
JULIA CHARVOLEN: Welcome everyone to the ATLAS II meeting on Tuesday, 9th of July 2013 at 13 UTC. On the call today we have Baudouin Schombe, Yaovi Atohoun, Matthieu Camus, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Juan Manuel Rojas, Jose Arce, Wolfgang Kleinwaechter, Wolf Ludwig, sorry, Yrjo Lansipuro, Jordi Iparaguirre, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Siranush Vardanyan, Dev Ananad Teeluksingh, Eduardo Diaz, Roberto Gateano, and Anupam Agrawal.

We have apologies from Satish Babu. And from staff, we have Heidi Ullrich, Carlos Reyes, Gisella, and myself Julia Charvolen. May I remind all participants to please state their names before speaking for transcript purposes. Thank you very much and over to you Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Julia. And the first thing that we're going to do is just to set the meeting expectations and the agenda approval. Effectively this meeting is just one for an update from all parties so that we're all well synchronized in the run up to meeting we're going to have in Durban. First thing I would like to ask is to have the agenda approved.

Does anyone wish to add anything to the agenda that we currently have on the Wiki? And I guess some of you might need the link to the Wiki, just see if I can cut and paste or someone beats me to it. Got it. Okay. I see Eduardo has his hand up. Eduardo Diaz, please go ahead.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Yeah this is Eduardo Diaz for the record. I just wanted to see if we have time at the end on the – if we can talk a little bit about the timeline, at least for the survey. Which we can talk to it about with Wolf.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Eduardo. Yeah that would be great. When do you think that's best, at the same time as the update from the survey group? Or do you wish to do that just after that?

EDUARDO DIAZ: Just after the survey group we can chat about it.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Excellent. Thanks. And I see Cheryl Langdon-Orr, you have the floor.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you very much. Cheryl for the record. Not actually relating to the agenda, the point I wanted to make right on the onset, if my time zones and calculations are correct, it will be just at the top of this coming hour that I will be going to the nominating committee call. So I'll only be here for part of the meeting. Thank you, please accept my apologies in advance.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you very much Cheryl. Top of the hour, in one hour's time you mean.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Correct.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Well hopefully we'll be finished by then. We'll try to stick to the times. Thank you Cheryl for this advance notice. Okay, let's get going. I see others as well, I see that Siranush is also the same case as you are. So right, let's start with the review of action items then, and I invite you all to open the correct pages of these. And there are a few of them, if I can find them, here we go.

So the first one was for Carlos Reyes to go through the Wiki pages to streamline and centralize the activity. I don't see Carlos, oh no, Carlos is on the call. Carlos could you take us through some of the amendments you have made please? At the moment you might be muted. I'm not hearing from Carlos at the moment. Heidi...

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yes, I think he is shown as muted on the Adobe Connect.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Probably not muted, he's probably not connected because there is no microphone next to his...

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yes, but I have...

HEIDI ULLRICH: Go ahead Olivier, if you would like.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes go ahead Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: First action item for June, is Carlos Reyes to go through Wiki pages to streamline and centralize activity. That has been completed. Second action item is Carlos Reyes is to circulate ATLAS I survey to the ATLAS II survey group and locate the working document that led to the ATLAS I survey. That has been completed.

The next one is survey group to... Go ahead.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Heidi, sorry to interrupt you Heidi. But what I was hoping for Carlos to take us through those. So to, for example, circulate ATLAS I survey to the ATLAS II survey group and locate the working document that led to the ATLAS I survey, just to point us to that document by typing the details on the Adobe for example, would be of great help to us.

I understand these have been done, I can see the tick mark on the action items but actually being pointed to those documents might be helpful for us.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and put the survey working group workspace in here. And at the bottom of that, you will see two title pages, one for the survey for the first summit, and the second one, I think the workspace for the second.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Excellent. Okay. Next... I think that they are. Okay. I guess I could go through the last three. The survey group is to agree on a timeline for drafting discussion and approval on the survey and time for the Durban meeting. And that, I suppose, is what we're going to speak about in a moment also with Eduardo.

