OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Julia. Good morning, good afternoon and good evening everyone. This is the ALAC Monthly conference call on Tuesday, 17th of December 2013. The time is 15:06 UTC and this is the last call of the year. We have a rather full Agenda, and so the first thing I need to ask if there is any other business to be added or any other amendments to the Agenda?

I don't see anyone putting their hand up, so I take it the Agenda is as it is. We can start with a roll call and apologies, if you would please, Julia?

JULIA CHARVOLEN: I just see that Maureen has her hand up?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Sorry. Okay, Maureen Hilyard, please go ahead.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you Olivier. I just wanted to raise that in my ccNSO report I had Action Items if you wouldn't mind?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, I guess when we get to report you can take it from there? Is that okay with you, Maureen?

MAUREEN HILLYARD: Yes, thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you. Let's get on now with the roll call and apologies.

JULIA CHARVOLEN: Thank you. On the English channel we have Oliver Crépin-Leblond, Tijani Ben Jemaa, Maureen Hilyard, Dev Anand Teelucksingh, Evan Leibovitch, Julie Hammer, Alan Greenberg, Sebastien Bachollet, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Rafid Fatani, Siranush Vardanyan, Allan Skuce, Eduardo Diaz and Sandra Oferichter. Carlton Samuels will be joining us later.

On the Spanish channel we have Aida Noblia, Antonio Medina, Alberto Soto, Fatima Carmbronero, Leon Sanchez and Raul Bauer. Our apologies for today are Jordi Iparraguire, Breda Kutin, Hadja Ouattara, Sunil Lal, Wolfe Ludwig and Holly Raiche. From staff we have Heidi Ullrich, Silvia Vivanco, Matt Ashtiani and myself, Julia Charvolen. Our interpreters today are Veronica and Sabrina for the Spanish channel and Claire and Camilla for the French channel.

May I please remind all participants to please state their names when speaking not only for transcript purposes but to allow the interpreters to identify you on the other language channel and to speak at a reasonable pace to allow for accurate interpretation. Thank you and over to you, Olivier.

OLIVER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Julia. Is it only me that received you in sort of a cut half way? It might be the reception on my end.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I have it, I have the same.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: You have the same problem. Julia, we missed part of your introduction. It might be the reception at your end.

JULIA CHARVOLEN: I will check that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, let's hope the roll call is somehow recorded somewhere. Let's get going, first with the review of the Action Items. From the Buenos Aires meeting and it's a long list and we're going to just be looking at the Action Items that are remaining just for the ALAC to action and I will however ask the staff whether the action items for the other groups are moving forward with those respective groups.

ALAN GREENBERG: Quite a bad echo.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I'm not sure where that echo comes from. I can hear it a bit.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I don't hear any echo here.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: You don't hear it?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: No.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. Let's try and move forward and see where the echo comes from and let Adobe and Adigo people check where that comes from.

First, the ALAC Metric Sub Committee, I think that things are moving forward. The box is ticked. Maureen was to have a conversation with RALO leaders and get back to work group members. The next group know how to model the metrics and Sergio has volunteered to work with Maureen on this task. I believe we have some follow up and update later on in this call.

The ALAC and Regional Leadership Working Session, there's one outstanding Action Item and that's for Heidi to work with the ALAC on travel related concerns. How's this coming along Heidi?

EN

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sorry, Olivier could you repeat the question?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Heidi is to work with the ALAC on travel related concerns.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay, so that is coming along. The first thing I've done is sent Joseph a note about those who have not yet received their reciprocity fee reimbursement so we're working on that. For the single floor meeting I have not yet heard anything. I don't believe he's started anything yet.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. I saw Evan's hand a little earlier. Was that to ask a question or comment?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Olivier, it was simple to ask about the status of the reciprocity fee issue which sort of surprises me since when I first spoke to constituency travel before the Buenos Aires meeting, it was said that they already have a process very well in hand and that you give the paperwork in and it will be taken care of afterwards which is why I'm a little surprised that there has to be these Action Items to do with what I thought was already being done. Thanks.

OLIVER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Evan. I've got Alan Greenberg and then Tijani Ben Jemaa up.

ALAN GREENBURG: Thank you and I'll note, I haven't sent my request in yet so I'm not talking on a personal behalf. Discussions with both Xavier and sorry, Paul Redhead is that the name of the controller?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Ken Redhead is no longer with ICANN.

ALAN GREENBURG: Well, the ex-controller both said in very clear terms that it's ICANN's responsibility to make sure to get their act together and not have people waiting for payments, that if people are owed money they should be paid and paid quickly. Can someone shake the tree? This is getting really annoying.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I understand your point of view very much and I'll follow up again.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Heidi. Next is Tijani Ben Jemaa, Tijani you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I will not speak about what is not said to bait but I will speak about following up regarding the trouble. I think especially for the visa for the Summit and we said with the Chair of the Board that they will start now working on that because this will take very long. We have to get agreement or arrangement with the government of the UK so that the visa for the Summit will not be a concern as it is for Buenos Aires. I don't know if something is already done or if Heidi is following up on this.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Olivier, may I?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, please Heidi go ahead.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you Olivier and thank you Tijani for reminding us of this. Just to let everyone know a little background, Olivier, Tijani and myself had a meeting with Susanna and Xavier in Buenos Aires. One of the items was on this issue and they've assured us they will work on this so I'm happy to follow up with them and see where they are for the visas for Singapore.

EN

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Heidi. I know that there is always an issue with visas. One thing to consider thought is I don't know how long the visa would last but this is for a June meeting and we asked for the visa applications to take place now. If there are only three month visas, that would be too early for the June meeting. It's all about timing and all about being ready at the right time.

Let's move on.

TIJANI BAN JEMAA: Olivier, if I may?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Go ahead, Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Olivier, I am not talking about getting the visa now or processing the visa now. I am talking about the arrangement with the government of UK so that the visa will be very easy to get for the ICANN community and especially for the Summit participants. This is a thing that will take time because if we don't have this arrangement, some people from Africa will not be able to come. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Tijani. I think this is understood. There was a meeting of the London brainstorming committee with several local people that took

place last Thursday. I attended that meeting, Sally Costerton is leading the charge on this and we discussed the travel issues and the issues of the visa situation. Mark Carvell from the UK representatives to the GAC was also present and I understand that there's already work going on now to make sure that the home office is aware of the concerns and will be able to facilitate things.

That said, as in any government the people that deal with immigration issues are sometimes entirely separate from any of the ministries or any of the other administration. I guess only time will tell but we certainly are very much aware of the fact that there are a lot of people coming to London and we've got a lot of people coming from developing countries that require visas so it is something that is very high on the agenda.

I will follow up with Sally and with Mark Carvell directly to see how we can make sure that this remains high on the agenda. I don't think we can do any more than this. Heidi, yes, go ahead.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sorry to interrupt without putting my hand up. For those going to Singapore I believe that the registration is open for that meeting so you can do that as a first step.

ALAN GREENBERG: It's also open for London.

EN

HEIDI ULLRICH: There you go.

ALAN GREENBERG: I think that was a mistake.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: The registration is open and also you can send requests for the invitation letter, which will be very useful for the visa issuance.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. Let's move on and go to the next set of Action Items. That's the joint BCEC and BMSCC meeting. That of course is a follow up and we will have a quick update on this in later in this call. The Future Challenges Working Group has several Action Items. Without going into the Action Items can I just check with Staff if this is in hand at the moment?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Matt, the first Action Item is yours.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I don't want to go through each one of the Action Items, Heidi. I just want to ask whether these are in hand.

EN

HEIDI ULLRICH: I'm sure that they are in progress.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: We'll go through RALO Action Items are also in hand. I know that there was a RALO call recently so things are moving in that direction. ALAC policy discussion part one. Now, Matt is to send Cheryl Langdon-Orr a copy of the transcript of the ALAC policy discussion part one from the Buenos Aires meeting especially noting Evan's presentation.

In the last ALAC call the transcripts were not ready yet. How are things coming along with our transcript?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Silvia, have we received anything yet for that meeting? Can we get back to you on that Olivier, please?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. It's important that we get on the back of ICANN for this because the missing of transcripts for our sessions is something we faced on a previous meeting and it does make it difficult to get something transcribed later on. Please allocate someone on the task and get them to follow up regularly. Next, the LACRALO monthly meeting, I gather there was a call that took place with LACRALO yesterday or for some of us it would be today but it is yesterday and that went very well so I guess these are in hand.

The ALAC ccNSO Meeting, Maureen is sure that the ALAC and the ccNSO are to consider the following areas for future collaboration: joint statements, shared calendar space, jointly things that ICANN meeting, coordination of activities and the internet be consistent and Maureen is also to ensure that the ALAC ccNSO Working Group on coordination group should start immediately so as to have a good set of subjects. I'm going to call upon Maureen to provide us with a quick update on this please.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Olivier. I think we have not been able to do those actions yet because we don't have a coordination team as such. I say that because in my Action Items I wondered if there are any members who wanted to on the coordination group. ccNSO has three members and I wondered if Cheryl might be interested or of any others who may be interested in being on that group.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much Maureen Hilyard. I know Cheryl is on the call. I'll let Cheryl speak first and then Tijani immediately afterward.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you. I thought I'd made it clear, you probably have about three emails that yes, I am interested but let me make it clear again. Yes, I'm interested.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Cheryl. That's a clear statement, Cheryl being interested. Let's get that Working Group going please, Maureen. I see Tijani Ben Jemaa, please. You have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, thank you. May I ask that we add a new Action Item for the Singapore meeting? To be sure that our meeting with the ccNSO be programmed for the right time so that we can discuss what we want to discuss with them and not be in the same case of Buenos Aires.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, thank you. I thought that this would really go under the Coordination, the ALAC ccNSO Working Group Coordination Group and maybe yes, we can add to the second Action Item to make sure that the ALAC ccNSO Working Group on coordination start immediately so as to have a good set of subjects and a suitable time slot in Singapore, if we can just add this, please? Thank you.

