

SO-AC-SG Special Leadership Conference Call
Monday, 4 August 2014 @13:00 UTC
Chat History

Michele Neylon: I'll dial in momentarily

Michele Neylon: dial even

Robert Hoggarth: Thanks Michele. I am noting those that join in this room and on the line for the roll call at the beginning of the call

Kristina Rosette: Good morning. Dialing in now.

Michele Neylon: I'm dialled in as well now

Robert Hoggarth: good day. I'll get things started in about 20 seconds

Keith Drazek (RySG): has the recording begun?

Michele Neylon: Keith - why do you want to say something offensive? ☺

Susie Johnson: @Keith, yes it has

Keith Drazek (RySG): great, thanks Susie!

Robert Hoggarth: Also welcome to Heather

Heather Dryden: Hi all

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: Could the timetable of ATRT1 & ATRT2 recommendation implementation please be published for transparency purposes?

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: The community needs to know clearly the extent of implementation status. ATRT2 was surprised to find so many ATRT1 recommendations were far from being fully implemented.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: or sorry - I should say "some ATRT2 members were surprised", not "ATR2 was surprised"

Keith Drazek (RySG): I wasn't on the call last time due to the London ICG meeting conflict

Heather Dryden: It is useful to hear what the community thinks - the negative along with the positive - and focus on how to move forward.

Keith Drazek (RySG): I have some clarifying questions I'll type here. (1) Is the "community assembly" the same as a CCWG as

contracted/constituted by the community? Would the community have full control over the charter of the assembly?

Kristina Rosette:

thanks.

Keith Drazek (RySG):

(2) Why is it important that the BCG select the experts for the coordination group? Why couldn't/shouldn't it be a community decision? Experts can be helpful, but we need to avoid the perception (or reality) of the staff and board stacking the deck on the coordination group.

Keith Drazek (RySG):

(3) A comment: I welcome the comments from Fadi and Theresa that prioritization is necessary to identify the required accountability reforms for the IANA transition without getting bogged down by items that *could* wait.

Keith Drazek (RySG):

(4) Fadi and Theresa have said this recommended approach is the result of the public comments submitted between Singapore and London, but we haven't yet seen the summary and analysis of those comments, so it's difficult to assess the connection between the two.

Kristina Rosette:

@Keith: w/r/t #4, several IPC members have expressed interest in seeing a similar graphic that maps the proposed structure to the public comments.

Keith Drazek (RySG):

(5) To Fadi's comment about trust....this entire exercise is about trust-building and trust-building measures...something that is needed now and for the next 10+ years. To quote Ronald Reagan.... "Trust but verify."

Robert Hoggarth:

Olivier, Keith and Kristina - thanks for capturing additional comments and questions in this chat. the queue and time permitting I'll look to you all to ask them on the line rather than have me read them out.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond:

sure thanks Rob!

Keith Drazek (RySG):

(6) Who decides on the output recommendations of this proposed process? Is the Board the ultimate approver of the community recommendations?

Keith Drazek (RySG):

+1 Patrik about using existing processes and terminology.

Kristina Rosette:

+1 Patrik (on several points - including the existing processes and terminology)

Jonathan Robinson: Also +1 to Patrik BUT not if it is a CWG in name (terminology) but not process & practice. That is potentially (even more) confusing

Keith Drazek (RySG): +1 Jonathan

rafik: @jonathan a CCWG chartered in same way like previous ones, we went through issues like representation etc

Patrik Fältström - SSAC Chair: As I wrote, I am not the master on CCWG and ICANN processes (I have enough with certain other things...), I just had a general concern on reusing when we should reuse, and not reuse when we should not reuse. And be EXTREMELY careful when we do (ccwg, liaison, advisor and other terms MIGHT be confusing, which would be unfortunate).

rafik: @patrik first action: stopping coining new terms 😊

Jonathan Robinson: @Patrik - your point/s were well made and that's what I understood

Heather Dryden: Patrik +1

Kristina Rosette: Lowering my hand b/c Keith posed similar questions in chat: (1) What standard (e.g., consensus, unanimity) will be used for the CCG recommendations? (2) Who decides what happens with the CCG final report/recommendations and what standard will be used to make that decision?

Michele Neylon: the FBI have a tender out for IG stuff

Keith Drazek (RySG): I look forward to a written response to my questions and points. Thanks.

Keith Drazek (RySG): However, it would be helpful to understand if the Board is the final approver of the recommendations? I assume so absent any other advise, and it's important for our community evaluation of the proposed plan.

Keith Drazek (RySG): I think the solutioning could very easily take place in the CCWG. Why would it not?

Keith Drazek (RySG): Also, IMPORTANT, if we hope to get something done by the end of the week, PLEASE expedite the circulation of the MP3, transcripts and chat!

Heather Dryden: Sorry - I need to leave the call. looking forward to next steps!

Jonathan Robinson: Also have to leave. Apologies. Further iteration based on feedback today seems to make sense to me.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: may we share the current diagram with our communities?

Keith Drazek (RySG): I already have Olivier.

Kristina Rosette: I'm in a similar position w/r/t feedback from IPC.

Keith Drazek (RySG): But if ICANN will update the document in the next 24-48 hours, we may want to hold off.

Keith Drazek (RySG): Theresa....if we could get an updated document in the next couple of days, it would help us address some of the questions raised today before our groups head down an unnecessary rat hole.

Keith Drazek (RySG): Thanks Fadi

Michele Neylon: logging off

Keith Drazek (RySG): Please circulate the MP3, Transcripts and chat ASAP!

Michele Neylon: +1 Keith

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: ok thanks!

Michele Neylon: rightio

Keith Drazek (RySG): It would help me with my accountability WG in the RySG

Patrik Fältström - SSAC Chair: Thank you all. I do not think we need MUCH more time. But I think we might get more questions by rushing a half-baked diagram than a more baked one. Just a few more hours/days/whatever.

Keith Drazek (RySG): Great, thanks Rob. Very helpful.

Patrik Fältström - SSAC Chair: Thanks Rob!

Keith Drazek (RySG): +1 Patrik

Robert Hoggarth: thanks you all for joining