Then Matt is to follow-up on an email from Cheryl about the RI group and facilitate a 90 minute drafting call. That unfortunately hasn't happened, and I guess that is something that will happen right after Durban. [CROSSTALK 0:06:25] Yes, go ahead Cheryl, please.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Cheryl for the record. Seeing as it affects me, I should probably jump onto it. No the actual call hasn't happened, and that certainly doesn't need to happen after Durban, but the necessity for that call to happen in that particular time call, rapidly changed where even at our last gathering we had already caught on to the Wiki page something that was fix purpose of the use.

Because that was a time limited to before June. So it does need to happen, but it is now rather than having our very first meeting, we will be planning our second. But the endpoint, the objective of what we

needed to do for our first meeting, was fulfilled anyway. So the action item you don't complete when you're not actually completing the action item, but you've completed the outcome. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you Cheryl. Actually, since you are on the phone, will you be able to take us through a summary of that RI text afterwards?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Well, very – if everyone else can put it up on the screen because I'm working off a phone at the moment, which is [really connected again 0:07:56]. So my ability to take you through anything on the Adobe Connect are somewhat limited. I just for the record, I have had, in all of the four calls I've done while I'm here in New Zealand so far, I get continually looking for server login files, looking for alternative server login files.

I press the okay button, and I go through the loop again. So I will be dropping in and out at great regularity. So I would suggest if Heidi or Matt can type the copy of the URL to the workspace, that might be easier if – just to read it [laughs]. Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks very much Cheryl. So, let's look at the last action item there. And I guess, yeah, we'll still keep the action item for Matt to setup [CROSSTALK 0:08:55]... Yeah, another call for that but make that after that. And I guess you want this to be a week, two weeks after Durban maybe? A couple of weeks after?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: During the week of the 5th of August would be appropriate.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Excellent. Thank you. So let's modify that action item to have it in that, during the week of the 15th of August.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: 5th, zero five, not one five, zero five.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Oh zero five, okay, the 5th. I did think the 15th was quite a few weeks holiday after an ICANN meeting, you know. Right. Now the next ATLAS II working group call is to be within three weeks and that's, of course, done. That's even in progress, that's the call we're having now. Right. Any comments about these action items, and if not we can swiftly move forward to the next part of our agenda.

And that's, of course....

CARLOS REYES: Olivier, this is...

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes? Oh. Is that Carlos?

CARLOS REYES: Yes it is. I'm sorry we were having connectivity issues with my audio on the Adobe Connect room. I'm happy to add to the first action item, if you still would like to go over that at this point.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Please, yes, that would be helpful.

CARLOS REYES: Sure. All right. So at the last meeting, one of the items we discussed was the duplication of workspace pages for the subgroups, the sub working group. So I spent some time with Eduardo Diaz in early June, and we organized the left hand menu of the workspace so that all working group activities are centralized under the appropriate menu items, and the child pages are all referring back to the appropriate parent page.

So that has been cleaned up, and we're – Eduardo and I remain in touch about some other items related to the workspace on the Wiki.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. That's great Carlos. Are there any questions from anyone on the call as to the current structure of the pages, whether you think this could still be an improvement or we're okay right now for what it is? I don't see anyone putting their hand up.

I'm very pleased with the turnout actually, but I don't see anyone putting their hand up. So I gather that this is a good thing. And if I could just ask you, Carlos, to keep a watch over them and make sure

that they don't start going astray again, because I do see that there will be a lot of other information that's going to be built on those.

And these pages are obviously going to be a core item, a core part of our work, to develop this program, and work towards the ATLAS II.

CARLOS REYES: Absolutely. Thanks Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: All right. Well thank you. So next is the update from the survey group, and without any further ado, I hand the microphone over to Wolf Ludwig.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thanks Olivier. This is Wolf Ludwig for the record. We had a subgroup call last week, with a few attendees and it was a quiet, productive meeting. And basically what we did is to come back to the – to have a closer look to the first surveys, which were conducted prior to the first ATLAS in 2009 in Mexico City.

And there was quite a lot of work done before that to step list to create the first survey. And not to reinvent the wheel for the next survey. We thought that maybe it would be a good idea to just look on the previous ones we did.