And Maureen, I'm going to ask you to please follow up with this. I have been copied on your discussion with the different members of the Working Group and I think at the moment everyone is looking at everyone else and thinking, "Who's going to make the first move?" so perhaps it would be a good thing to start pushing things along. I see Cheryl Langdon-Orr has put her hand up. Cheryl, you have the floor.

EN

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you very much. That echo is not mine but it's annoying.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I don't understand anything. I believe it's Maureen's echo at the moment. Thank you, Maureen and back to you Cheryl.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you. Just to Tijani's point and I guess it's to some extent a reason not an excuse. Every other time and we have had meetings of the ccNSO before it's simply been done with Ron and I and at that stage the then Chair of the ccNSO and the Chair of the ALAC and Heidi and Mark and there's been absolutely no problems.

This time around there was a more egalitarian process whereby two of the members from the ccNSO's meeting subcommittee and the agenda for a ccNSO meeting is done in a subcommittee not by the CCNSO Council because it's a member's meeting not a council meeting.

The two members of that which is through the component parts offered to us for this organizing committee neither of whom had experience of any previous ALAC ccNSO meeting and indeed I think were relatively inexperienced in what on earth ALAC was and I don't believe had a concept of what the intentions of our meeting were to be nor why it was important to have a different date.

None of that was sort of on the ground. They've had their experience. They've got their [inaudible 0:19:14.3] in place and I can assure you particularly if you allow me to continue in the role of the Organizing Committee that having had that experience the first time, none of those things will happen another time. But it is important to recognize that we did schedule the meeting on a day when there was another very crowded agenda on forces ccNSO. That certainly has to be avoided but there are two levels.

There was a lack of understanding on what the desirability of the outcome of the agenda was and the importance of the business aspects for the folks, the social getting to know you aspects were and for whatever reason the logistics need to be organized in advanced and I'm sure that was done and we'll probably go down to something Sunday this time if not on the Monday. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Cheryl and I look forward to seeing the program and results of this Working Group over in Singapore. I think that the interaction between the ALAC and the ccNSO needs definitely to be beefed up. I certainly would like to see some progress along the same direction as the progress we have had with the address supporting organization both the ASO Council but also with the NRO and I can certainly see having spoken to some ccTLD operators that there is some interest in the ccNSO and I hope there is an equal amount of interest in the ALAC.

Certainly collaboration, cross community collaboration is a buzz word at the moment. I think it should be more than a buzz word. It should be a fact and we're looking forward to proceeding forward with the ccNSO on this. Let's move on. I hear people have an echo. I'm not quite sure how now. I'm not getting an echo. Maybe it's my line.

Next, we've got joint ATLAS II. Well, first the APRALO monthly meeting that is of course in the hands of APRALO then the Joint ATLAS II Capacity Building Working Group and Regional Secretariats Meetings and the Joint ATLAS II Organizing Committee Capacity Building Working Group, Academy Working Group and Regional Secretariats Meeting. I would imagine that the ATLAS II Working Group is taking all of the Action Items into account so we're not going to go through these now and of course we have an update on ATLAS II later on in this call.

Then further down the page, the ALAC and Regional Leaders Wrap Up Session has a list of our different statement votes and underneath that the consensus call where underneath that we had the Wrap Up Session. I'm not quite sure how this fits in the Action Items but I guess we'll just see them and at the bottom of the page the At Large and NCSG has been somehow taken over by the creation of the Cross Community Working Group on Internet Governance which I shall be speaking about briefly later on in this call.

I think that the two Action Items which remain in this section such as Heidi to oversee the creation of the Steering Group and for Matt to create a Wiki space are both done and superseded actually since the support for the Cross Community Working Group is coming from Global

Stakeholder Engagement and those pages have been created so the train is rolling forward so these two can be marked as done.

Any questions or comments on the Action Items? Seeing no one put their hand up, let's move on to the next part of our Agenda and that is a review of the current At Large structure application and for this I will be asking Matt Ashtiani to take us through the ever-long list and I'm glad to see the list being long. Matt, you have the floor.

MATT ASHTIANI: Hi everyone, this is Matt Ashtiani for the record. For the current ALS applications we have two recently certified ALSes. We closed last Friday number 185, the Federation Comorienne des Consommateurs for AFRALO, which is ALS number 186, Hispanic Educational Technology Services for NARALO.

We also have six pending ALS applications. The first one is number 187 The Internet Society of Kenya Chapter, 188 Capital Area Globetrotters which is based out of DC for NARALO. Number 189 Age Numerique which will be based on an AFRALO, number 190 Internet Society Bangladesh Dhaka Chapter which is based out of AFRALO, 191 Internet Society Pakistan Islamabad Chapter which will be based out of AFRALO and number 192 Internet Society Japan Chapter which will be based out of AFRALO.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Matt. I've also just been told that actually it is Nathalie who is in charge of all of the ALS applications at the moment so apologies to you Nathalie. I was going to just ask you with regards to the organizations that are currently undergoing the accreditation process, ask you whether we have any that might still come before the holiday period or are they all likely to be after the holiday period?

HEIDI ULLRICH: I've just been informed that Nathalie is not on this call. There is actually another large internal going on at the moment so she is handling those ALS applications but again, not on this call.

The update, I think that she's making really good progress on due diligence for most of them. My goal is to get all of the ones that are currently in the application process fast tracked so they can get to London so we'll see what we can do in the next couple of weeks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Heidi. You read my mind. That was exactly the meaning of my question because as you know the closing date for applications to be allowed to go to London is the 31st of December, end of the year. We have a threshold here for these organizations to be able to participate. I see several people having put their hands up. There's only one. It's Tijani Ben Jemaa, please, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you. It's to tell you that the Kenya Internet Society Chapter, we are working on now and probably will have advice very shortly and I hope the vote will be launched before the end of the year so that we have this ALS accredited before the end of December so that they can come to London. For Age Numerique, we didn't receive the survey just yet so I am afraid it will not be possible to make them come to London. This is the first point.

The second point is that if we can make a special or exceptional effort to make the maximum of those applicants accredited before the end of the year it will be very good because I think it is a unique opportunity for ALSes to come to a Summit and especially for those who just came so I know it is the end of the year. I know it is your vacation, people are not there etc. but if we can do especially for it would be good. Thank.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you. Any other thoughts or questions or comments on this? I think that there are quite a few still in the queue. I'm very glad to see some countries that were represented that are likely to be represented at the ALSes is accredited such as Japan for example and I wanted to add just one more thing.

I'm aware that several RALOs are currently working on the list of ALSes that they have and undergoing a process that we had already undertaken for a couple of At Large structures to be accredited. They're cleaning up their list and I think that this is probably a good time for all of the RALOs to be looking at their lists as well since we do have a

maximum limit as to the number of people we can bring to London and so we need to get a better idea of how many people we will get at the end.

Seeing this surge of applications is great but of course if you can see the application 192, it doesn't mean we have 192 At Large structures that are going to come to London because some applications were refused etc. and as you know some have been de-accredited. I'm just saying I'm glad to see the progress going in this direction.

I see a couple of hands, Otunte Otueneh and I hope I have said your name correctly. You might be muted at the moment.

HEIDI ULLRICH: You're reading my mind. He's just asking some questions, would you like to read those for the record?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, sure, if you can please read them for the record.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I'm reading for Otunte Otueneh. He states two questions. One is the registration for the London meeting is not yet open. How can members be facilitated with that registration? He also asked, "How do I register for the London meeting?"

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: In order to register for the meeting, there should be registration open and I understand from having registered myself that you need to go over to the registration site as if you were registering for Singapore and when you set up your login, then it will say, "What meeting would you like to register for?" and you will note that both the Singapore meeting and the London meeting are already listed on there. At least that's what I saw on my screen. Then you can register for both. Next is Alan Greenberg.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you. In relation to that last question I'll point out that registering for the meeting on the website only means your badge is ready. Presumably if he's attending on behalf of a RALO for the Summit, there are other paths he needs to follow to make sure he is the official or accredited person for the ALS.

However, what I put my hand up for is to point out that although the London Summit is coming up we have an At Large Board selection process coming up sooner and for a number of the processes that a RALO may choose to take action on either to direct votes or to add a candidate to the list.

They have to take formal votes which means they have to get their acts together and know who their ALSes are to make sure that they can receive quorum according to their respective rules and that's a much shorter timeline than for London so cleaning up the list of ALSes is a crucial thing that all RALOs should be doing at this point. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Alan. I see a green tick from Cheryl on this and I totally, fully support and agree with you. Next, we have Alberto Soto.

ALBERTO SOTO: This is Alberto Soto speaking. Thank you very much, Olivier. Apart from cleaning up the list of the ALSes, in my personal case I had a question or I received a question for the London registration. The previous representative of my ALS received the registration for London so perhaps we should revise the members of the ALSes to avoid these types of issues.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much Alberto. I see Tijani Ben Jemaa.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, thank you. I would like to comment on what Alberto just said. He said that a representative of his ALS already received the registration or something like this of London. Nothing was done right now. It is only when we sent the survey, we asked the people, the first contact to say who will attend the Summit and we got names so it is the only thing we have now. I don't understand his question and I want him to explain to me what was received by your colleagues.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Tijani. Back to Alberto Soto, please.

ALBERTO SOTO: [Inaudible 0:34:18] received that information. He was a former representative of our ALS. He was part of our ALS two years ago. He received the information, he forwarded that information to me so I replied to that invitation saying that we have changed the representative. That was the issue.

TIJANA BEN JEMAA: That's very good. That's what I needed.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Alberto and thank you Tijani for responding. Just one last thing before we close the queue on this. Regarding the voting on ALSes, there is a concern that since we're entering holiday periods we get a very low turnout on some of the votes so I think that the ATLAS II Committee might wish to discuss whether they will apply the first of January date as a very solid date or whether to allow for a few more days for votes and so on to take place especially since as you might not know, some of us do know, ICANN does take some holidays between the 24th and the end of the year, just a few days to breathe a little bit. It's very hard to get any action or get follow ups around that time.

I think we need to move on and of course that's something which I expect the ATLAS II Organizing Committee to discuss during their call

later on this week. Just before we move on, I did want to say one more thing which was to congratulate the Federation Comorienne des Consommateurs to also say that Matt will also need some French lessons if he has anymore French speaking ALSes to announce.

