

NATHALIE PEREGRIE: Good morning, good afternoon, good evening, everybody. This is the ATLAS-2 Working Group call on the 5th of December 2013. On the call today we have Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Darlene Thompson, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Eduardo Diaz, Juan Manuel Rojas, Christopher Wilkinson, Pastor Peters, Roberto Gaetano, Tijani Ben Jemaa, Jordi Iparraguirre, Siranush Vardanyan, Dev Anand Teelucksingh, and Sandra Hoerichter. We have an apology from Heidi Ullrich. And from staff we have Carlos Reyes and myself, Nathalie Peregrine. I'd like to remind you all to please state your names before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much, and over to you, Eduardo.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay. Thank you very much for everyone that is on this call today. The first item in the agenda is to approve this agenda. Basically, what I did for this call, I think it's important that we discuss what is the theme of the ATLAS-2 Summit. We talked about that a long time ago, the beginning of this year, and we said we should wait for the server results to get a more definitive theme. The reason I'm talking here in this call, we need to talk about this item, is because the theme will really define the whole event and it will [inaudible] somehow the public relations campaign.

I'm coming from this because of the meetings that we have with the public relations people at Buenos Aires. They asked us, "What strategies do you have? What new thing? What is [inaudible] out there?" And I

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

just figured it out. We haven't thought what is our main theme for the whole meeting. That [inaudible] that I want to discuss on this agenda.

The second one is, basically, we need to talk about the result of the surveys and what the [inaudible] Work Group has been doing in terms of the coordination of events and the agenda. And I understand there are problems with getting enough [inaudible] to do this.

So if nobody will disagree with this, I propose to have this agenda for today's meeting. Any comments, please? No comments. I'm sorry? Tijani, you have your hand up. I'm sorry.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

No, it's not a problem. Continue, because we will discuss the issue after. I will lower my hand. Go ahead, please.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Okay. So if nobody has a problem with the agenda, let's start with the first item and it is let's talk about what the general theme that we want for the meeting or idea. I included a link of one of the Wiki pages. I just copied a message from an e-mail that [inaudible] May 3 which I think gives us a nice guide to talk about what kind of theme we should select for the ATLAS-2. In fact, in that e-mail, he suggested that we use as a central theme "Global Internet, the user perspective."

What I want to do is hear some of you about the idea or other ideas. Tijani, you have the floor now.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you, Eduardo. It's exactly what I wanted to say. As for the general theme or the central theme as you call it, I do think that we cannot define it before seeing what the ALSes proposed as themes for the summit. So we have to first read the result of the survey and see what are the teams proposed by the ALSes, and then we have to find an overarching or central theme that can include all of them, because the central theme has to reflect all the other teams we are discussing. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Tijani. So what you're suggesting is that we approach this from a different angle. Do we have a final list of these themes that were suggested by the ALSes, Tijani, that you can share with us?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

This is the question, Eduardo. We have now a [ranked] list of what the ALSes chose from the list we proposed for them, and then they also gave other proposals. So if you read them all, you can say that some are very clear themes that we have to address because the majority chose them. But for the comment, you don't know how many [inaudible]. We know, but what is the weight you will give it to this? Because the others are weighted from one to five, so we know which is the most important themes they chose. But for the comments, everyone gives its own theme. This is something that we have to do all together. There is not one person that can do that. We have to do it all together.

I tried to do my part of the work. I mean the part of everyone must be the same. We have to read it all together, and we have everyone give an

impression. What are the themes that we have to take into account and what are those that we can not take into account? I am not speaking about the ranked one. The weighted one, it's clear. We know. But the others.

I want to add another thing. As you know, now in ICANN there is a very hot topic discussed in ICANN, and I think the summit cannot ignore it and it must be one of the themes that we have to address inside the summit. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Okay. Can you tell us what that important theme is in ICANN now? Is it the civil society thing? Can you just tell us...

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

I will read you what is the result of the survey. The first item chosen was the ICANN Transparency and Accountability. The second one is the Future [Sector] of the Governance of ICANN (of ICANN, not of Internet). The third one is IPv4, IPv6 migration. The next one is new generic top-level domain as a general, including IDN. And I think it's a good topic [or so] that you have to address. It is really actual. So those are the one, two, three, four – the four – most important themes chosen by the ALSes on the list that we provided.