I think Heidi provided already the links to this survey. And I would like you to have a look on this while I continue. The first ATLAS question

survey sent to ALSs, which is a survey comprising of 12 questions with an introduction, with a preamble, and from further question.

When you scroll down this page, you will have a look on them. What we actually discussed at our last call is inviting all sub working group members to have a closer look on this, and to check the consistence of the questions we asked some time ago. And to make suggestions, which part of the previous survey maybe still useful for the one we are preparing now.

And of course, and maybe some updates or adaptations needed for the next one. But the basic structure and mixture of questions, mixture of open questions and questions where we just ask the people to select preference according to a given variety of questions. If you have a look on question one, which general areas below are of interest to you with respect to proposed summit, etcetera.

What kinds of models of presentations and what are you most in favor of? I think this type of questions are still useful, and particularly I think we have to consider one important point. In 2008, most of us were all thought of as newcomers to ICANN, and at the time we had to consider this fact that only few insiders we had, and most of our ALS group representatives were not much familiar with the whole ICANN issues.

And at this time, we have more – we have a broader mixture of long term insiders and but we still have a lot of newcomers. And I think the questions of the survey should be directed to new incoming people who have – who don't have the background, and who do not have the experience inside of that, etcetera.

And we have to get them from where they are, and bringing them, asking them about any expectations. And I think if this direction persists a survey goal, the questions of the first survey, most of them still serves a purpose. I do not think the questions, 10 to 12, are as relevant. I would see a modification of the last question, but the first eight questions, I think are still relevant.

Then At-Large staff pointed us to a second survey, will stand in line also prior to the Mexico summit, which was the one which was sent to ALSs in December 2008, which was three months before the Mexico summit. And if you have a closer look on the second survey, you will realize this is not so much a typical kind of survey with a lot of questions, but this gives some semantic introduction into key ICANN topics.

And I consider this still being useful as a sort of annex to a survey. What gives people in a short break down, some idea of major ICANN themes and action names. And I think we should try to find a good combination of the two previous ones, to make it brief now. We decided on our last call that each of the survey working group members will have a close look on what they themselves consider as relevant [AUDIO INTERFERENCE 0:19:17] ... or as nice to have in the second survey, to make suggestions.

And the decision taken last week in our call was that we will need on spot, in Durban, using the opportunity to have a meeting in line – in Durban, and to sit together and after the brainstorming and suggestion, starting, discussing, and fixing the structure and the components of the next survey.

And the aim would be to come up with a consolidated proposal on the next survey to be conducted in Durban, and then to have a consultation round with all summit working group, asking them about their ideas, about their suggested structure and composition of questions, etcetera.

And after this consultation period, to get it really consolidated and fixed up in Durban, to launch the survey as soon as possible. This is more or less, I think, the summary of the call we had last week. I see there are some people here on the call who had participated last week like Yaovi, and Eduardo. And I would like to ask them for their compliments, etcetera.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Wolf. And the floor is now open for comments or questions. And first we have Tijani Ben Jemaa.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you Olivier. Thank you Wolf for the [? 0:21:28]. Thank you Wolf for this presentation. I remember that for the first summit, there was questions about the topics. And I don't remember if it was for this kind of survey or another consultation, but I remember that we were asked about the preferred topics we want the survey to address.

And I think we have to do this or so, and Wolf spoke about it as well. I believe that it must be in the same survey, so that we'll have more time to prepare our work, we will not wait for the result of the first summit and go and – of the first survey, and go and do the second one.

I think that the survey about the topics is one of the most important for our work, for our preparation, because it will keep in mind the number of goals and the subjects that will be addressed in the summit. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. Wolf, do you wish to respond?

WOLF LUDWIG: Well, Wolf Ludwig. I'm not sure Tijani whether I completely catch what you were trying to say. Yes, I agree that we have to give them a sort of listing of item topics At-Large is regularly dealing with. And it will be interesting to find out what are our insider focuses, and what are the interests and focuses from our ALSs.

And I think I guess there might be some difference in between our perception and our focus and their perception. And this is a type of questions which are the closed one, which we just list the number of subjects and they can just click in, "Well I'm interested in this," etcetera, whatever.