Also, I wish to congratulate the Hispanic Educational Technology Services and welcome them. If you are listening to this call, it's great to see more representative around the world than the work of the ALSes is extremely important in the bottom up multi-stakeholder model that ICANN is built on.

Let's move on to the next Agenda Item. That's down to the reports. For this, since we are taking a bit more time than usual then I think we will just have our three liaison reports, the three significant liaison reports, the GNSO ccNSO and the SSAC Liaison. First, let's start with the GNSO Liaison Alan Greenberg.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you, it will be very brief. The report of the last GNSO meeting is on the Liaison site. I suggest people take a look at it. Three things that are relevant but I'll defer the one of the GNSO action on the DSSA report. That's later on our Agenda. I'll talk about it then. This was and I quote from my Liaison Report, this was an extraordinary meeting in that, as many of you know, the GNSO in recent months, years has been very bureaucratic and has not been able to do anything without full consensus and full approval of the stakeholder groups.

This time there were three things that came up. They came up without the ability of the councilors to consult with their stakeholder groups and they passed. These are things for the Chair to take action or a variety of things, which just a few months ago would have been impossible.

The whole tone of the meetings has changed, partly due to new councilors, partly due to the Chair, overall very, very encouraging. The other small note is that as some of you may know Jeff Newman resigned as a councilor because of business pressures and his replacement was just named and it's Brett Fawcett, the former ALAC GNSO Liaison. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Alan. We'll swiftly move on to the ccNSO Liaison please. Maureen Hilyard.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you. I'm getting an echo.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: If you are getting an echo – if you are listening to sound through your loud speakers as well as being on the call via Adigo, then you have to turn the loud speakers off on the computer otherwise it loops back.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Sorry, I'm still getting used to this. My report is actually online and I had edited some notes about the meeting in Buenos Aires. I have since been in touch with ccNSO team which asked for if there was going to be any more members for the ALAC team and I'm very pleased that Cheryl has offered to be a member of the team. We will be configuring all the issues that were raised in the previous ICANN at our next meeting and I'll have more to report.

I should mention that I haven't been involved in any other setting but I actually have been in touch with Ron Sherwood who's online and he says that he will make sure than I am included. I think the other news for me was that [inaudible 0:40:58] from the Cook Islands says if you apply for [inaudible 0:41:03] very pertinent for me. I've been trying for ages to get them to join.   At the moment, that's it from me.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Maureen. We'll move on directly with the SSAC Liaison Report please.

JULIE HAMMER: This should be very brief, too. There were two SSAC reports released immediately prior to Buenos Aires and Patrick Fälstrom briefed you on those at Buenos Aires. Since that time, we've had an SSAC meeting up involved in a number of work priority meetings but there really anything action report to this forum except perhaps that a couple of the work parties are getting dangerously close to completing their work and hope

to start finalizing their reports in the near future so that's about it. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much and any questions now for any of our reports from Julie, Maureen and from Alan? I see no one put their hands up. I invite you all to look at their full reports which are on the Wiki page links to the Agenda.

We also have reports from all of the different RALOs. Looking at the list of participants, I'm not seeing Chairs of all the RALOs online but I invite you all to read through those reports. It's interesting because there is some work that takes place in more than one RALO that go on in parallel. It's good to see and perhaps have an exchange of best practice on some of the work that is being done.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: One thing I wanted to mention [inaudible 0:43:19] still research and set the mandate to the city archives. The subcommittee on metrics of course continues and the report has been down because looking after the page to reporting for the [inaudible 0:44:00] would be greatly appreciated. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Cheryl. You came out very faintly but I understood that you wanted the update on the page for reports to be updated for the

metrics to be brought to the right location on the page? Thank you. Let's move on.

You've got all of those reports here and of course we will have more reports from Working Groups later on. I thank all of our Liaisons and of course all of the RALO leaders for putting together these reports. It takes time but it's really helpful especially for newcomers. At a time when we are growing at a very fast pace, we do need to have all of our activities well listed.

Now let's move on to New Business with the Items for discussion. We've got our ALAC Policy Development Activities. There have been many recently adopted ALAC statements. You can see them all listed on our Agenda. The last one was submitted just yesterday but since we are short of time, let's just focus on the statements or endorsements currently being developed by the ALAC.

The first one is ICANNs draft vision, mission and focus areas for the five-year strategic plan. The ALAC is currently considering drafting a statement. I'm going to ask Tijani Ben Jemaa with regards to this. I see Tijani has put his hand up, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you. I committed to make the first draft and the deadline is the 31st of January so we have time, it's no problem for this statement.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. We'll look forward to a first draft soon. Next, the proposal for a specification 13 to the ICANN registry agreement to contractually reflect certain limited aspects of dot grant new gTLDs, I'm not quite sure what specification 13 is but I think that Alan Greenberg might be able to help us. Alan? You might be muted.

ALAN GREENBERG: That says what it is. It's a specification on dot brand TLDs that has been proposed.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, it says here Alan Greenberg to confirm if a statement is necessary.

ALAN GREENBERG: If that had been assigned to me I missed that. I'll look at it.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Alan. Next, is a request for written community feedback on the Geographic Working Groups recommendations. As you know, several people from our community were part of this Working Group. There have been quite a few interim reports and a final report and we're now at a position when a final community feedback is being asked. I see that Tijani Ben Jemaa is drafting a statement on this.

The request specifically hinges on just a few questions. Effectively, does your community support you do for the final report. Does your

community generally oppose the Working Group final report, etc., etc? You can see the questionnaire being displayed on the Wiki space. Did you want to say a couple of words on this, Tijani?

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, thank you very much. This is more critical because the deadline is the 31st of December and I have to hurry up. I will start working on it tomorrow. It is not difficult, as you said, because it is a questionnaire. Maureen committed to work with me and she did some work. I was the one who didn't work on it and I apologize.

I think that this Working Group did the right work because they had two interim reports and the feedback of the community was very clear and they took it and their final report was more or less a satisfaction of the comments of the community. I don't think it is difficult to do but it has to be done and I will do it. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Tijani. That's much appreciated. I see the hand of Fatima Cambronero, you have the floor.

FATIMA CAMBRONERO: Thank you very much. I would like to volunteer to work with Tijani and Maureen in this statement regarding geographic regions. This is a very important topic for our LACRALO. We have [inaudible 0:49:33] so I would like to volunteer for that. Thank you very much.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Fatima. I see also Cheryl Langdon-Orr's hand.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: A little bit of noise and I apologize for that. As you know Carlton and I served on the committee for several various long years. Thank you very much for noting Tijani the efforts we put in [inaudible 0:50:18.8] from the community particularly our community was incorporated.

What I would suggest though is that if I was contributing to a reaction to a report clearly and I would be highlighting the need for the self determination of the country in terms of which region they wish to belong to. I'll use Armenia because they [inaudible 0:51:01.4] before now which clearly from cultural point of view would probably feel very comfortable in a European district.

They're currently in an Asia Pacific region and should be able to determine that, sort of a moratorium. You'd have chop and change. If I can encourage particularly highlight the ability to self-determine anyone put in an application for change like that. I think that would be very helpful indeed. Thank you very much.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Cheryl. That's very much appreciated and Tijani, I hope you managed to catch Cheryl's suggestions and so on.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Next we have Alan Greenberg.

ALAN GREENBERG: I want to strongly support what Cheryl said. There was a brief discussion of the geographic regions report in the GNSO meeting last week, and there were comments from people who admittedly hadn't paid a lot of attention and perhaps hadn't read the report very thoroughly.

                         They were questioning why we should have something as loosey goosey and flexible as allowing territories or countries to change their region so conceivably there could be some backlash to that. For all the reasons that Cheryl outlined, I strongly support that there should be such a capability and therefore I think a positive comment on that would be highly desirable. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Alan. Seeing no more hands on this subject I think we can move on to the next one on the list. That's the study on WHOIS misuse and Holly Raiche and Carlton Samuels have been working on this. Noting that Holly provided her apologies for this call, I'm not sure whether Carlton has made it to the call yet.

I believe he might still be on his other conference call. I suggest that maybe we pass this one and move on to the next one down. If Carlton arrives on the call, then we can just ask him as he makes an appearance.

The next one is the perfection of IGO and INGO identifiers in all new gTLD PDP recommendation for Board consideration. I have noticed that there is also a draft final report on perfection of IGO and INGO identifiers. I'm not quite sure whether this is supposed to be the same inquiry or the same public comment. Matt, could you help me out on this please?

MATT ASHTIANI: No, this is a different public comment on IGO/INGO.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. I know that Alan wanted to speak on this and he did mention a little bit earlier in the call. Could I just turn the floor over to Alan Greenberg to let us know what the difference is between these two and which one of these two is it that we will be also sending a copy of our response to the Board.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you. The Item under recently adopted statements was a statement on the draft final. That has now become a final report. It was revised significantly and approved by the GNSO and has been passed on to the Board and the Board has put it out for public comment prior to

taking any action and interestingly has explicitly written to the GAC asking for GAC comments on it.

When we approved the statement on the draft final report, that approval said, "And this statement," the one we were approving, "Subject to changes because of changes in the actual report should be submitted as a minority statement and potentially advice to the Board."

The statement we approved for the draft report was altered to remove the things which were no longer applicable because the report as I said was significantly changed when it changed from the draft to the final and that was submitted as a minority statement.

The minority statement essentially said, "Since we think that what the GNSO is passing to the Board is not something which the Board can simply rubber stamp and say it's now policy." It is not a complete set of rules that would be needed or is potentially an incomplete set of rules that would be needed therefore the Board is likely to have to make some decisions along the way.

Our statement provided some guidance that what we believe are principals that should be followed in making those decisions. Therefore I believe it is still warranted that we send this as advice to the Board. The ALAC, as I pointed out, has already approved the substance of this being sent to the Board.

We only now need to take action on it, that is, all I think that needs to be done is have Olivier send a letter to the Chair of the Board pointing to

what we're saying or copying what we're saying. I was suggesting and I have some wording I sent out to the email list just prior to this meeting. I suggest that Olivier send an email. We now have an echo.