But with that, we have the comments and to comment others. As in others, everyone gives its own view. As I said, it is a common word. We have to work on it all together, because it will be subjective more or less, and I don't want one person to do it because it will not be more

subjective if we reflect its own impression, not the impression of the group. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Tijani, I have a question to follow up ask. Well, I have Olivier. You have the floor now. Go ahead.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Eduardo. It's Olivier speaking. Just a question for Tijani. Who's job is it to do this analysis?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: We distributed that to – everyone did a part. But also everyone said that it is not possible to analyze the comments because they are very diverse and you cannot say that there is a tendency or [inaudible] there towards this subject more than this subject. So everyone who did analysis said the same.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Tijani, you just said we need that group to meet. You just told me that the Survey Working Group that has to work this out, from what I understand if I hear you correctly. So it's the Survey Working Group that needs to have a call as soon as possible to come up with the answers and to work on these things together on the call and to get prepared for this call as well, because otherwise, we [inaudible] nothing. I think that's what you're telling us and I think we can then move on because this is a sub-working group that has to do that. It's not for us to deal with it now.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: No. It cannot be done during [inaudible], Olivier, no. Why I wanted the whole group to meet first, because it will give, if you want, the way for the other sub-groups. And if today we decide that – for example, I don't know, five or six of us will review those comments and we say I think that this is the most important thing that we have to address, we cannot add this theme to the four, five, or six other themes that we choose from the list that we provided.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Tijani, who is we? The survey is dealt with by the Survey Working Group, so the Survey Working Group has to do the work. The [inaudible] of the Survey Working Group and then get the rest of the group to start working on this. This is just going in all corners at the moment.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Olivier, excuse me, but I don't think that even the Survey Working Group. Because who on the Survey Working Group? It is shared by [Wolf] and some of us are on the Survey Working Groups. I think that every one of us participated in the analysis. The others can confirm that. Everyone did the analysis of those questions where you have comments, where you have others who said the same, exactly the same. They tried to rank them, but they said it is really [inaudible]. My suggestion is that the ATLAS-2 Working Group or the ATLAS-2 Organizing Committee has to – if [inaudible] or find volunteers to work together or come up with some comment choices from those others. It is not my—

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Tijani, forgive me. That's the Survey Working Group's job. That is the job of the Survey Working Group. They are supposed to come out with a clear understanding of what our community wants, with a clear list of what the extra activities are, and then the rest of the groups are supposed to pick up on this.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: And that's exactly what I asked for this call, Olivier. As I [inaudible], I didn't see any clear proposal for themes. All the analysis gave, if you want – everyone said. Dev said that. I said that. Everyone who did this o analysis said that this is only my personal impression or my personal analysis. Because it is very diverse. If you read the comments, Olivier, you will see that it is very diverse.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: We're looking at the majority work, Tijani. It's impossible that we will be covering everything that everyone wants. So as long as we – if we see some commonality with the other parts that people want, then this can be integrated. But if we just see a diversity of subjects around, then these ones can just be dropped because they will just be one out of the 160 At-Large Structures that will [inaudible]. I'll refrain from [inaudible] because I think we're starting to go in circles. I think the Survey Group should have done the work for this. The whole working group for all of ATLAS-2 should be working on that. Otherwise, we're going to end up having to do the work twice for each one of the sections and [inaudible] working group that we have. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

I agree with you, Olivier, that it is the work of the Survey Working Group. But if you have a look to those comments, sometimes it is one ALS who propose a team that is interesting. And perhaps the group we find it interesting to add to the themes we have to address. As I said at the beginning, nobody spoke about the future of the multi-stakeholder model, but we have to include it because it is a hot topic where it is [inaudible] in ICANN. So from those comments, sometimes you find a theme. Perhaps it is proposed by one person, but it is important to include it. That's why we need a wider view, so that we choose the best we. When I say "we" I say the Survey Working Group. Okay. That's [inaudible]. The Survey Working Group has to give – not one person judge that it is important.

