever we say in terms of lookup, we do need easily done out of [inaudible 1:39:33].

At this point which I would say which is of course that issue of software we would be using, the application that we are using, the command line interface for Unix and Unix-like, people are not really I think would like to evolve into other or use for [inaudible 1:40:01].

I think all of these are kind of technical issues that can be sort out and on the Board. I think that should be the discussion so that we do select whatever software which guarantees the inclusion and guarantees the aptness and guarantees the safeguard of the privacy of people. I will stop with that and of course come back should I have to respond to any other questions, thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you Pierre, over to you Sebastian.

Sebastian Bachollet:

Yes, thank you. It is a too long standing issue, even part of At-Large. But, there's a lot of new efforts going on and I think they are important. First one, it's after the confirmation of commitments, the decision of specific [inaudible 1:41:03] team and as you know there's two participants supported by At-Large in this group.

I hope that will be able globally to work with them and to help them to help us to go ahead in the discussion [inaudible 1:41:28] currently working on additional studies and I guess that's publish new reports and will do it in a

very short time. I think at the same time, we need to address of course the question of privacy's, the question of necessity to know who is using this Whois?

How justice and police can use this type of information and which is the level of publication and I think that the user dealing with data will be able to decide whether it will be publically available, privately available, or just available on request by police or justice.

But at the same time, we will have to deal with the fact that with the idea and Whois will have to allow more and more idea and this type of script to be involved within the Whois and may be Whois will be fixed or maybe it will be replaced.

I don't have a clue on that because they say it will be a massive work to be done both, by us i.e. the provider and the technical community to find the best way to go. I had an impression that we need to start to re-sync the system and to see if we need to keep it or move it or change it because as we see for IPV 4 & IPV 6, any way if we have a new protocol today, if it's that 10 yrs to implementing, then better to start today.

I would like very much like to hear the voices of the At-Large and of the NGO's around on that subject because it's relevant for them and it will be more relevant even in future

where more and more will of the to deal with the domain name as not just end users but also the registrant and they would have to decide in which GTLD or CCTLD they will be suing because of different policy available in the market and that may be one way to help the end user to see the future for with. Thank you very much.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you, and over to you Alan.

Alan Greenberg:

Thank you, I think you are going to see some similarities in the answers from us all. The question says that what is the desired balance between them? And I think the answer is the balance and exactly how that's going to work out as there is lot of work going on studies to try to understand really what is the benefit of Whois and how can it help us and review team will be looking At-Large number of these aspects.

I think that [inaudible 1:45:20] proposal which differentiated between the legal persons and natural people, i.e. companies and human beings, are a good start. Clearly there is going to have to be a methodology for access to this kind of information by law enforcement with right with appropriate safeguards.

It's not always easy to recognize the law-enforcement from half way around the world, so there's lot of work to be done and is certainly an urgent issue where the option in

the questions where it is fixed, replaced, discarded or left untouched, it should be clearly should not be left untouched, that's something that needs to be clearly looked at.

Some of it has to change, currently it does not support Whois information in IDN characters in languages of as in English and that's lucrative that the people are going to register name in Arabic but their Whois information is entered in Arabic and is not accessible to anybody other than someone using the same registrar perhaps or the information is just isn't there and clearly must be fixed.

For those paying attention, a few months ago, there was a common period on Whois and the reaction of a lot of other people was oh no, not another Whois discussion. And in fact, that was a paper on character-set representation on Whois and should we allow or how we allow Arabic or Chinese or whatever Whois information.

It was not a controversial issue at all, but just the name made people react very negatively to it. I wouldn't be surprised to change the name if nothing else. We don't carry all the language of all the Whois battles and wars, but these are the issues that are going to be addressed.

And it not clear that one size fits all, specially where we are going to have a vaster ray of different types of GTLDs its

gonna require a bunch more work and I think the answer is not going to be as simplistic answer as we what say today for Whois which truly doesn't fit everyone. Thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you and ladies and gentlemen we are coming towards the top of the hour. I know we got started late with some technical difficulties. But we do have a hard stop with the interpreters at the top of the hour. So I am going to move now to next step and ask the candidates if they will take a strong recommendation, from me at least as how we should move forward on what is an extensive, probing and very interesting set of questions remaining unanswered.

