

---

GISELLA GRUBER: The recording has started.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Gisella. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening everyone. It's the ALAC leadership team call on Thursday the 30<sup>th</sup> of January. The time is 15:02 UTC. I'll hand the floor over to Gisella for the roll call and the apologies.

GISELLA GRUBER: Thank you Olivier. On today's call we have Olivier Crepin-Leblond, Tijani Ben Jemaa, Evan Leibovitch, Dev Anand Teelucksingh, Garth Bruen. We have Maureen Hilyard and Alan Greenberg. Apologies notes from Holly Raiche and Julie Hammer.

From staff we have Matt Ashtiani, Nathalie Peregrine, and myself, Gisella Gruber. Heidi and Silvia will no doubt be joining very shortly. And, sorry.

HEIDI ULLRICH: This is Heidi. I'm here. Sorry.

GISELLA GRUBER: Terribly sorry. Thank you Heidi. If I could also just please remind everyone to state their names when speaking for transcript purposes. Thank you. Over to you Olivier.

---

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Gisella. It's Olivier speaking. Have you missed anyone actually, who is on the call and we haven't? No? Okay, excellent. So let's go to agenda item number two, the ALAC, the action items for the ALAC call of 28<sup>th</sup> of January, and also the last leadership team call. The action items for the ALAC call, the open action items, there are number of them still.

Matt is to work with Dev and Olivier on the development of the overall workspace for the collection of At Large action items, standing open action items. Silvia is to check the ATLAS II organizing committee list, that's been done. The [?] about that so we can take this.

Next, Olivier is to send a letter to the Chair of the Board with a copy of the minority statement on the draft final report on [?] of IGO and INGO identifies the new gTLDs. On this, I actually... And Olivier is to include the suggested text noted by Alan Greenberg on the mailing list. Now on the ALAC call, I mentioned that I have done that.

I was 99% sure I had done it. I checked afterwards, and I found out that it was still in my mailbox and had not been sent. I really apologize, it has been sent now. So, we'll get the answer from Steve. And of course, I did submit the statement to the public for the commenting process, so it's not lost all together. That was an oversight, and I apologize for this oversight.

Heidi is to send a call for members for the non PDP CTWG design team. Matt is to add all members to the mailing list once the call for members is completed. I note that Heidi is putting in progress. So we can then go

---

---

to the newly assigned action items. And they don't appear to have a little box in it, they don't appear – some magic will have to be performed on these for them to let people know of these action items, because there is a process by which they can be sent out as an [?] size to hold a pen on the review of the [?] representation in the root zone, DNSSEC signing ceremony.

That's noted on the Wiki, and Sala has actually put a first draft on this, and you might speak about it in a moment if you wish to. At Large staff are to create a workspace, a mailing list for the At Large ICANN accessibility working group. Is this still in progress?

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Hi Olivier. The workspace has been completed, and the... Once we know there is going to be a call for members, then there will be a mailing list created. But I'll post the workspace in the chat in just one moment.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay. That's excellent. Thank you. Next, At Large staff is to send the announcement of the names of the five forward candidates on the At Large mailing list. That is complete as well. Alan, you've put your hand up.

ALAN GREENBERG:

You're apparently from something different than I am, because I thought my item was next. So I'm confused where you are.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I'm confused as well. Where am I right now?

ALAN GREENBERG: You had read the second of the two action items on newly assigned, and I was the third, and then you had a new one that you described.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: My page has just jumped.

ALAN GREENBERG: Call on me when you get to it.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: This is not the day. Right. Let's start again. Oh, God. What happened there? The page jumped. So now it's all changed again.

ALAN GREENBERG: I don't know. Looks the same to me, I just refreshed it.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: All right. Let's continue on this. I'm just looking at this. Okay. So, newly assigned action items. We are on the 28<sup>th</sup> of January. That's correct. I'm sorry, I'm a bit confused here because...

---

ALAN GREENBERG: I don't know what's on the Adobe Connect screen, I'm looking at the page which is pointed to at the agenda which is different than what's on the screen right now. That's right. And I wasn't looking at the Adobe Connect screen, I was looking at my Wiki page, and for some reason my Wiki page jumped and has completely changed now.

So let's start again. Newly applied action items, let's see. Alan is to hold the pen, fine, that's done. Gisella is to create a workspace and mailing list for the ICANN accessibility working group. That's in progress. And the third is, Garth Bruen and Evan Leibovitch, and Alan Greenberg are to work on the issue of submission, documentation, handling, and finding the compliance and investigations. I believe these are the three newly assigned action items that are on the screen now.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Olivier, they are different from what there is. I have other thing. I have as the third one is, At Large staff to send announcement of the names of the five Board committee, etc.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: That's what I had before.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Who removed this?

---

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sorry, everyone. I don't know what's happened. Because I posted those... This is Heidi. I posted those new action items, and they were up there a minute ago, and I don't know where they went.

ALAN GREENBERG: Action items from the ALAC meeting.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, the ALAC meeting on the 28<sup>th</sup> of January. This sounds like a time warp we've just been in.

MATT ASHTIANI: This is Matt. So, I think what you think you're looking for is underneath recently closed, they were listed as completed. So they would be under recently closed. Is that what you're looking for?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: No. They were newly assigned action items and there was a whole list there that did not have the boxes a moment ago, and then my page jumped, then the boxes appeared, and then a lot of the action items disappeared.

ALAN GREENBERG: Mine always had empty boxes.

---

MATT ASHTIANI: I think about 45 minutes ago, or an hour ago, I updated the page to actually contain the action items so the automatic reminders were sent out. So I think maybe, just linked to the page before I actually updated it.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I just opened it now. Anyway.

HEIDI ULLRICH: No, Matt, this is Heidi. The action items from the last ALAC meeting that I posted yesterday without the boxes have suddenly disappeared. And...

MATT ASHTIANI: That's strange because I'm looking at them.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: You're the only one looking at them. You're in another space than we are these days.

MATT ASHTIANI: I'm serious. I can see them right now. I can go over them if you want, but give me a second. Let me fiddle, after you're done.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: All right. Alan, your hand is up.

ALAN GREENBERG: Yes. Let's talk about that item regardless of where it is on the page. Okay. I have not had a chance to talk to Garth or Evan, but I believe they are both on this call. Is that correct?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, that's correct.

ALAN GREENBERG: Okay. What I propose, or what I would propose to them but I'll propose to them now, in the presence of everyone else, is with regard to the specifics of the example domain that we're using, red tube dot org, which is pointing to a, what is extensively a privacy protection registrant that has invalid information in their WHOIS information. I would propose that...

I originally suggested that Olivier submit a complaint to compliance on behalf of the ALAC. I think it may be better to have me or someone else do it, and then Olivier, it could escalate to Olivier, should that prove interesting to do. So I would be glad to do it on behalf of the ALAC leadership team should they request today.

And play that one through, you know, as if it is normal. Ignoring this history of the last two years, ignoring the fact that it has been ignored before, and that we have conflicting statements from compliance and from the registrars, and play it through. So what happens on that one. With regard to the more general one of how to submit complaint of this ilk, when there are multiple domains that are having infractions of a

---

---

similar kind, my proposal – and this one I will word it with Evan and Garth, is to go to compliance, on behalf of the ALAC, and say that we believe there is a need for this, let's discuss how you can put this in place, and see what their reaction is.

We know their answer is, "We don't do that." But hopefully, their answer is, "Yes, that's a good idea. We will consider doing that and come back and talk about it." But whether they do that or not, it's not clear. So that's my proposal for going forward. If we decide to go forward with the former part, I have a question for Olivier personally. And that is, do you have any contacts in France that can verify that the address given in the WHOIS in fact does not exist?

We have evidence from post office returning letters, and Google doesn't know about it, but it would be interesting if we can have someone onsite in the city, you know, say, "Yes, indeed that is a crap address."

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I'll have to look into this. It's Olivier speaking. I'll have to look into this Alan. I haven't thought about that.

ALAN GREENBERG: Yeah, well, no it's only a three hour drive if you want to do it yourself [CROSSTALK]. ...suggesting.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: That was possible, but my car, if you recall, has been folded into a small box and that doesn't fit me anymore so I have...

ALAN GREENBERG: The address in question is in the chat.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Let's move to, let's go for Garth Bruen.

GARTH BRUEN: Thank you. This is Garth. I just wanted to add a little context to what Alan had said just so everybody understands the situation. This particular record, compliance had a specific complaint about these records, their answer was, "The registrar told us everything is fine, that the information was correct." The registrar sent two investigators from the *Washington Post*, that ICANN never contacted about this.

So, like Alan said, there are conflicting statements. The whole situation is problematic. And then on the other issue, originally it was possible to submit higher volumes of complaints through compliance which would be passed through the registrar. And actually, registrars told compliance to stop them. So there is a lot of things going on here and there are a lot of problems. Thanks.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Garth. So basically, just to make this clear, are you implying then that the limits on the number of complaints submitted in the bulk WHOIS was actually asked, not by compliance not being able to cope, but the registrars not being able to cope?

GARTH BRUEN: Well, yeah. I mean, if you look at the various statements that we've put out by compliance, and if you look at some of the transcripts at the registrar stakeholder's meetings, what you see is that compliance is actually have a really, really hard time dealing with the volume in terms of staff, but they were trying diligently to keep up with it, and they made comments that they were working 12 hour days, working on the weekends, trying to keep up with the volume.

But in the registrar stakeholder meeting, they are making it very, very clear they don't want this volume of complaints and they want it to stop.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. Back to Alan, Alan Greenberg.