Olivier, you have to understand that this is the most important point in the whole work. It is the definition of what we will discuss. We have objective results. It is clear. We don't have any problem to define the main subjects, but we also have other subjective judgments that we have to do together that not one person or two persons have to do. It must be common work.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

[inaudible] but I want to add that when we put together and accepted the charter of these groups, the outcome of the Survey Group said the outcome should be a list of major topics and issues to be discussed [inaudible] conferences. Workshops and [inaudible] which will help drive the events schedule and its content. Also, if you generate feedback [inaudible] to capture some feedback from [attending] ALSes.

So the output of the survey, working committees, should have been not only to provide the resource of the survey as a whole, but if you analyze it and provide some kind of guidance for the events to be performed. Anyhow, Cheryl, you have the floor.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you. I think what we need to do is come back to the work with the committee as a whole. That's what this meeting is at least, as far as I understand it. And that's the difference between what's the [inaudible] of the Survey Working Group is, which as you just [read] for the record, Eduardo was quite specific on [inaudible] for conversations and activities to go on during the ATLAS-2.

And what I thought you proposed, Eduardo, quite clearly for our main thesis today for all of us, and that is to discuss the overarching theme. The overarching theme has to be something that has a selling point and is able to use information materials and everything else. Internet 2020 the way forward, whatever it is matters not. What does matter is we discuss it and we come up with something preferable with less than 20-25 words involved in [the title], that will be the catchphrase as the terminology suggests, an overarching theme.

If you use any one of those component [funds] ranked one to four or one to five out of the survey, it will sound something like a mini conference. Imagine, if you will, if the overarching theme for ATLAS-2 was IPv4 to IPv6 migration. For heaven's sake, put this in perspective. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Roberto, please, you have the floor.

ROBERTO GAETANO:

Yes. I think that we are running a bit short of time. I think the work of the Survey Working Group is practically done with the reports that we did also on the different questions. It is true that we have a lot of unstructured data. But then, for instance, as I have tried to do with my question was to group it by subject.

And so, in the table that I have sent – and other people have done more or less the same thing – you are able to cluster those things by theme. And so I think that we have sufficient elements to start making at least a list of topics for the event by a large group of topics. It can be Internet governance, data protection and security. Some people wanted to develop also some information about the history, structure, and evolution of ICANN and [inaudible].

So I think that we have a sufficient number of elements for making a sort of first draft of list of topics. At the same time, we can think about the overarching subject and we can take that either from the suggestions that were run a couple of months ago, but also comparing them with what the ALSes [inaudible] topics.

There's an interesting comment from Tijani that I agree with. Maybe there are some topics that only had a small number of preferences from the ALSes, but we judge they are important. I think that we have to play this management role and take the initiative, whether we identify that the topic is important and I think that that's the role of the leaders that have to take responsibility to say, okay, [inaudible] but we believe in the

general strategy. It is important, and so we will include it in the program for the [ATLAS]. We take the risk [inaudible] particular thread because nobody's interested, but if we still feel it's important, we have to include it.

At this point, I think – this is just my personal opinion – that if this final list can be compiled by completing the information that we have, if that can be compiled by the Survey Working Group, that is something that can be done within a week or so and that can be the input for the Event Working Group. And then I think that the Event Working Group has to start working today immediately, even on the informal result of the survey that we all have in front of our eyes. I think that we shouldn't delay any further the laying down the plan for the conference. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Roberto. Any other comments about this? I think for the theme part, I like Roberto's ideas of getting [inaudible] group to come up with the four, five, six main topics and from that, which we can think about a central theme. I agree with Cheryl. It should be something very encompassing and broad, and not narrow like IPv6, IPv4 type thing.

I think we should do this somehow offline [inaudible] and decide which central theme we should select. There is an input from [JJ] that I put in the Wiki that I think can guide us into [inaudible]. I like the central theme that he put together at the beginning. Maybe we can add something about the [inaudible] happening now during this time in

ICANN. So I want to hear comments about this approach before going to the next ICANN agenda.

We can keep talking about a theme for the rest of the hour, but let's move to the next item in the agenda, which is Tijani to bring us up to what is happening in the Events sub-committee and the [inaudible] foreseen with the logistics, mostly the rooms are going to be available for us. So Tijani, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you, Roberto. Thank you, Eduardo – sorry. As for the event, as you know I proposed a few months ago a schedule for the summit. We were looking for the confirmation of the [inaudible] availability. In Buenos Aires, I met with two persons or three persons from the meetings staff to confirm the rooms, but they said they cannot confirm the room now because they have [inaudible] to see what is there, and then they can perhaps give better information.