And according to the interests expressed by a majority of ALSs, we afterwards know where the key interests of our members are. So we can see subjects where, let's take anything like being ethic, etcetera, where we only have a few responses or a few preferences expressed, so we can prioritize the subjects for the agenda planning, for the program planning for London.

And in this respect, I really think, of course, the outcome of the survey is crucial for any further planning.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Wolf. And I note that Heidi Ullrich has written in the chat that the work group topics were asked in the summit survey number two, in the link. Eduardo Diaz is next.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Yes, this is Eduardo for the record. This is very – a question for Wolf. You know when you mentioned into a consultation record for, once we have a bench stone for the survey. You have an idea of how long we should take to do that round?

WOLF LUDWIG: Well, it's Wolf Ludwig for the record again. It's a very good question. I think we have to consider the summer break, therefore we cannot do, under ordinary time conditions, etcetera. I would have to say 10 days up to two weeks.

But it seems that summer break in many parts of the world in June, so I'd say a minimum for the consultation period, asking for feedback should be three weeks. Just my opinion.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you Wolf. Next is Wolfgang.

WOLFGANG KLEINWAECHTER: ...face to face meeting in Durban. And I think that's a great opportunity to have also something like a brainstorming meeting around the strategic objectives of the ATLAS. I think while a telephone conference and a survey, these are very good tools and very helpful, but as far as I see it, you know, we have not yet a very clear picture, you know, what the At-Large community, or let's say, civil society as a whole within ICANN.

Wolf to achieve this at the summit. I remember before Mexico, it was very clear that we wanted to achieve a better representation of the At-Large group in the ICANN Board. Before Mexico, we had just one non-voting liaison to the Board, and this changed after the Mexico meeting.

And I think it would be very good if we could from the Durban meeting... In the Durban meeting, if we could produce a number of, not a big number, a very small number, strategic aims that we can then build further discussion around it these things in order to group the work subs, which we want to have in ATLAS and things like that. But it means... My proposal, concrete proposal is to design the workshop in Durban as a brainstorming meeting about – for the strategic objectives for ATLAS. Thank you. Back to Olivier. Thank you.

And I'm sorry, I have to leave now for the ad-hoc, so that means I'm going already tonight to Durban, because we have the African summit that starts already today, but I will arrive early tomorrow. Thank you very much and have a good meeting.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Wolfgang Kleinwaechter, very helpful, very interesting thoughts. Wolf, do you have a view on this?

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes, Wolf Ludwig. I just typed my comment into the chat room. A better representation of user interests at ICANN should be key strategic goal. I entirely agree with Wolfgang.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay thank you Wolf. And we'll touch on the organization of the Durban meeting in a moment. But I certainly see the idea of having a brainstorming session being a very positive idea. We'll take it then. Cheryl Langdon-Orr next.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you. Cheryl for the record. I think I want to make really clear a couple of things. First of all, there is a difference between what we did, sometimes by design and sometimes by necessity, in ATLAS I planning and what you have the opportunity to do now.

And they are, hopefully and I think quite predictively, able to be very, very different beats in terms of what ATLAS I was attempting to be and indeed to be and indeed became, versus what you may choose to do now.

I'm also the strategizing, and the brainstorming, and the ensuring that you've got a very mature and effective framework for ATLAS II, and that's needs, like all groups of prior planning, to be done in a timely

manner and as early as possible. But the drive to your surveys in the first ATLAS was an adventure, and were also very different to what you may be facing now.

And I need to remind you all of that. One of our very deliberate reasons for surveying our At-Large structure communities in the first place, was literally to gauge who, if anybody, would be interested in ATLAS. There was assumptions being made that we would get a high percentage of up take on individual At-Large structures, we would want to have a representative engaged in something like an At-Large summit.

But these were all good guesses, there was no metric for measurable involved. So we were doing surveys but for markedly different reasons of even important for ATLAS II as well as ATLAS I. But we've had so much experience now with the greater engagement in all of the regions, in fact in most cases, at least for two if not more rounds now, of At-Large structures in General Assemblies and things which simply hadn't occurred that first time when we did it.