ALAN GREENBERG: Well, I'll make a snarky comment, that we in the registrars agree completely, we want the volume of complaints to stop also. We want them to stop because they are not necessary however. As I think I said, there has been a sordid past. There is no point in trying to open the past close issues. There is no formal process for that, and we are not on good grounds taking up something that is essentially, and Garth with no disrespect, based on hearsay based on stories that are coming to us.

So I'm suggesting on the particular example we have, and we're not the only ones, there is another 1,000 domains that are registered like that. That we raise the issue and see what they do. They cannot tell us

---

---

afterwards that no one raised it. They cannot tell us it's private that we can't tell you if anyone has raised it because we did it ourselves. And play that one out.

On the large volume one, I think we've pretty well established that there is no merit in putting in 10,000 or 1,000 complaints that are going to be handled one by one, and they do not have process for putting in multiple related complaints. And I'm suggesting that we propose one, let them refuse it. If they refuse it, we have a way of going forward. If they accept it, then we're better off.

GARTH BRUEN:

This is Garth again. I'm in complete agreement, Alan, I just want everybody to understand the full context.

ALAN GREENBERG:

I didn't think you were disagreeing. So what I'm doing right now is I am asking, do I have the request of the ALAC leadership team to submit a compliance complaint? And I will vet it with Garth in terms of the details to make sure that I don't screw up on a technicality. And we've already been charged with coming forward with a proposal to approach compliance on the more general type of submission.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay. Thank you very much Alan. I open the floor to anyone else. Even [?] since you are also on this action item, your point of view on that.

---

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: This is Evan. I have nothing to add because frankly I like everything I've been hearing from both Garth and Alan, so I'm absolutely in line with that. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Tijani, Dev, any thoughts?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Nothing from me.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. So I gather there is no objection to this on this call in the ALAC leadership team. And Alan, I'm not objecting either. I think it's a very good way forward. I really hope that you're able to make progress on this. This is something which has been on our plate for a very long time, and we've been playing hide and seek for quite a while. It's about time we managed to try and get some progress. And really, it's clear that discussions on calls and face to face discussions and so on, might not reveal what we – you know, sort of put things down to paper and put down the trail of how we can resolve this.

It looks as though the solution which you're proposing at the moment, the package you put forth in here, is a path that will create a trail for us to come up with solutions. So, please go ahead.

---

ALAN GREENBERG: Okay. Then my only question to Olivier is, if you think you have a way of verifying the address, without delaying this process unreasonably, let me know otherwise we'll go ahead without worrying about that part. It's just an extra kink in the armor, it's not mandatory but it would be a nice touch.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Please send me the address by email...

ALAN GREENBERG: It's in the chat. I can send it in an email.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: It doesn't look right in the chat. I'll be very...

ALAN GREENBERG: It may not look like, but that is the address in the WHOIS.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Well, that looks pretty bad.

ALAN GREENBERG: Yeah, I would have put at least a [?]. [CROSSTALK]

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: ...because it is not la [?] it is [le] [?], it's a masculine name but, well. Maybe they don't know how to spell in that town, I don't know. Let me try and check.

ALAN GREENBERG: Yeah. I do have a question. Olivier, you may not know this, but French is an interesting language. There is a very famous hotel in Montreal called [?] Elizabeth. [CROSSTALK] That's because the unknown... It's actually the hotel, which is not in the name and the hotel is masculine.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Great.

ALAN GREENBERG: Question for Garth before I proceed. This fake registry, the privacy service, is also used according to Domain Tools for country codes. Is it only dot CN or do you know if it's used for any other ones?

GARTH BRUEN: This is Garth for the record. I mean, I have seen it used in a variety of ways. I mean, I just think in terms of the ICANN context, I don't know how the ccTLD issues will be relevant. But maybe if you're thinking of something else.

ALAN GREENBERG: I'm just curious. It's only dot CN then it's clear. If it is being used by other country codes, I'm just curious which ones and to what extent

---

they do any vetting. It's not relevant to anything, but it would be good information to know.

GARTH BRUEN: I mean, I mostly concerned with the dot com, dot org...

ALAN GREENBERG: No, no, I understand that. I'm just trying to do all of my homework.

GARTH BRUEN: And to address the confusion over the address, I think it's intentional. I mean, we have Chinese, Vietnamese, and French details in the record. I think it is intended to confuse.

ALAN GREENBERG: Yeah. There is no question. I'm just trying to get my ducks in order. Okay, on this one, I'll deal with you after the meeting Garth. Thank you. Let's go on.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, and just one last thing. Please point me to the exact [?] address, or did you do an actual cut and paste on the address, because the address doesn't mean anything at the moment.

ALAN GREENBERG: I changed FR in a different line to France on the same thing, other than that it's verbatim. I'll point you to the WHOIS.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: All right. Thanks. Let's get moving. And now we've found a solution to the disappearing action items. Matt actually has performed some maintenance while we were speaking. It went from newly assigned action items to open action items. There is one open action item still which is that Heidi is to put in a call for members of the non PDP [?] WG design team, and Matt is to add all members to the mailing list once the call for members is completed.

Do we have a date by which this should be done?

HEIDI ULLRICH: This is Heidi. By tomorrow.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Great, thank you. So next, that's the 28<sup>th</sup> of January, so the last ALAC call, and we've got the ALC call which was on the 16<sup>th</sup> of January. I invite you all to have a look at the Wiki for that. And we have similar action items. But first, the first one is the same as the ALAC. The second one, Heidi is to locate the wording on crowd sourcing, noted by Fadi Chahdi during the ALAC phone meeting in Durban, and send the information to Olivier.

Any [CROSSTALK] [?].

---

ALAN GREENBERG: It's Alan. I told Heidi exactly where it came from. I don't have the transcript in front of me, but I know the context, I told her at the last meeting.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you. Tijani? You've got your hand up. Tijani Ben Jemaa.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes Olivier, thank you. It's about the last session about the ALAC action items. It disappears because it is already completed, At Large staff to send announcement of the names of the five Board [?]. I have an issue about this one. We need to have a public page, a public Wiki page, public without password, that anyone can consult at any time, when we have the five names plus the three names that haven't been selected.

And we need to also have the public information about the eight candidates. This is something that has to be done now, because the slate has been released yesterday, and normally together with [?] we need to have this information public. So, I think there is a problem with the IT people because they couldn't give us a page which is absolutely public.

So I would like to emphasize this point and to ask staff if you think it's possible to have it as soon as possible?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Tijani. There is a slight parallel discussion going on. Because the action item is to deal with the non PDP CTWG design

---

team, you are dealing with the At Large Board, At Large selected Board director, and that's actually a valid point that you have made. I was going to touch on this a bit later on in the call. The EOIs of the participants have not been published, and at the moment there is no Wiki page with any of these details up for people to be able to consult.

Heidi, when are we expecting this to be ready? You have [?] in private, I already received and Tijani has already received complaints about this. And that is a procedural problem.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, this is Heidi. So currently, many they were posted on [?] normal open [?]...

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Heidi, I'm not sure, I can't hear you.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. Can you hear me now?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, please, go ahead.

HEIDI ULLRICH: So the names of all the candidates were posted on the open Wiki page, the 2014 At Large Board director workspace. Matt, I don't know if you followed up with why people are not being able to that. I know that it

---

was under maintenance a few days, a day ago, so that may have been one reason you couldn't see it. I don't know whether due to upgrades that you now have to login to see those pages, but they should be open.

And then, what we could do, we could just add that to a webpage and put a link onto the main, you know make an announcement and put it onto a webpage so it's totally open that way.

ALAN GREENBERG:

Heidi, could you give us a pointer to that page? It doesn't need to be linked to the Board selection pages.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Okay. Let me go ahead and do that. Okay [CROSSTALK], yeah, Matt, if you could add anything to that. I don't know why people are not able to see the At Large Wiki pages.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

People are able to see the At Large Wiki pages. Go ahead Matt.

MATT ASHTIANI:

If they can't see the pages it is because they were specifically requested to be blocked. So...

HEIDI ULLRICH:

No, Matt. Sorry, this is Heidi. We're talking two different things here. One is the restricted BCEC page, we're not talking about that at all.

---

We're now talking about the open BCEC, the 2014 Board director workspace. That's placed on a completely open Wiki. So we don't know why, and that's where I posted the names. So we're not sure why we can't see those names.

Now, again, the day that they were posted, the Wiki was under maintenance for a while. So at the time Roberto and Tijani tried to view them, they received messages that it can't be seen. That was due to the maintenance. But I don't know why people wouldn't be able to see them at this point.

ALAN GREENBERG: Okay, my email right now, if someone can post what the address is where they were hosted. That would be useful, thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Because no one has posted the address so far [CROSSTALK]...

MATT ASHTIANI: ...just said, looking at the BCEC 2014 candidate evaluation workspace, there are no restrictions. Everything on there is open. So you would not require a login at all. So Heidi is correct.

ALAN GREENBERG: Okay. So the names are now posted, but not the SOIs. And the question is, where is this posted?

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: The SOIs have to be posted as well.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: The public information of the SOIs has to be posted, not private information.

ALAN GREENBERG: No, no. That's what I said. But I'm trying to figure out where is it...  
[CROSSTALK]

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: ...sorry, I don't have access to Adobe Connect, but I have pasted the page that I have access to in the Skype chat for the LAT.

ALAN GREENBERG: In the Skype chat? Some of us aren't on that Skype chat.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Okay. I'll get it to you Alan.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, but that's... Thank you Evan for this. That's the At Large Board member slate of candidates 2014. But none of these have got links to their expression of interest. The expression of interest needs to be published, and certain segments of it needs to be published. It's all in the bylaws. It's all in the bylaws, just follow what's in the bylaws, follow

---

the instructions. I don't want to waste any time on this, this is just very simple, straightforward.