Also they said that they cannot give final and definitive allocation of the rooms because they are waiting for the other constituencies, [what is the need], etc. So it is something that didn't understand that we have a [inaudible] event and we cannot wait. It is not like a meeting for [inaudible]. I don't know of the sub-group of the working group.

My proposal now today is that we send to the ALAC meeting team our needs and we say, "This is our need and we want it to be like this," and we need an answer on it and we have to give a deadline for that, because we cannot wait indefinitely like this without having the final rooms availability so that we can decide if we can have five groups

meeting together or not, if we can have a big room for a plenary meeting. We need to define that now so that we can go ahead in our work as an Event Working Group. This is for the layout, if you want, of the meeting.

As for the themes, we just spoke about it, so it will be done after the team's definition. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Olivier, you have the floor.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Eduardo. I'm sorry, I'm grappling with a massive bumble bee at the moment. It's gotten lost in my house. Sorry. I'm going to have to pass on this one, because at the moment, I'm having to deal with the bumble bee. Sorry.

EDUARDO DIAZ: I'm sorry, I didn't get that.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: He said he's dealing with the bumble bee, which is hopefully not something he's going to catch by hand, rather just shoo out the door or window. Just on the Meetings team and logistics, I think this is something that, yes, if we put our own needs as Tijani says as a formal request and [inaudible] Heidi and see if Heidi can follow up, that would be wise. Thanks.

EUDARDO DIAZ: Okay. So why don't we open an action item for, I guess, Tijani or whoever wants to take this action item to come up with – write a formal list of requirements for the event to send to the [inaudible] team, so we have in writing exactly what we need and we will get started conversation right from that. Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you. I'm ready to speak now. Thank you, Eduardo. I'm reliving Groundhog Day here. We have sent a list of what requirements were in the past to ICANN staff. They've come back to us and said yes. And then there was this meeting that took place a couple of weeks ago in Buenos Aires and we're back to square one. I'm not quite sure what we are doing here. Yeah, let's send that letter out. I just wanted to get more information from staff and find out what's going on.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay, Tijani, please. I have Wolf and Tijani. Tijani, go ahead.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay, thank you. You're right, Olivier. We are in square one, and it's exactly what I told you the Friday of Buenos Aires. Because the meeting with those people gave exactly the same – at first, the younger man was more explicit. He said, yes, we can have Saturday and Sunday with four or five rooms for you. It is possible with a plenary room. But when the lady came, she said, "I cannot give you anything." We have to wait.

So this is the problem. The problem is that I don't think there is a real understanding of what is importance of the summit. It is not possible to

plan a summit the last day. This is a main problem that we have to solve, and I think that we have to send a real and very strong message to the meeting staff telling them this is our requirement. We need them and we need the confirmation very soon.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Olivier, is there any way we can get in contact with these people or our staff can help us in [defining] this somehow?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Eduardo. I usually resort to Heidi dealing with things that deal with staff, so I would have to ask Heidi. Or Carlos, perhaps, to follow up with staff and ask what the heck is going on. Because certainly, at the time, in Buenos Aires I was equally as surprised as Tijani. I don't know. There is a miscommunication here. I don't know whether it's – well, I'm just very baffled by this whole thing. That's all.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay. Thank you so much. I was going to take out the previous action item. So let's say you go [inaudible] go through this and tell us what's going on and what kind of things ICANN meeting needs from us. It's like Olivier said. If we already gave them our requirements, there's no need to send the requirements again. They have to tell us if we are to have rooms or not or what we're going to have. So let's have our staff sift through that, and we will find out. Anyhow, Wolf, you have the floor now. Sorry.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Sorry, I joined a little bit late. I needed to dial out. I'm a bit confused about some of the discussions here. As to my memory, we met about two weeks ago in Buenos Aires on [spot]. We had, to my memory, around three meetings where we discussed ATLAS issues, and we had opportunity in Buenos Aires what I thought at the time was clear. We had [inaudible] survey results delivered.

