CCWG IG Weekly Teleconference - 2014.1.6
Meeting Minutes

Charter update

Olivier: View charter here: https://community.icann.org/x/ryufAg

Patrick email: he wasn't happy with this proposed charter as it doesn't focus on the goals of this CCWG

Avri: I don't think that we have to have a very specific agenda. I think that the group was originally created to give advice to ICANN on how to deal with the various Internet Governance issues of 2014. We should not try to be too specific.

Olivier: this is only a first draft, it will have some changes. We will give the charter drafting sub-Team one week to add the suggestions (in this call and mailing list) to the chart before our call next week.

Raiche: How the charter drafting sub-Team was created/selected?

Olivier: The volunteers came from the last conference call. Please send me an email or to Ergys and we can add you to that group.

David Fares: Needs editing. Will post suggestion on the mailing list.

Update on Brazil meeting and /1net

Theresa:
Brazil meeting: Encourage your stakeholder groups to nominate their persons, particularly if you have ties with Civil Society, Academia and Technical community.
On the 10th of January we will have a steering group meeting and we want to invite those persons to participate.

Sally:
Logistic committee will have Hartmut Glaser as its chair and ICANN will assist due to our experience in large meetings.

Olivier:
What the process is regarding the selection of people for the Brazil meeting. Where does at-large fits in that?
Theresa:
Participation will be through their stakeholder group, some in Civil Society, Technical community, business and so on.

<?> As at large community, we use different hats. Difficult to choose a specific stakeholder group.

Olivier:
Where are the safeguards for geographic balance? Now mainly from Europe and North America.

Theresa:
Respective stakeholder group should agree on the process and mechanisms in respect to geographic representation.

Evan:

CCWG IG Weekly Teleconference - 2014.1.6
Meeting Minutes

Advisory group are creation of ICANN, they are multistakeholder in their own right. The way to approach it should not be to tell them to figure out their participation in their own stakeholder group.

Theresa:
We welcome all the suggestions on how to look at that.

Keith Drazek: Concerned about a significant expansion (mission leap) of ICANN's role outside its narrow technical remit.

Avri Doria: the SO/AC should be advising on the scope of ICANN's missions

Next steps:
1. Sub-team Next version of the charter with inputs of this call and the mailing list
2. Follow up conversion on the list on how At-large community fits in different stakeholders groups.
3. What is ICANN role?