And you have to publicize this. Unfortunately, I think that...

ALAN GREENBERG: Not quite as straightforward, because I can't find the connections between the pages. [CROSSTALK]

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: ...at the moment. There is no connection between the pages, number one, and number two you need to login to be able to access the page which Evan has put in the Skype chat.

ALAN GREENBERG: And I've logged in...

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Olivier, if I may. I think that the fastest and the most available to solve this problem is to open a webpage as Alan has suggested, and to send the link very soon to all of the [?]. This is the better way to ensure that everyone will be aware of that.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you Tijani. Alan, you've got your hand up still.

---

ALAN GREENBERG: Yes I did. I see the page that Heidi and Evan posted a link to. I'm trying to see where it's pointed to in the hierarchy of the 2014 selection pages.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: It's not.

ALAN GREENBERG: Okay. I don't understand how something can be a child page and not be listed as a child page. Okay. Fine let's not talk about it more here. There is a significant problem.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Please, Heidi, ensure that this is fixed today because we're getting complaints at the moment, and this is not good for our process. Dev?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Just to say that the page requires you to login. So the site is publically viewable, so that has to be sorted out.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Let's move on. Thank you for altering us to this Tijani. And let's go back to the 16<sup>th</sup> of January ALT monthly call action items, and we went through the first one where we are into the locating of the word on crowd sourcing noted by Fadi during the ALAC Board meeting in Durban. Alan, you've mentioned this on the last call. Please just drop an email on it and we'll be able to take it up and fix that.

---

I don't even know why we're dealing with this crowd sourcing thing right now. [CROSSTALK] All members of the ALT are to think carefully about the ALAC Sunday and working sessions, they are events to suggest which sub sessions should be kept on Sunday to be done with the 160 ALSs present, to be dropped on London and to be moved another day of the week.

Okay, I'm not sure we've done any of this yet but we'll find out maybe later on in the call. Avri is to ensure that the new gTLD working group is charged with creating the state of the gTLD expansion, analyzing and proposing new measures for consideration by the ALAC, and presentation of these Singapore meeting. That was sent over to... That action item was sent to Avri, but she has since resigned so we have Evan Leibovitch as our co-chair on that working group. Evan, could you provide us with some feedback on this please?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH:

Sorry I got distracted. I'm aware of Avri's resignation. Sorry, could you repeat the question?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

So, it's to ensure that the new gTLD working group is charged with creating a statement on the state of the gTLD expansion, analyzing and proposing possible new measures for consideration by the ALAC, and presentation of these at the Singapore meeting.

---

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Okay. There has been no action on that. There has been very little activity within the working group itself, which has until now been focused on trying to create a survey related to applicant support. I know that when Avri and I originally talked about that, there was not a lot of taste in doing what was really going to be a very, very large document.

If we were actually going to go into all facets of this. So I can tell you that very little has been done. I can take it back to the working group to assert that, but right now there just seems there hasn't been a lot of activity in that group. I can certainly bring it back.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you. Let's reassign... Can we reassign this action item to you then in the meantime?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Sure.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks. So, that's done. And then, Alan Greenberg is to mentor [?]. Evan will mentor [?]. Tijani will mentor [?]. Any update on this gentlemen? Have you managed to link up to your mentoree [sic]?

ALAN GREENBERG: I've been in contact with Leon, but there hasn't been a whole lot of, so far, requests for mentoring yet.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. We've got Dev and Tijani in the queue. Dev first. Dev Anand Teelucksingh. Okay, that was an old hand. So Tijani Ben Jemaa.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay. Thank you Olivier. It's about [?]. So I am already in contact with her, and I am giving her work so that she is trained about the things, especially for policy. But [?] has a problem, and she sent you an email Olivier about that. She had, every Tuesday at the same time of the ALAC meeting, they have a very important meeting with their minister. She is working [?] minister.

So she is asking for changing the day if possible. Last ALAC call, she got a holiday to be able to come to the call. But she will not be able to do that every time. So she wanted to change the day. I don't know if it is possible, and I think that we, if we can do that it would be very good.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. Not quite sure on how that date, and that day was like that. That pre-dated what I knew. It was always the last Tuesday of the month, 13:00 hours or 14:00 hours. So I'm not quite sure whether it's wise to start thinking about moving this date around or not. Will anyone else say something about this. Maureen Hilyard.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes, Maureen for the transcript. I know that Holly also mentioned that if we might perhaps be able to look at the organization of our meetings,

---

because they do seem to sort of like be quite erroneous to some. So, perhaps it might be time to actually look at where we should schedule our meetings. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Maureen. It's Olivier speaking. I was thinking of rotating the ALC call around, but not rotating the ALAC call for two reasons. The ALC is a smaller group, and I guess we're more determined, even we have to wake up at three in the morning and that's been shown by people in the Asia Pacific region and the [?]. That we do wake up very early in the morning, or in those ungodly hours. But as far as the ALAC is concerned, one of the problems with rotation is that because it's only one call a month, at the moment it comes in as bit of a reflex.

People know quite easily when the call talks place. If you start rotating on a monthly basis, people forget and then we'll end up with not enough quorum on our list. Now these are my personal views on it and observations on it. Some people here have been around for longer than I have, and I'm pointing specifically at Evan and Alan, and some staff also. I mean, Gisella you have to pull your hair out with regards to scheduling of these things. I mean, what's your thought on that?

GISELLA GRUBER: Hi Olivier. Gisella here. To be quite honest, the ALAC call has been at this time, the fourth Tuesday of the month, since I've started. So...

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: We've lost you Gisella.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. We've lost Gisella.

GISELLA GRUBER: Sorry, sorry, can you hear me? Sorry.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, we can hear you now. For some reason...

GISELLA GRUBER: Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah we can.

GISELLA GRUBER: Sorry, that's a technical issue. As I was saying, for the past five years that I've been with ALAC, sorry, that I've been with ICANN, the ALAC call has been on the fourth Tuesday of the month at this time. It's now whether you wish to change this after so many years, also bearing in mind that the ALAC members have changed, not that the regions have changed. But that's then to decide whether you just want to do a complete new Doodle giving five days of the week, and you know, a wide spread of times.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Any thoughts on this, anyone? Tijani you have your hand up.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, thank you Olivier. I am not asking for rotating the ALAC meeting, I'm asking considering if it is possible to change the day by – as Gisella said. Because if we can possible, if there is not objection, we will not lose a new member. This is my thought. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you Tijani. Next is Alan Greenberg.

ALAN GREENBERG: As Gisella reported, this time was set as the most convenient time five years ago. I see no reason not to try to find a better time now if that would make it – allow us to have better attendance, and specifically, to make sure a new member is completely ruled out altogether. [CROSSTALK] It may be impossible, but I see no reason not to try.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: We're well aware. Thank you Alan. Maureen please.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes, I was just actually thinking that one of the things that I'm actually finding is that at the end of the month, it's not just the ALAC, it's a whole lot of meetings. It seems to be pushed into the end of the month. So maybe... If the ALAC is something that it's actually traditional, that's fine by me. But I think with all of the other

---

responsibilities that people are taken on, I just sort of [?] just me, but all...

I mean, I've had like sort of about eight meetings this week, which has been really, really difficult. So this is just another one. Yeah, I think that perhaps with this timing, we can look at all of the meetings and try to see what could be changed. Because it's, as you say, ALAC has been going for a long time at this particular time, and when people change, sometimes it isn't going to be convenient but the ALAC meeting is quite important to [?].

So, yeah. Something, we have to think of some solution somehow. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay. Thank you very much Maureen. Any other thoughts? So let's send out a Doodle then. The concern I have now is that if we open a Doodle, and you only have a limited number of dates that we can give, we're not going to give a whole month, and so what day would you like this to happen? I was going to suggest two rules. One, which was going to choose the week, first, second, third, or fourth week of the month.

And a second Doodle which would choose the most suitable timing for people. Of course, one of the answers we're going to be giving is, wait a second, I don't know when I'm going to have my conference calls this week, this is just as a guideline to be able to set what the time is. I can't see any other way to ask the membership, well ask the ALAC and the regional leadership to provide input. Tijani Ben Jemaa.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Olivier. I don't know if we will make the Doodle for the time. We spoke about the day, so if the time is also conflicting, we may make another one for the time. So the first, the week of the month, the second the day of the week, and third the time inside the day.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Tijani. I think three is an overkill, the reason being that regarding the day of the week, we really only have Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday. The Friday and the Monday are generally not used because someone in the world is still on holiday whilst the others are not. So, generally we shy away from Monday and Friday, and of course, as you know, the weekend as well.

So we tend to have just a set of times between Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday. Dev Anand Teelucksingh.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Hi. Thanks. Dev speaking. Well, I mean, I agree that we should try and find a time, but I guess I'm asking that – a separate issue. The separate issue being that, well, should there be two monthly ALAC calls. The reason why I'm asking this because well, monthly ALAC calls, there is a lot of information to process, and it always takes up two to three hours, easily. I've never seen a short ALAC call.

So I mean, is it possible to, I don't know, lift the load or something like that, and therefore ask for alternate times?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Good point Dev. Alan Greenberg.

ALAN GREENBERG: Without necessarily advocating it, I'll suggest what's happening in the GNSO at a time when the GNSO was overloaded, they went to calls every three weeks, and they tend to rotate the calls around different times to ease the pain, but scheduled way ahead of time. It's not we do a Doodle, they do a Doodle every month to see when the next call is, they're settled at the beginning of the year for the whole year, but at three different times to make sure the pain is spread around somewhat. Just a thought.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Alan. How has that worked? Has that impacted on the people on there, or on the calls, or...?