So I do not see at the moment, I do not understand really the confusion [inaudible] just need some [inaudible] from the survey group. [inaudible] not in the best of all forms. We are clear about that before. But unfortunately they couldn't be delivered in a [inaudible]. We have all liked, [preferred], and appreciated and it took the survey group, as you may remember, some time and an additional call to figure the results out and to bring them together. And I think the results are on the table. It's just now to the point of analyzing what is on the table.

I think Buenos Aires could have been an excellent opportunity, and I thought it was an opportunity, to do this on the spot and [inaudible] result at the [inaudible] finding out what could be the key subjects. As it was said before here, it was [inaudible] in the mail from [inaudible] weeks ago. Eduardo posted on the workspace, made some [inaudible] including central or overarching theme, what is global Internet, the user perspective. I think a lot of people here [inaudible] and I've seen a lot of approval to this idea, etc., including my approval. I think it could be one of the best overarching themes for the summit.

So I do not really understand the confusion here and why we do not start to draft a first outline of a program. It's what we usually do with the results when we make a [inaudible] proposal for the [inaudible]

program. We made a compilation of the result and on the pages of the compilation of the proposal [inaudible] outline of [inaudible]. I think it should be the same or similar procedure here.

The other point regards logistics. To my memory, I think the final confirmation about meeting facilities for Mexico, we've got a few weeks ago. We [inaudible] according to the program. We had to develop our [needs]. This was done at the time with the working group logistics. It was chaired by Evan. And logistics depends on the number of sessions, the [inaudible] of the sessions and then you can [inaudible] so many rooms, set times, etc., and we ask that to plan for it and to organize it. We do not need a confirmation about this. We have to make it clear that we know what we need for every day and for every part of the program, and then we have to put some pressure on the meetings that we get. But we won't and we do not need to know in which room a session will take place. We just have to [inaudible] of the program according to the program planning. We will know about [inaudible] and the number of sessions that [inaudible] whether they are outreach sessions or workshops, etc., and we can calculate the logistic requirements on [inaudible]. I do not understand much of the confusion here. Thanks.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Wolf. I have Carlos Reyes, please. Carlos, your hand is up.

CARLOS REYES:

Thank you, Eduardo. I spoke with Erhan Kiraner who is the new senior meetings team colleague of ours based in Istanbul. He and I spoke in

Buenos Aires shortly after the meeting, and he indicated that the meetings team is aware of our requirements for the summit and he is conducting a site visit to the hotel in London in June, as Tijani alluded to earlier.

So at this point, it's more an issue of the rooms are there. They're just determining which rooms are going to belong to which groups, and once he conducts his visit, he's going to report out. But as we've noted in the action item, I'll work with Heidi to follow up with the meetings team, but that is the update I received from Erhan about ten days ago.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Carlos, I have a question for you. Do you have an idea more or less when we will know one way or another? We talk about January, February, March timeframe? Do you have any idea?

CARLOS REYES:

So that I'm clear on what we're expecting, do you want the room names? Because the rooms are there. The rooms have been budgeted for and we know that the summit is taking place. The exact configurations of the room, how big they're going to be, a venue map, etc., that will probably come after the site visit. But if you could clarify exactly what the group would want, I can work with Heidi on communicating that to the meetings team.

EDUARDO DIAZ: If I hear what you're saying, it's that we have the rooms that we asked for. It's just that we don't know what those rooms are going to be or how they're going to be configured.

CARLOS REYES: Right. Exactly, yes. The rooms are set. Theoretically, the rooms are set. We know the summit is taking place and the rooms have been secured. We just don't know, for example, is one session going to be U-shaped seating or round table seating. Whatever we decide, those are the different elements that we'll continue to work on with the meetings team as the event evolves, because maybe one session is a plenary session and you want audience style seating, and another session is more Working Group session and you want smaller tables. So those details we can continue to work on with the meetings team. But the rooms have been reserved at the hotel.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Thank you, Carlos. Tijani, please.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you, Eduardo. Carlos, I hear you, but I know that when I met with Erhan in Buenos Aires, he said to me almost the same. But when Diane came, she told me that they cannot say anything today. They have to go to London first, and then even after their visit to London, they have to see what the other constituencies need. This is the problem. I said that she is not aware of the difference between a normal meeting and the summit.