So don't feel that you need to hold back on getting the early engagement and therefore ownership, which is important, of the At-Large structures and the representatives who will be coming to ATLAS II in the choice of topics, etcetera, as well as obviously brainstorming and strategizing.

But I think you can bring some of the purpose of what we've done in the second survey, and blend it back into earlier activities for ATLAS II. We can already predict that we would have a fairly high level of uptake for

people wanting to have their At-Large structure represented in a second gathering.

But the purpose, the reasons, we were doing surveys the first time around also included a desire to make sure that the pre-work was done, and it was a little bit of why the prioritizing that happened in the topic selection in the second survey was certainly very important to the administration and design of the flow of the meeting, and the logistics of the meeting.

But it was also was a way of ensuring what had been allocation into a whole lot of pre [preface 0:32:25] work, in other words, everything that went on with the work groups in preparatory planning and meetings, getting their homework done in fact, could be effectively done. You couldn't manage 10 or 15 topics worth of [brick 0:32:44], we decided we could manage four or five and of course the Wiki record shows that's exactly what happened.

And the outcome of that one shows that type of statement that came from [? 0:32:57]... I just want to be really clear that you don't have to do a survey, but you've actually got an opportunity to design the survey this time to be absolutely fit the purpose, but more importantly being as prescriptive and more engaged earlier with the communities that will be attending. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Cheryl. And I note also Anupam Agrawal having written, "Strategic objectives with quantifiable measures attached to it." Wolf, do you wish to respond to Cheryl's suggestions?

WOLF LUDWIG: Wolf Ludwig for the record again. Yes, I agree in substance with what Cheryl said. And I also think this is the most tricky thing to achieve in the second, to bring the different levels of backgrounds from our member ALSs together. And this is a far more complex than a couple of years ago, because in a numbers and quantity there was sort of [supplication 0:34:14] of ALSs in the years between the first and next summit.

And there is far more experience on the regional level, and we clearly said before this summit is not so much – is not concentrated on, not understood as a capacity building issue, but to find out what could be the next steps to involve our membership for implementing our strategic goals.

And we had a clear goal for the first summit, we need to have clear goals for the second summit. And the questions must be streamlines with the strategic goals we want to achieve, etcetera. And this is the most challenging of the design and the structure of the next survey.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Wolf. And if I could just add one – my own thoughts on this. With regards to capacity building, each one of our RALOs has now had a face to face General Assembly and set of events that have taken place to perform capacity building, since the At-Large I summit. And so, I think whist capacity building is still very important, obviously, and we definitely have been told by each one of the ALSs that have attended a face to face meeting, either with ICANN or during the

recent Euro Dig, that having more frequent face to face meetings would definitely get them to be more involved because they finally understand what is going on.

Of course, the second summit will serve as putting everyone face to face and getting them to interact and network, etcetera, and be even more effective. But at the same time, as you said very clearly, and as Cheryl said very clearly, there is certainly some strategic issues here which need to be addressed.

And it is really not only about the ALSs, I think it's about the growth of At-Large as a whole, how are we going to scale up? How are we going to work better? And how are we going to work within the wider ICANN sphere? And that's the one thing I wanted to touch on was also... I mean, I think that we could probably do this in Durban, but try and find out from ICANN perhaps, Sally [Cost-turn 0:37:08] or someone in her group, letting us know what they – how ICANN would benefit from At-Large as a mutually – sort of to strengthen the relationship basically.

The more effective at working on ICANN strategic issues... I remind you all that the actual proposal itself that we made, does show that we are here as an integral part of ICANN. And one of the main points is that our community will interface with the rest of the participants of ICANN and that includes with ICANN leadership.

And it's really important to make our ALSs, empower our ALSs, to meet with the ICANN leaders and show them that these are real people with real people behind them, not just a few handful of people on paper. Any other comments or thoughts on this?

The empowerment of members, yes definitely, that's very important. Heidi may I ask you, the meeting that will take place in Durban, will that actually include having someone from Global Stakeholder Engagement there?

