

Chat – ICANN75 Production Call No. 1

- 00:20:39 Maureen Hilyard
Thanks Seb
- 00:21:22 Sally Costerton - ICANN Org
Sorry I was late
- 00:27:48 Jonathan Zuck
Also important to keep in mind it will NEVER be equal. we need to ask another question
- 00:28:42 Ashley Heineman - RrSG Chair / GoDaddy
Overflow rooms were not useful. There could be improvement like... tables. :-)
- 00:29:00 Mary Wong - ICANN Org
@JZ, understood, and why we've described the experience as equalizing the remote and in-person experience as much as feasible.
- 00:29:10 Susan Payne
+100 to tables
- 00:29:40 Sam Demetriou (RySG)
Love a table. I had forgotten how hard it is to balance my laptop on my lap!
- 00:30:16 Mary Wong - ICANN Org
@Ashley, @Susan - thank you. We had set up some rooms in theater-seating format as this can accommodate many more people (in anticipation of numbers, based on the sign up and waitlist numbers). We realize that was not ideal and are relooking at arrangements for ICANN75.
- 00:30:26 Ken Renard
I _never_ had to wait for more than a few seconds for entry in to the venue. That was well-staffed
- 00:31:09 David Olive - ICANN Org
Thanks Ken. good feedback
- 00:31:12 Ashley Heineman - RrSG Chair / GoDaddy

Hi Mary... understood, but it was more than the theatre seating. No overflow room I was in had tables, but rather seats spread very far apart.

00:31:58 Jonathan Zuck

@Mary, i would even go so far as to eliminate the word "equal," and think in terms of effective

00:32:01 Maureen Hilyard

I liked having my own headset to take with me to different rooms and connecting to the audio thingie.

00:32:04 Mary Wong - ICANN Org

@Ashley, yeah ... the lack of tables combined with the rule in place for physical distancing made some of those rooms not terribly user-friendly at all 😞

00:32:11 Lori Schulman

Agree with the traffic light lanyards. Brilliant.

00:32:15 Rod Rasmussen

For lanyards - please add a symbol or something for color blind folks.

00:32:22 Rod Rasmussen

Still a great idea!

00:32:49 Manal Ismail

+1 Rod

00:33:07 Susan Payne

can you explain the "eliminate waitlists conclusion". I realsie there was fairly neutral response in relation to waitlists but are these objections to having to be on a waitlist or to the concept of them?

00:33:10 Josh Baulch - ICANN Org

@Rod, do you have any examples of this?

00:33:13 Ken Renard

@Rod: my purple lanyard was fine :-)

00:33:21 Ashley Heineman - RrSG Chair / GoDaddy

Ha! My husband is color blind and he likely would have a problem distinguishing between Red and Green. They often look the same to him. Would be a bit counterproductive in that respect! :-)

- 00:34:20 David Olive - ICANN Org
Good point to pick the right colors or signs for the lanyards. Thanks
- 00:35:38 Alejandra Reynoso - ccNSO
Regarding sound, please have a look on how to manage echo in the rooms. It was a bit of a problem in the ccNSO room from the presenter's table.
- 00:36:01 Jonathan Zuck
an alternative to tables is NOT to require everyone to be on Zoom
- 00:36:06 Alejandra Reynoso - ccNSO
Especially if we are having larger rooms ;)
- 00:36:17 Lori Schulman
Maybe ✓ and STOP and NEUTRAL for lanyards.
- 00:36:34 Josh Baulch - ICANN Org
We used every table in The Hague that the venue had 😊
- 00:36:50 Mary Wong - ICANN Org
And chair, IIRC, Josh!
- 00:37:32 Julie Hammer
@Lori ... or (on the lanyards) in large letters: RED, ORANGE, GREEN
- 00:37:57 Sébastien Bachollet
Need to take care of the speakers who are not registered to the room but are on site. They need a special possibility to access the room
- 00:37:59 Sally Costerton - ICANN Org
Thanks everyone. Really helpful feedback
- 00:38:03 Susan Payne
could I suggest offering people headphones rather than giving to all. I did not need them, I'm sure same for many, so we eliminate some waste
- 00:38:23 Alejandra Reynoso - ccNSO
(on the lanyards) use the symbols of shaking hands, elbows, etc.. ;)
- 00:38:50 Julie Hammer
I tried to hand my unused headphones back at the end of the meeting but that was refused so I had to throw them out.

- 00:39:02 Susan Payne
+1 Julie
- 00:39:12 Ashley Heineman - RrSG Chair / GoDaddy
last point on overflow and whether or not they are even needed... I know if folks had to be in overflow they just went back to their hotel rooms. :-(
- 00:39:13 Fred Baker
the important part is shaking elbows...
- 00:39:23 Sally Costerton - ICANN Org
Do you think we could ask people ahead of time if they think they'll need headphones?
- 00:40:01 Susan Payne
@Sally that would be good
- 00:40:09 Julie Hammer
I would always say "yes" just in case, but there needs to be a facility to hand unused headphones back in.
- 00:40:37 Maureen Hilyard
Headphones could possibly be sanitised like you can sanitise your mobile phone.
- 00:40:47 Nigel Hickson UK GAC
Feedback from UK team very positive; one point (may have been covered) is that the "full room" notice on calendar was not always true so to speak; but guess difficult to change
- 00:40:49 Fred Baker
It would be important to say what constitutes a "need" for headphones. I'm using headphones right now. If they're not workable, I would need to know why.
- 00:40:57 Maureen Hilyard
And then repurposed elsewhere
- 00:41:48 Mary Wong - ICANN Org
Thank you to all the groups and leaders who have already provided feedback on this question; we look forward to this discussion and feedback from others who have not yet had the opportunity to comment.
- 00:41:50 Josh Baulch - ICANN Org

Noted on the headphones - we will take the feedback and make some adjustments. Thanks

- 00:42:08 Jonathan Zuck
of course, having shorter days is already happening to benefit the remote participants
- 00:43:19 Jonathan Zuck
+1 Susan
- 00:43:45 Maureen Hilyard
+1 Susan
- 00:44:55 Ken Renard
RSSAC was fine with 4 days at ICANN 74, but our workload is ramping up. Still, I think we could fit in to 4, 5, or 6 days just fine at ICANN 75
- 00:45:12 Nigel Hickson UK GAC
+! Susan
- 00:45:26 Rod Rasmussen
On meeting length - the SSAC has previously used the weekend prior to the main meeting times for our admin committee meetings which handle administrative issues keeping the rest of the week open for substance. We also have used the time for some bilateral meetings that could not be fit into the weekday schedule. There is also the SO/AC leadership meeting time prior to main meetings. We were refused the time for our admin meetings in the Hague and would want to see that returned going forward.
- 00:45:29 Fred Baker
+1 Ken.
- 00:45:58 Nigel Hickson UK GAC
Suspect some folks may have made travel plans given other meetings around same time
- 00:46:15 Susan Payne
+1 Sam
- 00:47:36 David Olive - ICANN Org
Alejandra is next , the Manal and Ashley
- 00:48:29 David Olive - ICANN Org

Manal, Ashley and Maureen next in the speakers list

- 00:49:38 Rod Rasmussen
Listening to everyone's input so far, it may be best to limit any weekend days scheduled to individual constituency work plus opportunities for bilats and perhaps our SO/AC leadership roundtable. Plenaries, meetings with the board, community sessions, etc. for Monday-Thursday.
- 00:50:23 Sam Demetriou (RySG)
+1 Rod, I think that makes a lot of sense
- 00:51:36 Mary Wong - ICANN Org
@Rod, our understanding is that this is more or less an approach that some groups had used for 6-day meetings pre-Covid, so concretizing it as you suggest makes sense if everyone agrees.
- 00:52:43 pf fouquart
Agree too, this would help and if that's the understanding @mary that's even better :)
- 00:52:59 Marika Konings - ICANN Org
Note that the GNSO has also used the Saturday for PDP sessions to allow groups to meet for a longer duration to get work done.
- 00:53:05 Rod Rasmussen
@Mary - agreed - kinda what we had evolved to after many years of trying out different things.
- 00:55:40 Nigel Hickson UK GAC
+1 Manal; we need as much networking time as possible so all can feel as comfortable as possible in discussions etc
- 00:57:23 Maureen Hilyard
Sounds good to me
- 00:57:33 Rod Rasmussen
@David - yes, that does.
- 00:57:33 Ashley Heineman - RrSG Chair / GoDaddy
No cocktails!! That is all I see on this slide. :-)
- 00:57:42 Mary Wong - ICANN Org
Just a note, though it goes without saying, obviously, that if we agree on a 6-day meeting (with the first 2 days devoted to internal constituency

work, bilaterals and the SOAC leadership meeting, and the remaining 4 on community sessions, Board meetings, plenaries and so on) each group should not feel that they need to fill up all 6 days (or fill up the first 2 weekend days) if there is no need for their group to do so.

00:58:02 Jonathan Zuck



00:58:49 Rod Rasmussen

We are less than 3 months away...

00:59:18 pf fouquart

Good point Kevin

00:59:30 Rod Rasmussen

I would assume that SO/AC Roundtable would be on Saturday or Sunday.

00:59:30 Manal Ismail

+1 Kevin

01:00:11 Jonathan Zuck

+1 Seb

01:00:30 Mary Wong - ICANN Org

@Rod, that is certainly a question we will bring up directly with the SOAC Chairs, as the answer depends on large part on this group's decision as to meeting duration.

01:00:32 Susan Payne

Good point Sebastien

01:01:48 Susan Payne

yes

01:02:32 pf fouquart

side note: I assume we'll be using the same format as 74 in terms of having the Policy webinars during prep Week.

01:02:57 pf fouquart

(and therefore not over the weekend)

01:03:35 Nigel Hickson UK GAC

Yes agree Philip

- 01:04:06 Fred Baker
We had also mentioned DNS Privacy as a possibility - DNS QNAME Minimization, DNS/TLS or whatever. Is that still on the table?
- 01:05:37 pf fouquart
speaking only personally, possibly also for ISPs this is a great topic to consider
- 01:06:59 Nigel Hickson UK GAC
Thanks for clarification Mary.
- 01:07:24 Mary Wong - ICANN Org
Obviously, the group can also agree on one topic that's not on the slide ;) That would make planning a lot easier, for sure.
- 01:07:36 Rod Rasmussen
We (SSAC) have a potential topic to propose but want to consult with some other SO/ACs on that first - will put it out there if there is sufficient interest.
- 01:07:56 David Olive - ICANN Org
OK. Rod. thanks
- 01:09:13 Manal Ismail
We will definitely need more time, at least for the GAC :) !!
- 01:09:15 Mary Wong - ICANN Org
Perhaps we can ask for suggestions - either one of the topics already listed, plus the one Fred/RSSAC suggested, or ONE potential new topic - to be circulated on the mailing list before 15 July?
- 01:10:05 pf fouquart
agree Tanzania, 1 room would be.... challenging....
- 01:11:25 Nigel Hickson UK GAC
Just a week to consult folks on a plenary topic is quite tight?
- 01:12:03 Mary Wong - ICANN Org
@Nigel, exactly (and why we thought we should resurface the question of following up on prior topics). You'll see that 15 July is the suggested deadline on the planning timeline on this slide.