EDUARDO DIAZ: So [inaudible]. I think there is some kind of miscommunication. But anyhow, Olivier, please. Miscommunication within themselves. Anyhow, Olivier, you have the floor.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Eduardo. Tijani just mentioned Diane. I don't think it was Diane that came down. I think it was Nancy Lupiano.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Or Nancy. I don't know. I don't know her name.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: It was Nancy.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: The lady who was with the Board before, the [inaudible] lady.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah. The lady who was with the board before.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: That's Diane.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: That's Diane? I didn't see Diane on the [inaudible]. So sorry. I didn't even know that Diane was there. What I heard from Nancy was exactly

what you said. I must say, I've kind of lost faith in this process because we were told "tell us what you want and we will do it" and what I did hear there was, when we asked for a round table, they said, "No, we can't give you a round table. We can give you a classroom." When we asked for a classroom, they said "Actually, we don't have a big classroom. We've got a small classroom." The response I've had so far with each one of our requirements has been, "No, we can't do this, but we can do something else."

This is why I'm losing patience on this specific issue and this is why I think Heidi should sort it out. She's got the full details of what we need. We need to have what we want. If we don't have what we want, then I will remind you that I'm meeting with Sally Costerton on Tuesday next week in London and I'm more than happy to provide the details to Sally Costerton of what we want for those details to go to the meetings department from Sally and not from Heidi. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Okay, thank you. Carlos, please. You have your hand up.

CARLOS REYES:

Thanks, Eduardo. I guess I'll just quickly follow up. I just wanted to clarify. Diane was not in Buenos Aires, so it would have been Nancy Lupiano that the meeting was conducted with.

And I think, what it sounds like, based on the various comments here, it probably is an internal misunderstanding, miscommunication within the meetings team. But Erhan, at least from my conversations with him –

and I know other conversations that have taken place – they’re aware that this is a summit and not a typical At-Large schedule of meetings for an ICANN meeting.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Carlos. From the conversation that we have had, I think there is a miscommunication between the ICANN meetings staff. There’s an action item for Heidi to follow up on that. There is a suggestion in the Adobe chat from Roberto. He says, “I think the Event Working Group needs to, as soon as possible, determine the number of and size of rooms we need [inaudible] and ask for [inaudible].” But while we were talking about it, we already asked for the rooms. We just have to know what it going to happen in these rooms. At least that’s the way I understand it.

There is a meeting tomorrow of the Events group that is scheduled tomorrow, so [inaudible] is invited to come. I think we have had – we talk about the second item in the agenda. I think what we should do next is between this call and the following call which I am proposing to be December 18, two weeks from now, is by that time we have selected by consensus a central theme, which I heard – I don’t remember who said it. I think it was Wolf who said the theme that [JJ] suggested sounds like a theme that we can all agree to [inaudible]. I am [inaudible] myself to write something about it and get that conversation going offline, so [inaudible] to have a consensus on the theme before the 18th.

Also, by the 18th, we need to know what the event is going to look like with which theme – at least a draft. Tijani, is that something that you think we can have by the 18th with our help?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Eduardo, I think that we need to define the themes before the 18th. I don't think that the call is a good place to define the teams. It is only to approve, or perhaps to modify. So we have to define the themes before the 18th.

And I think that the definition – this is the misunderstanding I think. Wolf mentioned that he didn't understand the confusion. I understand what he said. The Survey Working Group gave the analysis by people. Does those analysis give the final theme? No. So this analysis has to be used – and I think another thing that's very important. I don't think that any sub-group is in charge of defining the themes. The themes is the work of the whole Organizing Committee. It's [sure] that we need a proposal. So perhaps the Survey Working Group has to propose a list of themes to be addressed in the summit, and this is work that still has to be done. It is not done yet. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Cheryl, please.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thanks, Eduardo. Okay. Here's how I see it and what I would like to propose to this group, which is committee as a whole now. Eduardo, I

think you're being a little bit too democratic, so stop that immediately. That was actually not a joke.

To put out a statement to the list that says the basis from this meeting, it is currently proposed that the overarching theme will be global Internet [inaudible] perspective. And then if no one objects within 72 hours, that's what it is. It's as simple as that.