Olivier, send an email to the Board saying the ALAC wishes to call the Board's attention to a statement that the ALAC has made with relation to the report, and then include the actual statement. It's about a page and a third long.

The statement can be found on page 12 to 13 of the minority statements for the IGO INGO report and my email has a link to that PDF. What I'm suggesting is Olivier send a letter with that content in it unless anyone has any strong reason why it should not be done. It has already been formally approved by the ALAC so it doesn't require any action at this point.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Alan, for this explanation. That's very good and I am entirely fine with doing this and I think we can put this as an Action Item for Olivier to send a letter to a Chair of the Board copying our statement and include a copy of the actual statement on that.

ALAN GREENBERG: Essentially what the letter should include is what's on page 12 to 13 minus the title, which calls it a minority statement and replaced by the lead in sentence which I'm suggesting.

EN

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much. I see here Olivier to send a letter to the Chair of the Board with a copy of the statement on IGO and INGO and identifies which is actually on the pages that Alan has mentioned on the ALAC Minority Report in the Working Groups Final Reports. Is that correct?

ALAN GREENBERG: That's correct.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you.

ALAN GREENBERG: It should be a copy of the Minority Statement, just that word changes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Minority Statement, there you go. Okay, let's move on. Since this has already been agreed I'm not going to ask for a consensus call on this. It falls well in line with being able to make use of the ability we have to comment not only in public comment but also comment directly to the Board and statements on everything and anything at any time, of course that is ICANN related and within our limit.

Let's move on. We've got the ccNSO Framework of Transportation Working Group Interim Report on revocation. ALAC is actually currently voting on this. This has just started I believe so this should have been in the recently, oh actually no that's in the recently currently voted by ALAC sorry.

Correct location and please, please may I ask that you all vote? I know that it's the holiday period but we are running a little low on votes and I know that Matt has been diligently chasing up people who haven't sent their votes in but I'm a bit alarmed by the number of reminders that Matt has had to do when really this voting for a statement is a standard task for ALAC participants although I do understand that some newcomers may not be totally used to the voting system, etc. I see Tijani Ben Jemaa, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you. I would like to say that Point C which is a currently open public comments is part of the Point B which is statement endorsement etc. Since those statements that we are now endorsing or are now recommending on we cannot do it if the public comment was closed so it is open. So currently open public comments, I don't understand what it is.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I'm sorry I've somehow lost you on which one you are looking at at the moment.

ALAN GREENBERG: He's asking why we need Heading C.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Currently open public comments, yes Matt please can you help me out on this?

MATT ASHTIANI: Tijani, we include that section because sometimes there'll be a public comment that the ALAC, at least at the time of the call, has chosen not to develop a statement on. It's just to keep everyone aware of what's actually open. It just currently happens that everything that's currently open ALAC has either commented on or is choosing to comment on in the future.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Before we had them together. For someone who has decided to make a comment on it and X is in charge of it and for others no need to comment so all the open public comments are there together. All we have to change is the titles here. Currently open public comments, that ALAC would not like to comment on.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: We didn't hear the end of your sentence Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I said that whether we make them together for each one we say we will comment on it and X will be in charge of that. For others, no need to comment on this statement or if we want to speed them toward B we keep the same title for C. We make currently open public comments that ALAC would not comment on.

ALAN GREENBERG: May I suggest we discuss this at the ALT meeting?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Maybe we can do that in the ALT meeting Tijani. This is just formatting of the Agendas and I'm a bit concerned about time at the moment. Just to remind you all of the At Large Policy Development Early Engagement Workspace you will see some of the forthcoming public comment periods that are coming up. In fact, I note that most of them have caught up with us but it's always good to sometimes look at that.

Let's move on to the next part of our Agenda and that's number 7, acknowledgement of the DSSA Cross Community Working Group Report, the DSSAs the DNS to create the instability and none of this Working Group. It's a Cross Community Working Group that was created a few years ago. My memory fails me but I'm sure Cheryl would be able to let us know how many years this has been going on.

I was one of the Co-Chairs of the Working Group and the Working Group has created a pretty comprehensive report on the method for DNS analysis. Part of the work of the DSSA has been superseded by the Board. DNS Risk Management Framework which was created by a consultancy.

The Working Group stopped work for a year due to the process of the Board DNS Risk Management Framework and when reconvening the Working Group found out that we had lost a lot of members. This had been going on for so long and at the same time there is volunteer fatigue at Working Group level.

That said, with the deliverables being sent to the different Co-chartering organizations, so we're speaking here about the ALAC, the ccNSO, the GNSO and the NRO and of course there were copies to some of the other organizations. Patrick Falstrom, SSAC, Mason Cole, Co-Chair in the GNSO, I believe Wolf-Ulrich Co Vice-Chair and also Wolf-Ulrich Knobben Co Vic-Chair of the GNSO so there is a letter that has landed on our desks basically announcing the ratification of this report and this is why we are having this on the Agenda at the moment.

Ratification of that report would effectively mean the closing of that Working Group. The recommendation from the Working Group is to allow it to either close or to refresh it with new people but so far I understand that the ccNSO has agreed to let the Working Group be closed. I see Alan Greenberg has put his hand up. Alan, you have the floor.

ALAN GREENBERG: The GNSO passed a rather extensive motion which if someone can open the chat window that I can paste into then I can provide it or I can provide the link that has most of the motion but not the final part in it. Hold on, people want to take a look at that or I can read it out in full. Essentially I look at the resolved part.

The GNSO adopted the report in accordance with the charter. The Chair was requested to inform the Co-Chairs and the other groups that they had done so and lastly, the resolved acknowledge the letter that was accompanying the report that was co-signed by the Co-chairs of the Working Group recommending that no further action at this point be taken because of the conflict.

Although the report outlined next steps, the letter says don't do them because of the conflict with the Board action and that last part was added during the meeting and is not in the page I'm pointing to for motions and I would suggest the ALAC wants to take similar action to what the GNSO did with appropriate wording changes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much, Alan. Any questions or comments on this? I see Cheryl Langdon-Orr has put her hand up so Cheryl you have the floor.

EN

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you very much. I'll do two things. I'll tell you that the GNSO chartered on the 12th of November 2010 so we're talking three years Olivier. You've had the thrill packed exciting world of the DSSA on you. I wanted to mention for the record my personal pleasure at having been part of the first effectively chartered, co-chartered and I think managed despite the fatigue and the topic.

Cross Community Working Group was one where the charter itself was created between all of the ACCSOs with the support of the GAC and I think it's a model we shouldn't forget as we try and remind other parts of the ICANN organization why Cross Community Working Groups are important and in fact they can operate well when they are designed well.

My support for the way the GNSO has gone forward however, is what I think that the approach that they have taken in their resolution regarding this is important. I think the fatigue aspect from the ccNSO shouldn't be too much put on it because Olivier, if you remember that in fact the ccNSO membership in fact was not particularly strong or particularly active in the DSSA.

There was far more members from the ccTLD Community than the ccNSO Council per se so I think there's a small disconnect that may happen there. The major problem from my perspective is this ridiculous lack of clarity and overlap that occurred with the Board. The Board social committee that was formed out and I would actually be going along the lines of the GNSO approach.

I'd also suggest that Julie, who served long and hard with you and I on this committee Olivier might also have something to say on this but I think the put it to sleep in the corner but do not close it irrevocably until we see what does or does not happen out of the Board Security work.

Yes, it could be reconstituted but I fear if it was reconstituted as opposed to resuscitated, I think resuscitation might be useful in the future so that we might not have this robust Cross Community model so I'd be putting it into suspended animation and packing it in the corner somewhere. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Cheryl and just one question for you and that's on procedures. Would the other SOs and ACs voting for the Working Group itself, should we I guess closed in a way the final report according to section 2.4 of its charter and upon adoption of the DSSA, notification of adoption to the Co-Chairs of the DSSA. The Working Group will be closed. Are you basically saying that we should not actually have the Working Group will be closed in your solution?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I would be saying that it's suspended and should future Cross Community Action on this matter be required that the charter and mechanisms of the DSSA Working Group can be re-established or similar terms.

ALAN GREENBERG: If I may intervene, the GNSO motion simply refers to section 2.4 and is not explicit about the Working Group being closed or not and I think that's quite sufficient.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: That's why I was supporting, Alan thank you for that. Also I was saying the way the GNSO has approached it I think is a very wise one.

ALAN GREENBERG: The other thing the GNSO did although it didn't make it into the motion, it was expressed by a number of the people was that it is with regret that the letter of the Co-Chairs is being honored and there's a recommendation not to proceed any further at this point. I would suggest since we have not drafted something in preparation for this yet that I, or someone, take the GNSO resolution, rework it to be appropriate for the ALAC and put it up for an electronic vote.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Alan and thank you Cheryl for this. I'm going to give the floor to Julie Hammer as well if she wants to add anything to this as Cheryl mentioned earlier and Julie, I know, has been a very active person of that Working Group. Julie, you have the floor.

JULIE HAMMER: Yes, thank you. I think Cheryl and Alan have covered most of the important points. I just feel that it's very regrettable that in retrospect

we can see that the DSSA Working Group could have continued without finding itself in too much conflict with the Board Committee but that was not evident at the time that the decision was made to suspend work and couldn't have been made at that time.

It wasn't possible but having said that, I have said quite a number of times and I think I'll say it again now that for the next stage of work that was envisioned by the DSSA, it would have needed a different skill set to those that remained within the group and that was going to be quite a challenge given the energy that was within the group which was really dwindling because so much hard work had been done.

Refreshing of the skills and bringing in additional skills would have been very important to continue the work but I do think that leaving the door open to picking that up again would be worthwhile when we can certainly just bide our time and watch where the other activity ends. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Julie and I see agreement from Cheryl Langdon-Orr. With all of this agreement, could I perhaps ask Alan if he could pick up the pen and take a copy of the GNSO resolution and amend it per the recommendations which have just been discussed now and then put it to the ALAC.

What I would like to do, though, is to open the floor for any questions on this right now and also wanted to add one more precision to the

discussion and whilst people make up their mind whether they want to put their hand up and ask a question on this issue, the precision I wanted to provide, or this information I wanted to provide, was to do with the fact that the ALAC has commented on the ATRT2 about one part of the work of the ATRT 2.