It's also important to realize that there are questions in from individual community members on the Wiki page. And I noticed Siva has posted and reposted a couple of times some comments in the chat space. So we will capture all of those as well. What I think is possibly our best [inaudible 01:46:13] community does want your answers to all of these is to make sure that you have an opportunity to respond to each of them.

And what I might do is ask Heidi and perhaps myself to contact each of you now and just ensure we have an agreed methodology, something that works for you. I am assuming that most of you are happy to reply to system if we have these just up on the Confluence Wiki as some of

them already are but I don't think the time is available on the time now to drill down any further.

However, just before we do finish at the top of hour now, apart from thanking each and every one of you, not only the candidates but all those who have participated in the call. I would just like to ask all of the candidates if they want to make a very short closing statement and Alan it looks like you do, go ahead.

Alan Greenberg:

Well, I was going to make a request not a statement. I will make a statement afterwards. The request is, can you make/can it be arranged that the candidates have the editing rights to the page where we can do the answers, to have to send them an email is not practical at all.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Yes, we will make sure that we have it so each of you can comment back quite specifically and they won't get overwritten. So we will sort that out.

Alan Greenberg:

Thank you very much for the opportunity to address somebody's issues and I presuming Pierre and Sebastian will address rest of them in some level in writing and I welcome any other questions/comments in either in public forums or directly to me privately.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

And I would encourage anything that happens privately, if you feel it is something that can be published, when you've

all got your editing rights it might be very good to establish a little bit more of your portfolio advertising as much into change with the community. It's very important. Sometimes someone else's questions helps you learn a lot about candidates. Pierre any final statements from you?

Pierre Dandjinou:

I thank for this discussion and I will try to respond to very interesting questions that are there and some of them are quite personal questions and I will make sure to respond and having posted them on Wiki. Having said that, I would also like to congratulate my two friends Alan and Sebastian, and of course, good luck to all of us.

My overall understanding of the global issues and my position in different level of responsibilities be the global, regional or national. I think I'll be in a position to bring diversity and to bring impress that we need and the [inaudible 1:49:31] for ICANN when its entering trouble sort of zone of long 10 years duration.

I think, I will fit in that. And also I think I will be doing this. I think that the time has come for me as I have quitted UN and I am in a position to actually do much more of the commitment and I would like to contribute any way as a volunteer, thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

That last line I fine very exciting, thank you Pierre. Sebastian over to you.

Sebastian Bachollet:

Thank you very much. First of all I would like to thank all the participants of this call when who will listen in French English or Spanish this recording and I observe that they will find some thought to their decision and to be interesting for them to listen.

I would particularly thank the one who write the white paper but the one to organize this [inaudible 1:50:59] members and this choice of the candidate among the 22 and I think that now the [inaudible 1:51:18] will have to decide how they will participate but at the end of the day, but 20% who will have to work, it's in your end now and make I am sure is a good choice as I am committed to do that job.

But I am sure that my colleague too and this is not the differentiator and I hope with this conference call you get the differences between US and you will make a good choice and to and finally I would like to thank Allen to run this position. I think it's important for the community to have the possibility of choice.

Today as it is the first time when we will elect someone who is have position to fit in and I would like to add that if the choice made by the 20 and if it can be in one way or the other, commitment of the committee and I think it will give a lot of support for the one who will take the seat and

evolve and the [inaudible 1:53:51] of the committee and will be welcome. Once again thank you very much.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:

Thank you Sebastian. At the top of the hour thank you also to Maya and Gabriella. You've done a wonderful job [inaudible 01:53:15] and Spanish channels. Thank you one and all, [inaudible 01:53:27]. Bye for now.

Alan Greenberg:

Thank you Cheryl.

--End of Recorded Material--