HEIDI ULLRICH: This is Heidi for the record. At this point no. We're happy to invite Sally or other members from that group.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. How do people on this call feel about having GSE? Yes, I'm not quite sure who is... I see several people having put their hand up. So first, Cheryl Langdon-Orr.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I think you need to be... Cheryl for the record. I think you need to be very clear before you invite beyond yourselves into the room. I'm saying yourself because it's very unlikely I'll be in the room with you for this meeting, I'm foretold in my bed. But several others, of course, will be joining to or [? 0:39:28] essential activities during the Durban meeting.

But you don't want to have particularly senior executives are going to report to senior executives and [? 0:39:42] watching you do strategy or watching you do brainstorming, unless it is a well-reasoned to ask, and I don't believe you have got the time to do that well-rehearsed stacked preparation between now and then.

You will have brand new people within your At-Large community that will want to come and engage with this meeting I would have thought. And you probably want to make it open and absolutely anybody will come, including Sally and the whole else who is coming to watch you.

I would just be a little bit cautious if you invite someone to attend, you're usually giving them an expectation of a particular order of organization. And I'm not sure whether that's what you're necessarily being able to present, but if you want to go ahead, buyer be aware.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you very much Cheryl. Next is Eduardo.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Eduardo for the record. The meeting in Durban, it only lasts for an hour. And I think this major understanding and doing some brainstorming of the goal of the ATLAS, I think we should concentrate on that. And then we could talk to these other people and see what they think about it.

And also, in the Durban meeting we should at least talk about a timeline of the things that we need to do, just to get a feeling for the work. That's just my comment. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you very much Eduardo. Next is Tijani Ben Jemaa.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you Olivier. Tijani speaking. I agree with what Cheryl has said, and I would add that if we have to invite them, we invite them to listen to us, not to speak to us. It is very important that they understand our message. And I do want that they listen to us, because I feel that there is more or less a problem of understanding between our view of the global engagement and what I am seeing now.

Perhaps I am wrong, but this is my point of view. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much Tijani. And I see Cheryl again. Cheryl Langdon-Orr.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you. Cheryl for the record. In absolute response to your point Tijani, I agree a lot of work has to be done and also it's an opportunity that has to be taken to try and assist people who have command and control over things like outreach and engagement, who... And we do have a lot of new people in [? 0:42:50].

Now in that [? 0:42:52] positions, to be assisted, to see and understand what the At-Large and ALAC view of those things are. I'm less convinced that the public performances of what should be for planning and preparation are the best way to do that. Perhaps it is more focused for people who have a particular skillsets and predictability in what they're doing, having those sorts of conversations or later on, more reporting and review of brainstorming in the time that you have might be safer.

And I'll tell you why. Anyone who doesn't think At-Large summit is a good idea, can breeze through a room or take a small slice out of an hour or 90 minute session, and make something that suits – to reinforce their own negative view out of it, just as much as it can be used to convince them of the merits of it.

And I also think that the purpose of your workshop time in Durban is not to convincing as the [? 0:44:16] staff of ICANN, or even to that extent, the ICANN Board of the merits, it's actually to prepare. So when and what you hope would be an affirmative response time, you're ready to roll. And I think they're kind of different things. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Cheryl. Next is Wolf Ludwig.

WOLF LUDWIG: Wolf Ludwig for the record. I think I agree with most, particularly with what Cheryl has said before. To be frank, Olivier, I think to include Global Engagement is too early in my opinion, because for me it should be a brainstorming and a workshop meeting, etcetera.

And it should be bottom up. And at this stage, I wouldn't see, except as observers, any role here for Global Engagement to come in at a later stage, let's say, once we have setup the structure and the draft of the survey to include them in the consultation procedure.

It makes sense to me but not in a working session in Durban.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you very much Wolf. So what I'm hearing is the consensus that it is premature to involve Global Stakeholder Engagement at this point in time, and the workshop in Durban is really going to be a workshop and for work to be done in preparation ahead of the time where we hopefully have the green light and are prepared to move forward.

Good. Okay. I see Wolf you still have your hand up, or is that an old hand.

WOLF LUDWIG: No, it's done.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you. Right. Any other questions or thoughts on this survey? And if not, then we can move on directly with Eduardo's timeline subject, which I think falls very much as a next step in the lead up to Durban. Eduardo, you have the floor.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Can you hear me? Yeah, sorry [AUDIO FADE OUT 0:46:50]... I have started looking at the timeline, that I think needs to be done. And I think this is something that we should look at in Durban. I haven't put something together yet, but in looking at the overall things that we need to do, I believe and please correct me if I'm wrong, that we should have everything pretty much ready in terms of what we want to do during the ATLAS II scheduling people, set up the people are going to go, etcetera, etcetera.

About three months before that or four, if we can do that, but mainly because I don't know beforehand, like if we're doing this in July, I don't know how many months before July you have to tell the meeting people that we will need such and such things in that meeting.

So there is some timeline I think we should talk about it as part of the brainstorming to get something to the plate and get a draft so we know, get an idea of what we have. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay. Thank you very much Eduardo. Just... You touched on dealing with the meeting people and the logistics, I think that this is something that is already cast in stone, that's already frozen due to the proposal that we have made that is based on a specific cost.

There is a small add on to this in that they will probably be a meeting, a face to face meeting with Sebastien, yourself, Eduardo, myself and Heidi and the meeting team to see what we can play with in regards to logistics. But that part is pretty much set. Now on the other hand, with regards to the timeline and what we need to have ready by when, that's definitely is something that is very much welcome.

It's like a countdown, isn't it, from today all the way down to then. So we don't end up with something suitable task of the summit, all being organized at the very last moment. Certainly being able to do things in advance will lessen the pressure and the load on our community and on ourselves. Eduardo?

EDUARDO DIAZ: Yes. One of the things that I think about that we should start doing sometime after the Durban meeting, is to start asking all of the RALOs to confirm ALSs points of contacts. So when we're ready to send the survey out, we – it gets to people that are real, that are there. So to do something like that after the Durban meeting.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Eduardo. Do you wish to put this as an action item? I think that's an excellent idea.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Yes we should do that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. So the action item as recorded, and I hope someone is listening for this, for the points of contact from each one of the ALSs to be confirmed by the ALSs. And you're absolutely right, there are several points of contact that are somehow sometimes a bit out of date.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: And that will take time to get right. Any other thoughts?

EDUARDO DIAZ: Right.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Is this recorded staff? Someone? [CROSSTALK 0:50:41]

HEIDI ULLRICH: ...Olivier, we'll have some comments and an action item suggestion in the staff.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, okay. Wolf, actually you may speak. Please, go ahead.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes. Wolf for the record again. I agree. I think Durban offers a great opportunity for the ATLAS II working group to discuss and consider timelines. And what we, in another context, from the experience from the Euro Dig, the European dialogue internet governance.

In the planning process for the next event, we always have our milestones. And milestones are key issues, key steps to be done and implemented in the planning and in the organization process. And I think we would need something similar like a clear timeline and milestones containing very precise descriptions of next steps to be done and published, etcetera, to come up with this rules, organization, or process.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you Wolf. I hope that is recorded as well. I'm not sure whether it is recorded. It should be. I see more notes in the chat. Juan

Manuel Rojas asks, “What about sub working group meetings?” One of the problems is the logistics. We might have sub working group meetings later on, for example, in Buenos Aries, but at this very stage we probably are with a single stream startup, we just need a larger meeting with all of us in the same room.

There might be a few ad hoc meetings for a few informal things that need to be worked out, but I would favor just one large one for the time being. Darma Daily asks, “Is there a document somewhere that links question survey to purpose?” This what we expect to learn from this question. Any thought on this Wolf?

WOLF LUDWIG:

Well, Wolf Ludwig again for the transcript. Well, I think this is exactly what we need to discuss and consider at the brainstorming in Durban. To ask the right questions, I think we need to know the strategic goals of ATLAS II, and we have to formulate our questions into this direction. And we need to be clear at the beginning what is the purpose of the question, what kind of information we want to get out, and to reach with a particular question.