ALAN GREENBERG: The GNSO gets close to 100% participation in virtually every meeting. There are often, on occasion, one out that someone can't make the meeting, usually due to other commitments in their life. People put up with the pain and make it, and it is not unusual to have 100% or very near 100% participation in the GNSO.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you very much. Maureen, your hand is still up.

---

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes, it was actually an old one, but it doesn't matter. I would actually just to like suggest that we first ask the ALAC members, first of all, to survey them and see whether the current time is okay for them, because I think, as you said, I mean, I've got it in my diary all through the year when it's going to be for the ALAC meeting.

And to have two ALAC meetings, that is getting into a pretty heavy schedule for me, and I guess for Olivier. But yeah, perhaps we would check with the ALAC members first.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you. Let's, I'm a bit undecided about this at the moment, rotation and so on. Let's have this opened up during the next ALAC call. In the meantime, it wouldn't hurt having the Doodles running. And so, at least we'll have something to start working on and discussed during the next ALAC call.

We'll keep the next ALAC call at the same time, so as not to confuse people, but then we'll play on the thing. I can see where Gisella put the rotation for the GNSO call, 11:00, 15:00, and 18:00 UTC, which are the times of the GNSO calls. I don't know what these translate to, by the way, with regards to other regions of the world. I have to remind you that GNSO is actually quite heavily slanted to some regions of the world, and we have a wider geographical spread than the GNSO. Alan Greenberg?

---

ALAN GREENBERG:

Yeah, if I remember correctly, the logic is to try and avoid having the meeting at any time between 12 and 4 AM or something like that. You know, I think that was the rationale. So those times were picked so that nobody on the council has that time. And given that there are now several people, or have been several people from Australia, a good number from various other places in the world, the range is pretty wide there.

But I believe the times were picked to avoid something like 12 to 4 AM. Anything else being considered inconvenient but not unreasonable.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay. Thank you. Let's proceed forward with the Doodles and then open a discussion with them. Let's move on. Let me try and find the right location. So we're back to the discussion here. Okay so that's done. So, the mentorship, yes. Just go back to me with the mentors.

Please, Alan, make contact with [?] and Evan also with Leon. I know that they've actually been both involved on quite a number of calls as well. So they are integrating, they are being active. And Tijani, well done for working with [?] and let's see what we can do in order not to lose her from the monthly ALAC call.

And the newly assigned action items, Tijani is to share the location of the workspace regarding the ALAC GNSO collaboration, at ALS local country code level with Holly Raiche and Maureen Hilyard. Tijani, have you been able to share this with them?

---

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: No. As I said yesterday at the last call, I tried to find my files, but unfortunately I had a crash on my hard disk, so all the data went away. I tried to make contact with Rudy, who did the work with Ron, and Rudy promised to send me the link, now he's on travel, and when he will be back, he will give me the link, he will transfer it to Maureen.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks. That's great. So ongoing. Holly Raiche is to share the various proposals for the multi-stakeholder round table at the Singapore meeting. I believe she has been working with others on this, I haven't kept track of the progress on that. I think we have a proposed time, and a proposed work with regards to tour as well. Will anyone be able to provide a quick update on this?

GARTH BRUEN: This is Garth. I'm hearing nobody else speak up. I understand that we're kind of trying to get a good time nailed down. I think that we will... I know that Holly was concerned about conflicting with some other meetings, and I know Gisella was trying to find us the best time and that's where it's at.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks Garth. Yeah, that's right. Hopefully it will be on the Monday afternoon, and there is not going to be a conflicting meeting for the Monday afternoon. The cross community working group on Internet governance is likely to meet in the morning after the public session, or immediately after the SO and AC hot topic session. There is

---

likely to be a discussion between those two groups to be able to maybe either merge them or have the hot topic session, SO/AC hot topic session replace in from the [core] by the cross community working group on Internet governance public session.

Hopefully at that point, that leaves the afternoon empty for the ALAC to be able to have its roundtable, which would help. Next, Heidi is to check on the status of the European, the AIU. Heidi?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I thought that... This is Heidi. I thought that we had decided that that was not to go ahead, there was no need for that. Should I still follow up on that?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I'm not sure. It still shows here that the question was, I think, remembering the action item at the time. I think it was listed that you would have to check whether, well what was going on with the economist intelligence unit. [CROSSTALK] ..work, they had started work, but in terms of the community [?] we had drafted a message assisting with regards to committee involvement in committee qualifications.

HEIDI ULLRICH: But the Board, my recollection of that is that the Board replied to you on that point. Did you still wish to follow up?

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: No, the response that we have no place to be in that. They rejected our request. But in the meantime, we have no idea, there is no transparency in this process. So if you can't find, if you ask and are not given an answer, then the ALAC might be producing a statement requesting this information to be given, because it's very, very poor governance at the moment.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. I'll follow up with Board support on that.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks very much Heidi. Next, Carlos is to send an email to the ALAC announce mailing list, compiling the links to the ATLAS [?] sub working groups. And that's, I think that's been done. And it's to ask for more people to be involved in the sub working groups. Has this been done? I know Carlos isn't here, but I'm not sure if anyone has tracked this. There isn't any reply on that, so...

HEIDI ULLRICH: ...check with Carlos if that's been done.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you. Let's keep that open in the meantime. And the last one of the newly assigned action items, we've already spoken about and that's compliance item. Any comments or questions on any of those action items? We've spent a significant amount of time, nearly an hour on

---

them, but it's good because we discussed a number of things that are very important.

No further comment on these. Then we have the items for discussion, and that's of course, three statements at the moment. In fact, there should be a fourth one, that hasn't been updated. That is specification [?]. I know, Matt you're just back from hell, I guess, but the proposal for specification 13 of the ICANN registry agreement to contractually reflect certain limited aspects of dot [?] new gTLDs.

That actually, as you all have noticed, has actually got a 12 in favor, zero against, and zero abstentions. I believe that needs to be sent out to the relevant public comments. On the last, on the three statements [?] to develop the draft criteria purpose for selection of multi stakeholder, any thoughts on the pilot program? So far I haven't seen anyone jump up and down and say that the specifications are given are wrong.

I was just going to suggest that the ALAC drafts an one liner saying that we are absolutely in agreement with something like this. So, we basically absolutely support the concept of a multi-stakeholder e-force award. That's a quick one liner. With regards to the other two statements, the draft mission and focused areas, the vote is currently going on, and the third one, as we mentioned earlier, Sala has drafted a first draft with a review of trusted community representation in the root zone, DNSSEC key signing ceremony.

Please have a look at this first draft. Staff, have you publicized this to the ALAC announce mailing list please?

---

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sorry, is that... This is Heidi. Is that a question for Matt?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: That's a question for Matt or whoever has been dealing with this.

MATT ASHTIANI: Sorry, what was the question Olivier?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: The review of trusted community representation in the root zone DNSSEC key signing ceremony. Sala has put a statement on the Wiki page, she has also put a number of questions as well. In fact, it hasn't been copied over, as a comment she has put all of that. So background questions for the At Large then a draft ALAC statement.

This page needs to be publicized through the ALAC. And the question asked so that for us to proceed forward with the usual circus. The comment closing date is the 11<sup>th</sup> of February, and the reply is an open date, and I'll work with you to find out until when we are collecting At Large input. Our usual calendar.

MATT ASHTIANI: Okay. Not a problem.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: All right, thanks. Let's move on. And the next part... Any questions on any of those action items by the way? Not action items, sorry,

---

comments, public comments. I note that Alan has said specification 13 comment closes tomorrow, so we will make sure it is posted today and sent out today. Okay. Review of the ALAC meeting. I invite you all to have a look at the agenda meeting of the ALAC meeting that we had.

And just a couple of days ago. And yes Tijani?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Regarding the draft criteria and process, no. Our statement about the strategic plan. The deadline is the 21<sup>st</sup> of January, so it must be sent before that time. So it means today, it must be sent.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: The deadline is 21<sup>st</sup>, no 31<sup>st</sup> of January I believe.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: 31<sup>st</sup>, 31<sup>st</sup>, yeah.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: 31<sup>st</sup>, yeah. Matt, when does this vote end on this? I think the vote was meant to end today so that we can file it either tonight or tomorrow.

MATT ASHTIANI: Alternatively it would have to be tomorrow, because that's your 23 59. So...

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, it finishes. Okay, yeah. So 23:59 UTC is when the vote ends, and then we'll submit it tomorrow Tijani, so there is no problem on that.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you. The last ALAC meeting, ALAC monthly conference, well we have the usual things. We have the rules of procedure revision. I think, yeah, the motion went through, so that is also fine. Alan, is there anything else to do on the rules of procedure revision from here?

ALAN GREENBERG: Nothing with regard to that action. I mean, we're supposed to be keeping track of the things that we have to formally change in the rules and this is one of them, and I hope staff is keeping a ledger of those, but no action is necessary at this moment.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you. Do you mean by keeping track, these are addendums that we need to keep with the rules of procedure so for them to be easily pointed to?

ALAN GREENBERG: Two things. Obviously the lot, which you just mentioned, but the motion that was passed the other day does not change the rules of procedure. They create some additional ALAC rules which is within the

---

purview of the ALAC to do. They still need to be folded into the formal rules of procedure, and I believe we've already identified a few other things.

I can't remember off hand what they are, but that we said that we need to keep track of. That is other holes in the rules of procedure that are not crucial but need to be fixed. I mean, you knew that we were going to find things as we went along. And I have suggested in the past that staff keep a list, I don't know whether they are or not.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Alan. There was also a question of numbering as well, and I've seen that come across my desk and other things, any specific numbering for these additions.

ALAN GREENBERG: The rules that we approved, the rules of procedure give a hierarchy of things that must be followed. Near the top is the rules of procedure proper, but then there is an item saying other things that are approved by the ALAC from time to time. This falls under that category. So, it's in effect, it's operative but it's not formally part of the rules of procedure. We need to go back at some point and integrate it into the rules of procedure just so that future generations don't get confused.

And so someone going to the rules sees the complete picture.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you very much for this Alan. Tijani Ben Jemaa, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Olivier. What we did yesterday, we add a new rule, and it is not yet integrated into the rules of procedure, it must be integrated because especially for the election of the Board member, we need to have all the rules when written in the rules of procedure so that for the next round, at least, we will not be running between one document and another.

We need to make a formal integration of this rule. If something is really clear, it's place is clear, so we need to integrate as soon as possible so that we'll have our rules of procedure in good standing. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Tijani, does it need to be done today?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: No, no.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: In time. Okay. We're in agreement on this, that's good. Alan, you've put your hand up again.

---

ALAN GREENBERG:

Yeah. I would suggest that we aim at London, for instance, as a time, a good time, almost a year after the rules, or approximately a year after the rules were first approved, to identify the things, the holes that we found, and this is one of them, and plug them at the same time. There is a formal 21 day notice for making changes. There is a much higher threshold for voting for changes to the rules of procedure.

So an in person meeting or an electronic one is almost mandatory. We can't do it just on a normal monthly meeting, we rarely have enough attendance for that. So, I think we should target sometime around London. It doesn't have to be at the meeting, but sometime middle summer to do a revision of the rules of procedure, and we should start putting together the text for that.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you Alan for this suggestion. Any thoughts on this from anyone? I see no one having any thoughts on this. I just have one concern, first the London meeting itself is very busy with all of the ALSs, would probably be a bit of a challenge. And then afterwards, the summer is usually a pretty quiet time for us. I know that the updates... Alan, you don't take any holidays at all, do you?

ALAN GREENBERG:

Not all that often. But, in general, we like – we have liked electronic votes for rules of procedure because it becomes very formal and well documented, and it's possible to get unanimity, should no one should actually decide to disagree. So, I wasn't saying do it at the summit meeting, I was saying target London as a time for making a decision, and

---

if we need a face to face meeting to discuss any of them if they are controversial, London might be a good time to do that because we don't have, we have far more vibrant discussions face to face then we do typically.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for this Alan. Next, put this as a long term action item, or something. I'm trying to avoid dropping the ball. Do we have a way to be able to record this and actually proceed forward with that? Let me ask Heidi about that.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Could you repeat that please?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Heidi, do we have a way to take an action item about the rules of procedure, about what Alan has been talking about for the past five minutes, and not drop the ball on this?

ALAN GREENBERG: Olivier, can I ask a different question but maybe related?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Go ahead Alan.

---

ALAN GREENBERG: Yeah. Heidi, a number of times over the last few months we've said here is an item that we really need to change in the rules of procedure, and I usually have – I and other people have added, staff should take note of it. Do we in fact have such a list?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I'm going to hand that over to Matt, because he handles ROPs. Matt, any record of that?

MATT ASHTIANI: No.

ALAN GREENBERG: Okay. Then we need to go through our minds between me and Cheryl, hopefully we'll remember what they are, and try to actually keep a list.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you. Let's have it as an action item please so that we don't drop this one. Create a list of updates to the rules of procedure, like a master list or a ledger. I think a ledger is probably the right word for it. Let's move on. So, rules of procedure is dealt with. Establishment of an At Large ad hoc ICANN accessibility working group. I think that's really, really great that this has passed and we're moving forward on that.

Do we have a time scale for the establishment of all of this, and being able to schedule a first meeting? Heidi?

---

HEIDI ULLRICH: I'm sorry, Olivier, this is Heidi. I don't know, could you just repeat the question?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. The agenda item number eight, establishment of an At Large ad hoc ICANN accessibility working group. Do we have a time scale for this to have its first meeting please?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes we do. So that's over to Gisella, I think it's the second of – the week of the 10<sup>th</sup>. And we already have a face to face scheduled for Singapore.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. So it will meet face to face in Singapore then?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Correct, correct, on Monday. And the face to face, yeah it is the week of the 10<sup>th</sup>. And I think, I don't know if a Doodle is already out, but a Doodle was discussed with Cheryl. So that is... We're also waiting to see if Cheryl wants to open up that working group to a wider call or just keep the current membership, which is relatively small at the moment.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you. So please follow up on that, we need to move forward on that quite swiftly as well. Next, the number nine Singapore meeting is on the agenda – we're going to be discussing this call as well. I just wanted to go through quickly the working group update. The

---

ATLAS II organizing committee, that's all moving forward swiftly. Anyone have anything to say about the ATLAS II organizing committee?

I don't see anyone putting their hands up. The Board candidate evaluation committee was spoken at length a little bit earlier on process. Heidi and I have had a quick discussion as to what information should be published from all of those candidates. And the feedback from ICANN legal that we need to ask those candidates first if we can publish their information. I was under the impression that the first page of the EOI submission tool tells candidates exactly that their details will be published, and that sections B and C will be published, sections B and C of their application, and that's a significant amount of information that they're provided.

And I thought that was enough. I'm not an expert in Californian law, maybe it isn't enough. Alan Greenberg.

ALAN GREENBERG:

Certainly that was the process last time. We omitted the personal information, contact information, and references, and the rest was published verbatim I believe. And I believe that was certainly the intent of the process. And my understanding is that it is in the rules of procedure, or somewhere, and I think, you're right, it was in the questionnaire.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Tijani Ben Jemaa.

---

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, I think that in the SOI, it already says that those sections would be public. So, the community agreed that it would be public, and I don't think that we need to ask them again. Now, we are in the process, we will not delay the process because we have to ask them again. So it is already agreed [?], they agree on publishing those sections. Let's publish them very swiftly.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. I have a question for you Tijani, does the list of all – if all the VP [?] have applied that have their EOI that should be published, or is it all of those who have an EOI that the [?] considered as being okay? As passing of the initial round of tests?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Olivier, except the one who wish [?] EOI, there is eight other people, yeah. Eight other people have to be published [?] the other people have to be publish it.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. So the withdrawn EOIs are not published, and the ones that are accepted and processed by the BPC are published. Excellent. That's clear enough. Alan Greenberg.

ALAN GREENBERG: Yeah. I believe, last time around, they also omitted the ones that were deemed to be incomplete.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay.

ALAN GREENBERG: If you go to the last year, the last year, the last one. I'll give you the URL, you'll see what was done last time.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Exactly and we need to produce the same thing. Thank you very much Alan. Let's move swiftly, new gTLD review group. I think that's moving quite well as well. Dev, did you have anything to add? Nope, okay. There has been a discussion at the moment on the ALAC worldwide, At Large worldwide discussion list on this with – well, sort of very fruitful, very interesting discussion on that.

Then next the CROP review team, community outreach pilot program. Do we have any other update on this, Dev? I gather we don't?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Sorry. I was just taking myself off mute. This is Dev Anand speaking. Regarding CROP, we have three submissions of NARALO, and one from APRALO which was rejected because it was planning to travel to an ICANN event. I have to say though, unfortunately the CROP, the three applications from NARALO, they have to be processed by ICANN because the actual submission on the ICANN's CROP Wiki hasn't been done yet.

---

I'm feverishly pushing for the CROP ROT members on NARALO, which is Darlene and Alan, to please do that. But, because they're the only ones that can do it. Because the permissions on ICANN's CROP Wiki is only restricted to those two persons for the North American region, so I'm keeping pushing on them. So hopefully those two, the first two will get filed, and the third one, they still have to then forward to Chris [?] for his comment, and presumably his approval.

And then from then, they can then take that and file it on the Wiki.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you. Is there a risk that they're out of time, that they ran out of time?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Well, there is a risk, because, I mean, obviously a constituency travel is now very busy with the Singapore meeting, they're trying – you know, they're trying to get all of the travelers there. So, they are going to have a very busy time, so the time is – well, earlier than later. Let's put it that way.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks. I see, Alan and Tijani, are these new hands or old hands?

ALAN GREENBERG: Well, it would have been a new one because I didn't realize it was still up. Just a question, are you saying the North American issue is the

---

people have simply not entered it into a website? We're waiting for people to take action, not ICANN to take action.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. That is correct, that is the case, yeah.

ALAN GREENBERG: Okay. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks. Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes. The application of the APRALO which was rejected, made me wonder if everything was clear for everyone, and if we have to accept any application which doesn't go through the two representatives of the RALO inside the review team, because if we make it going through them, this application wouldn't come to us.

It would be already rejected from the RALO since the RALO is aware that those two representatives of the APRALO are aware of the rules, and they would not make it pass to us.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. Maybe there is an action item here for staff to let their RALO, well, whoever is publicizing it in the RALO, I gather it's the RALO leaders publicizing in the region, that they need to, that ALSs need

---

to file through the RALO leadership, or through their CROP representatives, but not directly with the CROP.

I think that might have not been made too clear. Garth Bruen, you are one of those RALO leaders, maybe you can enlighten us on this.

GARTH BRUEN:

Thank you. This is Garth. I did have my hand up on this. I received a comment from, I believe it was Darlene or Alan [?] that they not have access to the Wiki, or that they had a problem, a technical problem, getting in. I will follow up with them and make sure things move along, and I will coordinate with you Dev.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH:

Certainly. This is Dev. But to also respond to Olivier's suggestion, well, I mean, the idea behind it was that the RALO releases and in coordination with the CROP RT members would file it, it appears that the APRALO request, travel request, was filed sort of independently without the CROP team members knowing about it from the region. So obviously, in all the email messages, the idea is, I've always expressed it as RALO [?] in coordination with members of the outreach and CROP RT members.

Work together to find the events and to file it, not just working in [?] and hire independently without each other knowing about it.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

May I add something Olivier?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, please, go ahead, Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: It is important because the RALO has five slots, and if any ALS make five requests, we may end up with 10 requests, so more than the slots. And it would be a conflict. So they have to go through the RALO leadership and the RALO review team representatives, so that all this will be solved inside the RALO before coming to us.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I think this needs to be cleared up a little bit. It's Olivier speaking. This needs to be cleared up. And I note Silvia, who is – Silvia Vivanco is [?]. Silvia could you please, maybe you can speak up and let us know what your thought is?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes. I would just thinking, I think it's a good idea if we just do another [?] outlining this text clearly, because there are so many processes going on at the same time within the At Large community, and it's normal that people get confused. It's just I think a matter of communication. You can send an email to other RALOs [?] outlining very clearly and in simple words and simple what are the steps required.

And I think, and I can even reach out to each RALO leader and explain.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Excellent. Yeah, thank you Silvia, that's an excellent point. We live with all of those processes, but of course, for those people they don't live with those processes, the confusion... So please do so. Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Perhaps the mail should go to the RALO list, not to the leadership. Because it is the RALO members who are concerned about this.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: This is Dev. I did send a message on the 20<sup>th</sup> to everyone, and I posted it on all of the RALO lists, so the message did go out and I posted the link to the text of that message. I could try tweak it. I could work with Silvia and try to tweak it again to see if it makes it any more clearer. But certainly, I'll send out another notice. Because time is of the essence, because all the travel has to come before June, and it's eight weeks, and so forth. I'll send it again.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you very much. Let's have an action item on this. So for staff to send another message outlining the procedure very clearly, very simply. Could they please work with Dev on this? Just to make sure you've got a very plain English, step by step, step one, step two, step three, and reminding them of the people they should contact. And it might be worth having to send them separate emails for each one of the RALOs, so that on each one of the RALOs, you've clearly list what their contact is, whether – and you decide whether they need to contact the

---

RALO chair, or whether they need to contact the RALO CROP representatives.

And let's move on. I'm really concerned at the time on this. One more thing on the working group update, and of course that's not a working group update as such, but as you know, Avri has resigned from the new gTLD working group. We touched on this a little bit earlier during this call with an action item that was assigned to her and that's now in Evan's hands.

Evan, and everyone actually, here what's the process by which we will get the group together a co-chair. This working group has had co-chairs because of the amount of work that's been involved with it. I'm of the view that they should have another co-chair, but I guess then at that point the working group would probably have to select another co-chair for itself? Any thoughts on that? And maybe I could ask Evan to start a quick discussion this.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH:

This is Evan. To be honest with you, you talked about having two co-chairs because of the amount of workload. Recently the work and the interest within the working group has really trailed off now that the gTLD program is sort of underway. In fact, the main focus has been forensic in terms of things like, you know, doing a survey of why applicants support didn't go as well as it should have.

So there is a couple of things. And in fact, some of the other things that ALAC has been doing that actually affects the gTLD program, haven't even touched the gTLD working group thing, such as the objection

---

projects, and the comments on public interest commitments and things like that have been taking up by the entire ALAC, and really haven't even made it to the gTLD working group.

So it's in a bit of a strange situation, in saying that yes, there's been a lot of gTLD activity, not a whole lot of it has been punted down to the working group. And in fact, a lot of it has been taken on by the ALAC as a whole. I don't know how this affects how you want to go forward with it, but certainly if the issue is that it has so much going on within the working group itself, it requires co-chairs, and certainly based on current traffic I wouldn't say that's still the case. Thanks.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Evan. Tijani Ben Jemaa.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes. Thank you Olivier. Tijani speaking. I think that this situation Evan, comes from the fact that first there was a task assigned to people, and people didn't do it, first. And second, because there is less enthusiasm, less energy inside the group, perhaps because issues are already discussed, as you said, by the ALAC and it is addressed by the ALAC as a whole.

But I think the working group is [?] really, and as we progress in our work, in the future, perhaps everything should go smooth, everything [?] tend to go through this working group. This is my first comment. The second comment, yes I think that we need a co-chair, even if there

---

is not a lot of activity because when you have the co-chair, if the chair is not available the co-chair can act.

The third point, I think we have to have this attitude that's all the working groups, including the ATLAS II working groups, for my part, the sub group of events, I am the co-chair with Silvia. So I think that's also the social event group has to have a co-chair, any sub group, any group of this working group has to have a co-chair. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. Next is Dev Anand Teelucksingh.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thanks. First, I guess I'm actually going back to the new gTLD working group. I agree with Evan what he said earlier, perhaps what we also need to do is put a call to revise – I don't know [?], to revitalize the working group, or call for new members to it. And then setup what are programs for the new gTLD working group would be.

So ask people to join and therefore get it more active again. That's it.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Dev. Just before I give the floor to Alan, just in response to what Tijani was saying, and in fact, the suggestions here that the working group should be giving work, in fact, whenever there was something, a public comment period, request that was related to the new gTLDs, Matt and I made sure that not only was there the request for comment sent to all of At Large because we need to send it to all of

---

At Large for the one to be able to be involved with it, but also ask the new gTLD working group for input.

And there has been, in the absence of an active chair, as such there has not been much movement on that. So in a way, the working group itself has been really much – there hasn't been much feedback in the working group in any of these questions. Perhaps really be worth, as Dev said, revitalizing it, maybe having a call for members out there, and also having the working group have a conference call.

Because a working group that doesn't have conference calls for a while tend to start withering away after a while. So Evan, I think the ball might be in your hands for running a call and getting this, the group a bit of a boost. And I say that before Singapore. Alan Greenburg, you have your hand up.

ALAN GREENBERG:

Two very brief points. In my mind, a call without action is something to discuss tends to decrease interest rather than increase it, and in terms of co-chairs, co-chairs are a relatively new phenomenon that we're using for groups that have really heavy workloads. The traditional mode is a vice-chair, which is someone to take over should someone not be able to be at a meeting or something like that, or occasionally share the load. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you Alan. So of course, I was not asking for a call to take place without an agenda. Of course, there will be an agenda. There is a thing,

---

there is an action item that goes, that gives something for the work group to do, and maybe there should be a time for the working group to take stock. Evan has mentioned the follow up, maybe the working group should start building on the follow up that it needs to do now that we start seeing these new gTLDs being implemented.

Certainly, I was hoping that the new gTLD working group could also keep a close track of the metrics that are, the metrics work, and I know that Evan is also going to do the metrics on this and several others are involved in the metrics, that is also something that this community needs to know about. There is plenty of things that the working group needs to do.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH:

Olivier, I'm not disagreeing. There is an awful lot to do within ICANN that has to do with the new gTLD program. I was simply making the comment earlier that a lot of things that I guess either under normal circumstances or otherwise would have been delegated to the gTLD working group, such as the commentary on the picks, and the commentary and the objection processes and things like that, have in fact been taken up elsewhere within ALAC.

That's... I mean, if we wanted to concentrate everything to do with gTLDs in this working group, it would have been much busier, but it would have also been assume things that have already been going on elsewhere within At Large. Thanks.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. So I don't see any real push at the moment. Let's just put the ball in your court Evan, so what we want to do next with this, and of course, you've got that action item. If you can follow up and come back to us on that. I would suggest a call, others are saying, well, maybe not a call so far.

Drum up available of movement on the mailing list maybe as a starting point and maybe get people involved. And then you can, staff can also send a call for a membership of that working group, or maybe even the working group itself, about who wants to remain involved. Because as we know, some people are not. They just fall by the wayside.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Fair enough.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Good. Thank you. Next we have... And I just one other apology, I have just been so snowed under, I have not officially reply to [?] yet. I plan to be writing a letter to her that I will copy also to the mailing list for the working group, and also the ALAC members. As you know, I have announced Avri's resignation during the ALAC call but I would like to thank her for the incredible work which she is doing, leading that group over the past few years.

It's really great to have her working with us. As we know, she is not very busy also on the GNSO counsel, so I totally understand that sometimes people are to prioritize. Next, let's get back to our call, and

---

so we are... I don't even know if I can find my agenda. This has gone really, really way further than we want it.

Okay. So, we've reviewed the last ALAC meeting, Singapore meetings, and agendas. Goodness, 20 minutes. I hope we're not going to take 20 minutes on this. Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Yeah. This is Heidi. We don't need to take 20 minutes on this, but I do just want to call your attention to the Singapore At Large page, and just want to highlight some things, and to really start thinking about the actual agenda. So we basically have most of the meeting scheduled, we're just trying to find a time for the new gTLD calls, so we'll be in touch with you Evan on that.

We had been working with Avri, but – just find a spot that would suit her timing, but that's changed now. Just going through the main ALAC meetings. If you've taken a look on the Sunday, I'll put that into the chat, just a moment. Just quickly go over that and then I'll move to Tuesday on the policy calls, and then quickly to the ALT calls, and then I think that's it unless Gisella wants to mention any highlights really quickly.