This was to do with the Security, Stability and Resiliency Review Team work. The work of the Board DNS Risk Management Framework and the work of the DSSA did start with the SSRRTs results and detailed the concern is that the SSRRTs recommendations which were that ICANN should be looking at the risks to the DNS have been changed into or has been somehow amended by the Board DNS Risk Management Framework as being the risk to ICANN.

These are two entirely different things. A statement from the ALAC to the ATRT2 has been sent and was noted however, there is no recommendation that comes out of that because the ATRT2 did not make new recommendations out of the SSRRT review.

So there is an observation that the concern again is that many will read the ATRT2 document byjust looking at this summary which just lists recommendations but doesn't list the observations. I'm not quite sure how to go around that one. I know Alan Greenberg.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you. With regard to what Olivier was saying on DSSA, I really don't think it's relevant. Well, it may be. What the ATRT is supposed to do is

comment on whether Staff followed the instructions, Staff and Board, address the recommendations of the SSA Review Committee. Our job was not to comment on the substance or even that…

I guess the Review Team could have set a recommendation saying the Board should not do things which are counter to the review to the recommendations or at least if it does that, it should say so but really that's the job of the next SSA Review, not the ATRT so although what Olivier is saying is true, I really don't think it was the ATRTs job to comment on the substance of whether ICANN is properly handling Security and Stability issues.

That's just not the job of the ATRT to make a comment on that subject matter. That's all I have to say and I don't know if Olivier's back. SSR, yeah. If Olivier is not on, should we have a Vice-Chair take over the meeting?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Well, I'm here and can do it. I am at a bit of a loss because my Adobe Connect is kind of minimal.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Julia, can we have an update where Olivier is?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: He's waiting at dial out, he says. I'm more than happy to wait.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: We'll let you know if someone waves at you.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: He just said back in 10 seconds on the chat.

JULIA CHARVOLEN: We are dialing Olivier. They were dialing the wrong number so we are dialing the right number. He should be on in a few seconds.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: They have indeed dialed on the right number, thank you very much Julia. Okay, so I have heard what Alan has said since I was just listening on the Adobe Connect room and I think that we probably disagree maybe on the fact that the SSRRT work was actually correct but the implementation was not done correctly by the Board nor Staff and this is the gist of the ALAC statement touched on and this is what the observation actually is on the SSRRTs on the review performed by the ATRT2 on the SSRRT.

ALAN GREENBERG: Speak up at the meeting later today then.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I will indeed. Yes but I know the problem is we're faced with a bigger problem which is that the ATRT2, I believe, will not make recommendations on the implementation of the SSRRT.

ALAN GREENBERG: No, but we can make recommendations that the Board is not treating the Review Teams properly. That is our job and we do have a recommendation on the Review Team treatment by the board. Maybe a section there needs to be added. Anyway, that's not this meeting's problem.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you and my apologies for dropping off. These things happen so as a way forward, I hear no one speaking against this suggestion. Getting Alan to cut and paste from the GNSO resolution adapted to our, well according to the discussion we just had here. Do you think you can do this before the holiday period, Alan and the reason why I'm asking is if we can actually start a vote this week and have this done and dealt with? I'm just concerned with getting a reply before the end of the year.

ALAN GREENBERG: To be blunt, if I don't do it before the holidays I won't remember to do it. I won't remember what I need to do so I will try to get it done shortly.

OLIVER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Alan. I see agreement from Fatima Cambronero in the Adobe Connect on this. Next think is the selection of the ALAC Liaison to .mobi. Now, this is a position that has remained open for a very long time indeed because we had a Liaison back a few years ago.

That person left the ALAC having been offered a very high paying job, well, certainly more than he was earning on the ALAC and we therefore had an empty position for a while, tried to find out what was going on with .mobi and had some problem in being able to relate to .mobi. Thankfully in the past year, reconnecting with .mobi has taken place so now that affiliates have purchased .mobi there is movement in the .mobi space.

We have been asked to provide the name of a Liaison. The three candidates that have applied for this position, the discussion took place at the At Large Leadership Team, ALAC Leadership Team level as we had discussed during the last ALAC call, the ALC met on conference call, went into a time of confidential in camera discussion because we were discussing candidates and then continued and followed up by email afterwards. There were three candidates: Eduardo Diaz, Sally Thompson and Murray McKercher.

Staff asked for an SOI or a little introduction of the three candidates, two were received and so the discussion then took place on the experience of each one of the candidates which gave rise to the ALC suggesting Murray McKercher to take on this position for the simple reason that he has had an enormous amount of experience in the

mobile industry and with all of the well anything to do with mobiles and mobile data etc., etc.

That's where we stand here and so the suggestion is for the ALAC to ratify the appointing of Murray McKercher to the position of .mobi but before that I'd like to open the floor for questions or comments, of course. If your questions or comments relate to candidates in a way that not be publishable then we will have to go into in camera which is effectively to stop recording.

Any questions or comments? I'll let you have a look at the two texts. I don't see anyone putting their hand up so can I suggest a consensus call then on the ratification of the appointment of Murray McKercher to this position please? Could I have a seconder for this motion please?

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: I'll second.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Evan. I see a green tick from Alan Greenberg so if I could ask for anyone who is against this. Well, let's just do a consensus roll I guess which essentially means if we're not okay with this could we please basically put your hand up now and explain your concern. Seeing a number of green ticks on the screen and having not.

I saw a red cross at some point, which was a bit of a concern. I see some applause as well and I think that by acclimation we can therefore say

EN

that Murray McKercher will be our .mobi Liaison. I believe it is a one-year position. Heidi, could you please remind us of the details of this position?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, it is a one year position and according to .mobi, the duties will carry out the following duties: providing advice and commentary on various [inaudible 1:30:10.3] mobi policy development and implementation.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic, thank you very much Heidi and I only see green ticks on the Adobe Connect and also applause so well done to Murray McKercher and I don't see him on the call but could Staff please inform him of this? He will start his work right away because I believe we are a little bit behind on appointing him and I will follow up then I guess.

Yes, I can write to .mobi having been in connection directly so what the Action Item is for Olivier to write to .mobi and follow up with them presenting Murray McKercher as our Liaison.

Let's move on to the next thing on our Agenda. Thank you to all of you for this and the next part of the Agenda is looking at Items for discussion. We have non PDP Cross Community Working Group Drafting Team and there's an invitation to join here. Should I ask Alan for this because you probably know a lot more about the ins and outs of this?

The fact is I have received an email from Jonathon Robertson, the Chair of the GNSO Council. As you know, the GNSO has been working on a definition or an extensive definition of what a Cross Community Working Group is and because there was some concern even within the GNSO Council that perhaps others should also be involved with drafting some Cross Community guidelines and so on.

This whole discussion has been opened up and we are now asked to be providing our input. There is Staff paper which has been drafted on Cross Community Working Group principles.

As you know and as we will be touching on in a moment, there is a Cross Community Working Group that is currently in formation and that Cross Community Working Group on Internet Governance. Some GNSO and GNSO Council are saying this really needs to be done properly and at the same time maybe it is the opportunity for all of us to agree on some Cross Community Working Group principles.

The gist of my description here is to alert you to this and we do have some time to come back to the GNSO Council with some statement or some observations although I would like to try and do this sometime in early January since the Cross Community Working Group on Internet Governance will be working throughout the holiday period and all the way in January.

I open the floor for questions and comments. Maybe, perhaps talking first, Alan Greenberg you have the floor.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you. We've got a lot of noise from somewhere.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, apologies. We just dialed out and we think that's background noise.

ALAN GREENBERG: Okay, the origins of this is a number of years ago in the aftermath of jazz, the GNSO put together a list of what they thought would be important in Cross Community Working Groups. There was a huge amount of negative outcry from the ccNSO and the ALAC that the GNSO should not be doing this by themselves although this was an effort to try to get the GNSO positions down.

The ALAC, as I said, reacted negatively but never did anything formally. The ccNSO put together a very long laundry list of issues that would be of importance to the ccNSO in creating Cross Community Working Group rules.

A Working Group has now been chartered jointly by the ccNSO and the GNSO with Co-Chairs and with invitations to other ACs and SOs to participate. Given that we believe that Cross Community Working Groups are important, given that we had some negative comments to the initial GNSO positions as did the ccNSO but we never put anything in writing which resulted in us not being one of the Co-Chairs of this tripled Co-Chaired one.

If we don't participate actively then we have no one to blame but ourselves if we don't like what comes out of it and we can't just do that a second time and say, 'We don't like what you guys are doing and we refuse to help." I think we must put some people on this group who will help form whatever Cross Community working Group rules come out of this.

If everyone disagrees with us, fine, we can file a Minority Report and object to the outcome but I think we need to be active participants. We need to put our money where our mouth is I think the expression or our volunteers where our mouth is.

OLIVER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Alan. I see support from Cheryl Langdon-Orr and support from others. I note Evan says he's happy to participate in this so we will have to create this. May I ask Staff to send a call for candidates please for that and we sort of provide details to the GNSO as soon as possible on this? I think it's very important that we are involved and that we make sure this is not GNSO based.

ALAN GREENBERG: If Evan has volunteered, let's make sure his name is on the mailing list immediately.

EN

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. Let's do that. I think that we're okay with this. If I could just ask Staff to follow up afterwards as an Action Item, follow up on Agenda Item #9.

ALAN GREENBERG: I believe we need more than one person on it and we need people who are experienced in Working Groups within ICANN so although I welcome new people getting involved, we need some seasoned hands in this. I am on the Working Group list, I don't plan to be very active in it. I have just too many other things on my plate right now but I am technically on the group but I think we need at least one other really active participant and it should be somebody with plenty of experience.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Alan. Let's see, we have Cheryl Langdon-Orr having put her hand up. Cheryl, you have the floor.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you. This is kind of narrowing the field just a little bit. I'm happy to give a hand but I'm clearly biased towards Cross Community Working Groups to try and see if it's something that the At Large Community would want us to do so yeah, just put my name on there.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Cheryl. Could I just ask whether there is a limit to the number of participants on these Working Groups? I'm asking this

because I'm a little concerned that we yet again are sending seasoned people on to that Working Group.