That the results of the Survey Group, as discussed and with whatever embellishments, rankings, or other proposals or advice is [inaudible] timeframe you all think is fair and reasonable for then the Events team to then, at the same time, just double check with themselves that the existing request, which is for plenary and I think four, if not five, breakout rooms is exactly what they will be planning around [inaudible] that. And then you need to establish which topics will be running when, and that goes back to the Events team business. I think we're trying to manage things a little bit too much here. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Cheryl. Any comments please? So just to run up the meeting today, we talked about the theme for about a half-an-hour. I will do what Cheryl is suggesting. I will put something out there [inaudible] and get comments and that's it.

Based on what Tijani is saying about the [inaudible], we're talking about themes [inaudible] complete. We're talking about a theme for the whole summit. And I think what Tijani is referring to the different themes, are the themes are going to be discussed during the event for the event. Those things, we have to agree upon them and then work on

them to develop events. There are some proposals [inaudible]. I think during the meeting tomorrow that we're going to have with Tijani's group, we can talk more about [inaudible] specific.

So do we agree to meet in two weeks? That will be December 18, same time. Tijani, please?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Yes, I agree to meet on the 18th. I still don't see an action item for the group to review all the analysis and to come up with the list of the teams of the summit. I am not talking about the overarching team. I am talking about the four or five teams that will be addressed during the summit.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Okay. So how do you want the action to read?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

I think that this meeting has to give an action item either to the Survey Working Group, or if they want it to be done by other people, to appoint those people, to take the analysis and to come up with a list of themes, a list of X number of themes, because we will not have ten themes to address. We will have a minimum of five themes, I think. We cannot have more. But this is something that this Organizing Committee has to decide now.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay. So I'm going to address Wolf who is the lead on that [soft] working group to hear his comments. Please, Wolf.

WOLF LUDWIG: It sounds from many of you that it's another meeting for the Survey group needed. I'm ready to do it as soon as possible. I don't think we can do it this week or we change the priorities. We use the next opportunity to my memory, it will be the next At-Large call. But [inaudible] other priority tomorrow afternoon at 4:00pm UTC, so we could switch [usage] opportunity or we take the weekend and everybody from the sub-working group comes up with his idea and interpretation or analyzes what he thinks the survey results have finally offered to be the priority team for the ATLAS-2, what could be according to a theme, a main topic, what could be a plenary session, what could be a more [left] aside issue, what could be dealt with in a workshop or in another form etc.

Exactly what Tijani is suggesting or expecting, [inaudible] Survey Group [inaudible] can either do it online or do it by next call. I'm simply still a little bit confused that we didn't use Buenos Aires. If this is exactly what is needed, etc., why we didn't do it in Buenos Aires, so we could have saved some time. And I was not aware that this is an expectation from Tijani for the group for making a next step.

So I will [inaudible] Carlos and At-Large. That's when we can have a next sub-working group call to come up with this proposal, recommendations, etc. Thanks.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Tijani, please.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Yes, thank you. Thank you, Wolf. I think that this work, it is a reflection thing. I think we need to do it offline. I don't think that during a meeting or during a call we can think about things. We can only decide. We can only approve. We can only modify. But to come up with the themes, I think that every member of the group has to think about it and to give to – to prepare the work, so that during the call, the next call you will have for the survey, everyone will be ready to give inputs and the call will be productive. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Tijani. I suggest that – I agree with Wolf that we should do this offline. We have the data there. It's a matter of looking at it. Wolf has a lot of experience with it and [inaudible] have a lot of [inaudible]. I think that it will be doable to have something done by sometime next week in defining the five more [impressing] themes. I think if we look at the survey, we can flip through this and I think we will be able to define them. Let's try and push for sometime next week to define the theme, so by next call that has been corrected by Carlos that it's not the 18th, it's the 19th. It's a Thursday. I hope there is not a problem with anybody.

By that time, we should come back here and talk specifically how this event is going to be run. Why it's going to be [inaudible] plenary, workshops, and things like that and we'll take it from there and we'll start to fine-tune it as we discuss it.

Do you have any comments about this approach? If not, I guess we can adjourn this meeting and we'll continue our conversation via offline. Happy holidays to everyone. Thank you so much.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you very much, Eduardo.

[END OF TRANSCRIPT]