If we are not clear about this, questions will be more or less accidental if you ask stupid questions as basic rules for any survey, if you ask stupid questions you will get a wrong answer. Therefore I think this is a very important point we need to take – to be taken into consideration at our meeting in Durban.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thank you very much Wolf. I note that we are reaching the end of this call. Any other points or questions that lead up to Durban? I think we have an idea of where we're going. I note that Eduardo will put a timeline, a draft timeline together and send it over to the list. And then in Durban, there will be a brainstorming session primarily, and also a building up of that timeline will be one of the deliverables from this session.

And I'm saying one of the deliverables, I hope there will be other deliverables as well. We are reaching the end of this call. Yes Tijani, go ahead. I see more people putting their hand up so, please the floor is open Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you. This is Tijani speaking. Olivier, what do you mean by the timeline? Are you talking about the program, the take program of the summit? Or are you talking about the next steps as preparation?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Eduardo?

EDUARDO DIAZ: Hold on a second. Tijani, we're talking about the milestones that we need to do get the thing going at ATLAS. So we're not talking about the actual timeline of the meetings that are going to happen during the ATLAS.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Just I think what we need to do.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yeah, because that's the agenda is what's going to happen in ATLAS, and a timeline is, as you say, the milestones. Maybe we should call it the milestones, the road map maybe to where we are going. Okay. And I see Heidi just saying, "Might I suggest a timeline be done in consultation with staff, At-Large meetings and constituency panels, constituency travel."

Perhaps the first start will be... I'm not quite sure how the timeline is to be doubled up with in consultation with staff so. Heidi could you explain on this please?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes. This is Heidi. In terms of when we need to have this whole list of people going, so constituency travel can start booking those people, meeting staff for rooms, At-Large staff... And keep in mind that the first time we had an outside agency that organized and this time At-Large staff is going to be doing most of the logistics with hopefully a lot of volunteers.

But that's going to need to... Just like Eduardo said, we're going to need to have a clear picture of what happens when, for all of this to happen. So that's what – in consultation with staff.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. That would be great. So I guess constituency travel and staff could put on the road map, the milestones which specific things need to be done. Like for example, the list of ALS representatives that will be travelling has to be frozen by date XYZ. This sort of stuff.

Because there has been a regular question as to how many ALSs will travel. And if an ALS joins in May 2014, are they liable to come to the ATLAS in June 2014? Etcetera. So this is something that we need to look at. I note that we're running out of time. Any last comments or questions on this call?

WOLF LUDWIG: Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes Wolf Ludwig, go ahead.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yeah. Wolf Ludwig for the record. Just a last comment to what Heidi suggested. I think we must include this in our planning considerations, that at the end of the last eight weeks prior to the event, there are a lot of logistical questions and necessities involved. And this should be early considered in the planning process, etcetera, otherwise if you just think from July onward and do not include staff and constituency travel consideration.

I remember this was an issue for the planning process of the EURALO General Assembly in Lisbon recently. It started very early mobilizing our members, etcetera, asking them for confirmation to start the whole travel planning and booking hotel, etcetera, as early as possible.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic. Thank you very much Wolf. Well, we are nearing the end of this call. I thank you all for attending. I'm very pleased to see that we have a great mix of new people and a very balanced geographically, but we also have a few people who have done a lot of this before and who have very experienced and certainly can bring a lot of wisdom especially with regards to the logistics and the preparation side of things.

With regards to the budget, just one last word. We have not had any feedback so far from the proposal. The only thing that we have heard is that it will be voted with the rest of the budget, at the same time as the rest of the budget. It will come into the main budget.

Now the time of the vote of the budget is still unknown. It was originally supposed to take place before the end of FY 13, that hasn't taken place, and that's not a problem because of course the early budget items had already been voted upon by the Board a few months ago.

So the next view is that perhaps there will be some more discussions about the budget in Durban, and there might be a vote that will take place after Durban, a vote of the whole Board on the budget after Durban. But that's a totally different stream of course, the wider budget discussions.

We're just one little grain of sand in it all. So thanks very much to all of you. Thank you to staff, and have safe travel for those of you who are travelling to Durban. See you in a few days' time. Thanks very much, this call is now adjourned. Bye-bye.

[Various Goodbyes]

[END OF TRANSCRIPT]