As we know, every single GNSO Working Group is very important and so you always need to have people with experience but it's also important to bring people in with maybe a bit less experience so they can then gain the experience in a GNSO Working Group so just want to suggest that. Alan Greenberg, you have your hand up.

ALAN GREENBERG: I was not saying limited to seasoned people. I said we need some seasoned people on it. I only strongly support some other people being on it. I was not trying to limit it and as far as I know there is no limit but perhaps there are some special rules with this group although I don't believe so.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much. I'm not going to ask for volunteers on this call but I would like people on this call to seriously consider this Working Group as a very good step forward. It's not something that is technical in nature as such but it does require some knowledge of the processes and I guess you can learn about those by reading them as well.

You will have seasoned people on the call who you will be able to ask questions if you're not entirely sure about something. What is important though is that the ALAC has pushed as one of its core ideas that we should get the silos to talk to each other.

We should have more cross community interaction so this really is a core activity and it would be really silly I guess if one could use that word if the ALAC was not quite heavily involved in the defining of the Cross Community Working Group rules across all of ICANN and I gather that if we have a good cross community component to this Working Group on Cross Community Working Groups then we can probably take on those recommendations and with very minor amendments, if any, ratify the same sort of process for the ALAC itself.

I see Alberto Soto is asking whether he can apply. Yes, you may but one thing which is important is the GSNO Working Groups all work in English so if you're find with that, that would be fine. Let's move on and go to the next thing which is the Working Group updates and I hear that Roberto Gaetano has arrived on the call. Roberto are you on?

ROBERTO GAETANO: Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, I know that you are currently at another meeting as well. I thought maybe we could start with you to provide us with an update of the Board candidate Evaluation Committee and then continue afterwards with the ATLAS At Large Summit Organizing Committee. So, we'll just yield and put you first. Roberto, you have the floor.

EN

ROBERTO GAETANO: Thank you very much. I start by apologizing for not having filled in my
                          monthly report. I will do that in the next days, probably over the next
                          weekend. This said, where we are – the BCC has started operations last
                          month. We had a teleconference and we had a physical meeting in
                          Buenos Aires. The Face to Face Meeting was an excellent opportunity to
                          get a lot of work done. We have agreed about the schedule, the
                          deadlines, we have defined the process also in collaboration with Tijani's
                          Working Group and we have defined also th rules of the game so to
                          speak.

                          Every member of our Working Group, the 10 members of the Working
                          Group plus myself have signed a nondisclosure agreement because we
                          are going to deal with highly confidential material which are all the
                          candidatures of all the candidates and so there's this engagement to
                          confidentiality that we have never had from the NonCOM way of
                          proceeding. Then a call for candidates has been drafted, discussed and
                          finalized and has been sent out just, if my memory is correct,
                          immediately after the end of the Buenos Aires meeting.

                          We are now in the process of waiting for the candidates to apply. We
                          expect the candidates to apply very close to the deadline so last time I
                          checked, a couple of days ago, there were no candidatures sent yet but
                          the deadline is the 26th of December and so we are getting into the hot
                          moment of the reception of the candidatures.

                          Another activity that has been done is to draft a letter that will be used
                          to ask for references to the people that have been indicated as referees

in the candidatures and that will be done the moment that the candidatures get in and in any case not later than the 26th of December.

Then we will have two days to compile and submit the list of candidatures and at that moment the ALAC will know the candidates and you can start that part of the process.

I don't know if you want me to go into details of the next step but before doing this, let me thank the support that we had in Buenos Aires from Staff obviously but the collaboration I had from Cheryl with a lot of good advice with her experience of the NonCom and I heard from Alan and Tijani and I think that in Buenos Aires things went very well and we were able to really advance on this matter.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this report, Roberto Gaetano. I open the floor for questions and I see first Sebastien Bachollet has his hand up. Sebastien, you have the floor. You might be muted Sebastien. We cannot hear you at the moment.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Yes, thank you. It was to ask what I ask in the chat and you answered. It's okay, thank you very much.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you Sebastien. Any questions or comments on the procedure, etc? Tijani Ben Jemaa, you have the floor.

TEJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, thank you Olivier. It's not a question, it's a remark regarding the big point - UI. It seems that it is not easy to use it so Roberto, I think you had better try it and see if there is perhaps an explanation of what to do or if so that people can use it very easily. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Tijani. Did you say the Big Pulse user interface?

TEJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, because UI is an online application and it is done through Big Pulse.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, that usually works. Perhaps Heidi, can you comment on this?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, basically it is not a little bit intuitive so it might be necessary that Staff just adds one line of when people activate click on to put their name in on the application that they need to hit a certain button on the next Big Pulse page so that might be the extent of what kind of additional information is provided except for the [inaudible 1:48:25.8] issue.

TEJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you very much, Heidi.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Heidi and thank you for the question and the remark, Tijani. Just one more thing to mention on this process, as Roberto Gaetano mentioned the members of the Board Candidate Evaluation Committee has signed a nondisclosure agreement or a confidentiality agreement. There are currently questions going on regarding the availability of the 360 review of the current Board member selected by the ALAC.

The 360 review being a kind of peer review which involves I would say 70 or 80 questions. It's a large questionnaire and two colleagues of Sebastien on the Board will be providing the details of their assessment. This is provided to the Chair of the Board. The Chair of the Board will be sending this over to me and I will be sharing this with the Board Candidate Evaluation Committee.

The question now comes as to whether the information should also be made available to all of the voters which is effectively all of the ALAC plus also all of the regional leaders. There's still some question on this. I see Tijani Ben Jemaa and Alan Greenberg in the queue. Let's start with Tijani Ben Jemaa.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you. I think that the 360 review is very useful for the BCET because it will give them better view so that they can keep this candidate or not but if you give it to the voters it will be public and I

EN

don't know if it is wise to make 360 review public. I feel it is not comfortable for people to have this review public. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Tijani. I didn't say that the review would be public. This would be shared confidentially among the ALAC and the regional leaders but that I realize is a much larger group than the group that is there so far. Somebody's playing with the screen at the moment and the shared screen has kind of moved up or something but it is not saying that it will be made public, that it can be searched by a search engine, etc. but the question is there on the table. I see Alan Greenberg with his hand up. Alan, you have the floor.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you. Two things, first of all, the term 360 review has been used in the past. It is not a 360 review which implies at the very least, review by all of the Board members and perhaps by other people as well so we should be careful in not using a term which is subject to almost guaranteed misunderstanding.

There was significant discussion in the ATRT meeting about this with some strong comments by both Steve Crocker and ICANN legal counsel and they made it clear two things which are perhaps opposites so the ALAC needs to balance a decision.

Number one, this is being done so that those who choose the Board members can benefit from the knowledge of and review of the

incumbents. It very much is designed so those who are making the choice make an informed choice. On the other hand, it should not be made public to the extent that it's searchable on Google.

Whether that is done with a formal nondisclosure agreement or with an understanding among those people or perhaps in the case of how ALAC does it, the BCEC members from each region be allowed to share the information confidentially with the voters but not be formally shown the document itself.

That's up for the ALAC to decide but somehow the review is being done for the benefit of those who are selecting the next Board member but it also shouldn't be completely public. That's the words that came from the Chair and legal counsel how the ALAC balances that is now the question.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Alan. Good explanations, I would like to open the discussion here and wait until next year so let people mull over this until the early part of next year but perhaps put it on the Action Item that in January we should be asking the same question again and possibly on the next ALAC call, the same question will be asked of you and we will have to decide whether that confidential information can be shared among ALAC members keeping the confidentiality.

If so, whether ALAC members need to sign a nondisclosure or confidentiality agreement and that is something for the ALAC to decide.

That's a heads up for all of you to think about this one. Both have advantages and inconvenience.

I do know that some people sometimes are a bit concerned about confidentiality or nondisclosure agreements because they include a lot of legalese or legal terms which are sometimes not understood in some parts of the world. Okay, let's move on to the next part. Can you still hear me okay? I hear that the audio Is breaking badly.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: It's okay for me.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: It's okay for you? Thank you, Tijani. Let's move on to the ATLAS II Organizing Committee. I realize we are running out of time on this call but we do have to go through our Working Groups. Eduardo Diaz, could you please provide us with an update on the ATLAS II?

EDUARDO DIAZ: Thank you. Basically, we are having a conference call this week. The Organizing Committee to formalize the overarching theme and other goals and we have moved all the Action Items that are mostly for the ATLAS II work to a centralized place so we can follow up with all the activities and start to push it forward.

This Friday, we have a meeting with a subgroup of the Events group and I expect to have very close to a draft to final events at the end that will

EN

be shared to everyone to see and ratify sometime in January. Basically, we are on time except we are supposed to have the events ready to go by this time but I think we will have it before the end of the year. If you have any questions, please. That's my report.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Eduardo for this comprehensive review of what's been going on. The floor is open for questions from everyone. Tijani, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you, it's not a question, it's a complement of information and since Eduardo spoke about the events, we now have the proposal of teams done by the Survey Sub Working Group and it was sent, I think, one week ago or so.

Now I'm working with the Staff and Olivier to see what is their concern about the schedule, about the program of the Summit. The last time when I proposed the program, they had some comments on it, so I am working with them to get all their concerns so that the next proposal would be the one that will be the closest to the final one.

Now, with Wolf proposal I will share with the other members of the subcommittee or sub group the new proposal taking into account the comments of Olivier and the Staff and when we decide the sub group on the final proposal, I will propose it to the Organizing Committee as a whole. Thank you.

EN

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Tijani. Any more questions or comments on this? Seeing no one put their hands up, I just wanted to add and repeat that last week there was a meeting of the London Organizing Committee for all of ICANNs 50th Meeting and I was part of this and shared the progress of the ATLAS II various committees with colleagues and there is obviously coordination going on in this direction as well.

Sally Costerton's Global Stakeholder Engagement Department is looking forward to work with the Working Group that's going to be working specifically with the public relations side of things etc., but I'm very glad to see this move forward. Any of you that are not on any of those At Large Summit Committees, please consider joining.