Just to confirm that on the agenda that we're going to stay with what has sort of become traditional in terms of the scheduling, in the morning we'll invite Fadi, Sally's team, and the Duncan's team of communications. There is now, I put in there an hour's discussion with the At Large Board candidates. I think the votes will have started by

---

then, but I don't know if you would like to have an hour with the candidates, so just have an open discussion with them. Olivier?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I'm not sure the process will be finished with Singapore. Tijani, you know the exact timings of the process, please you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, thank you Olivier. The first round we start before Singapore, so that will drop two of the five candidates. And I am aiming at doing the second round in Singapore face to face, so that any ties can be broken there face to face, without any problem of trust.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. So thank you for that Tijani. Did you want to have that one hour then be that vote? Be that second round?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I do need a slot of time for the vote, face to face, yes.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. Is that one hour scheduled there good for you then? Or should we move that to a Thursday? The wrap up session.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I don't want it to be at the last time, but we may need more than one round in Singapore, if there is tie.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. So let's go ahead and keep that, and if need be we can squeeze it again in another hour or so, either on a Tuesday or even on the Thursday, if need be. Is that okay?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Tijani, it's Olivier. I have a question for you here Tijani. You are aware... You're saying that the voting will take place face to face?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes please. Why not?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: But it can't because it needs to be...

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Olivier, the whole ALAC members would there. The whole chairs of the RALOs will be there. And if someone is absent, we can make it remotely for him but face to face for the others.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:      Okay. But you aware for this that the votes are anonymous on the...? That's the difference between the vote that we have by putting our hand up...

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:                I know, we will have a box. Yes, we will have a box inside, we'll have papers inside, and then we will open those papers, and everyone is there, and everyone can see what are the votes.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:      Okay. If you're happy with that, that's fine. And I know Gisella is fantastic at... Gisella, you'll have to take a big hat or something, or find a suitable box for this.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:                It is best better, Olivier, because we will avoid any kind of suspicion. Everything is, okay. Okay, thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:      All right, thank you Tijani. Back to you Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH:                    Okay. Thanks for that Tijani, that was very useful. So then I also currently have...

---

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Heidi, we will talk together after this meeting, so that perhaps we refine this point. Thank you.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. No, I'm very happy that the vote might be completed in Singapore then, because that will allow us to have sufficient time, or legal to have sufficient time to do the due diligence before it's announced on the 15<sup>th</sup> of April. That was a bit of a concern for me, so that's useful.

So then continuing with Sunday, we have then currently an hour with Suzanna Bennett, CEO and Javier [?], the CFO on fiscal 15 year issues. Is that sufficient time?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: I would say one hour.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. So we'll keep that. Then we have a 90 minute lunch, and I'm hearing that 90 minutes is needed if you just wanted to go outside of the venue. And Gisella is working with Singapore staff to find new and exciting places to eat. And then...

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Exciting and affordable I think is...

---

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, affordable, okay.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: So Heidi, you were not in Singapore, you are going to have a shock when you go there, so never mind.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I'll start saving now. Then the discussion with Maggie, that's for 30 minutes. And I think the reason for that is in part, it used to be for one hour, but we've had it now 30 minutes they're requesting that all questions be asked at the public session they hold later in the week. Then we have two sections actually on Sunday and Tuesday on the...

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Heidi, if I can just interrupt here. I note that Tijani and Alan have put their hand up. Something written in uppercase from Alan. So I'm not quite sure, Alan Greenberg you have the floor.

ALAN GREENBERG: I just wanted a clarification. My understanding was legal due diligence was happening now, and not after the vote.

HEIDI ULLRICH: So there are two stages. There is the one that is basically a light version that's actually happening now on the candidates, and then they'll be a deep dive. A much, much more thorough due diligence that they would

---

like to do either after the final slate, so the final slate will be announced on the 28<sup>th</sup> ...

ALAN GREENBERG: No, I understand...

HEIDI ULLRICH: So they'll be doing that, but I just want to make sure, that's a very long process. So I've been working with legal to make sure they have plenty of time, so yes the due diligence is starting now and then it will go into the deep dive, and then again, it might continue until after the final, the election, and then before the announcement it has to be all completed.

ALAN GREENBERG: I won't [?] to give Tijani advice on this since he has complete control, but I will tell you the optics of a selection being done, but we're not allowed to release the name because ICANN legal is looking at it, would be so horrible that you really don't want to do that. Thank you.

HEIDI ULLRICH: That's why I've been working with them to stress that we need to begin now and they have started now.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you Heidi on this. And I was going to ask another ask another question on this point, but I will follow up with email on this with regards to the Board governance committee that could speak to

---

the ALAC in between, but we don't have for that. I will follow up on an email on this. Continue Heidi, sorry for the interruption. So you're back to the...

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, thank you. Thank you for directing me back. Yeah, I see that the agenda is a little bit, in terms of format. But then we have a, in the afternoon we'll have the first part of the working group update that's going to be Cheryl with an accessibility task force update, and a metrics update. Then a confirmed meeting with the SSAC, Patrik Folstrom and Jim Galvin.

Then I have a question whether you would like to invite Steve Crocker for that session? And then again with Theresa, would you like an update with her on strategy? And then I need a hot topic session as well.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. I hope you're not asking for answers immediately. I'm happy with the agenda the way it is. I see it's a very light agenda, we'll be able to take big deep breaths during the day. Being very sarcastic.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Good.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Does anyone else have any thoughts on this? I'm just a little concerned about having Steve Crocker at the end of the day, usually we are very late, you're aware, but of course having Gisella there, and being in Singapore, I'm sure we'll be [?] to the minutes.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Again, that's why I've put a hot topic right after that so we can be flexible if he's late. What normally happens is that we are in constant, second by second contact with his assistant, so we know when he is going to be there. But I just need to invite them now, basically, if you would like to have him there, that's my question.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Is there any way to have Steve Crocker and Theresa Swineheart back to back? Instead of cutting them...

HEIDI ULLRICH: I can try.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Are you using the hot topic as a buffer somehow?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, yes, that's what I was doing.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, all right, okay. Well, I'm happy with the buffer then.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. And again...

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Nope, go on, back to you.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. Thank you for that. So moving to Tuesday, and we're just skipping Monday. Right now, let me just go quickly through Monday. So, Olivier you now have seen that Monday right after the welcome ceremony, it might be the public session on the CVGI, so we've left that slot open, right after that. So the first At Large, well, the first At Large meeting is a NARALO meeting, seven to eight.

Garth will be happy to know that you'll likely get a small breakfast at that session, including coffee, and that should go well. Then...

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: [?], that's great.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I think it includes breakfast. But anyway, then the next session in the ALAC and ccNSO meeting. We're hoping that's going to be a lunch, 12:30 to 14:00, we're working on that. Then 14 to 15 is the accessibility task force working group. 15 to 16 is the workshop on TOR network. And then we have a two hour roundtable, that is At Large but APRALO is

---

organizing that. And then the showcase will now change to Wednesday, so that will be updated.

I think the gala would be moved to Monday for an one off. Moving to Tuesday, this is the current setup. So there may be some changes, but we'll come to that once we have heard confirmation. So currently we have an ALAC meeting with the Board, 8:30 to 9:30. Then two ALAC policy discussions with a lunch in between, the first session will be a working group update.

And then Cheryl, and Stephan, and Yrijo will speak to the ALAC on NomCom issues. The second ALAC discussion actually is completely open. That agenda is [?], so what are some of the items you would like to talk about during that session please?

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Any suggestions? No suggestions at the moment, Heidi. We'll have to think about it.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. Registration issues then, that's going to be updated as well. That's Holly and Carlton. Wednesday, we have the ALT and RALO officer's working breakfast. Olivier, you asked for that one, so perhaps we can get an agenda for that. Then APRALO, and then several ATLAS meetings. There is one, nine to 10:30, and one later in the day.

There is an Academy working group session that's going to look forward to the next session in Los Angeles. And then will have the AFRALO African joint meeting, 14 to 15:30. We have not yet heard back from

---

[?], but we are now assuming that there will not be a separate AFRALO meeting. And then...

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

No there will not.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Okay. Good to know. Thank you Tijani. Thank you very much. And then they'll be the IDN working group meeting in the afternoon. Thursday, there is the wrap up session, again we're going to keep it at three hours because that tends to go smoother that way. Before that, sorry Evan, we have 8:00 future challenges working group meeting.

The wrap up session is the normal agenda with the liaison reports, RALO reports, review of action items, and ALAC chair's announcement. And then Friday we have the ALT meeting, and again, as in Buenos Aries, actually no, this is new. It's actually an hour earlier, eight until 11, and that is – you should be getting a hot breakfast with that as well. And for the agenda, we're keeping it as we have had it the last few times, starting with David Olive in the hot seat, followed by Steve Crocker, and then looking forward to London.

And that's really going to be – I've given you one hour for that because that really includes the ATLAS. And that's a big issue. And then one hour for strategy development.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you very much Heidi for this. I don't see any slot for the ALAC meeting with the GAC anywhere.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, because that's, thank you for that. I need to follow up with that. I have to follow up with that. They wanted to hear your items for discussion, so I saw that was discussed on the ALT call, and I'll get back to the GAC support for that. And another meeting that I have not placed yet is the new gTLD. Oh, I did just talk about that, so I'll be in touch with you Evan on that. Those are the only two meetings that I have not been talked about.

Olivier, did you want a meeting with the NCSG, and if so, can we please schedule that ASAP because, as you know, in the past it's always been confirmed very last minute which causes problems for everyone. And finally, one change that staff are making in order to just facilitate or expedite the process of getting things ready for you is that we're going to freeze the agendas about two and a half weeks or so prior to the start of the meeting. And that allows sufficient time for translation of the agendas and for staff...

Actually posting the translations and printing them in Los Angeles, so we don't need to do all of that last minute once we're on the ground.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Is this [?] of the agenda an ICANN wide thing or is it...

---

HEIDI ULLRICH: No, that's an At Large staff initiative. To benefit [CROSSTALK].

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: What happens if others don't freeze the agendas? Because that's often what we're faced with, the unfreezing of agendas by other parts of ICANN.

HEIDI ULLRICH: So our Wiki pages, the agendas will be frozen about two and a half weeks before. So what we do on the public schedule, rather than posting the agendas, we just post the link to our Wiki pages. So again, you can change them later on, after really just leave them for us, do a translation, and print them. If you want to go ahead and change the agendas in the English later on, that's up to you.

But we're going to freeze them for our purposes about two and a half weeks before.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Excellent. So at the moment, just to make sure at the moment, the English version is correct, the other two...

HEIDI ULLRICH: Correct, absolutely.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. With regards to the questions for the GAC, may I ask that we follow up after this call by email, by Skype and so on? And get those questions ready as soon as possible. So that's to be able to engage the GAC. We didn't engage them in Buenos Aries, and it was a real shame. So let's engage them. Maureen Hilyard, you have the floor.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you. Heidi, I just wanted to ask, I know we've got the showcase, APRALO has the showcase, do we have a meeting time scheduled?

HEIDI ULLRICH: For the showcase?

MAUREEN HILYARD: No, just APRALO...

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, APRALO is... The APRALO is, I think it's Wednesday. Yes, it's Wednesday. APRALO is Wednesday 26<sup>th</sup>, at eight to nine.

MAUREEN HILYARD: All right. Sorry, I missed it. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: So, Heidi, in the event that, and I think we know, so the showcase is going to move to Wednesday evening, do you mean the showcase will

---

then take place after the At Large IDN working group? So from 18:00 until 20:00 or 21:00?

HEIDI ULLRICH: It will be the normal time, I think it's just a shifting of days. Let's see, yeah. 18 to 19:30.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: 18 to 19:30. Okay. And we do have time for that because in general, we have [?] get some space between the, what's happening before and then the showcase.

HEIDI ULLRICH: This is Heidi. On occasion, we've not always been able to have time actually in between.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: So the other thing, the last showcase that took place, took place in the foyer in Singapore. It did not take place in the At Large room in Singapore. So if Gisella could please check right away, because that might mean you might have to split your teams already.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, we normally do that, Olivier.

---

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Any other comments on this? I see Maureen, so Maureen, you've got a question?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Sorry, sorry, no. It's an old hand. I'll take it off.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Tijani Ben Jemaa.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Olivier. Holding the showcase on Wednesday wouldn't be very good thing, because on Wednesday normally you have the gala dinner.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Tijani, this is Heidi. The gala dinner will be now on Monday for just a one time, so that's why the shift has taken place.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay. Okay, thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. I see no other hands up. So I think, have you finished, Heidi with the agenda? Fantastic. Okay. So the work we have really in our list here is to come up with the questions and topics with the GAC, and [?] will be able to follow up on this afterwards. That's pretty much everything for this call at the moment, apart from any other business.

---

Have I forgotten something? I have a feeling I have forgotten something.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, Olivier this is Heidi. We have two items under any other business.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Under AOB, okay. Please. Go ahead Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, the first one actually is more of an item for you and Gisella, and I think Gisella is about to post that if she hasn't already. Yes, and upload it in private. These are changes in the ALT times, possible changes in times for ALT. So Gisella, I don't know if you want to review that?

GISELLA GRUBER: Hi Heidi. Sorry, just for the two, Holly's email today, with regards to the time. Olivier came up with times that are currently used in the cross-community work group that he [?]. And the times that he suggested their using are 07:00, 16:00 and 21:00 UTC. What I've done is I've taken the five At Large, the leadership member's time zones, and put this on the table with the top one is the current times and the bottom one is daylight savings.

I just took the first of April. The liaisons is kind of slot in as well. And the table is pretty self-explanatory on whether we keep one time, or whether we use rotating times to share the pain.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: That's pretty good Gisella. [CROSSTALK] I'm looking at it at the moment.

GISELLA GRUBER: You can see the turquoise ones at the top, the 7:00, 16:00, and 21:00, you wanted to know. Then I just added other time slots just to show how the possible eventual pain could be shared. I know for instance, Dev doesn't mind doing late call, I mean, sorry. Evan doesn't mind doing late calls, but Dev probably will not be too impressed with the one, two, and three in the morning.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Very good. It's looks pretty much like 19:00 and 21:00 UTC appear to be the best time, a part maybe for Holly who might have to wake up at 6 AM for the 19:00 hours. By 20:00 is fine. Do we have Maureen in there as well? Maureen, are you still on the call?

MAUREEN HILYARD: Yes, I am. I was actually just writing a little note to say that sort of like, the 19:00 to 21:00 is actually during my work day. It will be 9:00 until 11:00 in the morning for me.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, that produces some problems as well, and you've got to work as well. 18:00 UTC? [CROSSTALK] That's five in the morning for Holly,

---

---

yeah, that's not great either. I'll tell you what, if you can – because we're not going to just jump on this now and we're just discovering this now, please send this over to the ALT team mailing list, and we'll follow up on the email for that. We have time to make a decision on this. But at least thank you for pulling this up, that's really helpful.

GISELLA GRUBER:

Sorry, my apologies Maureen. What I'll do... Gisella here. What I'll do just prior to sending it to the ALT list is, I will add Maureen's time zone as well. I've actually just got it up on my screen looking at the ALAC times, taking into consideration all of the ALAC members. So I'll definitely add Maureen on this table under Holly.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Excellent. Thank you. Let's move on. I know that Dev has got to go at the top of the hour, which is pretty much now. Second, any other business, Heidi please.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Yes, this is Heidi. Thank you Olivier. Yes, so we have some news with some personal changes, with deep regrets, I have to announce that Matt is moving on to join the strategic initiatives team. He's going to be working with Theresa and Denise. Matt, your title is going to be, I'm not quite sure. If you can talk a little bit about your new work?

---

MATT ASHTIANI: Hi. This is Matt for the record. It will be the strategic initiatives manager.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. And you're going to be working on the EWG, supporting the EWG, and those kinds of activities? Or...?

MATT ASHTIANI: EWG, HRT 2, things along those lines.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. So, that is going to be a good move, we think, for Matt and that's going to be a transition period. We've talked about that internally, and the – Matt will still be with us through about mid-March in a declining percentage of time, but right now he's still 90% At Large, through at least, I believe, mid-February, and then it goes down just in small degrees to a 100% handover in about mid-March.

Now, as his replacement, we have been very fortunate to find someone we believe will fit his shoes. Her name is Arial Lang. She is a real super star. She is a Chinese national who moved to the United States when she was 16. She speaks absolutely fluent English, Mandarin, she's proficient in Japanese. Her professional experience includes working in the United Nations, [?] Corporation, and the US Asia Institute.

Background academically is a Bachelor's at Vanderbilt and a Master's at Columbia. She's going to be based in the DC office, and she is going to be starting with us, 100%, on the 10<sup>th</sup> of February. So, and she'll be

---

joining us in Singapore as well. So we very much look forward to her. Gisella and I were part of the interview team, and I think she's a real delight, and I think that the community is going to quickly see her proficiencies.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Heidi for this update. Will Matt still be with us in Singapore?

HEIDI ULLRICH: No. He will be training her starting on the 10<sup>th</sup> of this next month, and then we expect her to be a quick study, and then she'll be taking over Matt's position already in Singapore, fully. So now, in terms of support on the ground in Singapore, we'll have Gisella there, as well as Suzie, and Carlos as well, as well as Silvia and myself. Another small announcement is that Julia is going to be moving on to support the GAC. And so she's going to be doing that, I believe fully, or at least partially in Singapore. So she'll be, I'm not sure if she's going to be with us. I have to confirm that. So some exciting changes.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: If she's going to support the GAC, it's Olivier speaking. She might already know but she would have to kill you if she told you. So, it's quite... Tijani Ben Jemaa, you have your hand up.

---

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Yes Olivier. First of all, I would like to say no for Matt. Don't move. You have to stay with us. We need you. You are a very good person. On all things, you are a good person as a human person, and you are a very skilled person regarding everything you are doing with us. I wonder if Ariel will have the same skill as Matt regarding the post vote, regarding conference, regarding everything he is doing.

Matt did a very good job for us regarding all of our Wiki, and especially with [?]. So, I am really sad to see him leaving us. I wish him good luck, he's not a good friend. He's getting rid of us. Ariel, I do hope that she will be really skilled because all of our work will go through her, as you said, as we did with Matt before. And I think Matt, you have a very, very, very important task to make her really perform as you were. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much Tijani. Yes, indeed, Ariel is going to have some very big shoes to fill in more than one sense. And I was going to add also with regards to the beginner's guide, that's also something that Matt has been the creator of, and I hope that Ariel will be able to follow on... Heidi [CROSSTALK] ...she can continue also that work on beginner's guide, and all of the 1,001 things that Matt has been doing.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Yes. She's had many interviews. We've seen her writing samples. I mean, she's really – she uses both sides of her brain incredibly well. I think that you'll be impressed relatively quickly. But will all miss you, of course.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Great. I'll certainly miss the trips to, I can't make any advertisement, but that well known burger place in LA. Any other questions or comments? I don't see anyone putting their hand up. We've been on the call for a very, very long time. Indeed, I thank you all for having lasted that long, but it's been very productive. It's not the last call with Matt, so we're not going to say goodbye to him just yet, we've got plenty of work on our desk.

And I guess I just have to close this call. Thank you everyone for having lasted this long. And good morning, good afternoon, and goodbye.

**[END OF TRANSCRIPT]**