

JULIA CHARVOLEN: Welcome, everyone, to the EURALO monthly call on Tuesday, the 15th of October 2013 at 18:00 UTC. On the call today we have Wolf Ludwig, Narine Khachatryan, Greta Jeske, Nigel Hickson, Oksana Prykhodko, Jordi Iparaguirre, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Sandra Hoferichter, and Lutz Donnerhacke. We have apologies from Christopher Wilkinson, Roberto Gaetano, Eric Thompson, Stefan Hügel. And from staff we have Heidi Ullrich, Silvia Vivanco, and myself, Julia Charvolen.

May I please remind all participants to please state their names before speaking for transcript purposes. Thank you and over to you, Wolf.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thanks, Julia. I would like to add another apology for Yuliya Morenets. She was Skyping to me. She's at the airport at the moment trying to get into the Adobe Connect, but she won't make it for the Adigo Bridge. Therefore we should add her under apologies.

Let me continue with the next agenda item under point two, review of action items from the September call. There was only one issue noted, but it's a more or less long-term or standing action item. One of the key projects for next month is the preparation of the process for ATLAS II in London. And we will come back later to this point under agenda item six.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

Therefore, as usual, let me hand over to the next point – point three – briefing on current [inaudible] and initiatives, and it's Olivier's part. Olivier, you have the floor please.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Wolf. I'll try and be a little bit fast, and I invite you all to open the policy advice development page that we have that's linked to the agenda. That's not the ICANN public comment page. That's the policy advice development page.

The recently adopted ALAC statements, we have quite a few that were adopted, but one that is of importance that is actually not a statement but ended up as being a correspondence is a question with regards to the meeting strategy and the overall structure of an ICANN meeting, trying to basically look at different ways to make ICANN meetings more effective.

The question was asked by David Olive and Sally Costerton to the chairs of the supporting organizations and advisory committees regarding the different possibilities that they were shifting things around a little bit, and the correspondence that was returned that is available on the link from this agenda.

It basically said that having a return of the cross SO-AC discussions, breaking down the silos in the afternoon of the Mondays of the ICANN meeting was a good thing that was welcomed by our community.

Whilst, on the other hand, a suggestion to move the public forum from the Thursday afternoon to the Thursday morning in order to boost

attendance was not something welcomed by our community because on the Thursday morning, the At-Large Advisory Committee has its wrap-up, and effectively decides on the different statements that it's going to release in the afternoon during the public forums. So you can imagine having to shift all of this earlier would have made a bit of a mess of our week.

There has been a response from ICANN staff and, as a follow up, the public forum will remain on the Thursday afternoon and the cross supporting organization and advisory committee, cross constituency section, will be created and will actually happen on the Monday afternoon.

At the moment, there are four statements that are being worked on. The first one is a draft final report on protection of international governmental organization and international non-governmental organization identifiers in all generic top-level domains.

Alan Greenberg is currently working on this. He was quite busy with the Accountability and Transparency Review Team, so unfortunately has not been able to produce a first draft in time for the initial comment period, but we have until the first of November to produce a statement.

In effect, there's been a working group that has been working for a very long time in the Generic Names Supporting Organization trying to find a solution to this. It's a very complex piece of work because of the overall implications of providing special status to non-governmental organizations and governmental organizations because if one – which in that case is the Red Cross; and the other one being the International

Olympic Committee – is afforded such protection, then how many more will be applying? That just opens another door to further protection of such names.

I invite you, if you are interested in this, to read through it, but perhaps wait for Alan Greenberg to produce a first draft of the statements to then comment on it. And of course, that as usual, will be publicized over to all of the mailing lists.

The Policy & Implementation Working Group is another one of these issues at the moment. It seems to be the [inaudible] that is ongoing and has no easy answer to it. Effectively, the background to the story is to do with, in general, the community of volunteers produces policy and ICANN staff implements the policy and turns this into implementation.

But where is the wall between policy and implementation? Is it something that's flexible? Is it a hard wall? How do you determine a choice between something that is policy and something that is implementation? You might as well ask some questions as to why the sky is blue, because it's equally as difficult to explain. It's one of those things where if there's going to be a lot of, I guess, much diplomacy needed.

Alan Greenberg again has been following this since the very early days. A few others in the ALAC have been following this. Again, I invite you to read through the documents. It's a very long story, so you might need several days to read through the whole set of documents, and unfortunately there's no easy answer to it. But as soon as that first draft is given, then it will be possible for you to comment on it.

The next one, the study on WHOIS privacy and proxy service abuse. Now that's an interesting one because, again, WHOIS is one of these issues which has been around ever since – it probably is actually ever since before ICANN existed. So you're looking at a good 15 years of going around in circles and the tug-of-war going on.

There's been a study. And we are making process. There's been a study that was asked by the Board for an independent consultant to find out how privacy and proxy services were being used and whether these were being abused. This is when someone registers a domain name that does not provide its real name for the domain name.

What it uses is a third-party service that will register it on their behalf and hold the registration on their behalf. So when you do a WHOIS search on the domain name, you receive the details of the proxy service and you're not given the details of the actual owner of the domain. Very interesting study.

There's been a first draft of a statement that was put together by a group of people in the WHOIS team, in the WHOIS Working Group. Holly Raiche, Carlton Samuels, and Evan Leibovitch invite you to have a read of this. It's an interesting development.

In fact, on top of that, there is a WHOIS – well, what do you call it? – conference call dealing specifically with the presentation by the consultancy that has done this work immediately after the EURALO call. Staff, I guess, will be able to send some details on the chat if you are interested in this.

And I would have thought this is something of big interest to people in Europe since there are certainly privacy issues associated with proxy and privacy services. I know that, in Europe, from the discussions we had in June during the general assembly, that privacy and identity was something that was of high importance for this region. So I hope that there will be many on the call this evening in one hour's time. I was on the lunchtime call, so I won't be on the one this evening but I found it a very, very interesting presentation by the consultants.

That might be able to also help you in maybe commenting on this; although, at the moment, it's a final draft version to be voted on by the ALAC – but it's a very important issue.

Next, we've got the Public Interest Commitment Dispute Resolution Procedure – the PICDRP. That's something a big different. It's effectively the New gTLD applicants, and New Generic Name applicants have provided a statement that basically tells the community what their public interest commitments are.

The moment that these are drafted, then what comes up afterward is still under discussion. Is there some kind of checking of those commitments? Is there going to be some kind of enforcement of these commitments? The procedure in the report – which has been drafted here which is up for public comment – produces some avenues that could be pursued, and perhaps some procedures that could be pursued afterward and certainly involves the ICANN Contractual Compliance to administer the process.

I think that, in the view of some ALAC members and some people in our community, it doesn't go far enough because it's quite unclear whether the Contractual Compliance department will be corrective in enforcing those public interest commitments, whether it will have to wait for an outside organizations, an individual, or people affected by the top-level domain in question to file a complaint or to have to file something a bit more formal with that. So there is going to be a statement made on this. Again, we've had much work.

Rinalia Abdul Rahim, Evan Leibovitch, and Carlton Samuels are working on this, and as soon as the first draft comes up, it will be publicized on the list. And I hope that we will have some input from the EURALO region.

With this, again, sorry for the time it takes, but I think it's important to be discussing policy since it's something that's a core work that we do in At-Large. If you have any questions, then I'm open to answer them right now.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Thanks, Olivier, for this] concise briefing. Anybody from the participants have a question or a comment to add? I see so far no hands raised. Let me ask those who are not on Adobe Connect but who are on the phone bridge. Any questions or comments? If this is not the case, let me thank Olivier again and let me go over to point four of the agenda. What is the update on the BMSPC?

The BMSPC is the Board Member Selection Process Committee and the BCEC is the Board Candidate Evaluation Committee. There are some

recent mailings from At-Large on the list, and I hand it over to Olivier again to give us some further information on this board selection process which will start with the creation of these two committees. You have the floor, Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Wolf. So this really is the start of a very long process. It's going to be several months in length that will end up with the selection by the ALAC and the Regional At-Large organizations of our next board member.

Now it could well be that the current board member is reconducted for another three years. As you know, we have at the moment Sébastien Bachelett who is the current board member. But it could be that there are other candidates that will be going for the position and that someone else will be taking the seat for the next three years.

What's important is that we need to be absolutely finished and have the selection taking place by April 2014, which means we need to start right now with creating those two committees.

The first one, the Board Member Selection Process Committee, looks specifically at the process of the selection – whether there are any tweaks or amendments to be made; whether the timing for the whole process is going well; whether one needs to move things forward or backward or delay things a little bit; and, of course, make sure that the process used follows our new Rules of Procedures which have just been voted and which are now in force.

It's an important process. It doesn't have anything to do with the selection of the candidates themselves, though. What it does here is really make sure that we play within the bylaws, the lines, and the ways that the process needs to move forward. At the moment, it's open for members, and I urge people in this region – since we need to have a very balanced committee, I urge people in the region to join this by sending in their candidates [inaudible] sending in over their details to the staff@atlarge.icann.org e-mail address with their credentials.

Both committees are to be appointed by the ALAC because they are very important committees. What's important is that there's likely to be very few ALAC members in both the BMSPC and also the Candidate Evaluation Committee, specifically because on the Candidate Evaluation Committee, if you are a part of that committee, then you're not able to vote.

And of course, none of the ALAC members wishes to lose their right to vote, so the Candidate Evaluation Committee will be, I imagine, mostly filled with people from At-Large, from the regions. It's a really important thing to pursue.

Back to the Board Member Selection Process Committee. I invite everyone to look at this quite closely. If you have any questions as to what work is needed and how much it entices – there will be a time when we will be a bit busy on this – then you can ask the At-Large staff and you can ask me, if you wish, and I'll be able to help you out and let you know.

But the bulk of the work, I guess, will then be with the Board Candidate Evaluation Committee, the BCEC. This is a committee that puts together a short list of candidates that the ALAC and the regional leadership will be voting on. We expect that it will be maybe five, six, seven people who will apply – maybe more. Maybe ten people might be applying for the position of director on the Board. This, of course, is a bit unmanageable when there are so many. So what happens is that this committee will be producing a short list of maybe three, four, or five people, and then conducting the election and then making sure that the selection takes place properly.

It's a lot of work when it has to look through all of the CVs. It's interesting work, though, because it's directly related to the future of our community. So, again, it needs to be balanced. This committee needs to be balanced, and I think that, maybe, probably, two people from each region will be needed in that committee.

Now there's one more thing I need to tell you before we finish with this item. I have been working with the At-Large leadership team – what used to be called the Executive Committee (it is now called the Leadership Team) to try and find out if we have some good chairs for these committees. And I have a couple of suggestions that I sent to the ALAC.

The first one, with regards to the Board Member Selection Process Committee – it was important to have someone from the first time when we went through this procedure three years ago and that used to be called the At-Large Board Selection Design Team at the time.

Tijani Ben Jemaa was a member of that committee at that time, and he would be ready to assume the chairmanship of the Board Member Selection Process Committee. Tijani is from the APRALO. He has all the experience with this and certainly is very well versed in the current process. So I think it would be an asset that he be chair for that.

Regarding the Board Candidate Evaluation Committee, having seen the success with which Robert Gaetano from EURALO has been able to basically put together the individual members part of EURALO. And also seeing that he has been a board member in the past, he is well versed about the needs and the assets that a board member needs to have and how they need to work, etc. I thought he might be a very good chair for the Board Candidate Evaluation Committee, so I hope that EURALO will be supporting him in that respect.

That's the overall thing about the BCEC and the BMSPC. Any questions about , of course, I'm able to answer them right now.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thanks, Olivier, for this update. I see that–

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Did I say that Tijani was from APRALO?

WOLF LUDWIG: I understood that you said APRALO. I wasn't sure and I just wanted to clarify that he's from AFRALO.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I meant AFRALO, of course. Blame this on the P and the F looking very visually similar in my head.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. No problem, Olivier. Thanks for this introduction and briefing. I see that Lutz has raised his hand. Lutz?

LUTZ DONNERHACKE: Just a question. Do I understand correctly that you are needing people who are deeply involved in all issues and know what kind of work will happen to the candidates, but not willing to candidate for the board itself?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, Lutz, thank you. At the moment we are basically not looking for the candidate yet. We're looking for...

LUTZ DONNERHACKE: But you are looking for people who know what the board is doing, what kind of work that's behind the scenes?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes and no. Ultimately, what we're looking for in the Board Candidate Evaluation Committee is for people who are able to look at candidates coming in and make a selection and look for the best people in At-Large.

You don't need somebody who has board experience to do that. You definitely don't need board experience to do that, but what you do need is good judgment, I guess, in people. Being, I think, unbiased as well because you're likely to have some of your colleagues that you might know that will be applying, and I think that it's important for that committee to be completely unbiased and to judge candidates on their assets and on what they do; not judge them on whether they are politically in your corner or not.

LUTZ DONNERHACKE: Okay. Thank you. It's far outside the scope [I can manage]. Thanks.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thanks a lot. I guess we will come back to this agenda item and this important work that has to be done over a couple of months, etc., for updates at our next call. This will more or less become one of the standing issues for our next monthly calls. Thanks a lot.

If there are no immediate questions for point four at the moment, let me continue with our next agenda item, point five – EURALO members at upcoming IGF. This is just more or less a briefing, as most of you may be there.

The 2013 Internet Governance Forum will start by the end of the week, officially, the beginning of in Bali, Indonesia. As you can see from the list which is attached on the workspace, there are seven EURALO members who will go to the IGF over the next days and we will have some opportunities to meet there.

Just let me shortly read this. With seven people, we are quite well-represented. First there will be, from the board and EURALO, Sébastien Bachollet. There will be Sandra Hoferichter, [inaudible], Bill Drake, Yuliya Morenets, Wolfgang Kleinwächter, and Olivier.

Sandra and I will, as usual, have and look after [inaudible] booths we will have there, and there are some ALAC activities at the IGF where we are invited. You may have seen the list of activities which was circulated by mail. There will be a coordination call as far as I have seen from a message from Heidi.

A coordination call for Bali will take place tomorrow at 6:00 UTC, etc., but they will send a reminder for this and everybody who has time to participate at this coordination call is welcome to do so. It might be helpful to get a little bit more coordination for this work over the next couple of days.

Are there any questions or comments from your side regarding this agenda item five? And of course, at our November call, we will do a briefing on all the meetings we had and the activities we followed, etc., so we keep you updated on our IGF involvement. Any further questions, comments from your side?

If it's not the case, let me continue with our next agenda item, point six. This is the key project of our community for the next couple of months. As you may recall, At-Large will organize its next summit in line with the next European ICANN meeting in June in London, and it will be a key event for the community and to prepare the organization, agenda setting, etc.

We had some working groups – two of the working groups, actually – prepare a survey for finding appropriate issues and topics for the summit before we start with agenda setting afterward. This survey group has produced a detailed questionnaire and a survey which was sent to the communities, to the RALOs, to participate and participating in this survey.

As was the case for Mexico in 2009, people need to fill in the survey and to tell us about their ideas and concerns, etc., and participation at the survey will qualify for the participation and [inaudible] of an ALS to come to London in June next year.

I just would like to hand over to staff, perhaps to Heidi, to tell us a little bit more about the next steps and the procedure. Heidi, you have the floor.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Thank you, Wolf. As Wolf mentioned, the survey is really the first step, and all ALS representatives who would like to go to the summit need to complete that survey. The deadline is the 25th of October at 23:59 UTC. Following that, the Survey Working Group and, I believe, the Capacity Building Working Group will review the results of that and they will provide their feedback to a series of meetings that will be held during the Buenos Aires meeting.

Again, that survey is asking questions about what topics the ALS representatives would like to have discussed during the summit about some of the other challenges they may face in communicating with At-

Large including languages, etc., since all of that will be incorporated into the plans for the summit.

Another activity that is being planned is outreach at the IGF. There is a postcard for the summit that produced, and Olivier is leading that outreach. You can distribute those postcards and talk to people. They don't need to be current At-Large people. They might just need people who plan to be at the ICANN [inaudible] meeting in London and would like to participate in some of the At-Large meetings during the summit. So that's another one.

Then the third step will be in Buenos Aires. There are two full meetings that are going to be joint meetings with the Capital Building Working Group, with the regional Secretariat, and the Academy, along with, again, the main organizers, the ATLAS II Organizing Committee.

All of those groups are going to be [inaudible] meeting in Buenos Aires to talk about how to ensure that At-Large structures are going to be fully engaged and aware in the planning for the summit. I think that's it unless, Olivier, do you have anything else to add?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Nothing here, Heidi. You've done very well, thank you.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, thank you. Wolf?

WOLF LUDWIG:

Thanks a lot, Heidi, for these elaborations. I just have one point to add. As you know from Mexico some years ago where we started to have this pre-survey before we started with the programming process. I think this is a best or almost perfect way, or a best bottom-up practice.

As you may recall, we used a similar approach when we organized EuroDIG – the European Dialogue on Internet Governance. This starts every year with a call for a proposal. The call for a proposal for EuroDIG 2014 in Berlin will be launched in Bali during the IGF and offering the opportunity to the broader community to submit ideas, topics, and proposals, and they will be collected, compiled, etc., and they will provide the basis for any further programming process for EuroDig. And it's the same or similar bottom-up procedure we have chosen for the first summit, and now for the next summit in London.

I think it's very important to get community inputs from a very early stage. So therefore, I count on your active participation, contributions. Please fill in the survey, and please help to mobilize our community. We need to have, so to say, all active members or all members, at least, who have participated in our last general assembly in Lisbon.

I would at least see a [quorum] of 80% or even more percent of participation at this ATLAS II survey until the deadline that Heidi mentioned, what will be the 25th of October. We have to follow up this closely, and I would appreciate any of your support to mobilize some of our members who may have overlooked the invitation for the ATLAS II survey. We have to push our community to participate in this process.

Are there any further questions, comments on this? I'm sure the ATLAS II will also be a regular agenda item for the next monthly calls – not only of EURALO, but also for the other regional communities.

Questions, comments from your side? If anything comes to your mind afterward, please feel free to let us know – either to ask staff, to ask me, to ask Olivier, etc., and we can update you with any further information.

If there are no further questions and comments to point six, let's continue with agenda item seven, update on the ICANN Academy. We had to postpone this agenda item last time because Sandra was in a train driving back from Berlin.

Before I give the floor for an update on the ICANN Academy, I would like to express my congratulations again. Sandra got married last week, finally.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Congratulations, Sandra.

SANDRA HOFERICHTER: Thank you, Wolf. Can you hear me? It's Sandra?

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes, we can hear you clearly, Sandra. You have the floor. Go ahead.

SANDRA HOFERICHTER: I see a minus from Lutz, so he's not amused about me getting married.

WOLF LUDWIG: It's a minority.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: He stepped away.

SANDRA HOFERICHTER: Oh, okay.

LUTZ DONNERHACKE: My best congratulations. I'm just putting the kids to bed. I'm not on the computer at the moment. All the best for you.

SANDRA HOFERICHTER: No problem. It was [inaudible]. Okay.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sandra, are you there?

SANDRA HOFERICHTER: After two years of working and waiting, we are finally in a good position to start our first pilot program in Buenos Aires, and this will be a combination of an effort which was undertaken by ICANN leadership – Sally Costerton and her team. She and the group proposed to organization presentation skills training, which provides the participants

[inaudible] how to run a meeting, how to [inaudible], and important things you need during your ICANN life.

The second part [inaudible] responsibility of the ICANN Academy Working Group, and this will deal with the fundamental basic things you should know when you're becoming a leader within ICANN. Or even if you are a leader already, then you will be able to elaborate your skills, broaden your horizons while talking to others [inaudible].

The whole thing is also under the umbrella of breaking down silos. This was already said here during this call. I think this is very important, and we can't stop repeating. Besides that, we will [inaudible] the basic knowledge about [inaudible].

We have five participants from the At-Large, and some from the ALAC community participating. Three members are [set] because they were chosen by the NomCom this year, so they have their green card from the beginning. And then we have two others which are Olivier and Avri Doria.

There's a very good balance between incoming and new leaders and experienced leaders because experienced leaders have to play a very important role in that second part of the leadership training program because they [inaudible] facilitate a passion, providing their knowledge – again not only [inaudible] the newcomers, but also to participants from other constituencies who have not that deep knowledge of what ALAC and At-Large actually are doing.

The same applies for the GAC. We will have GAC members participating, which we'll be able to explore little bit more the perspective of GAC and the view of GAC [inaudible].

Overall, we are a group of around 25 people. Some can participate only in the first part; some can participate only in the second part. It just depends on their personal commitments. It's quite a group, and we have participation from almost every stakeholder group except the [RSSAC]. This was, again, due to the late scheduling. The budget got approved quite late, in August only. And after this was when we could organizing the details – the curriculum, how many days, the budget, and so on and so forth. [inaudible] already booked their flight and it was not able for all of them to shift their schedules around and make it possible to participate here.

Then we will have a third part which will be held prior to this face-to-face meeting. This will be the online learning platform. It will be the first time that this platform is going to be tested, and our participants will be able to get some very base knowledge, like the mission of ICANN or some basic facts about the history of the Internet or the history of ICANN as an organization. So participants will have the opportunity to get some very basic knowledge there during the three weeks before the leadership program on their computers at home.

Again, we are very happy that we will be the group who is testing this first because the online learning platform will be officially launched during the ICANN meeting in Buenos Aires – so later that week. And we can actually already provide some feedback on usability and on the things that can be improved, and so on and so forth.

We'll use our ICANN Academy working group session only to gather feedback from participants on that course because the review will be a very important thing to do. This will be actually the most important point in order to decide whether this program was just a pilot and will be [stopped] afterward, or if we can get an ongoing, annual [inaudible] taking place – leadership training program which is actually the aim.

So overall, the curriculum needs to be a little bit more [detailed] right now. I know that with the presentation skills training going on last week, and the organizers of this course are just about to adjust the curriculum according to the two days we have for Buenos Aires. Avri, Tijani, and I will use this opportunity meeting in Bali [inaudible] to finalize the curriculum for the second part which is in the responsibility of the working group. And we will then send out the detailed information to participants/trainers – they are actually not trainers; they are session facilitators – and to all people involved.

And last but not least, I would like to mention that I am very happy that Nigel Hickson will participate from staff side [inaudible] organization with our lovely staff. So we need also to have their view incorporated into that [inaudible], and Nigel Hickson will provide this view of staff so that we can get the whole picture from all of the parties involved in ICANN. If there any questions, I remain open for questions and answers after this call or right here now. Thank you very much.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Thanks a lot, Sandra, for this detailed update. As you may have seen on the Adobe Connect, there are also a lot of approval and congratulations,

and I really think we can be proud about the development of this project. It was basically introduced by EURALO, by Sandra two years ago. This draft of some basic outlines of the project ideas which was partly, a little bit, based on the experience of the European Summer School on Internet Governance, etc., and things.

Due to Sandra, she permanently pushed this project and idea forward over the working group [inaudible] At-Large working groups and it was opened to other constituencies. The project matured over the years with input from other constituencies, and now I think it's great to see this happen next month just before the next ICANN meeting in Buenos Aires, etc.

I think those who will participate actively, but also some others who will be more or less observers, we wish you all the best for this pilot and I hope this will be the beginning of a series of following events, etc. This is really good to see this happen.

Are there any further immediate questions to Sandra now? I think Sandra will give us an update on the Academy, some first results, etc., at our November call. We will have this on the agenda. You can be sure about this.

If there are no further questions or comments, let me go over to the next agenda item, what is point eight, EURALO board meeting and [inaudible], etc. I would like to suggestion if there are no immediate ideas, etc., that we postpone this for tomorrow because after several attempts and [Doodle Boards] and one postponed meeting from last

week, we finally could find a next date for a call for the EURALO board tomorrow evening.

So we will have the opportunity for another hour to discuss details how the EURALO board will organize itself. They have to decide about meeting intervals, etc. I hope we will get a step further at tomorrow's call. And of course, for those who cannot make it...

By the way, in my opinion it's not a closed call so everybody interested here can also participate. I hope we can get you some results from this board call tomorrow at our next monthly call.

There's an agenda already for this, and I think Sylvia has just forwarded the link at Adobe Connect. I'm happy to see that Jordi will be participating. I count also on Manuel. I think that Oksana will participate. And any other board member who can make it for tomorrow is welcome to do so because I think there are some relevant points how to better mobilize our EURALO community.

This should not only be a leadership role and function, but I really count on some more support from some board members, especially in regard of the ATLAS II project and the all-over mobilization of our community. Are there any immediate questions or comments for this?

Tomorrow's board meeting – I see a posting from Oksana, as I said. On the agenda, this was first agenda [inaudible] from my side. It's a board call so it should not be only me who decides about agenda setting for tomorrow's call. So anybody from the board is welcome to suggest some more topics for tomorrow's call, and I hope that we will have the

time to include some more issues. Any questions for the moment? Any comments? I see no hands raised.

Yes, Jordi. It's 8:00 UTC. It's still two hours later, according to the European summertime, exactly. And after the change of the summertime, what will be next week, the difference between UTC and CET is one hour. But for tomorrow, it's still two hours.

No questions? No comments? So let me thank you all for your active and constructive participation. Yes, I see a hand raised from Olivier. Olivier, last chance. You have the floor.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Wolf, and I was going to wait until the last minute so as to remind you all, but at this very minute is starting the WHOIS abuse presentation that we spoke about a little bit earlier. So if you are interested in this, then I invite you all to go to that call. Very interesting. That's all. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thanks for the information. Thanks for the hint, Olivier. I can't make it afterward because I've already something else promised, but I will try to make it tomorrow afternoon for the IGF coordination call and, of course, I will be present tomorrow evening for the EURALO board call.

Thanks, everybody, for your participation, etc. If you have any further questions or comments, etc., you can do this by the EURALO list or directly to me. I'm looking forward to seeing some of you next week in Bali and also in Buenos Aires. Otherwise, [inaudible] I would like to have

at our next monthly call what will be in November, and it will be announced and [inaudible] by At-Large staff. Thanks to all of you, and I wish an excellent and good night.

[END OF TRANSCRIPT]