We are in need of people in some of those sub committees, which are quite lightly attended at the moment. There is an enormous amount of work to do in order to make this meeting the best one ever and of course with all of our At Large structures there is real anticipation that the At Large community is going to be key to the ICANN 50th meeting.

It's going to be a key component and also we will have a lot of eyes on us now that, as you know, the London meeting will be the first meeting after the Brazil conference as well so the whole multi-stakeholder model will have light that will be shining at it and let's hope that the lights see a great demonstration of the multi-stakeholder model at work.

Next we have the At Large Capacity Building Working Group and that's going to be an update from Tijani Ben Jemaa. Tijani, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you. Julia, can you please display the page I sent you? Okay, thank you. As you know, the Capacity Building Working Group defined two tracks for its activities for the upcoming month. The first track is a Capacity Building specifically for the preparation of the ALSes for the second At Large Summit and the second track will be the other Capacity Building programs and activities.

Now we are focusing on the first track and as you know a joint survey has been prepared together with ATLAS II Organizing Committee with three parts. One is general information, the second part is for the Summit themes definition and the third part is for us to define the areas where the ALSes need capacity building. The survey has been taken by almost 95% of the ALSes which is very good and it was analyzed by both the members of the ATLAS II Organizing Committee and the Capacity Building members.

The result is now ready for use so the Capacity Building Working Group from the result of this survey the topics to be addressed in the Capacity Building program for the ALSes to prepare them for the Summit. Those topics are displayed on the screen now and make it a little bit bigger Julia please. We defined seven topics.

The first one is not from the survey. It is one hot topic discussed in ICANN and we cannot ignore it for our Summit so we include it as one of the most important topics which is the future of internet governance and the multi-stakeholder model. The second topic is the policy including Security and Stability. The third one is Policy Development Process and then Rule of Procedures, IPV4 and IPV6 migration, Acronyms and Communications.

Those are the topics identified. After we defined them inside the subgroup, we gave people one week to think and perhaps they want to add something, nobody added anything so I consider that this is the final proposal of the subgroup. We are now asking ALAC to agree on them. If they want to agree or if they want to comment, they have any changes so that we can prepare the program for the Capacity Building. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Tijani. The floor is open for questions and comments. Alan Greenberg has his hand up. Alan, you have the floor.

ALAN GREENBERG: Thank you, question on two of them. On the rules of procedure, is that intended to be information about the ALAC rules of procedure or we're talking about RALO rules of procedure and how to proceed forward on those? I have a second question, too, but perhaps one by one.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I didn't understand that either, Alan.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Alan. Thank you Cheryl. Back to you Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay, thank you. This was asked by the ALSes and they didn't specify but I think that this will be more information than other thing but this cannot be in the Capacity building program. What we can give them is information about the rules of procedure and inside the subgroup, I didn't agree about putting it as a topic of Capacity Building but the majority of the members wanted it to be there because they said, "If the ALSes need information about that, we need to give it to them."

ALAN GREENBERG: I think we need some discussion on that. Certainly we could tell people about the ALAC rules of procedure but to be honest I don't know if there's a lot of benefit in using our… We're spending an awful lot of money to bring people to one place and I'm not sure that's the best use of it. There may however be some aspect of how do we get rules of procedure for our RALOs with some level of consistency that we don't have right now and I don't know how far we will have gotten on that process by then. I think that one may need some discussion.

The other question I have is on acronyms which is a bit puzzling. Again, if this is information and awareness, fine. Otherwise, a debate of whether

acronyms are good or bad I think we're stuck with them regardless. Again, this is the kind of thing that we could do but I worry about doing something which can be done on paper and using the valuable time of a meeting to do it instead. Just two thoughts, thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay, thank you. Alan, I think there is a misunderstanding. Those are topics for Capacity Building before the Summit. This is to prepare the ALSes for the Summit. The ALS representatives asked for this kind of information and not each topic will have the same kind of Capacity Building. Some will be webinar, some will be a mixture webinar and the online platform.

Others perhaps can be other things. We are now defining the program. We didn't define it yet and I guess that for the acronyms especially, it would be 100% online platform because it is very well explained there so there is no need to lead people to understand the meaning of an acronym. It is written clearly.

ALAN GREENBERG: I missed that part of the introduction so yes, I understand. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Okay, thank you.

EN

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this. We have Eduardo Diaz on the queue at the moment. Eduardo, you have the floor.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Just a quick comment about number five, the IPV4 to IPV6 Migration. When I see these types of things coming up as Capacity Building or in other areas and I look from an end user standpoint, this seems really is for IFCs or telecommunication providers for the end user. This migration point IPV4 and IPV6, I don't see how it applies. It's just a comment that I've seen happen here in other areas. If you can comment on it, I will really appreciate it.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Sure, sure. Thank you, Eduardo. I don't know if you were on the call but one of my concerns was also this IPV4 and IPV6 Migration but the discussion led to the fact that we need to put it people said yes, we have to explain to them and I have my own point of view on how we can do it, this capacity building about the migration to IPV6.

For example, our African IR is very helpful in this kind of things and they are experts in it so we can ask one of them to prepare one or two webinars about it and it will be done. We will not make people functional in it but we need them to understand and they ask to understand. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I'm getting a little concerned that we're starting to do the work of the Working Group here and really these are things that need to be discussed by the Working Group. I see that the list that Tijani has given so far is a temporary list which basically shows the topics, the most popular topics that our At Large structures have flagged as being the top needs to be addressed in the Capacity Building program.

I somehow don't think that the Working Group has decided on those topics yet as we know, the job of that working group is to build the Agenda so I would please ask that we don't open the discussion and keep it over to the Working Group and just have light comments if you do have light comments on this and there are longer comments, please email them over to Tijani for his follow up on this. Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I don't know if you were on the call of the subgroup of the Capacity Building Working Group.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I think I might have missed this one.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Those topics were discussed at length. I told you that I didn't agree with some of those topics but after the discussion it was the decision of the group. Now, I wanted the ALAC to see those topics to agree on them. If

they would need more time, it's not a problem but we need to have the approval of ALAC to build the program.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. Unfortunately at the moment I'm not seeing consensus for various reasons and maybe we need to get this one to follow up on the mailing list. I see Fatima Cambronero has been waiting in the queue. Alan, your hand was up but I thought this was an old hand. Yes, okay Fatima Cambronero, you have the floor.

FATIMA CAMBRONERO: I belong to these Working Groups, the Capacity Building Working Group and I think we need to keep on this [inaudible 2:12:01.5] certain topics but these are the needs of the ALSes and we need to reply to them somehow.

Perhaps some of you might think this is boring or that these topics are not necessary to be learned but perhaps there are some ALSes that need to learn about this and if we want them to be prepared to get to London, we need to deal with these topics.

Perhaps a solution would be to establish different level of training for those with higher demands and to have different levels and this is my comment. I will keep on participating and contributing to this Working Group. That's all. Thank you very much.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much for this comment Fatima. I totally share this with you. I think that one of the things that could be done. I understand this is really what our ALSes have asked for. One thing that could be done is to look at any of these can actually be learned using an online learning program. I'm looking at acronyms, for example.

I just cannot imagine a one-hour session listing through Acronyms face to face. We are shipping people across the globe to look at something that can be done as a dictionary or a document. It just baffles the imagination to have this as a face-to-face thing.

On the other hand, Policy and Policy Development including Security and Stability is something which I think would be maybe face to face discussion or maybe an explanation of the Policy Development Process of ICANN, I think is something that would be a fantastic topic for face to face Capacity Building because of its complexities. Yes, go ahead.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: I am afraid there is a big misunderstanding. This is the program for the ALSes to be prepared for the Summit, before the Summit. It will not be any face to face Capacity Building. All this will be webinars, OLP, online platform, etc. so this is only to prepare them. It is not to discuss it at the Summit. In the Summit, there is no discussion of those topics.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. Now, that's a totally different story at that point. Cheryl Langdon-Orr.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you. Some of these lists are the ones that you'll see every time you register for an ICANN meeting as well with these – what are you interested in? And in fact that list came from our original Summit and back then some of those topics were of interest but I think we do need to be clear and Tijani has done his best to try and make it clear to us all is what these are is a shopping list of matters that the ALSes have indicated they are interested in being pre-prepared for having information.

Yes, a hybrid approach is going to be very important. Online platforms are ideally suited for a number of these topics. Webinars for some of the others and they're all pre-London activities but that being said, I would clearly see where understanding some of the acronyms and knowing how to find a glossary, how to look up various bits of what means what of Wikis and websites…

This would be useful before we throw people into the wonderful world of an ICANN meeting in London, it would be useful, but I really, really think that if we've got some sort of informational material we can give people on the V4 to V6 migration, that will do.

Having a working knowledge on V4 to V6 migration [inaudible 2:16:15.5] relevant in a local content would have anything to do with I'll be doing in London so I think we need to kind of split these off into two desirables that for whatever local reasons, our ALSes are interested in and they may in fact be some topics for some of our outreach material to be

produced and essentials that need to be done specifically in preparation for London. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I think with Cheryl's, I guess that's a good way to close this topic. I think much more needs to be done to continue discussing this. Tijani, I understand there is a session that is later on. In fact Tijani or Eduardo, if you can let us know, there is an ATLAS II Working Group call later on this week. It's at 1500 UTC on Thursday, the 19th of December. I note Tijani's hand is up. Tijani, you have the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Thank you all for your comments. It's very helpful for me and for the Working Group. I want to emphasize what Fatima has said about the kind of Capacity Building that will be provided to the ALSes. All those topics will not have the same kind of Capacity Building. Some will have a necessity of webinars to give them the information or the knowledge. Some others were not needed.

It will be discussed inside the Working Group to decide on the tools to be used but be sure that we will not spend a lot of time to bring them to a call to discuss the acronyms. It will be stupid but some other topics will really need webinars or other kind of Capacity Building. Thank you.

EN

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Alan Greenberg next and then I'm finishing with this subject. We do need to move on.

ALAN GREENBERG: I think Cheryl said something important and the fact that something's on this list tells you that the ALSes really want to know something about it but as an example of V4 to V6 migration, it's not something they need to know for ATLAS and therefore that's something that we could do webinar education whatever, after the fact and not just limit it to the ATLAS participants but to a much wider group.

I think they're sending us an important message but the Committee has its job cut out to identify which of these things are in the critical path for ATLAS and which of these things are something that we're getting a message on need for education. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Alan. I'm going to close this discussion now because we have run out of time. Just to ask, I believe it is Eduardo – the next ATLAS II Working Group meetings later on this week as I mentioned just a moment ago. I understand there is a lot of Agenda for the time being. Will this subject of topics be raised at that time or will there be a separate call for the topics?

EDUARDO DIAZ: I think we should discuss this during the Friday meeting, the events which is taken from the survey if that's what you're asking because in London we have Thursday for the Organizing Committee and we are looking at the overall things that need to happen for the meeting to move forward.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Fantastic, thank you Eduardo. I know it yes, Friday the 20th of December, 1600 UTC ATLAS II events. Anyone interested in discussing this topic further please join at that time and hopefully Tijani, you will be able to find some consensus in the group and then present it back to the ALAC.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Yes, if I may Olivier.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Please, one last intervention.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA: Again and again and again, this is a confusion. The events are themes for the Summit. What we are discussing now are the topics for the Capacity Building, pre-Summit. The Capacity Building will also be discussed Thursday ATLAS II Organizing Committee but on Friday we will discuss only the events, only the topics themes that will be discussed at the Summit and perhaps the program or so. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you Tijani. Let's move on with the next item on our Agenda, At Large Community Regional Pilot Program Review Team. The CROPPRT. Dev, I'm really sorry for this. Could you please make this just a quick update on what's going on. I know that most RALOs are now aware of the Crop RT, in fact all of them are. Just let us know where we are at the moment, Dev Anand Teelucksingh, you have the floor.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thank you. ICANN has this Community Regional Outreach Pilot Program where each of the five RALOs can apply for funding for five outreach trips. There are certain conditions attached to this. It must be within the region. It can be up to three days, two nights and it must occur before June 30, 2014.

At Large CROPP Review Team was established by the ALAC and this is going to be reviewing the travel expense concerned with the Regional Stakeholder Engagement VP, that the travel request is in line with ICANNs Strategic and Regional Engagement Strategies as well as At Large and Outreach strategies and then submit their travel requests to ICANN once approved by the CROPP Review Team.

The CROPP Review Team has been set up. It is comprised of one member of the Finance and Budget Subcommittee and one member from the Outreach Subcommittee from each region so there are 10 people on this review team. There's been a brief and call already last

week and one of the key conditions is that the RALOs have to submit their travel requests to the CROPP Review Team eight weeks before any such travel is to take place.

There's an online form that can be used to submit it. There's been two travel requests already submitted by the North America region so I would encourage the RALOs, RALO leaders, outreach members, Finance and Budget Sub-Committee members to get together and quickly identify your two Outreach events and submit them as soon as possible. I will post something to the CROPP Group Briefing call. I think that's it.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Dev. Any questions or comments? I see no one putting their hands up for this. Thank you Dev and yes, it's great to see that applications are starting to come in and I really hope this program will be conclusive and will work well.

Let's move on to the next part of our Agenda and that's part E, which is the ICANN Community preparation for the Multi-Stakeholder Meeting in Brazil. As you know the NCSG and the ALAC had resolutions to work together with a small team of people, two Co-coordinators, [Rafi Denak] Chair of NCSG and myself.

The story that Evan Leibovitch shared is the fact that the NCSG and ALAC had decided to work together on the issue of Internet Governance and Preparation for the Brazil Summit. He shared this in the public forum. That was picked up by Staff the next day and we immediately

had [Dave Odonis], who then announced information of the Cross Community Working Group on the SO and AC Leadership list.

Since then we've had very, very good uptake from all of the parts of ICANN to join that Cross Community Working Group and that's been very good to see. There is some question as to what the deliverables of this Working Group are going to be. I guess this is one of the main tasks that the Working Group needs to establish.

There will be a call later on this week that will be dealing specifically with this. There is also some concern expressed about quite a few members of the GNSO Council being on this Working Group.

As you know, the stakeholder groups are all represented so with three to four people per stakeholder group being on that Working Group actually makes a lot of people for the GNSO, less for the ccNSO, for the ALAC etc. These are issues, I think they're always friendly at the beginning of such an effort. Later on it's always better to have three or four people per stakeholder group only we know that everyone attends all of the calls. It will be important to have at least one person from the different parts of ICANN. That's where we are today.

There is very good support from the Global Stakeholder Engagement Team. There is a Wiki page which has been set up and I note that Heidi has put it over in the chat. Thank you, Heidi, for this. The conference calls, this is the important thing – the two things.

EN

The mailing list for the discussion is open for everyone to subscribe to however only members of the Working Group will be able to post on the mailing list. Others will have their moderation bit set up so they will be able to listen to the conversation on there but they will not be able to post on the mailing list.

With regards to the calls, all the conference calls are open as we always have had. Open conference calls and ICANN so they're all open to everyone but only members of the Working Group itself, the designated members, will have the ability to speak and I guess at the Chair's discretion observer's might have the ability to speak but that really will depend on the person chairing the conference call at the time. That's the position today. I see Evan Leibovitch with his hand up. Evan, you have the floor.

EVAN LEIBOVITCH: Thanks for your work in doing this. I want to be on the record as saying that I'm really concerned the way this is moving forward. The original intent was that we would have three people from every group. Before we knew it the business constituency was sending 11 people and I have a real concern about the optics.

If we are trying to put forward an ICANN homegrown response to the challenges of multilateralism and so on from people that are saying that ICANN is just captured by industry and we end up having a group that defends the multi-stakeholder model but is itself captured by industry. I have a really big concern.

What started out as the two groups that initiated this, the ALAC and the NCSG, we now have a total of three people in a body that comprises how much? I really have a concern that the optics, the way this is going to look is going to essentially be the ICANN status quo defending the ICANN status quo and if that's the case, we're really not going to accomplish what we set out to do.

If we're trying to put out that ICANN is defending the public interest and yes, that's a very charged term but we do have a very broad public that we're trying to serve. It's good to see that we gave GAC involved but the NCSG and ALAC and GAC, between them have something like a third of the membership of this and I'm really concerned that we're going to have something that is meant to try and show to the world that ICANN is not captured by industry and yet the group itself has a real potential to be captured by industry. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Evan. Just as a matter of precision, there's a limit on each SO, AC and SG membership so it's four people maximum. At the moment the ALAC has three plus a Chair or a facilitator and the   NCSG also has three plus a facilitator so I don't see this as being a problem at the moment.

I know that some of the parts of the GNSO are not complaining but surprised about the initial list that was received from the BC, the BC being the Business Constituency but that was trimmed down and now

we have only four participants and a number of observers that will be around.

I think that before knocking the whole effort down we need to look at it as inclusive matter. It needs to show exclusivity in the group and see if we do face any problems with regards to capture of the mission but really the mission is not creating a PDP process that will advantage one component of the community versus another.

The mission, it seems to me, is going to be able to show to me a united front to feed into the one net process and to also ultimately feed into the Brazil conference being the united front in ICANN supporting the multi stakeholder model that we have.

I don't think that we will have time to start really going into such detail as to end up touching any hot potatoes that might be blowing in our face afterwards but then I might be wrong. That really will be dependent on the Working Group itself. Any comments or questions on this? I realize time is ticking and we've already been two and a half hours on this call so let's move to the structures. Matt Ashtiani, you have the floor.

MATT ASHTIANI: I'll need to be very brief as I have an appointment. The At Large and [inaudible 2:32:51] for ALSes has been completed. It was initially distributed at the Buenos Aires meeting and a call for comments was sent out. Since then I have been reviewing the document with Olivier. I

have been making a few changes and I believe the next point is that once the changes that Olivier has indicated have been made, it's just to have another call for comments from the community and then to publish the actual document.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much Matt. You will note the link over in your Agenda over into the beginner's guide. I must say having read through it, it's quite a fantastic document that should help our ALSes and of course now is the time for all of you to read it and provide your comments. There's still time to be able to change things in there and perhaps also share this over in your RALO with your At Large structures so as to see what kind of response one gets.

Maybe we have forgotten, we as in ICANN, have forgotten about something or there's more to be expanded on a subject or maybe something is not explained in an easy, straightforward way. That's the second reason we are sharing this over now on the list during this conference call. Matt, have you sent a note on the list about the availability of this guide yet?

MATT ASHTIANI: No, once I've actually made the changes you had indicated I was going to send them to Lynn to hopefully have a final guide because right now I only have your changes in a Word document.

EN

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: What I would suggest then is to maybe send a draft copy to the ALAC working list and then take it from there basically making sure to tell them this is a draft, so still collecting comments on any additional points that we have an additional set of eyes to look at this before we send it to Lynn.

MATT ASHTIANI: Okay, not a problem.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, fantastic, thank you very much Matt. I see no hands up so that effectively takes us to the last item in our call and that's any other business. The floor is open. There is a hand, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, you have the floor.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you. It's not too complicated, I just wanted to ask if Staff could organize in the week of the 6th of January but I can double check. Somewhere around the 6th or 8th, if we can organize a little poll before the end of this year for the next metrics work group.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you Cheryl. Yes, we will do that. There are also other calls that are also having doodles this week so look out for them.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Cheryl and thank you Heidi for this. I think this really completes our call today. Thanks to the interpreters for having remained for a longer time than they were supposed to remain on the call. Bravo and well done for being able to last that long. Thanks to all of you for having remained on the call so long. I do apologize for the length but we had a lot of things to discuss.

Have a wonderful, happy holiday. I know it's a time for family, for people to take a little break as well so I hope you'll all be able to take a break and if we don't speak before the new year, have a happy new year as well.

Not only that, you'd better rest because next year I think we have even more work and more things to do than this year. Certainly with all the things that are already coming up, that's going to be challenging but it's also going to be exciting. Thanks so all of you, enjoy and goodbye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPT]